# **CMS OSPO Outbound Review Checklist**

## **Tier 2: Close Collaboration**

#### Instructions

This is a review process to approve CMS-developed software to be released open source at github.cms.gov. If you would like your repository to be released, please complete the following steps.

Instructions

State the Benefits of Open Sourcing the Project

State the Risks of Open Sourcing the Project

Questions

Code Review

Code Analysis

**Review Licensing** 

**Review Commit History** 

Review Repository Hygiene

**Review Project Metadata** 

**Review Repository Details** 

Additional Notes & Questions

Sign off on risk acceptance of open-sourcing the software product

Flipping the Switch: Making the Repository Public

## State the Benefits of Open Sourcing the Project

• [] Cost Savings

By making the project freely available, this reduces licensing and acquisition costs.

• [] Ease of Repurposing

The open nature of the code allows users to modify and adapt the software to suit their specific needs, fostering customization and flexibility.

• [] Minimization of Vendor Lock-in/Flexibility of Vendor Choice

Users are not tied to a single vendor, providing the freedom to choose between different vendors.

### • [] Enable Transparency

The source code is accessible and visible to anyone, promoting transparency in how the software functions, which helps build trust.

### • [] Enable extension/extensibility

Users can extend and enhance the functionality of the software by adding their own features.

#### • [] Increase Interoperability

Planning in the open enables future compatibility and interoperability between different systems and software applications.

### • [] Facilitate Experimentation/Early Adoption

Working in the open encourages experimentation and early adoption of cutting-edge technologies, leading to faster innovation and improvement in software capabilities.

## State the Risks of Open Sourcing the Project

### • [] Security Risks

Vulnerabilities may be exposed if the code is not thoroughly reviewed, potentially leading to security breaches or exploitation. (See: SECURITY.md) Does this project connect to any CMS-internal only systems? Does this project require authorization or authentication to operate? Does this project detail any non-public directories of CMS/HHS systems or people?

### • [] Financial Risks

Costs may arise from maintaining code, community engagement, addressing security concerns, subscription costs, hardware costs, specialized tooling or infrastructure costs among others. Does this project require any ongoing financial costs or subscription fees? (e.g., Cloud Hosting, Specialized build systems, paid maintainers, paid libraries or dependencies.)

### • [] Privacy Risks

Does this project require access to non-public, non-synthetic PII, PHI, or other internal-only CMS systems containing such data or information?

### **Questions**

- Does the code contain or touch any private information such as Personal Identifiable Information (PII) or Protected Health Information (PHI)?
  - What PII or PHI does this project contain?
- Does the code interface with any of CMS' internal-only systems (e.g. mainframes, JIRA instances, databases, etc...)?
  - What processes do you go through internally to get access to the systems?

- Does the repository contain any keys or credentials to access or authenticate with CMS' systems?
- Does this repository require any job codes to run?

If you answered "yes" to any of the above questions, your project may be 'sensitive' in nature and require a more thorough review before sharing publicly. Please reach out to opensource@cms.hhs.gov for guidance. If you answer yes to any of these questions above, it is best to NOT open source the product due to security reasons.

#### Results

Insert Review Here

### **Code Review**

The existing codebase should be given a one time, top-to-bottom code quality and security vulnerability review by two (or more) engineers who have written production code within the past two years, in the languages used in the project. Engineers should review credential management practices with the development team to ensure that any keys, passwords, or other sensitive configurations are not checked into source code or in the git history.

The engineers can be federal government employees or trusted partners from outside the agency from other contracts, or from independent testing contracts. Their names, organizations, comments and approval/disapproval on the overall codebase should be tracked in this document.

To provide independent review, the engineers should not have been involved in the development of the software product. This includes engineers who wrote part of the software or who directly provided technical direction and oversight in the creation of the software.

As part of the code review, engineers should reference modern listings of the most significant software security vulnerabilities. For instance, an acceptable description would be that the engineers showed how they used automated tools and manual review to check each item in OWASP's current 10 Most Critical Web Application Security Risks.

#### Results

Insert Review Here

## **Code Analysis**

At least one automated tool for code analysis (such as static code analysis tools) has been run on the codebase to look for security vulnerabilities, and results have been appropriately acted upon. Even if all findings are eventually fixed, if the initial scans revealed significant, severe vulnerabilities (such as SQL injection vulnerabilities), this indicates that the software development team may not be adhering to the best practices required for open source public release.

Automated tooling for code analysis should be incorporated as a regularly scheduled part of the application development process. The development team should briefly document how frequently they commit to running these automated scanning tools, and who will be running the tests, interpreting, and acting upon the results.

### **Toolkit**

Below is a list of suggested tools to run for code analysis:

Tool	Description	Link
Repo Linter	Lint repositories for common issues such as missing files,etc	https://github.com/todogroup/repolinter
Gitleaks	Protect and discover secrets using Gitleaks	https://github.com/gitleaks/gitleaks Use and run gitleaks.yml provided in repository
git filter-repo	Entirely remove unwanted files / files with sensitive data from a repository's history	https://docs.github.com/en/authentication/keeping- your-account-and-data-secure/removing-sensitive- data-from-a-repository
bulk_extractor	Check for secrets, URLs, emails, etc.	bulk_extractor Official Documentation OSPO Guide Documentation

#### Results

Insert Review Here

## **Review Licensing**

Ensure that acceptable licensing is decided for the project. Most often, software released as open source by the federal government does so under the Creative Commons Zero 1.0 license.

Suggested licensing:

#### **Public Domain**

This project is in the public domain within the United States, and copyright and related rights in the work worldwide are waived through the CC0 1.0 Universal public domain dedication.

All contributions to this project will be released under the CC0 dedication. By submitting a pull request, you are agreeing to comply with this waiver of copyright interest.

If your project is not being dedicated to the public domain under CCO, due to being work for hire, or some other documented reason, then choosing another OSI approved license is the next best thing.

#### Results

Insert Review Here

## **Review Commit History**

Review the history of commits to the version control system used, and whether the team prefers to clean (e.g., rebase) this history before releasing to the public.

If not rebasing, verify that:

1. There are no obscene or impolite remarks in comments or commit history

- 2. There are no sensitive internal URLs/IP Addresses in comments or commit history
- 3. There are no credential files such as Passwords, API/SSH/GPG keys checked into the repo.

Consider using the following tools to perform the tasks above:

Tool	Description	Link
gitleaks	Open source tool that detects and prevents secrets (passwords/api/ssh keys) checked-in to your git repo	https://github.com/gitleaks/gitleaks What is git leaks and how to use it? Use and run gitleaks.yml provided in repository
git filter-repo	Entirely remove unwanted files / files with sensitive data from a repository's history	https://docs.github.com/en/authentication/keeping- your-account-and-data-secure/removing- sensitive-data-from-a-repository
bulk_extractor	Check for secrets, URLs, emails, etc.	bulk_extractor Official Documentation OSPO Guide Documentation

#### Results

Insert Review Here

## **Review Repository Hygiene**

As part of our repository hygiene requirements, the project must include certain files and sections. Using repolinter will help you identify missing files and content that will need to be added to your repository before outbounding.

### Running repolinter on your repository locally

- 1. Add repolinter.json to the root directory of your project
- 2. Run command:

repolinter lint

- 3. The result produces a list of file and section existence checks, indicating whether each requirement was met or not.
  - ✓ license-file-exists: Found file (LICENSE.md) ✓ security-file-exists: Found file (SECURITY.md)

  - ✓ readme-file-exists: Found file (README.md)
  - ✓ contributing-file-exists: Found file (CONTRIBUTING.md)
  - ✓ maintainers-file-exists: Found file (MAINTAINERS.md)
  - \* codeowners-file-exists: Did not find a file matching the specified patterns ({docs/,.github/,}CODEOWNERS.md)
  - △ governance-file-exists: Did not find a file matching the specified patterns ({docs/,.github/,}GOVERNANCE.md)
  - \* community-guidelines-file-exists: Did not find a file matching the specified patterns ({docs/,.github/,}COMM
  - \* code-of-conduct-file-exists: Did not find a file matching the specified patterns ({docs/,.github/,}CODE\_OF\_C

### Running repolinter on your repository via GitHub Actions

- 1. Add the tier-specific checks.yml to the .github directory of your project. The file includes a job that runs a repolinter called repolinter-checks.
- 2. Manually trigger the workflow.
- 3. The result produces an issue on the repository with a list of file and section existence checks, indicating whether each requirement was met or not.

## **Review Content**

The project should include the following files and sections (link to templates):

## • [] README.md

An essential guide that gives viewers a detailed description of your project

Section	Description	Included
Project Description	1-3 sentence short description of the project that can be used as a 'one-liner' to describe the repo. A best practice is using this same language as the official 'description' on a GitHub repo landing page.	<b>⊘ ×</b>
About the Project	Longer-form description of the project. It can include history, background, details, problem statements, links to design documents or other supporting materials, or any other information/context that a user or contributor might be interested in.	
Project Vision	This should be a forward-looking statement that outlines the desired future state or long-term goals of the project.	
Project Mission	This should be a statement that defines the purpose, scope, and specific objectives of the project.	
Agency Mission	Agency-led projects should include information about their agency mission. This should be taken directly from agency websites or wikis.	
Team Mission	Agency-led projects should include information about the team executing on the mission. This should be taken directly from internal team charters and functional statements	
Core Team	This information helps with succession planning and provenance for security compliance and remediation. It helps future users and contributors understand where the code originated.	
Local Development	Use step by step instructions to get from 'zero' to 'running code.' Should include any system libraries or packages that are a 'pre-requisite' to installation of your project. When possible, including install instructions for multiple Operation Systems (or being explicit about which operating system the project was developed on) is a recommended practice.	

Section	Description	Included
Code Style & Linters	This section outlines best practices contributors should follow to reduce friction and improve readability, functionality, and quality of contributions to a project. Oftentimes, these checks can be automated and run as part of a continuous integration and deployment pipeline.	
Contributing	For projects that accept contributions, point towards the CONTRIBUTING.md file.	
Codeowners	Though all tiers have an 'implied' code-owner, since there is at least one author of the repo, explicit is better than implicit. In the case that a project may outlive the original author, a shared inbox or alias is recommended for longer-lived projects (e.g. opensource@cms.hhs.gov).	
Community	Point your contributors towards wherever your community exists (e.g. email lists, online discussion boards or channels, project backlogs and documentation, etc.).	
Community Guidelines	This section points to a CODE_OF_CONDUCT.md file or website providing information around acceptable conduct and reporting mechanisms and escalation strategies. It is better to have these processes defined before they are needed, so you can focus on support if/when there is an incident (e.g. Contributor-covenant.org).	
Feedback	Direct users towards the channel where they're encouraged to provide feedback, typically a github.com/\$REPO/issue/new URL.	
Policies	This section is to explicitly link to Federal policies and guidelines that are required or recommended for Federal projects to comply with, such as Accessibility (508), Interoperability, Anti-deficiency, Security, Licensing, and other policies that can vary between agencies and domains.	
Public Domain	A best practice is to list the LICENSE under which a project is released at the bottom of the README. In most cases for Federal repos, we default to Creative Commons Zero 1.0 International (world-wide public domain).	

## • []LICENSE

License of your project, whether public domain (CC0) or other OSI-approved License. Using 'vanilla' license text will allow for GitHub to auto-label the license information on the repository landing page.

## $\bullet \ \ [\ ] \ CONTRIBUTING.md$

Provides guidance on how users can run your project and make contributions to it

Section	Description	Included
How to Contribute	Basic instructions about where to send patches, check out source code, and get development support.	

Section	Description	Included
Getting Started	Includes installation steps, prerequisites for installation, and instructions for working with the source code.	
Building dependencies	This step is often skipped, so don't forget to include the steps needed to install on your platform. If your project can be multi-platform, this is an excellent place for first time contributors to send patches.	
Building the Project	Be sure to include build scripts and instructions, not just the source code itself!	
Workflow & Branching	If your project has a preferred workflow or branching structure, mention it here. We recommend 'git flow' as a good default.	
Coding Style + Linters	HIGHLY ENCOURAGED. Specific tools will vary between different languages/frameworks (e.g. Black for Python, eslint for JavaScript, etc.).	
Writing Issues	Make a brief statement about where to file issues, and conventions for doing so. Link to ISSUE_TEMPLATE.md file.	
Policies	This section is here to explicitly link to Federal policies and guidelines that are required or recommended for Federal projects to comply with, such as Accessibility (508), Interoperability, Anti-deficiency, Security, Licensing, and other policies that can vary between agencies and domains.	
Public Domain	This section is to explicitly link to Federal policies and guidelines that are required or recommended for Federal projects to comply with, such as Accessibility (508), Interoperability, Anti-deficiency, Security, Licensing, and other policies that can vary between agencies and domains.	

## • [] CODE\_OF\_CONDUCT.md

Defines the code of conduct for contributors

## $\bullet \ \ [\ ] \ COMMUNITY\_GUIDELINES.md$

Provides guidelines for community participation

## • [] SECURITY.md

Details security policies and procedures

## • [] repolinter.json

Lints repository for missing files and sections above

## • [] code.json

Contains metadata about the project, refer to Review Project Metadata

### **Recommended Files:**

## • [] CODEOWNERS.md

Specifies code ownership and reviewers

• [] MAINTAINERS.md

Lists project maintainers and their responsibilities

### **Communications Style Guide**

As you are writing content about the repository, consider the following when describing the project, the agency, and how work is done:

- Focus on solutions, not problems Rather than describing the negatives and shortcomings of an existing project, process, or group, focus on highlighting the benefits, outcomes, and positive impacts of the solution. Be constructive and accentuate the positive.
- Use Plain Language Be clear and concise when explaining concepts. Avoid jargon unless it is necessary and well-defined. Use active voice to be clearer and more engaging.
- Focus on the audience Write for a broad audience, ensuring that developers, stakeholders, end-users, and viewers of all skill levels can understand and engage with the content.

Please refer to the style guides below for additional tips and guidance:

- CMS Resources
  - Guidelines for Effective Writing
  - Tone of Voice section in Branding Guide
  - 2020 Plain Writing Report
- 18F Style Guide
- UK Content Style Guide

#### Results

Insert Review Here

## **Review Project Metadata**

As part of the Federal Source Code Policy and the agency's software inventory tracking initiatives, each repository must contain a code.json file, storing metadata on your project.

### Creating code.json on your repository

1. In the .github directory, run the command:

```
cookiecutter . -directory=codejson
```

- 2. Answer various questions about your project.
- 3. A code.json file will be generated with your responses.

Please keep this file up-to-date as you continue development in this repository. The OSPO is currently developing workflows to help assist with this work.

#### Results

Insert Review Here

## **Review Repository Details**

The GitHub repository homepage features a concise description of the project, a list of relevant topic tags, and general information about the repository to provide a comprehensive overview for users and contributors.

#### **About Section:**

- [] Description
  - 1-2 sentences describing the project
- [] Website

Link to project's website

• [] Topics

Tags for project discoverability. Helpful topics to classify a repository include the repository's intended purpose, subject area, community, or language.

### **Include in Home Page:**

- [] Releases
- [] Packages
- [] Deployments

#### Results

Insert Review Here

## **Additional Notes & Questions**

Insert any notes or questions here

## Sign off on risk acceptance of open-sourcing the software product

After reviewing the materials prepared by the team that is working to open source the product, the business owner signs off on a risk acceptance for open-sourcing the software product.

Requesting sign off from key people on this request.

Reviewer Organization	Reviewer Names	Reviewer's Recommendation
Code Reviewer 's Reccommendation	CODE REVIEWER 1 CODE REVIEWER 2 CODE REVIEWER 3	[Approved/Needs Approval] [Approved/Needs Approval] [Approved/Needs Approval]
ISSO	ISSO REVIEWER	[Approved/Needs Approval]

Reviewer Organization	Reviewer Names	Reviewer's Recommendation
ISG Technical Approval	ISG REVIEWER	[Approved/Needs Approval]
Business Owner(s)	BUSINESS OWNER 1 BUSINESS OWNER 2	[Approved/Needs Approval] [Approved/Needs Approval]

## Flipping the Switch: Making the Repository Public

Once the repository has passed outbound review, we are ready to "flip the switch" and officially make it public. Once made public, there are a couple of actions that need to be taken:

### **Repository Actions**

Please enable the following features to enhance repository security and maintain code quality:

### • [] Dependabot Alerts

A GitHub Feature. Get notified when one of your dependencies has a vulnerability

### • [] Secret Scanning Alerts

A GitHub Feature. Get notified when a secret is pushed to this repository. Ideally set this up to run after each new commit is pushed to the Repository.

### • [] Branch Protections

Ensures the integrity of important branches by preventing unauthorized actions like force pushes and requiring pull request reviews with specific checks before merging. Dev and main should be protected branches in the repository.

### • [] Git Branching

After making the repository public, make sure there is a coherent git branching plan in place. For example: agree to merge feature related pull requests into dev but merge bug fixes into main instead of dev first.

### • [] Add Repolinter GH Action to CI

For ongoing adherence to repository hygiene standards, integrate the repolinter GitHub Action into your CI pipeline. This addition enhances your workflow by automatically enforcing repository cleanliness standards.

#### • [] Optional: DCO (Developer Certificate of Origin)

Requires all commit messages to contain the Signed-off-by line with an email address that matches the commit author. The Developer Certificate of Origin (DCO) is a lightweight way for contributors to certify that they wrote or otherwise have the right to submit the code they are contributing to the project. The GitHub app to enforce DCO can be found here.

#### **Communications & Rollout**

Share the good news with communities both inside and outside CMS!

- [] **Draft a launch announcement** Be sure to include the following information:
- · Repo Description
  - Repo URL
  - Authoring Team Email Contact
  - Authoring Team URL
  - Authoring Team Slack Channel
  - Call to action (File issues, contribute PRs)
- [] Post launch announcement to CMS slack channel
  - #cms-opensource
  - #cms-api-community
  - #cms-data-community
  - #cms-engineering-community
  - #ai-community
- [] Send a launch announcement email
- [] Add launch announcement to a Confluence Wiki Page

## **Tracking**

Add your project to our inventories.

- [] Add to https://github.com/dsacms/open projects inventory
- [] Add code.json to repository and sent over a pull request to code.gov