

PORT OPERATIONS

SURVEY 53 JANUARY 1945

Origin: Office of the Chief of Transportation, ETOUSA

Purpose: To determine for the OCOT those attitudes of officers and enlisted men engaged in port operations, that are effective in influencing their performance on the job.

Cleared: OCOT, ETOUSA

<u>Problem Areas:</u>	Personal background	Work interest
	Mess and living facilities	Morale
	Recreation	Pride in outfit
	Job satisfaction	Opinion of Allies

Field Data: 3117 enlisted men and 292 officers from the ports of Cherbourg, Le Havre and Rouen.

Production Data:

Reports Published: E-99, "Survey of Port Workers on Their Attitudes Toward Living Conditions and Base Facilities"
E-105, "A Survey of Port workers on Their Attitudes Toward Their Job and Working Conditions"
E-105-A (Same title as E-105 - reports practically identical, names of ports omitted in E-105-A)

Action Taken: Not known

Appended Data: Questionnaire
Codes
Marginals

DECLASSIFIED

Authority

NND 893004

PORT BATTALION SURVEY (S-53)

1. Exploratory Interviewing: On 7 December through 11 December 1944, separate field teams were sent to each of 3 ports (Cherbourg, Le Havre, and Rouen). The primary purpose of this phase of study was to determine what the problem areas were. It was thought that the problems might be different at the various ports. Both officers and enlisted men were contacted for personal interviews.
2. Pretest Interviewing of the Enlisted Men Questionnaire: On 20 December through 24 December 1944 one field team was sent to Rouen.
 - a. A pretest questionnaire was administered to 4 classes of about 30 men each — two of which contained only grade IV AGCT men. In addition, a number of men had the questionnaire individually administered.
3. Final Survey: On 9 January through 20 January 1945 separate teams were sent to each of the three ports to conduct the survey.
 - a. Negro class leaders were trained to conduct the classes for Negro soldiers.
 - b. Questionnaires were administered to the following:

Enlisted Men — Survey 53-E

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Cross-Section</u>
(a) Cherbourg Companies 19		18
Men* 1539		1445
(b) Le Havre Companies 11		11
Men* 996		996
(c) Rouen Companies 13		9
Men* 924		676

Company Officers — Officer (Long Form) From Cross-Section Cos

(a) Cherbourg 92 officers
 (b) Le Havre 43 officers
 (c) Rouen 55 officers

* In each company an attempt was made to survey all men except grade V's AGCT and Hq men or others not engaged in port work.

Battalion Officers — Officer (Long Form) From Cross Section
Companies (Bns to which Cos Belong)

(a) Cherbourg 20 officers
(b) Le Havre 3 officers
(c) Rouen 32 officers

(minus)
(long form - questions
a to j)

Port Hq Officers — Officer Short Form

(a) Cherbourg 46 officers
(b) Le Havre 6 officers
(c) Rouen 15 officers

- c. From each of the companies surveyed additional data (factual) was obtained from the first sergeant by a research representative filling in a first sergeant data sheet. (Inclosed)

DECLASSIFIED

Authority

NND 8 93004

***FI18 PRINT OF HEADER LABELS:

VOL1B024240

NIH=BTHSDA

HDR1AMS196.CLEAN B0242400010240 87041 000000000000IBM OS/VS 370
HDR2F072000008040TOHP002 /GO A B 60154

***FI21 CURRENT DATA SET NUMBER IS - 00240

***WT2 BLOCK 1, RECORD 1, RECORD 1 IN ENTIRE FILE, RECORD SIZE

119600011343132223223402222515212112212040811213111111322212131238024 *

***WT2 BLOCK 1, RECORD 2, RECORD 2 IN ENTIRE FILE, RECORD SIZE

119600023331232214334410321315212322122040112432113221221124231238095 *

***WT2 BLOCK 1, RECORD 3, RECORD 3 IN ENTIRE FILE, RECORD SIZE

119600033331232112223110221126212222221040421322113231222112231238029 *

***WT2 BLOCK 1, RECORD 4, RECORD 4 IN ENTIRE FILE, RECORD SIZE

119600041333122222224210421218211302111040212222213232522115131238056 *

***WT2 BLOCK 1, RECORD 5, RECORD 5 IN ENTIRE FILE, RECORD SIZE

119600051231121223024010252215212112132000000013113241222205032234092 *

***RE5 5 RECORDS PRINTED, 0 RECORDS COPIED IN THIS PHASE.

***FI23 PRINT OF TRAILER LABELS:

EOF1AMS196.CLEAN B0242400010240 87041 000000000035IBM OS/VS 370
EOF2F072000008040TOHP002 /GO A B 60154

***FI29 BLOCK COUNT IN EOF1 LABEL DOES NOT MATCH RECORDED BLOCK COUNT. THIS MAY BE DUE TO SKIPS, OR BACKSPACES.

80 . } 2 logical record

80 .

80 .

80 .

80 .