

Logistic Regression and Softmax Regression

Daniel Wehner, M.Sc.

SAP SE / DHBW Mannheim

Summer term 2025



Find all slides on [GitHub](https://github.com/DaWe1992/Applied_ML_Fundamentals) (DaWe1992/Applied_ML_Fundamentals)

Lecture Overview

- | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| I | Machine Learning Introduction | IX | Evaluation |
| II | Optimization Techniques | X | Decision Trees |
| III | Bayesian Decision Theory | XI | Support Vector Machines |
| IV | Non-parametric Density Estimation | XII | Clustering |
| V | Probabilistic Graphical Models | XIII | Principal Component Analysis |
| VI | Linear Regression | XIV | Reinforcement Learning |
| • VII | Logistic Regression | XV | Advanced Regression |
| VIII | Deep Learning | | |

Agenda for this Unit

① Introduction

② Model Architecture and Training

③ Non-linear Data

④ Multi-Class Classification

⑤ Wrap-Up

Section: Introduction

What is logistic Regression?
Why you should not use linear Regression

What is logistic Regression?

- Logistic regression is a learning algorithm for **classification (!!!)**
- In its standard form it is applicable to **binary classification problems only**
- **Class labels:**
 - The 'positive class' \oplus is encoded as **1**
 - The 'negative class' \ominus as **0**
- **Probabilistic interpretation:** The raw output of the algorithm is between 0 and 1 and can be interpreted as *the probability of the instance belonging to the positive class*



Why you should not use linear Regression...

- Linear regression:

$$h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \theta^{\top} \mathbf{x}$$

- We can turn linear regression into a classifier by putting a **threshold** at $h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5$ (or any other value between 0 and 1):
 - If $h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0.5$, predict $\hat{y} = 1$
 - If $h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) < 0.5$, predict $\hat{y} = 0$

Using linear regression in classification tasks has several downsides.

Can you imagine which ones?



Why you should not use linear Regression... (Ctd.)

Problem 1: Outliers heavily affect the decision boundary (*see example below*)

Problem 2: Furthermore, we want the output of the model to be in the range $[0, 1]$ (to allow for a probabilistic interpretation), i. e. $0 \leq h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$. However, **linear regression can output any value**, specifically

$$h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \ll 0 \quad \text{or} \quad h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \gg 1$$


Why you should not use linear Regression... (Ctd.)

Consider the following dataset:

Row	Object	Radius ($\times 10^6$ m)	Label	Label encoded
1	Ceres	1.0	dwarf planet	0
2	Eris	2.3	dwarf planet	0
3	Pluto	2.4	dwarf planet	0
4	Mercury	4.9	planet	1
5	Earth	12.8	planet	1
6	Jupiter	143.0	planet	1

Why you should not use linear Regression... (Ctd.)

- Let us train a linear regression model for classification:



- Both, Mercury and Earth, are **classified as dwarf planets** due to Jupiter's massive radius!

Linear regression is sensitive to outliers! We need a better cost function!

Why you should not use linear Regression... (Ctd.)

- Logistic regression to the rescue:



- Logistic regression is less sensitive to outliers

(It is a valuable exercise to reproduce this result. See the exercise sheet!)

Section:

Model Architecture and Training

Sigmoid / Logistic Function
Probabilistic Interpretation of the Output
Model Training
Logistic Regression Decision Boundary



Logistic Regression Model

- Remember that we want: $0 \leq h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$
- Solution: Logistic function / Sigmoid function:**


$$\sigma(z) := \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} \quad (1)$$

- We plug $\theta^{\top} \mathbf{x}$ into the sigmoid function to obtain our new model function:

Logistic regression model function:

$$h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma(\theta^{\top} \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^{\top} \mathbf{x}}} \quad (2)$$

Logistic/Sigmoid Function



- $\sigma(z)$ is symmetric around $z = 0$
- $0 \leq \sigma(z) \leq 1$ holds true



Where does the Sigmoid come from?

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{\sum_{k=1}^2 p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_k)} = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{\sum_{k=1}^2 p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_k)p(\mathcal{C}_k)}$$

$$= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)/(p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1))}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp\{-z\}} = \sigma(z)$$

→ **logistic sigmoid**

$$z := \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)}$$

→ **log odds**

Interpretation of Hypothesis Output

- $h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ is interpreted as the probability of instance \mathbf{x} belonging to class \mathcal{C}_1

- **Example:**

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \text{tumorSize} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

- If $h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = 0.7 = p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}; \theta)$, we have to tell the patient that there is a **70 % chance** of the tumor being malignant
- **Binary case:**

$$p(y = 0|\mathbf{x}; \theta) = 1 - p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}; \theta) = 0.3$$

Training Setup

- We have a labeled training set:

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(\mathbf{x}^1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}^2, y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}^N, y_N)\} = \{(\mathbf{x}^n, y_n)\}_{n=1}^N \quad (4)$$

- $y_n \in \{0, 1\}$ are the labels, and \mathbf{x}^n are the feature vectors ($n = 1, 2, \dots, N$):

$$\mathbf{x}^n = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^{(n)} \\ x_1^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ x_M^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ x_M^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{M+1} \quad (5)$$

Logistic Regression Cost Function

- We require a suitable cost function:


$$\mathfrak{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \ell(h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n), y_n) \quad (6)$$

- For logistic regression, the cost function $\ell(\hat{y}, y)$ is defined as follows:
(square loss would be non-convex due to the sigmoid non-linearity...)


$$\ell^{\text{BCE}}(\hat{y}, y) := \begin{cases} -\log(\hat{y}) & \text{if } y = 1 \\ -\log(1 - \hat{y}) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Logistic Regression Cost Function (Ctd.)

Case $y = 1$:



Case $y = 0$:





Logistic Regression Cost Function (Ctd.)

- ℓ^{BCE} can be written in a more compact form:

$$\ell^{\text{BCE}}(\hat{y}, y) := -y \log(\hat{y}) - (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y}) \quad (8)$$

If $y = 1$, we get: $\ell^{\text{BCE}}(\hat{y}, y) = -\log(\hat{y})$

If $y = 0$, we get: $\ell^{\text{BCE}}(\hat{y}, y) = -\log(1 - \hat{y})$

- $y \in \{0, 1\}$ **acts as a switch** which activates the correct branch of the cost function



Logistic Regression Cost Function (Ctd.)

We use this result to define the

(Binary) cross entropy cost function:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &\stackrel{(6)}{:=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \ell^{\text{BCE}}(h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n), y_n) \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left[-y_n \log(h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n)) - (1 - y_n) \log(1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n)) \right] \quad (9)\end{aligned}$$



Derivation of (binary) Cross Entropy using MLE

- The **likelihood function** for logistic regression can be written in the form:

$$p(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \prod_{n=1}^N (h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n))^{y_n} \cdot (1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n))^{1-y_n} \quad (10)$$

- The cost function is then given by the **negative log-likelihood**:

$$\mathfrak{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{N} \log p(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{N} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (11)$$

Remark: We consider the **negative** log-likelihood because we prefer minimizing functions over maximizing them. Remember $\max\{f(x)\} = -\min\{-f(x)\}$.



Derivation of (binary) Cross Entropy using MLE (Ctd.)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \log \left(\prod_{n=1}^N (h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n))^{y_n} \cdot (1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n))^{1-y_n} \right) \\&= \sum_{n=1}^N \log \left((h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n))^{y_n} \cdot (1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n))^{1-y_n} \right) \\&= \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\log \left((h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n))^{y_n} \right) + \log \left((1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n))^{1-y_n} \right) \right] \\&= \sum_{n=1}^N \left[y_n \cdot \log(h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n)) + (1 - y_n) \cdot \log(1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^n)) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Remember the rules:

$$\log(ab) = \log a + \log b$$

$$\log(a^b) = b \log a$$

Can you see where we have used which rule?

Optimization of (binary) Cross Entropy

- Unfortunately, there is **no closed-form solution** to logistic regression
(due to the sigmoid non-linearity in the model function)
- We have to resort to an iterative method like **gradient descent**

The partial derivative of $\ell^{\text{BCE}}(h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}), y)$ (based on a single example) with respect to the m -th model parameter θ_m is given by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_m} \ell^{\text{BCE}}(h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}), y) = (h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - y) \cdot x_m \quad (12)$$



Optimization of (binary) Cross Entropy (Ctd.)

The **stochastic gradient** of the binary cross entropy cost function is:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \ell^{\text{BCE}}(h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}), y) = (\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) - y) \mathbf{x} \quad (13)$$

The **batch gradient** is given by the expression

$$\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}^{\top} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \mathbf{y}), \quad (14)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{z})$ applies the sigmoid function element-wise to the elements of \mathbf{z}



Derivation of the Gradient based on a single Example (\mathbf{x}, y)

- In the following we give a proof of equation (12)
- In the derivation we will need the derivative of the sigmoid function σ
- The derivative is given by:

$$\frac{d}{dz}\sigma(z) = \sigma(z) \cdot (1 - \sigma(z)) \quad (15)$$

(You will be asked to prove this in the exercises!)

- Please find the full derivation of the partial derivative of ℓ^{BCE} \Rightarrow [here](#)



Gradient Descent

- The goal is to minimize the cost function $\mathfrak{J}(\theta)$:

$$\theta^* = \arg \min_{\theta} \mathfrak{J}(\theta)$$

- **Gradient descent:** Repeat until convergence:

$$\theta^{t+1} \longleftarrow \theta^t - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \mathfrak{J}(\theta^t)$$

- The gradient $\nabla_{\theta} \mathfrak{J}(\theta)$ is given by equation (14)

The algorithm looks identical to linear regression, but the model function is different due to the sigmoid function!

Decision Boundary


- Our trained model **outputs probabilities**
- To obtain a classifier, we have to **apply a threshold** ρ to the raw outputs
- Setting the threshold to $\rho := 0.5$ means:
 - Predict the positive class \oplus , if

$$h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0.5 \iff \theta^{\top} \mathbf{x} \geq 0$$

- Predict the negative class \ominus , if

$$h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) < 0.5 \iff \theta^{\top} \mathbf{x} < 0$$

Decision Boundary (Ctd.)



Example: Decision Boundary

- Let us consider a simple example
- Suppose our model function takes the form:


$$h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2)$$

- Assume we obtain the following model parameters using gradient descent:

$$\theta_0 = -3, \quad \theta_1 = 1, \quad \theta_2 = 1$$

- Then predict $\hat{y} = 1$, if $-3 + x_1 + x_2 \geq 0$



Example: Decision Boundary (Ctd.)



- Predict $\hat{y} = 1$, if $-3 + x_1 + x_2 \geq 0$
- The decision boundary satisfies $-3 + x_1 + x_2 = 0$
- If $x_2 = 0$, then $x_1 = 3$, and vice versa


Logistic regression is not a **maximum-margin classifier**, but the cost function can be adjusted to get that (see [Hinge loss](#))

Another Example: Decision Boundary



Question: Where is the sigmoid function?

Another Example: Logistic Function



Comparison of logistic Regression and GDA

- Logistic regression:
 - **Makes weaker assumptions about the data**
 - **Works better than GDA, if the data is not GAUSSIAN**
 - **Requires a larger dataset**
 - **Choice of the learning rate is necessary**
- GAUSSian Discriminant Analysis (GDA):
 - **Requires less data**
 - **No hyperparameters**
 - **Works better if the data is GAUSSIAN**
 - **Stronger modeling assumptions: Assumes data to be GAUSSIAN**

Section: Non-linear Data

Feature Mapping
Regularization

Non-Linear Decision Boundaries

Again, we can use **feature mapping** to obtain non-linear decision boundaries/surfaces


Example:

- Let a circular two-dimensional dataset be given (features x_1 and x_2)
- Assume we choose the following model function (we add the squares of x_1 and x_2):

$$h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3 x_1^2 + \theta_4 x_2^2)$$


- The algorithm could choose the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}^* := (-1, 0, 0, 1, 1)^{\top}$
- So we would get: $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$ (**equation of a unit circle**)

Example: Non-Linear Decision Boundary





It is still linear!

Basis function classification



Logistic Regression with Regularization

- Again, we should apply **regularization** when using the feature mapping approach to avoid running into  **overfitting** 
- We add a **regularizer** to the cost function:

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \mathfrak{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2 \quad (16)$$

- The regularizer prevents the parameters θ_m from becoming too large
- $\lambda \geq 0$ controls the degree of regularization
- This leads to smoother decision boundaries

Section: **Multi-Class Classification**

Techniques Overview

Multinomial Logistic Regression / Softmax Regression

One-vs-Rest (OvR)

One-vs-One (OvO)

Multi-Class Classification

In its basic form, logistic regression can handle two classes only!

Question: What if there are more than two classes?

Two conceivable approaches:

- 1 Change the algorithm so that it can deal with the non-binary case
(**Multinomial Logistic Regression / Softmax Regression**)
- 2 Transform the problem into several binary problems, e. g. by using the techniques
 - **One-vs-Rest (OvR)**
 - **One-vs-One (OvO)**

Softmax Regression

- Again we consider a labeled dataset:

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(\mathbf{x}^n, y_n)\}_{n=1}^N$$

- However, the labels can take one of K values: $y_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- We apply **one-hot encoding** to the labels y_n to obtain $\mathbf{y}^n \in \mathbb{R}^K$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$

One-hot encoding: The label of a record labeled with class k ($1 \leq k \leq K$) is represented by a K -dimensional one-hot vector, i. e. all vector components are set to zero, except for the k -th component which is set to one.

Example: One-hot Encoding

- A softmax classifier is trained to detect dogs, cats, and mice in images
- Each image in the training set is labeled accordingly, i. e.

$$y_n \in \{1 \text{ (dog)}, 2 \text{ (cat)}, 3 \text{ (mouse)}\}$$

- The resulting one-hot vectors \mathbf{y}^n are three-dimensional as we have three distinct classes:

$$\text{dog} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{cat} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{mouse} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Softmax Regression: Model Architecture

- Due to the one-hot encoding it is no longer sufficient to produce a single scalar model output
- Instead, the model has to produce a K dimensional output (*i. e. a vector*)
- The k -th component of the output vector should **reflect the probability** of the unknown instance belonging to the k -th class, i. e. the sum of the components should be equal to 1

Goal: We want to interpret the model output as a **probability distribution** over the possible class labels!

Softmax Regression: Model Architecture (Ctd.)

- In our new model we replace the sigmoid function σ with the **softmax function** ζ :

$$\zeta : \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K, \quad \mathbf{z} \mapsto \zeta(\mathbf{z}), \quad \zeta_k(\mathbf{z}) := \frac{e^{z_k}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{z_j}} \quad (17)$$

- The softmax function ζ is a **vector-valued** function (*bold face notation!*)
- $\zeta_k(\mathbf{z})$ denotes the k -th component of the output vector
- Per construction we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \zeta_k(\mathbf{z}) = 1 \quad (18)$$

Softmax Regression: Model Architecture (Ctd.)

- In softmax regression, the input vector \mathbf{z} to the softmax function is given by the **vector of logits**:

$$\mathbf{z} := \left(\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^1 \quad \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^K \right)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^K \quad (19)$$

- For each of the K classes we maintain a dedicated set of adjustable parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}^k \in \mathbb{R}^{M+1}$
- **Important:** All parameters are trained **jointly**, i. e.
 - when the optimization algorithm **increases the probability** for one class...
 - ...it simultaneously **decreases the probabilities** for all other classes

Softmax Regression: Model Architecture (Ctd.)

- Let $\Theta := [\theta^1, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^K] \in \mathbb{R}^{(M+1) \times K}$ be the matrix of all model parameters (where the vectors θ^k are stacked column-wise)
- The final model function for softmax regression takes the form

Model function for softmax regression:

$$h_{\Theta}(\mathbf{x}) := \zeta(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta^j)} \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta^1) \\ \vdots \\ \exp(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta^K) \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

Categorical Cross Entropy

Categorical cross entropy cost function: (*BCE can handle two classes only*)

$$\mathfrak{J}(\Theta) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \ell^{\text{CE}}(\mathbf{h}_{\Theta}(\mathbf{x}^n), \mathbf{y}^n) \quad (21)$$

$$\ell^{\text{CE}}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{y}) := - \sum_{k=1}^K y_k \log(\hat{y}_k), \quad \hat{y}_k = \zeta_k(\mathbf{z}) \quad (22)$$

Remark: For $K = 2$ equation (22) is equivalent to equation (9)



Optimization of Categorical Cross Entropy

The partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{ij}} \ell^{\text{CE}}(\mathbf{h}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})$$

of the categorical cross entropy cost function (22) with respect to the i -th model parameter connected to the j -th class θ_{ij} is given by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{ij}} \ell^{\text{CE}}(\mathbf{h}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y}) = (\zeta_j(\mathbf{z}) - y_j) \cdot x_i \quad (23)$$

Remark: Equation (23) resembles equation (12)



Optimization of Categorical Cross Entropy (Ctd.)

Batch gradient for softmax regression:

$$\nabla_{\Theta} \tilde{J}(\Theta) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}^{\top} (\zeta(\mathbf{X}\Theta) - \mathbf{Y}) \quad (24)$$

Remarks:

- $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$ is the one-hot label matrix
- $\zeta(\mathbf{X}\Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$ produces a matrix (raw predictions) of the same shape as \mathbf{Y}
- We have to predict the column index of the largest entry per row to retrieve the predicted class labels




Derivation of the Gradient based on a single Example (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})

- In the following we will prove equation (23)
- In the derivation we will need the derivative of the softmax function which is given by (*see exercise sheet*):


$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \zeta_k(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{cases} \zeta_j(\mathbf{z}) \cdot (1 - \zeta_j(\mathbf{z})) & \text{if } k = j \\ -\zeta_k(\mathbf{z}) \cdot \zeta_j(\mathbf{z}) & \text{if } k \neq j \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

- Please find the full derivation of equation (23) \Rightarrow [here](#)

Example: Softmax Regression



Example: Softmax Regression with Basis Functions (Overfitting!)





Numerical Aspects of the Softmax Function

- Implementing the softmax function according to equation (17) might result in numerical overflows (NaN – Not a Number)
- We notice that for any constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_k(\mathbf{z}) &:= \frac{e^{z_k}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{z_j}} = \frac{C}{C} \frac{e^{z_k}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{z_j}} = \frac{Ce^{z_k}}{\sum_{j=1}^K Ce^{z_j}} \\ &= \frac{e^{z_k + \log C}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{z_j + \log C}}\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$



Numerical Aspects of the Softmax Function (Ctd.)

- Equation (26) tells us that we can **offset the inputs by any constant** of our choice without changing the output of the softmax function ζ
- We choose $C := -\max_{k=1,\dots,K}(z_k)$ and obtain

$$\zeta_k(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{e^{z_k - \max_k(z_k)}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{z_j - \max_k(z_k)}} \quad (27)$$

We get a **numerically stable** version of softmax! All exponentiated values will be between 0 and 1 (**no overflows!**), and the denominator will be ≥ 1 , because at least one exponentiated value is equal to 1 (**no division by zero!**).




Transforming the Problem into several binary Problems


- Instead of adjusting the algorithm, we can also **transform the multi-class problem into several binary problems**
- Two common techniques are:
 - **One-vs-Rest (OvR)**
 - **One-vs-One (OvO)**
- **General idea:**
 - Several classifiers are trained individually
 - During prediction the classifiers **vote for the correct class**
- Such techniques can be used **for all binary classifiers**

Multi-Class Classification: One-vs-Rest (OvR)

- Also known as **One-against-All**
- **Train one classifier per class**
(expert for that class)
- We get K classifiers
- The k -th classifier learns to distinguish the k -th class from all the others
- Set the labels of examples from class k to 1, all the others to 0



Multi-Class Classification: One-vs-One (OvO)



- **Train one classifier for each pair of classes** (pairwise classification)
- We get $\binom{K}{2}$ classifiers
- Ignore all other examples that do not belong to either of the two classes
- **Voting:** Count how often each class wins
- The class with the highest score is predicted

Section: Wrap-Up

Summary
Recommended Literature
Self-Test Questions
Lecture Outlook

Summary

- **Logistic regression is used for classification (!!!)**
- It is used for **binary classification problems** (generalizations exist)
- **Output:** Probability of instance belonging to positive class
- Apply a **threshold** ρ to get the classification
- The algorithm minimizes the **cross entropy cost function**
- There is **no closed-form solution** (unlike for linear regression)
- **Basis functions** can be used for non-linear data
- **Multi-class classification:** Softmax regression, One-vs-Rest, One-vs-One

Recommended Literature

1 [BISHOP.2006], chapter 4

2 [MURPHY.2012], chapter 8

(For free PDF versions, see list in GitHub readme!)



Self-Test Questions

- 1 Why should you not use linear regression for classification?
- 2 How is the logistic function defined?
- 3 Why do we use cross entropy instead of the squared error?
- 4 Does logistic regression find the maximum margin hyperplane?
- 5 When should you use logistic regression, when GDA?
- 6 What techniques do you know for multi-class classification problems?
- 7 How does softmax regression differ from One-vs-Rest?
- 8 How can you avoid numerical issues when computing the softmax?

What's next...?

- | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| I | Machine Learning Introduction | IX | Evaluation |
| II | Optimization Techniques | X | Decision Trees |
| III | Bayesian Decision Theory | XI | Support Vector Machines |
| IV | Non-parametric Density Estimation | XII | Clustering |
| V | Probabilistic Graphical Models | XIII | Principal Component Analysis |
| VI | Linear Regression | XIV | Reinforcement Learning |
| VII | Logistic Regression | XV | Advanced Regression |
| ● VIII | Deep Learning | | |

Thank you very much for the attention!

***** Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning *****

Topic: Logistic Regression and Softmax Regression

Term: Summer term 2025

Contact:

Daniel Wehner, M.Sc.

SAP SE / DHBW Mannheim

daniel.wehner@sap.com

Do you have any questions?