Rubric to Evaluate Peer Project Drafts

Performance Element	Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Developing (2)	Emerging (1)	Not Present (0)
Communication	Problem is well-defined, motivated and its context is clear. Provides numerous supporting details, graphs, tables, etc. and examples are organized logically and coherently. No grammatical, spelling, or formatting errors. Visualizations are high quality images that fit the document and style perfectly. An exemplary scholarly work.	Problem is defined and context provided. Provides some supporting details, graphs, tables, etc. and examples in an organized manner. Information and visualizations are presented in a thoughtful and useful way. No obvious grammatical, spelling, or formatting errors. Visualizations are good quality and formatted well within the document.	Somewhat difficult to navigate. Obvious grammatical, spelling, or formatting errors exist.	Written in a narrative form (akin to a diary entry) as opposed to scholarly work. Many grammatical, spelling, or formatting errors throughout. Visualizations and/or their fit	Problem is not definted or poorly defined. Difficult to read, appreciate and navigate through the results. The grammatical, spelling, and/or formatting errors and/or the visualizations make the document difficult to read and seriously detract from the credibility of the work.
Analysis		The analysis plan is logical, thorough and at least 75% implemented. The CRISP-DM steps of data understanding, data prepration, and modeling are clearly demonstrated and the presentation is high quality. The key findings are easily identified.		disconnected but is implemented in part (at least 25%). The analysis contains multiple errors and does not reprseent CRISP-DM well. Authors unsuccessfully attempt to	Analysis plan is missing and/or is implemented less than 25%. No key findings and little to no potential to identify key findings are apparent.
Evaluation	data/info/models; Uses and interprets	Accurately interprets data/info/models; Uses appropriate model performance diagnostics. Correct usage of resampling to tune models and to generalize performance is implemented.	Makes some errors in data/info/model interpretation; Questionable model performance diagnostics. Partially correct usage of resampling to tune models or to generalize performance.	, ,	Does not evaluate data, info or models.