# STAT 3202: Practice 03

Spring 2019, OSU

### Exercise 1

Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ . That is

$$f(x \mid \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}, \quad x = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \lambda > 0$$

(a) Obtain a method of moments estimator for  $\lambda$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . Calculate an estimate using this estimator when

$$x_1 = 1, \ x_2 = 2, \ x_3 = 4, \ x_4 = 2.$$

#### Solution:

Recall that for a Poisson distribution we have  $E[X] = \lambda$ .

Now to obtain the method of moments estimator we simply equate the first population mean to the first sample mean. (And then we need to "solve" this equation for  $\lambda$ ...)

$$\mathrm{E}[X] = \bar{X}\lambda = \bar{X}$$

Thus, after "solving" we obtain the method of moments estimator.

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \bar{X}$$

Thus for the given data we can use this estimator to calculate the estimate.

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \bar{x} = \frac{1}{4}(1+2+4+2) = \boxed{2.25}$$

(b) Find the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\lambda$ ,  $\hat{\lambda}$ . Calculate an estimate using this estimator when

$$x_1 = 1, \ x_2 = 2, \ x_3 = 4, \ x_4 = 2.$$

Solution:

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} = \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i!)}$$

$$\log L(\lambda) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) \log \lambda - n\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log (x_i!)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}\log L(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\lambda} - n = 0$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2}\log L(\lambda) = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda^2} < 0$$

We then have the *estimator*, and for the given data, the *estimate*.

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \frac{1}{4} (1 + 2 + 4 + 2) = \boxed{2.25}$$

(c) Find the maximum likelihood estimator of P[X = 4], call it  $\hat{P}[X = 4]$ . Calculate an estimate using this estimator when

$$x_1 = 1, \ x_2 = 2, \ x_3 = 4, \ x_4 = 2.$$

### Solution:

Here we use the invariance property of the MLE. Since  $\hat{\lambda}$  is the MLE for  $\lambda$  then

$$\hat{P}[X=4] = \frac{\hat{\lambda}^4 e^{-\hat{\lambda}}}{4!}$$

is the maximum likelihood estimator for P[X = 4].

For the given data we can calculate an *estimate* using this estimator.

$$\hat{P}[X=4] = \frac{\hat{\lambda}^4 e^{-\hat{\lambda}}}{4!} = \frac{2.25^4 e^{-2.25}}{4!} = \boxed{0.1126}$$

## Exercise 2

Let 
$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2)$$
.

Find a method of moments **estimator** for the parameter vector  $(\theta, \sigma^2)$ .

### **Solution:**

Since we are estimating two parameters, we will need two population and sample moments.

$$E[X] = \theta$$

$$\mathrm{E}\left[X^{2}\right] = \mathrm{Var}\left[X\right] + \left(\mathrm{E}[X]\right)^{2} = \sigma^{2} + \theta^{2}$$

We equate the first population moment to the first sample moment,  $\bar{x}$  and we equate the second population moment to the second sample moment,  $\bar{X}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ .

$$E[X] = \bar{X}$$

$$\mathrm{E}\left[X^2\right] = \overline{X^2}$$

For this example, that is,

$$\theta = \bar{X}$$

$$\sigma^2 + \theta^2 = \overline{X^2}$$

Solving this system of equations for  $\theta$  and  $\sigma^2$  we find the method of moments estimators.

$$\tilde{\theta} = \bar{X}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \overline{X^2} - (\bar{X})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

## Exercise 3

Let 
$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(1, \sigma^2)$$
.

Find a method of moments **estimator** of  $\sigma^2$ , call it  $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ .

#### Solution:

The first moment is not useful because it is not a function of the parameter of interest  $\sigma^2$ .

$$E[X] = 1$$

As a results, we instead use the second moment

$$\mathrm{E}\left[X^{2}\right] = \mathrm{Var}\left[X\right] + \left(\mathrm{E}[X]\right)^{2} = \sigma^{2} + 1^{2} = \sigma^{2} + 1$$

We equate this second population moment to the second population moment,  $\overline{X^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ 

$$\mathrm{E}\left[X^2\right] = \overline{X^2}$$

$$\sigma^2 + 1 = \overline{X^2}$$

Now solving for  $\sigma^2$  we obtain the method of moments estimator.

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right) - 1$$

## Exercise 4

Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample from a population with pdf

$$f(x \mid \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} x^{(1-\theta)/\theta}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \ 0 < \theta < \infty$$

(a) Find the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$ , call it  $\hat{\theta}$ . Calculate an estimate using this estimator when

$$x_1 = 0.10, x_2 = 0.22, x_3 = 0.54, x_4 = 0.36.$$

Solution:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\theta} x_i^{(1-\theta)/\theta} = \theta^{-n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}$$
$$\log L(\theta) = -n \log \theta + \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i = -n \log \theta + \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i$$
$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \log L(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i = 0$$
$$\hat{\theta} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i$$

Note that  $\hat{\theta} > 0$ , since each  $\log x_i < 0$  since  $0 < x_i < 1$ .

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log L(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{2}{\theta^3} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log L(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2} + \frac{2}{\hat{\theta}^3} \left( -n\hat{\theta} \right) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2} - \frac{2n}{\hat{\theta}^2} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2} < 0$$

We then have the estimator, and for the given data, the estimate.

$$\hat{\theta} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i = -\frac{1}{4} \log(0.10 \cdot 0.22 \cdot 0.54 \cdot 0.36) = \boxed{1.3636}$$

(b) Obtain a method of moments estimator for  $\theta$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}$ . Calculate an estimate using this estimator when

$$x_1 = 0.10, x_2 = 0.22, x_3 = 0.54, x_4 = 0.36.$$

Solution:

$$\mathrm{E}[X] = \int_0^1 x \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} x^{(1-\theta)/\theta} dx = \dots \text{ some calculus happens...} = \frac{1}{\theta+1}$$

$$E[X] = \bar{X}$$

$$\frac{1}{\theta+1}=\bar{X}$$

Solving for  $\theta$  results in the method of moments estimator.

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{1 - \bar{X}}{\bar{X}}$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{4}(0.10 + 0.22 + 0.54 + 0.36) = 0.305$$

Thus for the given data we can calculate the estimate.

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{1 - \bar{x}}{\bar{x}} = \frac{1 - 0.305}{0.305} = \boxed{2.2787}$$

## Exercise 5

Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  iid from a population with pdf

$$f(x \mid \theta) = \frac{\theta}{x^2}, \quad 0 < \theta \le x$$

Obtain the maximum likelihood **estimator** for  $\theta$ ,  $\hat{\theta}$ .

#### Solution:

First, be aware that the values of x for this pdf are restricted by the value of  $\theta$ .

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta}{x_i^2} \quad 0 < \theta \le x_i \text{ for all } x_i$$
$$= \frac{\theta^n}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2} \quad 0 < \theta \le \min\{x_i\}$$

$$\log L(\theta) = n \log \theta - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\log L(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} > 0$$

So, here we have a log-likelihood that is increasing in regions where it is not zero, that is, when  $\theta \min\{x_i\}$ . Thus, the likelihood is the largest allowable value of  $\theta$  in this region, thus the maximum likelihood estimator is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \min\{X_i\}$$

## Exercise 6

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n$  be a random sample of size n from a distribution with probability density function

$$f(x,\alpha) = \alpha^{-2} x e^{-x/\alpha}, \quad x > 0, \ \alpha > 0$$

(a) Obtain the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\alpha$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}$ . Calculate the estimate when

$$x_1 = 0.25, x_2 = 0.75, x_3 = 1.50, x_4 = 2.5, x_5 = 2.0.$$

#### Solution:

We first obtain the likelihood by **multiplying** the probability density function for each  $X_i$ . We then **simplify** this expression.

$$L(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i; \alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{-2} x_i e^{-x_i/\alpha} = \alpha^{-2n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) \exp\left( \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\alpha} \right)$$

Instead of directly maximizing the likelihood, we instead maximize the log-likelihood.

$$\log L(\alpha) = -2n \log \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\alpha}$$

To maximize this function, we take a **derivative** with respect to  $\alpha$ .

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}\log L(\alpha) = \frac{-2n}{\alpha} + \frac{\sum_{i=i}^{n} x_i}{\alpha^2}$$

We set this derivative equal to **zero**, then **solve** for  $\alpha$ .

$$\frac{-2n}{\alpha} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\alpha^2} = 0$$

Solving gives our *estimator*, which we denote with a **hat**.

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i=i}^{n} x_i}{2n} = \frac{\bar{x}}{2}$$

Using the given data, we obtain an *estimate*.

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{0.25 + 0.75 + 1.50 + 2.50 + 2.0}{2.5} = \boxed{0.70}$$

(We should also verify that this point is a maxmimum, which is omitted here.)

(b) Obtain the method of moments estimator of  $\alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . Calculate the estimate when

$$x_1 = 0.25, \ x_2 = 0.75, \ x_3 = 1.50, \ x_4 = 2.5, \ x_5 = 2.0.$$

Hint: Recall the probability density function of an exponential random variable.

$$f(x \mid \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}, \quad x > 0, \ \theta > 0$$

Note that, the moments of this distribution are given by

$$E[X^k] = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^k}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta} = k! \cdot \theta^k.$$

This hint will also be useful in the next exercise.

### Solution:

We first obtain the first **population moment**. Notice the integration is done by identifying the form of the integral is that of the second moment of an exponential distribution.

$$E[X] = \int_0^\infty x \cdot \alpha^{-2} x e^{-x/\alpha} dx = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^2}{\alpha} e^{-x/\alpha} dx = \frac{1}{\alpha} (2\alpha^2) = 2\alpha$$

We then set the first population moment, which is a function of  $\alpha$ , equal to the first **sample moment**.

$$2\alpha = \frac{\sum_{i=i}^{n} x_i}{n}$$

Solving for  $\alpha$ , we obtain the method of moments *estimator*.

$$\boxed{\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i=i}^{n} x_i}{2n} = \frac{\bar{x}}{2}}$$

Using the given data, we obtain an *estimate*.

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{0.25 + 0.75 + 1.50 + 2.50 + 2.0}{2 \cdot 5} = \boxed{0.70}$$

Note that, in this case, the MLE and MoM estimators are the same.

## Exercise 7

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n$  be a random sample of size n from a distribution with probability density function

$$f(x \mid \beta) = \frac{1}{2\beta^3} x^2 e^{-x/\beta}, \quad x > 0, \ \beta > 0$$

(a) Obtain the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\beta$ ,  $\hat{\beta}$ . Calculate the estimate when

$$x_1 = 2.00, x_2 = 4.00, x_3 = 7.50, x_4 = 3.00.$$

#### **Solution:**

We first obtain the likelihood by **multiplying** the probability density function for each  $X_i$ . We then **simplify** this expression.

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i; \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2\beta^3} x^2 e^{-x/\beta} = 2^{-n} \beta^{-3n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \right) \exp\left( \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\beta} \right)$$

Instead of directly maximizing the likelihood, we instead maximize the log-likelihood.

$$\log L(\beta) = -n \log 2 - 3n \log \beta + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i^2 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\beta}$$

To maximize this function, we take a **derivative** with respect to  $\beta$ .

$$\frac{d}{d\beta}\log L(\beta) = \frac{-3n}{\beta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\beta^2}$$

We set this derivative equal to **zero**, then **solve** for  $\beta$ .

$$\frac{-3n}{\beta} + \frac{\sum_{i=i}^{n} x_i}{\beta^2} = 0$$

Solving gives our *estimator*, which we denote with a **hat**.

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=i}^{n} x_i}{3n} = \frac{\bar{x}}{3}$$

Using the given data, we obtain an estimate.

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{2.00 + 4.00 + 7.50 + 3.00}{3 \cdot 4} = \boxed{1.375}$$

(We should also verify that this point is a maxmimum, which is omitted here.)

(b) Obtain the method of moments estimator of  $\beta$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}$ . Calculate the estimate when

$$x_1 = 2.00, \ x_2 = 4.00, \ x_3 = 7.50, \ x_4 = 3.00.$$

#### Solution:

We first obtain the first **population moment**. Notice the integration is done by identifying the form of the integral is that of the third moment of an exponential distribution.

$$\mathrm{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty x \cdot \frac{1}{2\beta^3} x^2 e^{-x/\beta} dx = \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^3}{\beta} e^{-x/\beta} dx = \frac{1}{2\beta^2} (6\beta^3) = 3\beta$$

We then set the first population moment, which is a function of  $\beta$ , equal to the first **sample moment**.

$$E[X] = \bar{X}$$

$$3\beta = \frac{\sum_{i=i}^{n} x_i}{n}$$

Solving for  $\beta$ , we obtain the method of moments estimator.

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=i}^{n} x_i}{3n} = \frac{\bar{x}}{3}$$

Using the given data, we obtain an estimate.

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{2.00 + 4.00 + 7.50 + 3.00}{3 \cdot 4} = \boxed{1.375}$$

Note again, the MLE and MoM estimators are the same.

## Exercise 8

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with pdf

$$f(y \mid \alpha) = \frac{2}{\alpha} \cdot y \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2}{\alpha}\right\}, \ y > 0, \ \alpha > 0.$$

(a) Find the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\alpha$ .

### Solution:

The likelihood function of the data is the joint distribution viewed as a function of the parameter, so we have:

$$L(\alpha) = \frac{2^n}{\alpha^n} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right\} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right\}$$

We want to maximize this function. First, we can take the logarithm:

$$\log L(\alpha) = n \log 2 - n \log \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log y_i - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2$$

And then take the derivative:

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}\log L(\alpha) = -\frac{n}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2$$

Setting this equal to 0 and solving for  $\alpha$ :

$$-\frac{n}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 = 0$$

$$\iff \frac{n}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$$

$$\iff \alpha = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$$

So, our candidate for the MLE is

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2.$$

Taking the second derivative,

$$\frac{d^2}{d\alpha^2}\log L(\alpha) = \frac{n}{\alpha^2} - \frac{2}{\alpha^3} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 = \frac{n}{\alpha^2} - \frac{2n}{\alpha^3} \hat{\alpha}$$

so that:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\alpha^2}\log L(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\alpha}^2} - \frac{2n}{\hat{\alpha}^3}\hat{\alpha} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\alpha}^2} < 0$$

Thus, the (log-)likelihood is concave down at  $\hat{\alpha}$ , which confirms that the value of  $\alpha$  that maximizes the likelihood is:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2$$

(b) Let  $Z_1 = Y_1^2$ . Find the distribution of  $Z_1$ . Is the MLE for  $\alpha$  an unbiased estimator of  $\alpha$ ?

### Solution:

If  $Z_i = Y_i^2$ , then  $Y_i = \sqrt{Z_i}$ , and  $\frac{dy_i}{dz_i} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z_i}}$ , so that:

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{2}{\alpha}\sqrt{z} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{z}{\alpha}\right\} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} = \boxed{\frac{1}{\alpha}\exp\left\{-\frac{z}{\alpha}\right\}}$$

which is the pdf of an exponential distribution with parameter  $\alpha$ . Thus,

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_{i}^{2}\right] = \mathrm{E}\left[\bar{Z}\right] = \mathrm{E}[Z_{1}] = \alpha,$$

so that  $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{MLE}}$  is unbiased for  $\alpha$ .

Note: I typically do not remember the "formula" for the pdf of a transformed variable, so I typically start from:

for positive z, 
$$F_Z(z) = P(Z \le z) = P(Y^2 \le z) = P(Y \le \sqrt{z}) = F_Y(\sqrt{z})$$

and then take a derivative:

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{d}{dz}P(Z \le z) = \frac{d}{dz}F_Y(\sqrt{z}) = f_Y(\sqrt{z})\frac{d}{dz}\left\{\sqrt{z}\right\}$$

## Exercise 9

Let X be a single observation from a Binom(n, p), where p is an unknown parameter. (In this case, we will consider n known.)

(a) Find the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of p.

### Solution:

We just have *one observation*, so the likelihood is just the pmf:

$$L(p) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}, \quad 0$$

The log-likelihood is:

$$\log L(p) = \log \left\{ \binom{n}{x} \right\} + x \log(p) + (n-x) \log(1-p).$$

The derivative of the log-likelihood is:

$$\frac{d}{dp}\log L(p) = \frac{x}{p} - \frac{n-x}{1-p}.$$

Setting this to be 0, we solve:

$$\frac{x}{p} - \frac{n-x}{1-p} = 0 \iff x - px = np - px \iff p = \frac{x}{n}.$$

Thus,  $\hat{p} = \frac{x}{n}$  is our candidate.

We take the second derivative:

$$\frac{d^2}{dp^2}\log L(p) = -\frac{x}{p^2} - \frac{n-x}{(1-p)^2}$$

which is always less than 0; thus

$$\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n}$$

is the maximum likelihood **estimator** for p.

(b) Suppose you roll a 6-sided die 40 times and observe eight rolls of a 6. What is the maximum likelihood estimate of the probability of observing a 6?

### Solution:

Here, we can let X be the number of sixes in 40 (independent) rolls of the die:  $X \sim \text{Binom}(40, p)$ , where p is the probability of rolling a 6 on this die.

Then

$$\hat{p} = \frac{8}{40} = 0.2$$

is the maximum likelihood **estimate** for p.

(c) Using the same observed data, suppose you now plan to perform a second experiment with the same die, and will roll the die 5 more times. What is the maximum likelihood **estimate** of the probability that you will observe no 6's in this next experiment?

#### **Solution:**

Let  $Y \sim \text{Binom}(5, p)$  represent the number of sixes you will obtain in this second experiment. Based on the pmf of the binomial, we know that:

$$P(Y=0) = {5 \choose 0} p^0 (1-p)^{5-0} = (1-p)^5$$

Let us call this new parameter of interest  $\theta$ . Then we have

$$\theta = (1 - p)^5$$

We are asked to find the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$ .

Based on the invariance property of the MLE,

$$\hat{\theta} = (1 - \hat{p})^5$$

With the observed data, the maximum likelihood estimate is thus

$$(1 - 0.2)^5 = 0.33$$

Thus, our best guess (using the maximum likelihood framework) at the chance that we will observe no sixes in the next 5 rolls is 33%.

## Exercise 10

Suppose that a random variable X follows a discrete distribution, which is determined by a parameter  $\theta$  which can take *only two values*,  $\theta = 1$  or  $\theta = 2$ . The parameter  $\theta$  is unknown.

- If  $\theta = 1$ , then X follows a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda = 2$ .
- If  $\theta = 2$ , then X follows a Geometric distribution with parameter  $p = \frac{1}{4}$ .

Now suppose we observe X=3. Based on this data, what is the maximum likelihood **estimate** of  $\theta$ ?

### Solution:

Because there are only two possible values of  $\theta$  (1 and 2) rather than a whole range of possible values (like examples with  $0 < \theta < \infty$ ) the approach of taking the derivative of something with respect to  $\theta$  will not work. Instead, we need to think about the definition of the MLE. Instead, we just want to determine which value of  $\theta$  makes our observed data, X = 3, most likely.

If  $\theta = 1$ , then X follows a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda = 2$ . Thus, if  $\theta = 1$ ,

$$P(X=3) = \frac{e^{-2} \cdot 2^3}{3!} = 0.180447$$

If  $\theta = 2$ , then X follows a Geometric distribution with parameter  $p = \frac{1}{4}$ . Thus, if  $\theta = 2$ ,

$$P(X=3) = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^{3-1} = 0.140625$$

Thus, observing X=3 is more likely when  $\theta=1$  (0.18) than when  $\theta=2$  (0.14), so  $\boxed{1}$  is the maximum likelihood **estimate** of  $\theta$ .

## Exercise 11

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  be a random sample from a population with pdf

$$f(y \mid \theta) = \frac{2\theta^2}{y^3}, \quad \theta \le y < \infty$$

Find the maximum likelihood **estimator** of  $\theta$ ..

### Solution:

The likelihood is:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2\theta^2}{y_i^3} = \frac{2^n \theta^{2n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^3}, \ 0 < \theta \le y_i < \infty, \text{ for every } i.$$

Note that

$$0 < \theta \le y_i < \infty$$
 for every  $i \iff 0 < \theta \le \min\{y_i\}$ .

To understand the behavior of  $L(\theta)$ , we can take the log and take the derivative:

$$\log L(\theta) = n \log 2 + (2n) \log \theta - \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^3 \right)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\log L(\theta) = \frac{2n}{\theta} > 0 \text{ on } \theta \in (0, \min\{y_i\})$$

Thus, the MLE is the largest possible value of  $\theta$ :

$$\hat{\theta} = \min\{Y_i\}$$