Humanoid robot obstacle recognition via data filtering, localisation and robot-to-robot communication in context of RoboCup

Benjamin Scholz, Daniel Speck, and Judith Hartfill

University of Hamburg, Fachbereich Informatik
2scholz@informatik.uni-hamburg.de,2speck@informatik.uni-hamburg.de,
2hartfil@informatik.uni-hamburg.de

Summary. The abstract should summarize the contents of the paper. It will be set in 9-point font size and be inset 1.0 cm from the right and left margins. There will be two blank lines before and after the Abstract. Use the abstract section to provide a teaser for the contents of your report I Do not attempt to write a review or summary I Be concise: Your abstract should have 200 words or less (do not use more than 250 words)

Key words: obstacle recognition, obstacle avoidance, localisation, filtering, data smoothing, vision, swarm intelligence

1 Introduction

In this project our aim was to implement obstacle avoidance on for a team of robots, that takes part in the RoboCup Soccer Humanoid Kid Size League. Until then, each robot in that team only made decisions only due to its own information, like the vision field. Our idea was to build an intern team communication system, that provides one robots information for every team member. Thus a form of swarm intelligence could increase the quality of the robots acting together as a whole team by increasing the quality of information. Considering that, it was necessary to develop a world model to make the information transfomable, unique and easy to provide. Furthermore we detected, that the image prosessing quality was not good enough to precisely determine the robots position and so we did some vision modifications and added some filters. In the end we used all this to increase the robots reasoning and its behaviour/decision making. When people are playing soccer together it always is a form a communication. They share information to play better as a hole team. We tried to transfer this very important aspect of human soccer to humanoid robot soccer, too.
Outline of underlying concepts
Brief summary of relevant theoretical background knowledge
Review of existing (published) work relevant for your topic(s)
Motivate the reader for the issue(s) you are trying to solve
Explain why your work (your approach) is necessary

1.1 Motivation

Obstacle recognition and dynamic behaviour towards obstacles is very important if several robots shall act together in a certain way. One of the main reasons for this is to avoid the physical contact between robots. As long as their hardware is not good enough to hold balance when beeing touched, they are very likely to fall and - in worst-case-scenario - bring about anothers robots downfall. This usually causes hight costs for hardware fixes and also disturbs the gameplay. Getting up again can take several seconds and in addition it is not within the meaning of fairplay toward the opposite robots. Besides, more and especially better knowledge about the surroundings is strategically important in the RoboCup competition. Having a reliably prospect of its own positions, the position of the ball and the opposites robots position combined with a good path finding algorithm can raise the number of scores significantly. Both aspects cause a big increase in making the robot soccer play more realistic and authentic, which is the main aim of the RoboCup competions. was war das problem bisher?

1.2 Problems

Vision For an intelligent obstacle recognition several sufficiently pre-processed data input is needed to localise the obstacle itself, localise the robot itself, classify the obstacle (ball, goal, teammate, enemy, other obstacle) and develop an adequate strategy of (re-)action.

One of our first problems were the already implemented algorithm for obstacle detection and goal detection. We discovered that neither obstacles nor goals were tracked/recognized satisfactorily for our tasks.

The obstacle detection was too imprecisely to fulfill our plans of an intelligent reaction and the biggest problem was the missing localisation of the robot itself which is realized by tracking the goals (via tracking the two goal posts). Even when the robot stands still completely in a distance of two metres directly in front of the goal the vision detected four to six goal posts most of the time.

The majority of false positives were shadows of the real posts or random mirages/reflections on the walls. This resulted in "jumping" goal posts because for the behaviour two posts are selected and out of the four to six possible posts the selection process to distinguish between the possibilities was not stable.

In addition to that even when the real goal posts could be identified for several frames the algorithm often was not able to calculate the correct relative distances to the goal posts.

The algorithm was designed to draw a rectangle other a possible goal post but it had no specific filters for the dimensions. This led to rectangles having wrong position, height and width compared to the original post. Some recorded datasets showed rectangles with twice or half the size of the real post and positional failures of several 10 centimetres.

Appropriately reacting behaviour systems e.g. for intelligent obstacle recognition, localisation and further path planning or even postprocessing filters would fail on such raw data.

Filtering The whole topic of obstacle recognition relies on information which is extracted out of (heavily) noisy input data.

For our solutions primarily the goal post data and the later calculated goal center information is important to calculate real, absolute coordinates for localisation purposes on the field out of the relative camera input.

The raw data of goal posts (relative tuples of x, y coordinates) when recorded over several seconds (with a still standing robot) oftenly showed a spreaded distribution of points in a circle with a radius of nearly half a metre. Those datasets of 100 to 200 recorded samples had a standard deviation of 200 millimetres and higher.

Having such noisy data renders absolute positioning tasks and intelligent obstacle recognition (avoidance in case of real obstacles, path planning in case of balls) impossible.

Since the robots do not have auto-calibration features the calibration of the camera and motor angles deteriorate the noise problem because once the calibration is manually done its configuration is static but the real values vary other time, for example when the robot falls accidently.

In addition to that the manual calibration is expansive in terms of time.

Localisation seeing an obsacle and seeing the goal does not help much increasign the behaviour, if there is no way to know were they are in relation to the robot. but this requires a system, that provides the robots own position. so we developed a world model, that fits our needs and posibilities and should also be expandable for longtime usage. A special problrm here was, that the soccer field, like in human soccer, too, is axial symmetric. this makes localisation even harder then in ususal mobile robot environments

Communication When thinking about how to achieve most and best information for the robot, we thought about swarm intelligence mechanisms. Althought our Darwin-OP platfors do have a lot more computing capacity then usual swarm intelligent bots have, we still can benefit from researches in this part of robotics. particularly as communicating is a very important part in "real" human soccer.

2 Solutions

What did you do and how did you do it?

    Methods

    Design

    Implementation

    Do not include every possible detail and avoid redundancy

    Use subsections to emphasize certain aspects/components of your work - but do not overuse them!

    Avoid the passive voice: Y was done by X, use the active voice: X did Y

2.1 Vision

2.2 Filtering

Data noise The majority of the bitbots code works without filters on raw data, especially in the case of goal information, which is needed to localise the robot on the field in our case, no data postprocessing exists.

DBSCAN Our approach to reduce the noise on data was implementing a two dimensional version of the DBSCAN (density-based scanning) algorithm [1], which we slightly customized to our needs.

At first our code was an exact re-implementation in pure Python of the DBSCAN algorithm [1] originally proposed by Martin Ester et al. in 1996 but this procedure was just for testing to ensure the algorithm meets our requirements.

Due to the performance issues of pure Python implementations we refactored the complete code and made use of Numpy (mainly) and other SciPy libraries. As a consequence of the C/C++ core implementations of those libraries the overall execution time of our code decreased.

In addition to that the refactored, performant version of our DBSCAN is implemented object oriented. This leads to an overhead in space complexity but offered easier debugging as well as an additional improvement of execution time because the calculations are saved in objects and those cached results are re-used as much as possible.

In our tested cases the pure Python implementation ran about 8 - 12 times slower on average (dependent on current background tasks) on our darwin-op hardware compared to our current object oriented Python implementation with Numpy and other SciPy libraries.

Those optimizations are essential considering the very limited computing power of our current darwin-op robots.

For calculating the goal information each goal posts raw input data (if post is seen) is recorded separately in buffers of a maximum size of 60 items on every new frame. If a buffer is full, the oldest entry is dropped and the newest saved. As data structure for the buffers we chose Python Deque objects.

If the robot changes its position, old data sets would mess up the calculation, so our DBSCAN object automatically drops data sets which are older than 10 seconds to prevent those kind of failures. Minor positional changes in the meantime are no problems in most cases, because the DBSCAN would filter those datasets appropriately.

In figure 1 a graphical illustration of the DBSCAN algorithm is shown.

Throughout our testing process we experienced positive results with 6 minimum points and an epsilon of 50 as parameters for our DBSCAN implementation.

2.3 Localisation

Calculating the position of a robot We didn't have a lot of data to work with. The only data that we could use were the distances to the goal and to

Fig. 1. DBSCAN graphical illustration. The points labeled with 'C' are core points, the yellow ones labeled with 'D' are density-reachable points and the red ones labeled with 'N' noise points. The minimum points parameter is set to 4 in this example.

the goalposts. There is a lot of other data: like already mentioned the distance to obstacles or other players on the field and the distance to the ball. But the problem with those is that they are not a fixed point on the field. They will change their position and that means they are not suitable to calculate our own position. Or more accurately the distance in front and the distance to the left which depends on the direction of the robot. We will call the distance in front of him u and to the left of him v to stay consistent with the terms used in the code. The following graphic shows what these values are:
insert graphic of U and V values
We first want to outline how we basically did it and then we are going to explain it in detail. The point of intersection of these two lines is the point the robot is located. So what we need to do is calculate the slope of the two lines so that we have an equation for them. Then it's easy to calculate the point of intersection. With the u- and v-values it's easy to calculate the direct distance to the goal. You can use Pythagora's theorem to do that: $d = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$
We do that for both goal posts. The size of the field and the goal are known beforehand, so we can use the width of the goal as well. With these three values we can build a triangle:
triangle graphic
With the help of that triangle we are going to calculate the point of intersection of d1 and d2. Let's assume that we have a coordinate system and the point of origin is at the middle of the field. The reason for that is that the origin of our grid world, that is used to save the data, is in the middle of the field too. That means it's easier to translate the position found in that coordinate system to the grid world. But we will explain that in the next section. Otherwise it would have been easier to have the origin in the middle of the goal the robot is facing to. To calculate the point of intersection we need to know the slope of both lines. We

can use the angles in the triangle for this purpose:

Vielleicht Grafik

The formula we used is the following: $\arccos\left(\frac{a^2-b^2-c^2}{-2bc}\right) = \alpha$

Specifically these are the two formulas if you want to calculate the angle from the left post and the right post in the triangle:

$$angleleft = \arccos\frac{(d1^2 - d2^2 - goalwidth^2)}{(-2*d2*goalwidth)} \tag{1}$$

$$angleright = \arccos\frac{(d2^2 - d1^2 - goalwidth^2)}{(-2*d1*goalwidth)} \tag{2}$$

equation 2 Now we know the two angles in the triangle. To convert the angles to the slopes in the coordinate system we can do the following:

$slopeleft = \frac{1}{\tan angleleft}$

On the right side we don't need the angle itself but 180 minus the angle:

$sloperight = \frac{1}{\tan 180 - angleright}$

Because we want to know the point of intersection of these two lines we need an equation for them:

$y = slopeleft * x + b1$

$y = sloperight * x + b2$

TODO: Explain how to calculate the intersection

Now the robot knows it's own position. But it can't determine the opponent's position on the field yet, because it doesn't know which direction it faces. The robot has his own inner coordinate-system. 0,0 is the middle of the robot. The y-, and x-axis depend on the direction the robot faces.

These are the angles we need to calculate:

Grafik der verschiedenen Winkel.

beta1 and beta2 are calculated with the following equation:

$\beta = \arcsin\left(\frac{v}{d}\right)$

We explained earlier how we calculated angle_right and angle_left. angle_left_new and angle_right_new are just 90°minus the old angles. This only works if the old angle is under 90 degree, but this is always true for at least one of the two angles. Now there are different cases which affect how exactly we calculate the angle to the grid. At first we check if u1 or u2 is bigger. In our example we face the opponents goal. If u1 is bigger that means that the robot faces to the left and that the angle is bigger than 180°. In that case we have to add 180°and in the other case we have subtract from 180°. It also determines if we take angle_right_new or angle_left_new depending on the side the robot is facing. Meaning if u1 is bigger we use angle_left_new and if u2 is bigger we use angle_right_new.

The v-values are also important. With these values we can determine if the triangle of the u- and v-values is inside or outside the triangle of the two goal posts.

Here's a short example what we mean:
Grafik
So depending on that we need to either add beta and angle_new or subtract beta from angle_new. This all boils down to these lines in our code:
lines grafik
So now that we know the position of the robots and their orientation we can finally calculate the position of other robots on the field.

Grid World Now that we know the positions of our own robots we need some way to share these positions, so that our team mates can use that knowledge and every robot in our team can profit. For that purpose we use the grid world. We needed a representation of the field, so we could store and use the data we generated. There are different approaches for that. Other approaches that we considered were a coordinate system that uses millimetres and tree based solution. In the end we decided to use said grid world. Even though Daniel had the idea for that representation of the field it turns out we are not the first robocup-team to use it. [] For that we divided the field in certain number of cells. The origin of those cells is in the middle of the field:
grid world grafik
We had different iterations of the grid world with different origins. At first the origin cell was in the lower left corner. Then we decided it would be better if the origin cell were in the middle of the own goal, mostly because it made calculations needed to determine the position of the robots easier. But if we wanted to use these calculations for the opponents goal too, it is easier to have the origin cell in the middle. There is also a difference between choosing an even number and uneven number respectively on the x and the y direction. We decided to choose an uneven number for both, which means the origin cell is now in the middle of the centre spot. One benefit of that is that there is a cell that is in the middle of the goal where the goalie can stand. When we take the cell at position (0,0) for example and we compare it to a coordinate system, then the upper right edge of that cell would be at (0.5, 0.5) in the coordinate system. When we calculate positions we don't get integers but decimal digits. So if it is over 0.5 instead of the cell with the 0 position we will put it in the cell with the position 1. For that purpose we used a dictionary in Python. The indexes of the dictionary are the positions in the grid world. For example (0, 0) would be the center of the field. Then we use numbers to fill that dictionary. At initialization the dictionary will be filled with zeroes, which is representing that there is nothing at that particular position. Here is a quick overview of the numbers we fill the dictionary with and what they represent:
0: nothing
1: own goal
2: enemy goal
3: own position
4: team mate
5: opponent
6: unclassified obstacle

So if we want to know the state of a certain position we call that dictionary with that position and get back a number between 0 and 6.

Every robot can determine their own position themselves. The position of the team mates is shared over the wifi, which will be explained in the next section. The robots can determine the position of opponents that they see. They share those positions so everyone has the position of as many opponents as possible. The robots actually can't distinguish between team mate and opponent. But because we know all the positions of our team mates the robots can compare the position of the robots they see with the position of their team mates in their grid world. Only if there isn't a team mate in that cell they will identify the robot as an opponent. Another problem is to keep the map up-to-date. We send the whole map over the wifi, so it would be possible to check if there still is a robot on a certain position when the robot looks in that direction. Another solution would be to delete the positions of the opponents after a certain amount of time. There is the same problem with team mates. Currently we don't send which team mate shares the position, but that would be one solution. Whenever a team mate shares their new position a the receiving robot could delete the old position.

2.4 Communication

Communication has always been one of the most important fields of resaerch in RoboCup, at least it was the may reason to found the instituition to find out whether two or more robots might posibilly be able to act together in a sensful way. Due to the increasing number of robots one team is allowed to have on the field (up two eleven in 2050, as the roadmap plans) and the high costs for one robot it will become more and more necessary to cooperate with other teams. When there were the first tries of mixed teams some years ago, it was very fast obvious, that robots from different teams will have to communicate with each other. So some years ago, a RoboCup Soccer Humanoid Kid Size team implemented a WiFi communication standart, the so calles MiteCom, that is used by a lot of taems in this league and makes it very easy to to play in one team with robots from different teams. This standart already was used in our team for very simple behaviour. for example because of the lack of localisation, it was not possile for a fiel player to determine whether a goal was the opposite goal or his own goal. So the goalie could send information like "The ball is very close to me" and thus make the field player stop playing on that goal, but turning around and trying the ohter goal. But this was a very unsecure mechanism, because the WiFi on competitions has a very high package loss and is very unreliable. So our idea was, that every robot could provide its own gridworld and in return receive every other robots gridworlds. By weighting the incoming data due to reliability (like taking the median for each position of ten or so incoming grids) or actuality (I did not see a goal for a long time, dont know whether my own position is right or not, i will take a new grid and search a

goal) the average information quantity and quality could increase a lot. But our a big problem was, that via MiteCom it is only possible to send 32 bit integer values. Foremost we thought about implementing our own standart, but when thinking about it a secound time, it seemed a good idea to use it. First of all, because of the aspect of universality mentioned above, that will be needed in the future. But forthermore it is not a bad idea to only send integers.

nur ein int codierung, mehrere fr werte und feldteile

3 Results

Present your results in a logical sequence

Highlight the importance of your results and explain your analysis methodology

Discuss the results you infer from your work

Important: Adopt a critical approach in your discussion

Do not oversell your results - put the advantages first, but dont forget to mention the shortcomings!

4 Summary

Be more informative than your abstract!

Include a concise version of your discussion

Highlight what you found out

Highlight the problems you encountered

Explain how your results support your conclusions!

Provide suggestions for future research and briefly outline how suggested research can be attempted

Important: Make this section readable

5 References

Very important section of your report

If you used external information/results) Provide a reference!

References will help the reader understand the basis of your work and provide context for comparison

Use of references might also help you to be more concise

There are several types of reference

Book

Journal article

Conference publication

Web site

Web sites are usually unchecked sources - be careful

Notes and Comments. The first results on subharmonics were obtained by Foster and Kesselman in [4], who showed the existence of infinitely many subharmonics both in the subquadratic and superquadratic case, with suitable growth conditions on H'. Again the duality approach enabled Foster and Waterman in [6] to treat the same problem in the convex-subquadratic case, with growth conditions on H only.

Recently, Smith and Waterman (see [2] and May et al. [3]) have obtained lower bound on the number of subharmonics of period kT, based on symmetry considerations and on pinching estimates, as in Sect. 5.2 of this article.

References

1. Ester, M., Kriegel, H.-P., Sander, J., Xu, X.: A Density-Based Algorithm for Discovering Clusters in Large Spatial Databases with Noise. In: Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD-96), pp. 226–231. AAAI Press, Munich (1996)
2. Smith, T.F., Waterman, M.S.: Identification of Common Molecular Subsequences. J. Mol. Biol. 147, 195–197 (1981)
3. May, P., Ehrlich, H.C., Steinke, T.: ZIB Structure Prediction Pipeline: Composing a Complex Biological Workflow through Web Services. In: Nagel, W.E., Walter, W.V., Lehner, W. (eds.) Euro-Par 2006. LNCS, vol. 4128, pp. 1148–1158. Springer, Heidelberg (2006)
4. Foster, I., Kesselman, C.: The Grid: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure. Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco (1999)
5. Czajkowski, K., Fitzgerald, S., Foster, I., Kesselman, C.: Grid Information Services for Distributed Resource Sharing. In: 10th IEEE International Symposium on High Performance Distributed Computing, pp. 181–184. IEEE Press, New York (2001)
6. Foster, I., Kesselman, C., Nick, J., Tuecke, S.: The Physiology of the Grid: an Open Grid Services Architecture for Distributed Systems Integration. Technical report, Global Grid Forum (2002)
7. National Center for Biotechnology Information, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov