#### Valuation of Convertible Bonds with Credit Risk

#### David Naylor

Programme in Advanced Mathematics of Finance, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.



August 13, 2012

## **Declaration**

I declare that this project is my own, unaided work. It is being submitted as partial fulfilment of the Degree of Bachelor of Science with Honours in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in any other University.

August 13, 2012

## Abstract

Place abstract here. Based on paper [1].

# Acknowledgements

Place acknowledgements here.

# Contents

1	Inti	roduction	1			
	1.1	Convertible Bonds with Credit Risk	1			
	1.2	Literature Review	1			
	1.3	Example				
2	Bin	omial Model with Credit Risk	2			
	2.1	Definition	2			
	2.2	Derivation	3			
	2.3	Valuation	4			
	2.4	Parameters and Formulæof Model	5			
	2.5	Example and Hedging Strategy	7			
3	Fin	ite Difference Model	8			
4	Nu	merical Example	9			
5	Cor	nclusion	10			
Bi	Bibliography 11					

# List of Figures

# List of Tables

2.1	Parameters of the Binomial Model with Credit Risk	 6
2.2	Formalæ for the Binomial Model with Credit Risk	 6

### Introduction

What this paper shows and does.

#### 1.1 Convertible Bonds with Credit Risk

Describe and define (in maths) what a convertible bond with credit risk is.

#### 1.2 Literature Review

Describe the current state of literature w/ Convertible Bonds with Credit Risk, possibly split this and include it at the beginning of each relevant section?

#### 1.3 Example

Describe the standard example that will be covered by each valuation method.

# Binomial Model with Credit Risk

The Binomial Model with Credit Risk was first derived by Milanov and Kounchev [2], who showed that this model converges, in continuous time, to the Ayache et al. [1] model. Milanov and Kounchev [2] also showed the valuation method of this model is the same as in the classical binomial model.

TODO: add further explanation and references.

#### 2.1 Definition

Consider a stock with the following stochastic model<sup>1</sup>:

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = (r + \lambda \eta)dt + \sigma dW_t - \eta dq_t$$
 (2.1)

where:

r is the risk free rate

 $\sigma$  is the log-volatility of the stock price

 $\lambda$  is the hazard rate

 $\eta$  is the percentage drop in stock price on a default event

 $dW_t$  is a Wiener process

 $dq_t$  is a Poisson jump process where the first jump is the default event

Of note  $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{dS_t}{S_t}\right] = rdt \Leftrightarrow \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = (r + \lambda \eta)dt + \sigma dW_t - \eta dq_t$ 

DERIVATION 3

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{dS_t}{S_t}\right] = rdt \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[S_{t+dt}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[S_t + dS_t\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_t}{S_t} + \frac{dS_t}{S_t}\right]$$

$$=1+\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{dS_t}{S_t}\right] \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\left[\frac{dS_t}{S_t}\right] = (\sigma^2 + \lambda \eta^2)dt \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}\left[\frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_{t}}\right] = \mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}\left[\frac{S_{t}}{S_{t}} + \frac{dS_{t}}{S_{t}}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}\left[\frac{dS_{t}}{S_{t}}\right] \tag{2.5}$$

and consider a binomial model that has time step  $\delta t$ , up and down steps, u and d with probability  $p_u$  and  $p_d^2$  respectively, and where the probability of default is  $p_o^3$ and (TODO: insert picture illustration)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_{t+\delta t}}{S_{t}}\right] = up_{u} + dp_{d} + (1-\eta)p_{o}$$

$$= up_{u} + d(e^{-\lambda\delta t} - p_{u}) + (1-\eta)(1-e^{-\lambda\delta t})$$

$$\mathbb{V}\text{ar}\left[\frac{S_{t+\delta t}}{S_{t}}\right] = u^{2}p_{u} + d^{2}p_{d} + (1-\eta)^{2}p_{o} - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\delta S_{t}}{S_{t}}\right]^{2}$$

$$= u^{2}p_{u} + d^{2}(e^{-\lambda\delta t} - p_{u}) + (1-\eta)^{2}(1-e^{-\lambda\delta t}) - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_{t+\delta t}}{S_{t}}\right]^{2}$$
(2.7)

#### 2.2Derivation

If one equates the first moment of the stochastic model  $(2.3)^4$ , in  $\delta t$  time, with that of the binomial model (2.6) then:

$$e^{r\delta t} = up_u + d(e^{-\lambda \delta t} - p_u) + (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda \delta t})$$

$$p_u(u - d) = e^{r\delta t} - de^{-\lambda \delta t} - (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda \delta t})$$
(2.8)

$$p_{u} = \frac{e^{r\delta t} - de^{-\lambda \delta t} - (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda \delta t})}{u - d}$$
 (2.9)

$$\Rightarrow p_d = -\frac{e^{r\delta t} - ue^{-\lambda \delta t} - (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda \delta t})}{u - d}$$
(2.10)

 $<sup>^2</sup>p_d=1-p_u-p_o=e^{-\lambda\delta t}-p_u$   $^3$  The time till the first jump follows an exponential distribution and has probability  $1-e^{-\lambda\delta t}$ 

2.3. VALUATION 4

and if one equate the second moment about the mean of the stochastic model (2.5), in  $\delta t$  time, with that of the binomial model (2.7) then<sup>5</sup>:

$$(\sigma^{2} + \lambda \eta^{2})\delta t = u^{2}p_{u} + d^{2}(e^{-\lambda\delta t} - p_{u}) + (1 - \eta)^{2}(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t}) - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_{t+\delta t}}{S_{t}}\right]^{2}$$

$$= (u^{2} - d^{2})p_{u} + d^{2}e^{-\lambda\delta t} + (1 - \eta)^{2}(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t}) - e^{-2r\delta t} \qquad (2.11)$$

$$= (u + d)(e^{r\delta t} - de^{-\lambda\delta t} - (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t})) \qquad (2.12)$$

$$+ d^{2}e^{-\lambda\delta t} + (1 - \eta)^{2}(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t}) - e^{-2r\delta t}$$

$$= (u + d)(e^{r\delta t} - (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t})) - ude^{-\lambda\delta t}$$

$$+ (1 - \eta)^{2}(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t}) - e^{-2r\delta t}$$

If one assumes  $\delta t^2 = 0$ , ud = 1 and  $u = e^{\sqrt{A\delta t}}$  and the Taylor series expansion is taken for all exponential terms, then:

$$u = 1 + \sqrt{A\delta t} + \frac{A\delta t}{2!} + \frac{(A\delta t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3!}$$

$$d = 1 - \sqrt{A\delta t} + \frac{A\delta t}{2!} - \frac{(A\delta t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3!}$$

$$u + d = 2 + A\delta t$$
(2.14)

and substituting (2.14) into (2.13) with the appropriate expansions then:

$$(\sigma^{2} + \lambda \eta^{2})\delta t = (2 + A\delta t)(1 + r\delta t - \lambda \delta t(1 - \eta)) - (1 - \lambda \delta t)$$

$$+ \lambda \delta t(1 - \eta)^{2} - (1 - 2r\delta t)$$

$$= A\delta t + \lambda \delta t - \lambda \delta t(1 - \eta)(1 + \eta)$$

$$= A\delta t + \lambda \eta^{2}\delta t$$

$$A\delta t = \sigma^{2}\delta t$$
(2.15)

#### 2.3 Valuation

Consider a portfolio with value  $V_t$  at time t,  $\Delta_t$  invested in the stock  $S_t$  and  $\Pi_t = V_t - \Delta_t S_t$  invested at the risk neutral rate. At time  $t + \delta t$  one should have:

$$\Pi_{t+\delta t} = \begin{cases} V_t^u - \Delta_t S_t u & \text{with probability } p_u \\ V_t^d - \Delta_t S_t d & \text{with probability } p_d \\ X_t - (1-\eta)S_t & \text{with probability } p_o \end{cases}$$

where

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Substituting equation (2.8) into (2.11) one gets (2.12)

 $V_t^u$  is the value of the portfolio an up-step at time  $t + \delta t$ 

 $V_t^d$  is the value of the portfolio a down-step at time  $t + \delta t$ 

 $X_t$  is the value of default at time t

If one wishes to hedge against up and down movements of the stock then:

$$V_t^u - \Delta_t S_t u = V_t^d - \Delta_t S_t d$$

$$\Delta_t (d - u) = V_t^d - V_t^u$$

$$\Delta_t = \frac{V_t^u - V_t^d}{u - d}$$
(2.16)

The required value of  $\Pi_t$  at time  $t + \delta t$  is:

$$\Pi_{t+\delta t} = \Pi_t e^{r\delta t} 
= \left( V_t - \frac{V_t^u - V_t^d}{u - d} \right) e^{r\delta t}$$
(2.17)

as  $\Pi_t$  is invested at the risk free rate, and taking the expected value of  $\Pi_{t+\delta t}$ , which must equal (2.17), one gets:

$$(V_{t} - \frac{V_{t}^{u} - V_{t}^{d}}{u - d})e^{r\delta t} = \mathbb{E}\left[\Pi_{t + \delta t}\right]$$

$$= \left(V_{t}^{u} - \frac{V_{t}^{u} - V_{t}^{d}}{u - d}u\right)e^{-\lambda\delta t}$$

$$+ \left(X_{t} - \frac{V_{t}^{u} - V_{t}^{d}}{u - d}(1 - \eta)\right)(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t})$$

$$= V_{t}^{u}\left(e^{-\lambda\delta t} - \frac{ue^{-\lambda\delta t} + (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t})}{u - d}\right)$$

$$+ V_{t}^{d}\left(\frac{ue^{-\lambda\delta t} + (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t})}{u - d}\right) + X_{t}(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t})$$

$$V_{t}e^{r\delta t} = V_{t}^{u}\frac{e^{r\delta t} - de^{-\lambda\delta t} - (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t})}{u - d}$$

$$+ V_{t}^{d}\frac{ue^{-\lambda\delta t} + (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t}) - e^{r\delta t}}{u - d} + X_{t}(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta t})$$

$$V_{t} = e^{-r\delta t}(V_{t}^{u}p_{u} + V_{t}^{d}p_{d} + X_{t}p_{o})$$

$$(2.18)$$

thus the pricing method of this binomial model is the same as the pricing method of the classical binomial model:

$$V_t = e^{-r\delta t} (V_t^u p_u + V_t^d p_d + X_t p_o)$$
  
=  $e^{-r\delta t} \mathbb{E} [V_{t+\delta t}]$  (2.19)

#### 2.4 Parameters and Formulæ Model

Based on the above the following tables specifies a binomial model with credit risk:

Table 2.1: Parameters of the Binomial Model with Credit Risk

Parameter	Description
r	Risk free rate
$\sigma$ Log-volatility of the stock price	
λ	Hazard rate of default
$\eta$	Percentage drop of stock price in a default event
$\delta t$	Time-step
$V_t^u$	Value of portfolio for an up-step at $t + \delta t$
$V_t^d$	Value of portfolio for a down-step at $t + \delta t$
$X_t$	Value of defaulted portfolio at $t$

Table 2.2: Formalæ for the Binomial Model with Credit Risk

For	mul	æ	Description
u	=	$e^{\sigma\sqrt{\delta t}}$	Multiplier for an up-step
d		$e^{-\sigma\sqrt{\delta t}}$	Multiplier for a down-step
$p_u$	=	$\frac{e^{r\delta t} - de^{-\lambda \delta t} - (1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\lambda \delta t})}{u - d}$	Probability of an up-step
$p_d$	=	$e^{-\lambda\delta t} - p_u$	Probability of a down-step
$p_o$	=	$1 - e^{-\lambda \delta t}$	Probability of default
$V_t$	=	$e^{-r\delta t}(V_t^u p_u + V_t^d p_d + X_t p_o)$	Value of portfolio at t

#### 2.5 Example and Hedging Strategy

Provide a numerical example (with diagrams) and provide example of the hedging strategy.

# Finite Difference Model

Describe the finite difference model based on the paper by Ayache et al. [1].

# Numerical Example

Implement above models, explain implementation and results, by example.

## Conclusion

Summarise what this paper shows and does (i.e. Introduction + Conclusion = Executive Summary).

# **Bibliography**

- [1] E. Ayache, P. A. Forsyth, and K. R. Vertzal, *The valuation of convertible bonds with credit risk*, Journal of Derivatives **11** (2003), 9–44.
- [2] K. Milanov and O. Kounchev, Binomial tree model for convertible bond pricing within equity to credit risk framework, 2012.