

Functional Programming

Lambda Calculus

Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

WS 2017-2018

The Lambda Calculus

What Wikipedia says

Lambda calculus (also written as λ -calculus) is a formal system in mathematical logic for expressing computation based on function abstraction and application [...]. It is a universal model of computation that can be used to simulate any Turing machine and was first introduced by mathematician Alonzo Church in the 1930s as part of his research [on] the foundations of mathematics.

The Lambda Calculus

What Wikipedia says

Lambda calculus (also written as λ -calculus) is a formal system in mathematical logic for expressing computation based on function abstraction and application [...]. It is a universal model of computation that can be used to simulate any Turing machine and was first introduced by mathematician Alonzo Church in the 1930s as part of his research [on] the foundations of mathematics.

Further down it says

- ✓ Lambda calculus has applications in many different areas in mathematics, philosophy, linguistics, and computer science.
- ✓ Lambda calculus has played an important role in the development of the theory of programming languages.
- ✗ Functional programming languages implement the lambda calculus.

Syntax of the λ -calculus

λ terms

$M, N ::= x$	variable
$ (\lambda x. M)$	(lambda) abstraction
$ (M N)$	application

- Variables are drawn from infinite denumerable set
- $(\lambda x. M)$ **binds** x in M

Syntax of the λ -calculus

λ terms

$M, N ::= x$	variable
$ (\lambda x. M)$	(lambda) abstraction
$ (M N)$	application

- Variables are drawn from infinite denumerable set
- $(\lambda x. M)$ **binds** x in M

Conventions for omitting parentheses

- abstractions extend as far to the right as possible
- application is left associative

Working with lambda terms

Free and bound variables

$$\text{free}(x) = \{x\}$$

$$\text{free}(M N) = \text{free}(M) \cup \text{free}(N)$$

$$\text{free}(\lambda x.M) = \text{free}(M) \setminus \{x\}$$

$$\text{bound}(x) = \emptyset$$

$$\text{bound}(M N) = \text{bound}(M) \cup \text{bound}(N)$$

$$\text{bound}(\lambda x.M) = \text{bound}(M) \cup \{x\}$$

$$\text{var}(M) = \text{free}(M) \cup \text{bound}(M)$$

A lambda term M is **closed** (M is a **combinator**) iff $\text{free}(M) = \emptyset$.
Otherwise the term is **open**.

Working with lambda terms

Substitution $M[x \mapsto N]$

$$x[x \mapsto N] = N$$

$$y[x \mapsto N] = y \quad x \neq y$$

$$(\lambda x.M)[x \mapsto N] := \lambda x.M$$

$$(\lambda y.M)[x \mapsto N] := \lambda y.(M[x \mapsto N]) \quad x \neq y, y \notin \text{free}(N)$$

$$(\lambda y.M)[x \mapsto N] := \lambda y'.(M[y \mapsto y'])[x \mapsto N] \quad x \neq y, y \in \text{free}(N), y' \notin \text{free}(M) \cup \text{free}(N)$$

$$(M M')[x \mapsto N] := (M[x \mapsto N])(M'[x \mapsto N])$$

Guiding principle: **capture freedom**

In every $(\lambda x.M)$ the bound variable x is “connected” to each free occurrence of x in M . These connections must not be broken by substitution.

Computing with lambda terms

Reduction rules

$(\lambda x.M) \rightarrow_\alpha (\lambda y.M[x \mapsto y])$	$y \notin \text{free}(M)$	Alpha reduction
$((\lambda x.M) N) \rightarrow_\beta M[x \mapsto N]$		Beta reduction (function application)
$(\lambda x.(M x)) \rightarrow_\eta M$	$x \notin \text{free}(M)$	Eta reduction

Computing with lambda terms

Reduction rules

$(\lambda x.M) \rightarrow_\alpha (\lambda y.M[x \mapsto y]) \quad y \notin \text{free}(M) \quad \text{Alpha reduction}$

$((\lambda x.M) N) \rightarrow_\beta M[x \mapsto N] \quad \text{Beta reduction (function application)}$

$(\lambda x.(M x)) \rightarrow_\eta M \quad x \notin \text{free}(M) \quad \text{Eta reduction}$

Reductions may be applied everywhere in a term

$$\frac{M \rightarrow_x M'}{(\lambda y.M) \rightarrow_x (\lambda y.M')}$$

$$\frac{M \rightarrow_x M'}{(M N) \rightarrow_x (M' N)}$$

$$\frac{N \rightarrow_x N'}{(M N) \rightarrow_x (M N')}$$

The theory of the lambda calculus

Computation and equivalence

For $x \subseteq \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ and reduction relation \rightarrow_x ,

- \rightarrow_x^* is the reflexive-transitive closure,
- \leftrightarrow_x is its symmetric closure,
- \leftrightarrow_x^* is its reflexive-transitive-symmetric closure.

The theory of the lambda calculus

Computation and equivalence

For $x \subseteq \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ and reduction relation \rightarrow_x ,

- \rightarrow_x^* is the reflexive-transitive closure,
- \leftrightarrow_x is its symmetric closure,
- \leftrightarrow_x^* is its reflexive-transitive-symmetric closure.

Equality in lambda calculus

- Alpha equivalence: $M =_\alpha N$ iff $M \leftrightarrow_\alpha^* N$.
- Standard: $M =_\beta N$ iff $M \leftrightarrow_{\alpha, \beta}^* N$.
- Extensional: $M =_{\beta\eta} N$ iff $M \leftrightarrow_{\alpha, \beta, \eta}^* N$.

Computing with lambda terms

Definition: Normal form

Let M be a lambda term.

A lambda term N is a **normal form** of M iff $M \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$ and there is no N' with $N \rightarrow_{\beta} N'$.

Computing with lambda terms

Definition: Normal form

Let M be a lambda term.

A lambda term N is a **normal form** of M iff $M \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$ and there is no N' with $N \rightarrow_{\beta} N'$.

Lambda terms with equivalent (equal modulo α reduction) normal forms exhibit the same behavior. The reverse is not always true.

Computing with lambda terms

Definition: Normal form

Let M be a lambda term.

A lambda term N is a **normal form** of M iff $M \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$ and there is no N' with $N \rightarrow_{\beta} N'$.

Lambda terms with equivalent (equal modulo α reduction) normal forms exhibit the same behavior. The reverse is not always true.


A lambda term without normal form

$$(\lambda x. x \ x)(\lambda x. x \ x) \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x. x \ x)(\lambda x. x \ x)$$

Computing with lambda terms makes sense

The Church-Rosser theorem

Beta reduction has the **Church-Rosser property**:




That is: For all M_1, M_2 with $M_1 \xleftrightarrow{*}_{\beta} M_2$, there is some N with $M_1 \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$ and $M_2 \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$.

Computing with lambda terms makes sense

The Church-Rosser theorem

Beta reduction has the **Church-Rosser property**:



That is: For all M_1, M_2 with $M_1 \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}_{\beta} M_2$, there is some N with $M_1 \overset{*}{\rightarrow}_{\beta} N$ and $M_2 \overset{*}{\rightarrow}_{\beta} N$.

Corollary

A lambda term M has at most one normal form modulo α reduction.

Programming in the pure lambda calculus

From functions to arbitrary datatypes

Any computation may be encoded in the lambda calculus

- Booleans and conditionals
- Numbers
- Recursion
- Products (pairs)
- Variants

Booleans and conditional

Requirements / Specification

Wanted: Lambda terms *IF*, *TRUE*, *FALSE* such that

- $IF\ TRUE\ M\ N \xrightarrow{\beta}^* M$
- $IF\ FALSE\ M\ N \xrightarrow{\beta}^* N$

Booleans and conditional

Requirements / Specification

Wanted: Lambda terms *IF*, *TRUE*, *FALSE* such that

- $IF\ TRUE\ M\ N \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} M$
- $IF\ FALSE\ M\ N \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$

Idea

TRUE and *FALSE* are functions that select the first or second argument, respectively

Booleans and conditional

Booleans

$$TRUE = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$$

$$FALSE = \lambda x. \lambda y. y$$

Booleans and conditional

Booleans

$$TRUE = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$$

$$FALSE = \lambda x. \lambda y. y$$

Conditional

$$IF = \lambda b. \lambda t. \lambda f. b \ t \ f$$

Booleans and conditional

Booleans

$$TRUE = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$$

$$FALSE = \lambda x. \lambda y. y$$

Conditional

$$IF = \lambda b. \lambda t. \lambda f. b \ t \ f$$

Check the spec!

...

Natural numbers

Requirements / Specification

Wanted: A family of lambda terms $\llbracket n \rrbracket$, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that the arithmetic operations are *lambda definable*.

That is, there are lambda terms ADD , SUB , $MULT$, DIV such that

- $ADD \llbracket m \rrbracket \llbracket n \rrbracket \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} \llbracket m + n \rrbracket$
- $SUB \llbracket m \rrbracket \llbracket n \rrbracket \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} \llbracket m - n \rrbracket$
- $MULT \llbracket m \rrbracket \llbracket n \rrbracket \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} \llbracket mn \rrbracket$
- $DIV \llbracket m \rrbracket \llbracket n \rrbracket \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} \llbracket m/n \rrbracket$

Church numerals

One approach

The **Church numeral** $\lceil n \rceil$ of some natural number n is a function that takes two parameters, a function f and some x , and applies f n -times to x .

Church numerals

One approach

The **Church numeral** $[n]$ of some natural number n is a function that takes two parameters, a function f and some x , and applies f n -times to x .

Zero

$$[0] = \lambda f. \lambda x. x$$

Church numerals

One approach

The **Church numeral** $[n]$ of some natural number n is a function that takes two parameters, a function f and some x , and applies f n -times to x .

Zero

$$[0] = \lambda f. \lambda x. x$$

Successor

$$SUCC = \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f(n f x)$$

Church numerals — addition and multiplication

Addition

$$ADD = \lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. m f (n f x)$$

Church numerals — addition and multiplication

Addition

$$ADD = \lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. m f (n f x)$$

Multiplication

$$MULT = \lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. m (n f) x$$

Church numerals — conditional

Wanted

IF0 such that

- $IF0\ [0]\ M\ N \xrightarrow{\beta}^* M$
- $IF0\ [n]\ M\ N \xrightarrow{\beta}^* N$ if $n \neq 0$

Church numerals — conditional

Wanted

IF0 such that

- $IF0\ [0]\ M\ N \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} M$
- $IF0\ [n]\ M\ N \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$ if $n \neq 0$

Testing for zero

$$IF0 = \lambda n. \lambda z. \lambda s. n (\lambda x. s) z$$

Church numerals — conditional

Wanted

IF0 such that

- $IF0\ [0]\ M\ N \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} M$
- $IF0\ [n]\ M\ N \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$ if $n \neq 0$

Testing for zero

$$IF0 = \lambda n. \lambda z. \lambda s. n(\lambda x. s) z$$

Check the spec!

...

Pairs

Specification

Wanted: lambda terms *PAIR*, *FST*, *SND* such that

- $FST(PAIR\ M\ N) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} M$
- $SND(PAIR\ M\ N) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$

Pairs

Specification

Wanted: lambda terms *PAIR*, *FST*, *SND* such that

- $FST(PAIR\ M\ N) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} M$
- $SND(PAIR\ M\ N) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$

Implementation

$$PAIR = \lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda v. v\ x\ y$$

$$FST = \lambda p. p(\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$$

$$SND = \lambda p. p(\lambda x. \lambda y. y)$$

Variants (Either)

Specification

Wanted: lambda terms *LEFT*, *RIGHT*, *CASE* such that

- $CASE(LEFT\ M)N_l N_r \xrightarrow{\beta}^* N_l M$
- $CASE(RIGHT\ M)N_l N_r \xrightarrow{\beta}^* N_r M$

Variants (Either)

Specification

Wanted: lambda terms *LEFT*, *RIGHT*, *CASE* such that

- $CASE(LEFT\ M)N_l\ N_r \xrightarrow{\beta^*} N_l\ M$
- $CASE(RIGHT\ M)N_l\ N_r \xrightarrow{\beta^*} N_r\ M$

Implementation

CASE =

LEFT =

RIGHT =

Recursion

Fixpoint theorem

Every lambda term has a fixpoint:

For every M there is some N such that $M N \stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow}_{\beta} N$.

Remark

Y is Curry's **fixpoint combinator**. There are infinitely many more fixpoint combinators with various properties.

Recursion

Fixpoint theorem

Every lambda term has a fixpoint:

For every M there is some N such that $M N \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}_{\beta} N$.

Proof

Let $N = Y M$ where

$$Y := \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x)).$$

Remark

Y is Curry's **fixpoint combinator**. There are infinitely many more fixpoint combinators with various properties.

Wrapup

- Lambda calculus contains the primitives of the theory of recursive functions
- The theory of recursive functions is Turing complete
- Hence is the (untyped) lambda calculus