Functional Programming Type Classes — Overloading in Haskell

Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

SS 2019

Remember previous classes?

We were able to use

- equality == and ordering < with many different types
- arithmetic operations with many different types

Remember previous classes?

We were able to use

- equality == and ordering < with many different types
- arithmetic operations with many different types

Overloading

The **same operator** can be used to execute **different code** at **many different types**.

Remember previous classes?

We were able to use

- equality == and ordering < with many different types
- arithmetic operations with many different types

Overloading

The same operator can be used to execute different code at many different types.

Contrast with

Remember previous classes?

We were able to use

- equality == and ordering < with many different types
- arithmetic operations with many different types

Overloading

The same operator can be used to execute different code at many different types.

Contrast with

Parametric polymorphism

The same code can execute at many different types.

Haskell integrates overloading with polymorphism

Restricted polymorphism

- Some functions work on parametric types, but are restricted to specific instances
- Types contain type variables and constraints

Haskell integrates overloading with polymorphism

Restricted polymorphism

- Some functions work on parametric types, but are restricted to specific instances
- Types contain type variables and constraints

Examples

```
-- elem x xs : is x an element of list xs?
-- type a must have equality
elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool
-- insert x xs : insert x into sorted list xs
-- type a must have comparison
insert :: Ord a => a -> [a] -> [a]
-- square x : compute the square of x
-- type a has numeric operations
square :: Num a => a -> a
```

Type classes

- Each constraint mentions a **type class** like Eq. Ord, Num, . . .
- A type class specifies a set of operations for a type
 e.g. Eq requires == and /=
- Type classes form a hierarchy e.g. Ord a => Eq a
- Many classes are predefined, but you can roll your own

Classes and Instances

 A class declaration only specifies a signature (i.e., the class members and their types)

```
class Num a where
  (+), (*), (-) :: a -> a -> a
  negate, abs, signum :: a -> a
  fromInteger :: Integer -> a
```

 An instance declaration specifies that a type belongs to a class by giving definitions for all class members

```
instance Num Int where ...
instance Num Integer where ...
instance Num Double where ...
instance Num Float where ...
```

• This info can be obtained from GHCI by

:i Num

Equality

The type class Eq

```
class Eq a where
  (==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  x /= y = not (x == y) -- default definition
```

An instance must only provide (==).

Equality

The type class Eq

```
class Eq a where
  (==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  x /= y = not (x == y) -- default definition
```

An instance must only provide (==).

Instances of Eq

```
instance Eq Int -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
instance Eq Float -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
instance Eq Double -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
instance Eq Char -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
instance Eq Bool -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
{- and many more -}
```

Equality

The type class Eq

```
class Eq a where
  (==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  x /= y = not (x == y) -- default definition
```

An instance must only provide (==).

Instances of Eq

```
instance Eq Int -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
instance Eq Float -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
instance Eq Double -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
instance Eq Char -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
instance Eq Bool -- Defined in 'GHC.Classes'
{- and many more -}
```

Question

Does equality make sense at every type?

When are two pairs equal?

When are two pairs equal?

Solution

```
instance (Eq a, Eq b) => Eq (a, b) where (a1, b1) == (a2, b2) = a1 == a2 && b1 == b2
```

When are two pairs equal?

Solution

Is this definition recursive?

When are two pairs equal?

Solution

Is this definition recursive?

NO!

When are two lists equal?

When are two lists equal?

Solution

```
instance Eq a => Eq [a] where
[] == [] = True
(x:xs) == (y:ys) = x == y && xs == ys
_ == _ = False
```

When are two lists equal?

Solution

```
instance Eq a => Eq [a] where
[] == [] = True
(x:xs) == (y:ys) = x == y && xs == ys
_ == _ = False
```

Is this definition recursive?

When are two lists equal?

Solution

```
instance Eq a => Eq [a] where
[] == [] = True
(x:xs) == (y:ys) = x == y && xs == ys
_ == _ = False
```

Is this definition recursive?

YES!

The equality xs == ys.

Handwriting vs deriving an instance

Remember the Hearts game

data Color = Black | Red
 deriving (Show)

Handwriting vs deriving an instance

Remember the Hearts game

```
data Color = Black | Red
  deriving (Show)
```

Define your own equality

```
instance Eq Color where
  Black == Black = True
  Red == Red = True
  _ == _ = False
```

Handwriting vs deriving an instance

Remember the Hearts game

```
data Color = Black | Red
  deriving (Show)
```

Define your own equality

```
instance Eq Color where
  Black == Black = True
  Red == Red = True
  _ == _ = False
```

Same result as deriving Eq

```
data Color = Black | Red
  deriving (Show, Eq)
```

Further useful classes

Show and Read

```
class Show a where
  show :: a -> String
  {- ... -}

class Read a where
  read :: String -> a
  {- ... -}
```

- Predefined for most built-in types
- Derivable for most datatype definitions

The Ord class (derivable)

```
class Eq a => Ord a where
  compare :: a -> a -> Ordering
  (<) :: a -> a -> Bool
  (<=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  (>) :: a -> a -> Bool
  (>=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  max :: a -> a -> a
  min :: a -> a -> a
data Ordering = LT | EQ | GT -- Defined in 'GHC.Types'
```

More classes for you to investigate

- Enum (derivable)
- Bounded (derivable)

Ambiguity

Some combinations of overloaded functions can lead to ambiguity

```
f x = read (show x)

g x = show (read x)
```

Ambiguity

Some combinations of overloaded functions can lead to ambiguity

```
f x = read (show x)

g x = show (read x)
```

What are types of f and g?

Ambiguity

Some combinations of overloaded functions can lead to ambiguity

```
f x = read (show x)
g x = show (read x)
```

What are types of f and g?

Solution

```
f :: (Read a, Show b) => b -> a
```

g :: String -> String

Further pitfalls / features

- Definitions without arguments and without type signatures are not overloaded (monomorphism restriction)
- Numeric literals are overloaded at type Num a => a
- Haskell has a defaulting mechanism that resolves violations of the monomorphism restriction
- Caveat: GHCi behaves differently than code in a file

Wrapup

Type classes

- provides a signature for an abstract data type
- instances provide implementations at unrelated types
- many classes are predefined and derivable
- pervasively used in Haskell / some pitfalls