


我和自己浙大的两位导师表达了想申诉的意愿，他们都表示了支持。



这件事大家都没什么经验，一位给了我很大精神鼓励的好友提供了主题为“Request to Add My Name to the Author List of an Accepted Paper”的email模板，我就参照这个写了第一篇 [Appeal Letter](#)，于2023.4.30发送给USENIX Program Co-Chairs，以下是我5.2第一次收到的回复以及二次询问后于5.10收到的回复：

Dear Yiling He,  
Thank you for reaching out to us. USENIX Security 2023's Winter notification deadline is [in one week](#). We will review the material that you have provided and respond following the USENIX Security 2023 Winter notification deadline next week.

Regards,  
Joe Calandrino and Carmela Troncoso  
USENIX Security 2023 Technical Program Co-Chairs

Dear Yiling He,  
Thank you for reaching out to us. USENIX Security 2023's Winter notification deadline is [in one week](#). We will review the material that you have provided and respond following the USENIX Security 2023 Winter notification deadline next week.

Regards,  
Joe Calandrino and Carmela Troncoso  
USENIX Security 2023 Technical Program Co-Chairs

5.17收到的回复：关于add name，他们defer to the decision of the listed authors.

Dear Yiling He,  
We have reviewed the material that you and the listed authors have provided. Unfortunately, this seems to be a case in which the decision whether or not to include you as an author is subjective. Our understanding suggests that some researchers would see your contributions as rising to a level warranting listed authorship and some researchers would see an acknowledgment alone as adequate.

USENIX Security 2023 does not offer strict rules regarding authorship. In cases where community norms are subjective, we allow clear primary authors to decide the threshold and criteria for determining authorship. In this case, that means we would defer to the decision of the listed authors.

Regards,  
Joe Calandrino and Carmela Troncoso  
USENIX Security 2023 Technical Program Co-Chairs

---

本以为提供 appeal letter 的材料就足够说明问题，这封回复让我意识到申请 Manuscript Withdrawal 可能更合适。于是我又写了如下 Request for Manuscript Withdrawal 的 email，并把初稿挂在了arXiv上：  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.10791>

其实到此这件事我已经放弃了，5.20号收到的回复如下

Dear Yiling He,  
Unfortunately, we allow only listed authors to withdraw papers. As mentioned, we generally would allow clear primary authors to decide the threshold and criteria for determining authorship where community norms are subjective, as we have determine to be true in this particular case. Out of fairness and consistency, we will not overrule those preferences in this case.

We genuinely appreciate your disappointment with our decision. We have personally experienced subjective cases in which we were not listed as authors or acknowledged as we may have felt appropriate, so we have some sense how you might feel.

Sincerely,  
Joe Calandrino and Carmela Troncoso  
USENIX Security 2023 Technical Program Co-Chairs

---

我没有想到挂在arXiv上的文章引起了这么大的反响，有一些新闻报道以及同学来询问我相关问题。

1. 没有导师名字？我的两位导师在申诉过程中给予了我很大的精神支持，邮件里我都抄送了他们，收到最终回复后也安慰我增长点社会经验也是好的。挂 arXiv 单纯是公开初版的成果以及我应得的 authorship，我也不想继续把他们在牵扯到这场纠纷中来。
2. 这篇是Usenix的改进版吗？不是，arXiv上版本最后的改动在2021.8.9，但相较Usenix版本，漏洞原理有更多源码相关解释，method漏洞利用部分使用风格迁移，关于touch id的攻击也解释得更详细（是不是他为了稀释我contribution的行为不得而知）