

# Wind Analysis Homework

*by Elliot Cohen, for MECE e4210*

*September 16, 2014*

## Part 1: Wind Energy

### Problem Statement

Suppose you are hired as a consultant to evaluate the potential for wind energy production on a small chain of islands in the Atlantic Ocean. The islands already have one wind farm up and running, with 7 Vestas wind turbines (v52-850Kw) rated at 850kW each. The combined rated capacity of the 7 turbines is 5950 kW, which is roughly 0% of the annual average power demand for the island! This is a huge penetration of wind! Pairwise observations of [10-minute average wind speed and cumulative wind energy production](#) data are provided by the utility for the most recent year, in .xls format (click “view raw” to download). [Hourly demand](#) was estimated in-house from the load profile of a nearby island, and is provided in .csv format.

Using this data, prepare the following material for an investor meeting coming up next week! Be sure to document any assumptions, equations or algorithms implemented, and include all underlying R code in a well-commented [Rmarkdown](#) file. [Knit](#) (e.g. render) the .rmd into a clean .html or .pdf file for review.

1. Visually inspect the data!
  - Does it make sense?
  - Are there any obvious issues, such as missing data, incomplete records or suspect values?
2. Compute summary statistics to make sure the values make sense (use benchmark comparisons!).
3. Clean the data. There are two schools of thought on this:
  - Option 1: Remove all records containing suspect/questionable data. For example:
    - Can you have negative energy values?
    - Can you produce 400kw at 0 windspeed?
    - If the wind and/or power data at a given timestamp are not reasonable on their own *or* do not make sense together as a pairwise observation, omit them.
    - Use textbook knowledge of wind energy systems as one check (hint: Betz Limit!)
    - Keep track of how many observations you remove!
  - Option 2: Data correction, using textbook knowledge (hint: turbine power curve!).
  - After implementing step 3 (option 1 or 2), you should have a “clean” dataset. Now make engineering computations with confidence! Proceed to steps 4-9 (and 10-11 if you’re extra ambitious!)
4. Total wind energy produced last year (e.g. KWh delivered to the grid).
5. Capacity factor of the current system.
6. Total wind energy that was *possible* given the observed windspeeds and the [turbine power curve](#). This is the uncurtailed power output.
7. Uncurtailed capacity factor using the result from 6 (this assumes the grid can accept the full uncurtailed power output).
8. Turbine efficiency (hint: you will have to compute the kinetic energy contained in the wind!):
  - average efficiency for the entire windfarm; and
  - efficiency as a function of windspeed.
  - compare with Betz Limit.
9. Characterization of the wind resource using a Weibull distribution.

10. **BONUS** Randomly generate a year's worth of new windspeed data according to the fitted Weibull distribution. Using the new windspeeds, predict what the resulting wind energy production may look like next year.
11. **BONUS** Repeat step 10 500 times and compute the capacity factor each time. Boxplot the results of the 500 simulations. This is called an ensemble forecast.

Now suppose you have reason to believe that the anemometer (wind speed gage) was off by a few meters per second, for a few months of the year. The system engineer tells you that the anemometer was reading high. What does that look like if you plot power output vs windspeed? How could you correct the windspeed data? (hint: use the [manufacturer's power curve](#)). Did you observe this issue in step 3? How did you handle it? If in step 3 you chose to remove the suspect data, that's fine, but now go back and try a windspeed correction. If you already did a windspeed correction, go back and try removing the data. Compare the results.

Everyone should now have completed steps 3-9, twice! Once with each data cleaning option.

### Submission instructions

This bulk of this assignment (steps 1:9) can be done in Excel. This is often a good starting point, as it allows you to focus on the engineering concepts, not programming concepts. Steps 9-11 are far easier to do in R or another scripting language (e.g. Python, Matlab, etc...) than it would be to do in Excel, if even possible.

We recommend starting in Excel to see how far that takes you, and then move into R for more advanced (and faster) analysis and visualization. Ultimately, the final report must be compiled in R, using [Rmarkdown](#) and [Knitr](#), as described previously.

Whatever you do, be sure to COMMENT your work clearly and succinctly.

### Key concepts covered in this assignment

- **Power curve:** Relationship between wind speed and power output for a wind turbine.
- **Weibull distribution:** Characterization of the wind resource for a given location (e.g. estimate parameters for a statistical distribution fit to the wind speed data)
- **Capacity factor:** Energy output / (nameplate capacity x time)

### Hints if you get stuck

#### Useful functions in Microsoft Excel

- **VLOOKUP**( lookup value, array, col num, [lookup range] )
  - Lookup a value in a column of an array based on the closest matching value in another column of the array.
  - e.g., each row of the Manufacturer's Power Curve array contains a windspeed and corresponding power output. Use VLOOKUP to supply a random windspeed, query the array and return the corresponding power output.
- **IF** ( condition, statement, else if, statement )
  - Useful conditional with many applications
- **FREQUENCY** ( data, bins )
  - Counts the number of observations that fall in each bin.
- **WEIBULL.DIST** ( value, shape factor, scale factor ) Estimates the probability of a value given the fitted parameters of a Weibull distribution.

## Useful functions in R

- **str(df)**
  - Look at the structure of any object
- **head(df)**
  - Look at the first 6 rows of an array-like object (especially useful for data.frames and arrays).
- **read.csv**("file\_name", optional\_arguments)
  - For reading .csv data into R.
- **subset(df, column\_name [operator] criteria)**
  - Remove records (e.g. rows) that do not meet some criteria.
- **na.omit(df)**
  - Omit records (e.g. rows) with missing values.
- **which(column\_name [operator] criteria)**
  - Returns the row index of records that meet some criteria.
- **plyr::ddply(df, .(variables), function)**
  - For each subset of a data frame, apply some function then combine results into a data frame.
- **ggplot2::ggplot(df, aes(x, y, , other aesthetics))**
  - ggplot() is typically used to construct a plot incrementally, using the + operator to add layers to the existing ggplot object.

## Data extraction from .csv

Extract only the data you need from the raw data: - Total Energy Delivered to the grid in ten minutes (measured); - Total Active Power averaged over ten minutes (measured); - Total Possible Energy output in ten minutes given measured windspeed (calculated by SCADA); - Total Possible Energy output in ten minutes given measured windspeed (calculated from the turbine Manufacturer's Power Curve).

## Remove or re-assign (obviously) erroneous data

Correct the Energy Delivered measurements. We re-assign all negative values to zero, and re-assign values greater than what is possible given the rated capacity of the turbines to the rated capacity.

Alternatively, you could subset the data, removing all records associated with suspect values. However, this can unnecessarily shrink the amount of data you have to work with. As a general rule of thumb, strict filtering (e.g. subsetting) is preferable when you still retain ample data for whatever modeling or analysis is to follow. By contrast, when you are data limited, think twice before applying stringent filters.

In either case, you are introducing some bias to the data. It is important to test the sensitivity of your results to data filtering/correction. Try it both ways (filtering/no correction; correction/no filtering) and see how the results differ.

## Manufacturer's power curve

The manufacturer's power curve [MPC] specifies the design output (kW) for a specific model turbine at a range of windspeeds in the operating range of the turbine. The curve is discretized, so you must use a lookup function with a certain tolerance, *or* fit a smoothing spline (or polynomial) to the data to make it continuous. Once you have this curve, you can supply any windspeed within the operating range of the turbine, and estimate the power output.

## Windspeed correction

Correct windspeed measurements. If we assume the `Energy_Delivered` is correct, then we can lookup the corresponding windspeed required to produce that much energy in ten minutes according to the Turbine Manufacturer's Power Curve. If the measured windspeed is less than the windspeed according to the Power Curve, then we re-assign it be the windspeed value from the Power Curve.

As an example, we know that it is impossible to produce 400kw at 1mps windspeed. [In fact, the turbines switchoff at windspeeds below 3mps and above 25mps.] So, if we see a value of 400kw produced at 1mps, we can assume the wind measurement is inaccurate. [This assumes the energy measurement is correct, which is a decent assumption considering there are multiple points at which energy can be measured throughout the system, e.g. ex-bus bar, load dispatch center, etc.]

To correct the windspeed, we look up the windspeed cooresponding to 400kw on the manufacturers power curve. Here we see the windspeed must have been at least 8.5mps. We re-assign the suspect windspeed to that value.

## Computing capacity factor

Compute the acutal (curtailed) capacity factor, as well as the possible (uncurtailed) capacity factor according to the MPC and SCADA Possible\_Power measurements, respectively. Recall that capacity factor is simply the total amount of energy generated in one year divided by the installed capacity of the system times the number of hours in one year. `CF_actual = Energy_Delivered / (nameplate_capacity x time)`

`CF_optimal= Energy_Possible / (nameplate_capacity x time)`

## Part 2: Grid Integration of Wind Energy

In Part 1, we analyzed windspeed and power output data for a real, working windfarm! Now let's consider grid-integration of the wind. That is, how does wind energy fit into a networked power system (e.g. grid) with highly varialbe supply and demand?

Let's assume the full uncrutailed wind power output computed in Part 1.6 may be deleivered to the grid, up to the total load (e.g. demand) of the island, for each hour of the year. Thus we re-define "curtailment" in this context to mean available wind power in excess of total load. That is, if we ignore all baseload energy generation (or assume it can be turned on/off in an instant), we still cannot utilize more wind energy than there is demand for it. To better understand *when* curtailment is most common, plot the average hourly curtailment, by month. [Compute mean curtailment for mindnight-1am, 1am-2am, 2am-3am.. etc... for each month. You will end up with 24 values x 12 months]. Repeat for average hourly wind production (which you need to compute curtailment, anyway).

Finally, assume there is a pumped hydro storage system with 5 MWh of storage. Whenever you have wind power in excess of demand, use the excess energy to pump water from a lower reservoir to an upper reservoir. Assume a pump efficiency of 85%. Then, when energy demand exceeds wind power, release water from the upper reservoir back down to the lower reservoir, passing through a penstock with hydroelectric turbines operating at 90% efficiency.

How does this storage system effect the overall ability to utilize wind energy? What is the new capacity factor of the windfarm, given this ability to store excess energy (up to 5 MWh). Write out a simple algorithm to capture this behaviour *before* attempting to code it up in R. In other words, write it in psuedo-code using simple language and artithmetic, before worrying about syntax. Include both the psuedo-code and R code in your final report. Document any assumptions, and have fun!