0.1 Previous lecture summary

We arrived at an equation for the motion of light:

$$\frac{1}{l^2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right)^2 + W_{\mathrm{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{b^2}; \quad W_{\mathrm{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right); \quad b^2 = \frac{l^2}{e^2}$$

(Lesson? of 22/11/19) Compiled: November 22, 2019

If:

$$\frac{e^2}{l^2} = \frac{1}{b^2} < \frac{1}{27G^2M^2}$$

the photon has enough angular momentum $(\sqrt{27}eGM)$ to "bounce back": it reaches a minimum distance from the black hole, and then escapes to infinity.

Note: the geodesic followed by a photon *does not depend* on its energy (nor on its wavelength).

0.2 Scattering

Consider a $\hat{x}\hat{y}$ plane, with a photon travelling from $x = +\infty$ and y = d (**impact parameter**) directed as $-\hat{x}$. At the origin there is a point mass M. We study the photon's motion in polar coordinates (r, φ) .

When it's very far away, the metric is that of Minkowski, and so:

$$d \approx r\varphi$$

Then:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}r} = -1 \cdot \frac{-d}{r^2} = \frac{d}{r^2}$$

because the photon is far away, $r \approx x$, and so the photon moves radially. Then we note that:

$$b = \frac{l}{e} =$$

And so b is the impact parameter d. After approaching M, the photon will be scattered at an angle $\Delta \varphi$, maintaining the same impact parameter b relative to a tilted axis. We define the angle of deflection as $\delta \varphi_{\text{defl}} = \Delta \varphi - \pi$, so that if the motion is perfectly straight we have $\varphi_{\text{in}} = 0$, $\varphi_{\text{out}} = \pi$ and $\delta \varphi = 0$. We want to know the trajectory $r(\varphi)$, and so we change variables:

$$l = r^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \frac{l}{r^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varphi}$$

We assume that M is small, and search an explicit expression for $\delta \varphi_{\text{defl}}$.

Substituting in the geodesics equation for light:

$$\frac{1}{l^2} \frac{l^2}{r^5} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\varphi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right) = \frac{1}{b^2}$$

To simplify the problem we introduce $u \equiv 1/r$, so that:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\varphi} = -\frac{1}{u^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\varphi}$$

Substituting back:

$$\mathcal{A}\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\varphi}\right)^2 + u^2(1 - 2GMu) = \frac{1}{b^2}$$

As we did before, we differentiate wrt u, leading to:

$$2\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\varphi}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2u}{\mathrm{d}\varphi^2} + 2u\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\varphi} - 6GMu^2\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\varphi} = 0$$

and then divide by $2 du / d\varphi$, arriving at:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u}{\mathrm{d}\varphi^2} + u = 3GMu^2$$

Note that $du/d\varphi$ will be = 0 at only *one point*, so we can work around it by solving the equation in the two sides and matching the two solutions.

We will solve this in a perturbative way, assuming that GM is small. Here, the solution will be almost a straight line, parametrized by $b = r \sin \varphi$ (ignoring the u^2 term leads to the harmonic oscillator differential equation, and the only solution that satisfies the boundary conditions is the one with the sin), so that:

$$b = \frac{\sin \varphi}{u} \Rightarrow u = \frac{1}{b} \sin \varphi$$

We add a small perturbation to it:

$$u(\varphi) = \frac{1}{b} \left[\sin \varphi + W(\varphi) \right] \qquad w \ll 1$$

Substituting in the equation:

$$-\frac{1}{b}\sin\varphi + \frac{1}{b}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2w}{\mathrm{d}\varphi^2} + \frac{1}{b}\sin\varphi + \frac{1}{b}w \approx 3GM\frac{\sin^2\varphi}{b^2}$$

As the u^2 term is already small, we can substitute in it only the *unperturbed* solution (straight line), as adding the perturbation will only lead to higher order terms. So, we arrive at:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w}{\mathrm{d}\varphi^2} + w \approx \frac{3GM}{b}\sin^2\varphi$$

To solve this, we make an ansatz:

$$w = A + B\sin^2\varphi$$

with A and B constants. This is because w'' will produce something of the form of what appears in the equation.

So, computing the two derivatives:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}\varphi} &= 2B\sin\varphi\cos\varphi\\ \frac{\mathrm{d}^2w}{\mathrm{d}\varphi^2} &= 2B[\cos^2\varphi - \sin^2\varphi] \underset{(a)}{=} 2B - 4B\sin^2\varphi \end{split}$$

where in (a) we used $\cos^2 \varphi = 1 - \sin^2 \varphi$. Substituting in the equation:

$$(2B - 4B\sin^2\varphi) + (A + B\sin^2\varphi) = \frac{3GM}{b}\sin^2\varphi$$

Equating the left and right sides leads to the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} 2B + A = 0 \\ -3B = \frac{3GM}{b} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} A = \frac{2GM}{b} \\ B = -\frac{GM}{b} \end{cases}$$

and so the final solution is:

$$w = \frac{2GM}{b} \left[1 - \frac{\sin^2 \varphi}{2} \right] \qquad \left(\frac{GM}{b} \right) \ll 1$$

What is the physical meaning of this solution? First we substitute back to compute $u(\varphi)$:

$$u(\varphi) = \frac{1}{b} \left[\sin \varphi + \frac{2GM}{b} \left(1 - \frac{\sin^2 \varphi}{2} \right) \right]$$

We are interested in the behaviour in the infinite past/future, i.e. its asymptotic behaviour, where $r = \infty \Rightarrow u = 0$. We already now that $\varphi = 0$ will be approximately a solution (as we are working perturbatively). So we know that:

$$\varphi_{\rm in} = \epsilon_{\rm in}$$
 $\varphi_{\rm out} = \pi + \epsilon_{\rm out}$

with $\epsilon_{\rm in}, \epsilon_{\rm out} \ll 1$. Ignoring higher order terms:

$$0 = \left[\sin \epsilon_{\rm in} + \frac{2GM}{h} \right] \approx \epsilon_{\rm in} + \frac{2GM}{h} \Rightarrow \epsilon_{\rm in} \approx -\frac{2GM}{h}$$

where we used $\sin(x) \approx x$ for $x \approx 0$. The other solution will be:

$$0 = \sin\left(\pi + \epsilon_{\text{out}} + \frac{2GM}{b}\right) \approx -\epsilon_{\text{out}} + \frac{2GM}{b} \Rightarrow \epsilon_{\text{out}} \approx \frac{2GM}{b}$$

and so:

$$\varphi_{\rm in} \approx -\frac{2GM}{b}; \qquad \varphi_{\rm out} \approx \pi + \frac{2GM}{b}$$

So the path of light is slightly *bent* by the presence of the central mass M, with a deflection:

$$\delta \varphi_{\text{defl}} \approx \frac{4GM}{b}$$

This result was used in the first proof of GR. In 1919, sir Arthur Eddington observed a deviation in the position of a star when the Sun passed close to its line of sight (the observation was made during a total solar eclipse, otherwise it would've been impossible to see). However, this is a really tiny effect:

$$\delta\varphi_{\rm defl}\approx 1.7''$$

0.3 Schwarzschild Horizon

Recall the Schwarzschild line element:

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}$$

To study the *structure* of a geometry is very useful to plot *light cones*. Let's ignore angular motion and consider only the radial one. So $ds^2 = 0$ when:

$$0 = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^2 \Rightarrow \frac{dt}{dr} = \pm\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}$$

When $r = \infty$ (huge distance from the central mass) dt = dr, and so the light cones are the same as the ones from Minkowski's spacetime. Approaching r = 2GM, the light cones (plotted on a t over r plane) become "thinner", meaning that photons appear to cover less and less ds for a given dt as their source approaches the horizon (and massimve particles move even less). Explicitly, we can integrate the dt and dr relation:

$$\int_0^t dt = \int_{r_*}^{r(t)} \frac{dr}{1 - 2GM/r} \qquad r_* > 2GM$$

This integral evaluates to:

$$t = \int_{r(t)}^{r_*} dr \frac{r}{r - 2GM} = \int_{r(t)}^{r_*} dr + 2GM \int_{r(t)}^{r_*} dr \frac{1}{r - 2GM}$$

and so:

$$t = \frac{r_* - r(t) + 2GM \ln(r_* - 2GM)}{-2GM \ln(r(t) - 2GM)}$$

the yellow term is always finite, but the blue ones diverges as $r(t) \rightarrow 2GM$, meaning that an object can never reach the horizon.

However, t for now is just a coordinate: what does it physically mean?

Consider a far away observer $(g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu})$ that it's looking towards a particle falling toward M, and which is at rest wrt M (so that $\tau = t$). So t is the proper time of such an observer, meaning that from the point of view of this person no object can reach the horizon in a finite time. However, as we computed during last lecture, the falling observer will reach and traverse the horizon in a finite time.

We also note that the far away observer will see the falling object as increasingly red. In fact, if we computed the gravitational redshift effect (neglecting the one due to motion) we find that:

$$f_{\rm obs} = f_{\rm emit} \sqrt{\frac{-g_{00}({\rm emit})}{-g_{00}({\rm obs})}}$$

as the observer is at rest in a Minkowski's space, we have $g_{00}(\text{obs}) = -1$ and so:

$$f_{\rm obs} = f_{\rm emit} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{r}}$$

and as $r \to 2GM$ we have $f_{\rm obs} \to 0$, meaning that, at some points, the received information stops. This is to be expected: the falling observer can send only a finite amount of information, as he reaches the horizon in a finite time, while the observer sees this phenomenon as stretched to an infinite time - so he cannot receive an infinite information.

This phenomenon suggests that the Schwarzschild horizon is just a by-product of coordinates.

0.4 Rindler Spacetime & Rindler Horizon

What is a Minkowski Spacetime seen by an accelerated observer? Recall the homework from week 2, where we considered a trajectory:

$$x(t) = \frac{c}{k} \left[\sqrt{1 + k^2 t^2} - 1 \right]$$

which is the trajectory of an observer experiencing constant acceleration a = ck. Now let's consider c = 1, and ignore the constant -1 in the square parentheses, leading to:

$$x(t) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + k^2 t^2}}{k}$$

For $t = -\infty$, $x = +\infty$; $t = 0 \Rightarrow x = 1/k$ and $t = +\infty \Rightarrow x = +\infty$. The proper time of such observer is given by:

$$ds^{2} = -d\tau^{2} = -dt^{2} + dx^{2} \Big|_{\text{trajectory}} = -dt^{2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right)^{2} \right]$$

Rearranging:

$$d\tau = dt \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2} = dt \left[1 - \frac{k^2 t^2}{1 + k^2 t^2}\right]^{1/2} = \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 + k^2 t^2}}$$

Integrating:

$$\int_0^{\tau} d\tau = \int_0^t \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 + k^2 t^2}} \Rightarrow \tau = \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{arcsinh}(kt)$$

So we can parametrize the trajectory using the proper time:

$$t = \frac{1}{k} \sinh(k\tau)$$
$$x = \frac{1}{k} \cosh(k\tau)$$

We want now to construct a coordinate system where the observer is at a fixed spatial position and where time is equal to the proper time measured by the observer (up to a constant). We choose:

$$\begin{cases} t = \rho \sinh \eta \\ x = \rho \cosh \eta \end{cases}$$

Now the observer is at a fixed space coordinate $\rho_* = 1/k$ and measures a proper time $\tau = \eta/k = \eta \rho_*$.

We now consider a family of observers at different spatial locations ρ , each with his own constant accelerations. The lines of constant ρ are just their trajectories on the xt plane, while the lines of constant η are the ones that satisfy:

$$\frac{t}{x} = \tanh \eta \Rightarrow \eta = \tanh^{-1} \frac{t}{x}$$

So constant η means constant t/x, thus a line of constant slope. So the x axis corresponds to $\eta = 0$, and the two 45° degree boundaries of the light correspond to $\eta = \pm \infty$. Plotting these lines is useful to see the action of the change of variables. The coordinates so defined, named **Rindler Coordinates**, cover one quadrant of the Minkowski spacetime.

We can now compute the *line element* on this coordinate set:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + dx^{2} = -(d\rho \sinh \eta + \rho \cosh \eta d\eta)^{2} + (d\rho \cosh \eta + \rho \sinh \eta d\eta)^{2} =$$
$$= -\rho^{2} d\eta^{2} + d\rho^{2}$$

This is the **Rindler Spacetime**, describing one quarter of Minkowski spacetime and adapted to an *accelerating* observer.

Consider now the worldline of an observer at rest (a vertical line in the xt plane) lying at $x = x_0$, and two events A and B with $\Delta t = t_B - t_A = x_0$. For a Rindler observer, however, the first event A is seen at $\eta_A = 0$ (more precisely, it can be reconstructed from a light signal arriving some time later), and the second at $\eta_B = \infty$. Note that, due to acceleration, at some point the emitted light from the resting observer cannot be seen by the accelerated one. So, an accelerating observer generates an horizon - similar to the one of a blackhole.