# 0.1 Concepts

### 0.1.1 Planck's scale

The Compton wavelength for an energetic particle is defined as:

$$\lambda = \frac{\hbar c}{\mathcal{E}}$$

(Lesson? of

December 13,

13/12/19) Compiled:

2019

Recall the expression for the Schwarzschild radius:

$$r_s = \frac{GM}{c^2} = \frac{G\mathcal{E}}{c^4}$$

If  $\lambda < r_S$  we are in **quantum gravity** regime. This happens when:

$$\frac{\hbar c}{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{G\mathcal{E}}{c^4} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^5}{G}} = 1.96 \times 10^9 \,\mathrm{J}$$

This is called **Planck energy**. Beyond this energy scale, quantum field theory breaks down, as the particles *collapse* into black holes.

Recall that  $1 \text{ eV} = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$ , and so:

$$\mathcal{E}_p = 1.22 \times 10^{19} \,\text{GeV}$$

In c = 1 units, this energy corresponds also to a mass, denoted as **Planck mass**. We also introduce the *reduced planck mass* defined as:

$$M_p = \frac{\mathcal{E}_p}{\sqrt{8\pi}} = 2.43 \times 10^{19} \,\text{GeV}$$

#### 0.1.2 Natural units

We start by defining c = 1 as a dimensionless quantity, meaning that lengths and times have the same dimension.

Also setting  $\hbar = 1$  means that angular momentum is dimensionless:

$$1 = [{\rm Angular\ Momentum}] = [{\rm Length}][{\rm Velocity}][{\rm Mass}]$$

This means that:

$$[Length] = [Time] = \frac{1}{[Mass]} = \frac{1}{[Energy]}$$

We can now rewrite Einstein's equations in natural units. Recall that:

$$G_{\mu\nu}=8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}$$

Then from:

$$M_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{G}}}_{\mathcal{E}_p} \Rightarrow 8\pi G = \frac{1}{M_p^2}$$

and so:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{T_{\mu\nu}}{M_p^2}$$

## 0.2 Cosmology

Consider a *homogeneous* and *isotropic* universe, i.e. the Friedman Lemaitre Robertson Walker solution (FLRW).

- Homogeneous: any point is "like" every other point
- Isotropic: any direction is "like" every other direction

For example, a system that is *homogeneous but not isotropic* is the volume inside a charged capacitor (the preferred direction is given by the electric field). An example for a system that is *isotropic but not homogeneous* is the field generated by a point-charge, as it is spherically symmetric.

There are three possible cases of curvature:

- Flat: 0 curvature, meaning that the angles of any triangle add up to 180°.
- Closed: Positive curvature, where the angles of a triangle add up to  $> 180^{\circ}$
- Open: negative curvature (like the surface of a saddle), where the angles of a triangle add up to < 180°

Experimentally, our universe is very close to being flat. However, as very large radii R of curvature generate spaces that are very close to flat, we cannot know for sure.

The FLRW solution supposes a *flat* universe:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)[dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}]$$

The only possible non-zero Christoffel's symbols are:

$$\Gamma^0_{ij}, \qquad \Gamma^i_{0j}$$

Expanding:

$$\Gamma^{0}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{00}(g_{0j,i} + g_{i0,j} - g_{ij,0}) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)(-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a^{2}\delta_{ij}) = a\dot{a}\delta_{ij}$$

as  $g_{00} = 1$ ,  $g_{0i} = 0$  and  $g_{ij} = a^2 \delta_{ij}$ .

$$\Gamma_{0j}^{i} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ik}g_{jk,0} = \frac{1}{2}a^{-2}\delta_{ik}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a^{2}\delta_{kj}) = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\delta_{ij}$$

Then  $\Gamma_{ii}^0 = 3a\dot{a}$  (repeated indices denote a sum), and  $\Gamma_{0i}^i = 3\dot{a}/a$ .

We can now compute the Ricci tensors.  $R_{0i}$  is immediately 0, as there are no non-vanishing  $g_{0i}$ , and also no non-zero spatial derivatives - so there isn't anything that can contribute to indices 0i. We also know that  $R_{ij}$  must be proportional to  $\delta_{ij}$  - as all spatial derivatives vanish.

Then, recall the full formula:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\alpha} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\lambda\alpha} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\alpha}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\nu\lambda}$$

and then we can compute:

$$R_{00} = \partial_{\alpha} F_{00}^{\alpha} - \partial_{0} \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{\alpha} + \Gamma_{00}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\lambda\alpha}^{\alpha} - \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{0\lambda}^{\alpha}$$

as  $\Gamma_{00}^{\alpha}=0$ . Then, for the second term,  $\alpha$  can only range over the spatial indices, and the same for the last term:

$$R_{00} = -\partial_0 \Gamma_{0i}^i - \Gamma_{0j}^i \Gamma_{0i}^j = -\partial_0 \left(\frac{3\dot{a}}{a}\right) - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \delta_{ij} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \delta_{ji} =$$

$$= -\frac{3\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{3\dot{a}^2}{a^2} - 3\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = -3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}$$

as  $\delta_{ij}\delta_{ji}=(\mathbb{I}_3)_{ii}=3.$ Then:

$$R_{ij} = \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma^{\alpha}_{ij} - \partial_{j} \mathcal{V}^{\alpha}_{i\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{ij} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\lambda} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{i\alpha} \Gamma^{\alpha}_{j\lambda}$$

where the second term vanishes are there are no non-zero spatial derivatives. Then  $\Gamma_{ij}^{\alpha} \neq 0$  only for  $\alpha = 0$ , and the same for the second term. For the last, if  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\lambda = k$ , or if  $\alpha = k$  then  $\lambda = 0$ :

$$\begin{split} R_{ij} &= \partial_0 \Gamma^0_{ij} + \Gamma^0_{ij} \Gamma^k_{0k} - \Gamma^0_{ik} \Gamma^k_{j0} - \Gamma^k_{i0} \Gamma^0_{jk} = \\ &= \partial_0 [a\dot{a}\delta_{ij}] + a\dot{a}\delta_{ij} 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} - a\dot{a}\delta_{ik}\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\delta_{kj} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\delta_{ki}a\dot{a}\delta_{jk} = \\ &= (a\ddot{a} + \dot{a}^2)\delta_{ij} + 3\dot{a}^2\delta_{ij} - \dot{a}^2\delta_{ij} - \dot{a}^2\delta_{ij} = (a\ddot{a} + 2\dot{a}^2)\delta_{ij} = \\ &= \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{2\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right)a^2\delta_{ij} \end{split}$$

Summarizing, we have:

$$R_{00} = -\frac{3\ddot{a}}{a}$$

$$R_{ij} = a^2 \delta_{ij} \left[ \frac{2\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right]$$

The scalar curvature R is then:

$$R = g^{00}R_{00} + g^{ij}R_{ij} = \frac{3\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{a^2}a^2\delta_{ij}\left[\frac{2\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right] = \frac{6\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{6\ddot{a}}{a}$$

We then compute the Einstein tensor:

$$G_{00} = R_{00} - \frac{R}{2}g_{00} = -\frac{3\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{3\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{3\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{3\dot{a}^2}{a^2}$$

$$G_{ij} = R_{ij} - \frac{R}{2}g_{ij} = a^2\delta_{ij} \left[ \frac{2\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right] - a^2\delta_{ij} \left[ \frac{3\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{3\ddot{a}}{a} \right] = a^2\delta_{ij} \left[ -\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} - \frac{2\ddot{a}}{a} \right]$$

We fix the energy-momentum tensor, considering a universe filled by a perfect fluid:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + pg^{\mu\nu}$$

where  $\rho$  is the energy density, p is the pressure and  $u^{\nu}$  the 4-velocity. Recall that:

$$u^{\mu} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\tau}, \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\right)$$

We know that  $u^0 = dt / d\tau > 0$ , and also that:

$$0 = G_{0i} = \frac{T_{0i}}{M_p^2} \qquad T_{0i} = (\rho + p)u^0 u^i + pg^{6i}$$

and so  $u^i = 0$ , meaning that the *cosmic fluid* is *at rest*. Obviously, this can't happen in *every frame*, meaning that there is a **special frame of reference**: that where the cosmic fluid is at rest. This means that, while the theory is Lorentz-invariant, the universe *isn't*, because there is a uniquely identifiable special frame of reference (that of an observer stationary with respect to the Cosmic Microwave Background, meaning that he does not observe any dipole effect).

As  $u^i = 0$ , from  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = g_{00} = -1$  we have  $u^0 = 1$ . This leads to:

$$T^{00} = \rho + p - p = \rho \Rightarrow T_{00} = \rho$$
$$T_{ij} = pg_{ij} = a^2 p \delta_{ij}$$
$$T_{0i} = 0$$

We can finally write the Einstein's equations:

$$\frac{3\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{\rho}{M_p^2}$$
$$a^2 \delta_{ij} \left[ -\frac{2\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \right] = a^2 \delta_{ij} \frac{p}{M_p^2}$$

leading to:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{3\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{\rho}{M_p^2} \\ -\frac{2\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{\rho}{M_p^2} \end{cases}$$

Let's now verify Bianchi identity:

$$\nabla_{\mu}G^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

For  $\nu = 0$ :

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\mu}G^{\mu 0} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\mu\lambda}G^{\lambda 0} + \Gamma^{0}_{\mu\lambda}G^{\mu\lambda} &= \partial_{0}G^{00} + \Gamma^{i}_{i0}G^{00} + \Gamma^{0}_{ij}G^{ij} = \\ &= \partial_{0}\left(\frac{3\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}}\right) + \frac{3\dot{a}}{a}\frac{3\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}} + a\dot{a}\delta_{ij}\frac{1}{a^{2}}\delta_{ij}\left[-\frac{2\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}}\right] = \\ &= 6\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left[\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}}\right] + \frac{9\dot{a}^{3}}{a^{3}} - 6\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{3\dot{a}^{3}}{a^{3}} = 0 \end{split}$$

And for  $\nu = 1$ :

$$\partial_{\mu}G^{\mu i} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\mu\lambda}G^{\lambda i} + \Gamma^{i}_{\mu\lambda}G^{\mu\lambda} = 0$$

In the first term, a non-vanishing derivative implies  $\mu = 0$ , but  $G^{\mu i} \neq 0$  for  $\mu = i$ , and so the term vanishes. A similar reasoning applies to the other two terms. So, the Bianchi identity is satisfied.

We want now to verify  $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}=0$ , which directly follows from Einstein's equation. This is a **local conservation law**.

For  $\nu = 0$ :

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu 0} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\mu \lambda}T^{\lambda 0} + \Gamma^{0}_{\mu \lambda}T^{\mu \lambda} &= \partial_{0}T^{00} + \Gamma^{i}_{i0}T^{i0} + \Gamma^{0}_{ij}T^{ij} = \\ &= \partial_{0}\rho + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\rho + \dot{a}a\delta_{ij}\frac{1}{a^{2}}\delta_{ij}p = \dot{\rho} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\rho + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}p = 0 \end{split}$$

So we get another equation:

$$\dot{\rho} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(\rho + p) = 0$$

As  $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$  is a consequence of Einstein's equation, this relation we just found can be retrieved by manipulating the two equations we previously got. First, let's examine quickly the remaining case for  $\nu = i$ :

$$\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu i} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\mu \lambda} T^{\lambda i} + \Gamma^{i}_{\mu \lambda} T^{\mu \lambda} = 0$$

which is trivially satisfied.

Then, taking the  $\partial_0$  of the first Einstein's equation we get:

$$6\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left[\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right] = \frac{\dot{\rho}}{M_p^2}$$

And multiplying the second one by  $3\dot{a}/a$ :

$$-6\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 3\frac{\dot{a}^3}{a^3} = \frac{3\dot{a}}{a}\frac{p}{M_p^2}$$

If we now add them, we can remove the  $\ddot{a}$  term:

$$-9\frac{\dot{a}^3}{a^3} = \frac{\dot{\rho} + \frac{3\dot{a}}{a}p}{M_p^2}$$

Taking again the first equation and multiplying it by  $3\dot{a}/a$  leads to:

$$\frac{9\dot{a}^3}{a^3} = \frac{3\dot{a}}{a} \frac{\rho}{M_p^2}$$

And adding these two equations makes  $\dot{a}^3$  vanish:

$$0 = \frac{\dot{\rho} + \frac{3\dot{a}}{a}p + \frac{3\dot{a}}{a}\rho}{M_p^2} \Rightarrow \dot{\rho} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(\rho + p) = 0$$

and this is the equation we got from  $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}=0$ , proving that indeed it follows only from the other two.

To solve these equations, as one of them is redundant, we can *consider only two* of them at a time. The easy choice is the first and the third, as they're both first order:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\rho} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(\rho + p) = 0\\ \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{\rho}{3M_p^2} \end{cases}$$

#### 0.2.1 Sources

The equation of state reads:

$$w \equiv \frac{p}{\rho}$$

If w = -1, then  $p = -\rho$  and so  $\rho = \text{constant}$ . This means that, for an expanding universe, the energy density does not drop - meaning that space itself has energy, called **vacuum energy**.

This is coherent with particle physics, as *vacuum* just denotes the *lowest energy* state (e.g. the Higgs potential). However, this creates problems with quantum mechanics.

## 0.2.2 Einstein cosmological constant

Einstein's equation can, in principle, be modified in the following way:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}}{M_p^2} = \frac{1}{M_p^2} T_{\mu\nu}$$

which satisfies Bianchi identity if  $\Lambda$  is a constant:

$$\nabla_{\mu}(\Lambda g^{\mu\nu}) = 0$$

as the metric is covariantly constant.

Rearranging:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{M_p^2} \left( T_{\mu\nu} - \Lambda M_p^2 g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

and so we can interpret the role of  $\Lambda$  (cosmological constant) as a *source* of energy. The components of the Einstein tensor become:

$$G_{00} = \frac{1}{M_p^2} \left( \rho + \Lambda M_p^2 \right)$$

$$G_{ij} = \frac{1}{M_p^2} \left( a^2 \delta_{ij} p - \Lambda M_p^2 a^2 \delta_{ij} \right)$$

And defining:

$$\rho_{\text{tot}} = \rho + \Lambda M_p^2$$
$$p_{\text{tot}} = p - \Lambda M_p^2$$

and so:

$$\frac{p_{\Lambda}}{\rho_{\Lambda}} = -1$$

So the cosmological constant has the same effect of a vacuum energy (that we saw in the previous paragraph). The idea is that, if  $\Lambda$  is very small, it will not be measurable inside the solar system, but it will have a significant effect on the evolution of the entire universe.