

## 0.1 Previous lecture summary

We arrived at an equation for the motion of light:

$$\frac{1}{l^2} \left( \frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 + W_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{b^2}; \quad W_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right); \quad b^2 = \frac{l^2}{e^2}$$

If:

$$\frac{e^2}{l^2} = \frac{1}{b^2} < \frac{1}{27G^2M^2}$$

the photon has enough angular momentum ( $\sqrt{27}eGM$ ) to “bounce back”: it reaches a minimum distance from the black hole, and then escapes to infinity.

**Note:** the geodesic followed by a photon *does not depend* on its energy (nor on its wavelength).

## 0.2 Scattering

Consider a  $\hat{x}\hat{y}$  plane, with a photon travelling from  $x = +\infty$  and  $y = d$  (**impact parameter**) directed as  $-\hat{x}$ . At the origin there is a point mass  $M$ . We study the photon's motion in polar coordinates  $(r, \varphi)$ .

When it's very far away, the metric is that of Minkowski, and so:

$$d \approx r\varphi$$

Then:

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{dr}{dt} \frac{d\varphi}{dr} = -1 \cdot \frac{-d}{r^2} = \frac{d}{r^2}$$

because the photon is far away,  $r \approx x$ , and so the photon moves *radially*. Then we note that:

$$b = \frac{l}{e} = \frac{r^2 d\varphi / d\lambda}{dt / d\lambda} = r^2 \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = d$$

And so  $b$  is the impact parameter  $d$ . After approaching  $M$ , the photon will be scattered at an angle  $\Delta\varphi$ , maintaining the same impact parameter  $b$  relative to a *tilted* axis. We define the angle of deflection as  $\delta\varphi_{\text{defl}} = \Delta\varphi - \pi$ , so that if the motion is *perfectly straight* we have  $\varphi_{\text{in}} = 0$ ,  $\varphi_{\text{out}} = \pi$  and  $\delta\varphi = 0$ . We want to know the trajectory  $r(\varphi)$ , and so we change variables:

$$l = r^2 \frac{d\varphi}{d\lambda} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{d\lambda} = \frac{l}{r^2} \frac{d}{d\varphi}$$

We assume that  $M$  is small, and search an explicit expression for  $\delta\varphi_{\text{defl}}$ .

(Lesson ? of  
22/11/19)  
Compiled:  
November 22,  
2019

Substituting in the geodesics equation for light:

$$\frac{1}{l^2} \frac{l^2}{r^5} \left( \frac{dr}{d\varphi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right) = \frac{1}{b^2}$$

To simplify the problem we introduce  $u \equiv 1/r$ , so that:

$$\frac{dr}{d\varphi} = -\frac{1}{u^2} \frac{du}{d\varphi}$$

Substituting back:

$$\cancel{l^2} \frac{1}{\cancel{l^2}} \left( \frac{du}{d\varphi} \right)^2 + u^2 (1 - 2GMu) = \frac{1}{b^2}$$

As we did before, we differentiate wrt  $u$ , leading to:

$$2 \frac{du}{d\varphi} \frac{d^2u}{d\varphi^2} + 2u \frac{du}{d\varphi} - 6GMu^2 \frac{du}{d\varphi} = 0$$

and then divide by  $2 du / d\varphi$ , arriving at:

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\varphi^2} + u = 3GMu^2$$

Note that  $du / d\varphi$  will be  $= 0$  at only *one point*, so we can work around it by solving the equation in the two sides and matching the two solutions.

We will solve this in a *perturbative* way, assuming that  $GM$  is small. Here, the solution will be almost a straight line, parametrized by  $b = r \sin \varphi$  (ignoring the  $u^2$  term leads to the *harmonic oscillator* differential equation, and the only solution that satisfies the boundary conditions is the one with the  $\sin$ ), so that:

$$b = \frac{\sin \varphi}{u} \Rightarrow u = \frac{1}{b} \sin \varphi$$

We add a small perturbation to it:

$$u(\varphi) = \frac{1}{b} [\sin \varphi + W(\varphi)] \quad w \ll 1$$

Substituting in the equation:

$$-\cancel{\frac{1}{b} \sin \varphi} + \frac{1}{b} \frac{d^2w}{d\varphi^2} + \cancel{\frac{1}{b} \sin \varphi} + \frac{1}{b} w \approx 3GM \frac{\sin^2 \varphi}{b^2}$$

As the  $u^2$  term is already small, we can substitute in it only the *unperturbed* solution (straight line), as adding the perturbation will only lead to higher order terms. So, we arrive at:

$$\frac{d^2w}{d\varphi^2} + w \approx \frac{3GM}{b} \sin^2 \varphi$$

To solve this, we make an *ansatz*:

$$w = A + B \sin^2 \varphi$$

with  $A$  and  $B$  constants. This is because  $w''$  will produce something of the form of what appears in the equation.

So, computing the two derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw}{d\varphi} &= 2B \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \\ \frac{d^2w}{d\varphi^2} &= 2B[\cos^2 \varphi - \sin^2 \varphi] \stackrel{(a)}{=} 2B - 4B \sin^2 \varphi \end{aligned}$$

where in (a) we used  $\cos^2 \varphi = 1 - \sin^2 \varphi$ . Substituting in the equation:

$$(2B - 4B \sin^2 \varphi) + (A + B \sin^2 \varphi) = \frac{3GM}{b} \sin^2 \varphi$$

Equating the left and right sides leads to the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} 2B + A = 0 \\ -3B = \frac{3GM}{b} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} A = \frac{2GM}{b} \\ B = -\frac{GM}{b} \end{cases}$$

and so the final solution is:

$$w = \frac{2GM}{b} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sin^2 \varphi}{2} \right] \quad \left( \frac{GM}{b} \right) \ll 1$$

What is the physical meaning of this solution? First we substitute back to compute  $u(\varphi)$ :

$$u(\varphi) = \frac{1}{b} \left[ \sin \varphi + \frac{2GM}{b} \left( 1 - \frac{\sin^2 \varphi}{2} \right) \right]$$

We are interested in the behaviour in the infinite past/future, i.e. its asymptotic behaviour, where  $r = \infty \Rightarrow u = 0$ . We already know that  $\varphi = 0$  will be approximately a solution (as we are working perturbatively). So we know that:

$$\varphi_{\text{in}} = \epsilon_{\text{in}} \quad \varphi_{\text{out}} = \pi + \epsilon_{\text{out}}$$

with  $\epsilon_{\text{in}}, \epsilon_{\text{out}} \ll 1$ . Ignoring higher order terms:

$$0 = \left[ \sin \epsilon_{\text{in}} + \frac{2GM}{b} \right] \approx \epsilon_{\text{in}} + \frac{2GM}{b} \Rightarrow \epsilon_{\text{in}} \approx -\frac{2GM}{b}$$

where we used  $\sin(x) \approx x$  for  $x \approx 0$ . The other solution will be:

$$0 = \sin \left( \pi + \epsilon_{\text{out}} + \frac{2GM}{b} \right) \approx -\epsilon_{\text{out}} + \frac{2GM}{b} \Rightarrow \epsilon_{\text{out}} \approx \frac{2GM}{b}$$

and so:

$$\varphi_{\text{in}} \approx -\frac{2GM}{b}; \quad \varphi_{\text{out}} \approx \pi + \frac{2GM}{b}$$

So the path of light is slightly *bent* by the presence of the central mass  $M$ , with a deflection:

$$\delta\varphi_{\text{defl}} \approx \frac{4GM}{b}$$

This result was used in the first proof of GR. In 1919, sir Arthur Eddington observed a deviation in the position of a star when the Sun passed close to its line of sight (the observation was made during a total solar eclipse, otherwise it would've been impossible to see). However, this is a really tiny effect:

$$\delta\varphi_{\text{defl}} \approx 1.7''$$

### 0.3 Schwarzschild Horizon

Recall the Schwarzschild line element:

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2$$

To study the *structure* of a geometry is very useful to plot *light cones*. Let's ignore angular motion and consider only the radial one. So  $ds^2 = 0$  when:

$$0 = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 \Rightarrow \frac{dt}{dr} = \pm \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}$$

When  $r = \infty$  (huge distance from the central mass)  $dt = dr$ , and so the light cones are the same as the ones from Minkowski's spacetime. Approaching  $r = 2GM$ , the light cones (plotted on a  $t$  over  $r$  plane) become "thinner", meaning that photons appear to cover less and less  $ds$  for a given  $dt$  as their source approaches the horizon (and massive particles move even less). Explicitly, we can integrate the  $dt$  and  $dr$  relation:

$$\int_0^t dt = \int_{r_*}^{r(t)} \frac{dr}{1 - 2GM/r} \quad r_* > 2GM$$

This integral evaluates to:

$$t = \int_{r(t)}^{r_*} dr \frac{r}{r - 2GM} = \int_{r(t)}^{r_*} dr + 2GM \int_{r(t)}^{r_*} dr \frac{1}{r - 2GM}$$

and so:

$$t = r_* - r(t) + 2GM \ln(r_* - 2GM) - 2GM \ln(r(t) - 2GM)$$

the yellow term is always finite, but the blue ones diverges as  $r(t) \rightarrow 2GM$ , meaning that an object can never reach the horizon.

However,  $t$  for now is just a coordinate: what does it physically mean?

Consider a far away observer ( $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ ) that it's looking towards a particle falling toward  $M$ , and which is at rest wrt  $M$  (so that  $\tau = t$ ). So  $t$  is the *proper time* of such an observer, meaning that from the point of view of this person no object can reach the horizon in a finite time. However, as we computed during last lecture, the *falling observer* will reach and traverse the horizon in a finite time.

We also note that the *far away* observer will see the falling object as *increasingly red*. In fact, if we computed the gravitational redshift effect (neglecting the one due to motion) we find that:

$$f_{\text{obs}} = f_{\text{emit}} \sqrt{\frac{-g_{00}(\text{emit})}{-g_{00}(\text{obs})}}$$

as the observer is at rest in a Minkowski's space, we have  $g_{00}(\text{obs}) = -1$  and so:

$$f_{\text{obs}} = f_{\text{emit}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{r}}$$

and as  $r \rightarrow 2GM$  we have  $f_{\text{obs}} \rightarrow 0$ , meaning that, at some points, the received information *stops*. This is to be expected: the falling observer can send only a finite amount of information, as he reaches the horizon in a finite time, while the observer sees this phenomenon as *stretched* to an infinite time - so he cannot receive an *infinite* information.

This phenomenon suggests that the Schwarzschild horizon is just a by-product of coordinates.

## 0.4 Rindler Spacetime & Rindler Horizon

What is a Minkowski Spacetime seen by an accelerated observer?

Recall the homework from week 2, where we considered a trajectory:

$$x(t) = \frac{c}{k} \left[ \sqrt{1 + k^2 t^2} - 1 \right]$$

which is the trajectory of an observer experiencing constant acceleration  $a = ck$ . Now let's consider  $c = 1$ , and ignore the constant  $-1$  in the square parentheses, leading to:

$$x(t) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + k^2 t^2}}{k}$$

For  $t = -\infty$ ,  $x = +\infty$ ;  $t = 0 \Rightarrow x = 1/k$  and  $t = +\infty \Rightarrow x = +\infty$ . The proper time of such observer is given by:

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2 \Big|_{\text{trajectory}} = -dt^2 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 \right]$$

Rearranging:

$$d\tau = dt \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2} = dt \left[1 - \frac{k^2 t^2}{1 + k^2 t^2}\right]^{1/2} = \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 + k^2 t^2}}$$

Integrating:

$$\int_0^\tau d\tau = \int_0^t \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 + k^2 t^2}} \Rightarrow \tau = \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{arcsinh}(kt)$$

So we can parametrize the trajectory using the proper time:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{1}{k} \sinh(k\tau) \\ x &= \frac{1}{k} \cosh(k\tau) \end{aligned}$$

We want now to construct a coordinate system where the observer is at a fixed spatial position and where time is equal to the proper time measured by the observer (up to a constant). We choose:

$$\begin{cases} t = \rho \sinh \eta \\ x = \rho \cosh \eta \end{cases}$$

Now the observer is at a fixed space coordinate  $\rho_* = 1/k$  and measures a proper time  $\tau = \eta/k = \eta\rho_*$ .

We now consider a *family of observers* at different spatial locations  $\rho$ , each with his own constant accelerations. The lines of *constant*  $\rho$  are just their trajectories on the  $xt$  plane, while the lines of constant  $\eta$  are the ones that satisfy:

$$\frac{t}{x} = \tanh \eta \Rightarrow \eta = \tanh^{-1} \frac{t}{x}$$

So constant  $\eta$  means constant  $t/x$ , thus a line of *constant slope*. So the  $x$  axis corresponds to  $\eta = 0$ , and the two  $45^\circ$  degree boundaries of the light correspond to  $\eta = \pm\infty$ . Plotting these lines is useful to *see* the action of the change of variables. The coordinates so defined, named **Rindler Coordinates**, cover *one quadrant* of the Minkowski spacetime.

We can now compute the *line element* on this coordinate set:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + dx^2 = -(d\rho \sinh \eta + \rho \cosh \eta d\eta)^2 + (d\rho \cosh \eta + \rho \sinh \eta d\eta)^2 = \\ &= -\rho^2 d\eta^2 + d\rho^2 \end{aligned}$$

This is the **Rindler Spacetime**, describing one quarter of Minkowski spacetime and adapted to an *accelerating* observer.

Consider now the worldline of an observer at rest (a vertical line in the  $xt$  plane) lying at  $x = x_0$ , and two events  $A$  and  $B$  with  $\Delta t = t_B - t_A = x_0$ . For a Rindler observer, however, the first event  $A$  is seen at  $\eta_A = 0$  (more precisely, it can be *reconstructed* from a light signal arriving some time later), and the second at  $\eta_B = \infty$ . Note that, due to acceleration, at some point the emitted light from the resting observer cannot be seen by the accelerated one. So, an *accelerating observer* generates an horizon - similar to the one of a blackhole.