
Anaerobic Digester Model #1 Fast (ADM1F) 0.1

Elchin Jafarov, Satish Karra, Kuang Zhu, Wenjuan Zhang, and Kurt Solander

User Guide:

1	Compile ADM1F	3
2	Running ADM1F	5
3	ADM1F SRT	7
4	Inputs/Outputs 4.1 Initial Conditions [ic.dat]	10 11
5	Examples 5.1 Jupyter Notebook	1 7 17
6	Join Us on Slack	49

Anaerobic digestion (AD) process converts organic wastes into biogas. Biogas can generate heat and electricity through a cascade of biochemical reactions and has been adapted by various facilities and industries to treat and recover energy from high-strength liquid or solid waste streams. Anaerobic Digestion Model 1 (ADM1) is a mathematical model that describes the stoichiometry and kinetics of the essential biochemical reactions in AD. This repository includes C++ version of the Matlab/Simulink¹ version of the ADM1 model and the solid retention time (SRT) version². The C++ version of the model is computationally more efficient than its Matlab/Simulink predecessor. We called this version of the model Anaerobic Digestion Model 1 Fast (ADM1F).



User Guide:

¹ Rosen C., Vrecko D., Gernaey K.V., Pons M.-N. and Jeppsson U. (2006). Implementing ADM1 for plant-wide benchmark simulations in Matlab/Simulink. Water Sci. Technol., 54(4), 11-19.
² Zhu et al, in prep. A Novel Core-shell ADM1 model allows rapid optimization of membrane anaerobic digestion

² Zhu et al, in prep. A Novel Core-shell ADM1 model allows rapid optimization of membrane anaerobic digestion processes

2 User Guide:

Compile ADM1F

1. ADM1F uses external numerical library package PETSc. First download PETSc:

```
$ cd build; git clone -b release https://gitlab.com/petsc/petsc.git petsc
```

```
$ cd petsc; git checkout v3.14
```

2. Set **PETSC_DIR** and **PETSC_ARCH** in your environmental variables. We suggest to put these lines in your ~/.bashrc or similar files (~/.bash_profile on Mac OS X). Once you add it into the bash file, run source ~/.bash_profile:

```
$ export PETSC_DIR=/path-to-my-ADM1F-folder/build/petsc
```

and:

```
$ export PETSC_ARCH=macx-debug
```

Make sure that 'adolc-utils' folder is in the 'build' folder.

3. Configure PETSC:

```
$ ./configure --download-mpich --with-cc=clang --with-fc=gfortran --with-debugging=0 --
--download-adolc PETSC_ARCH=macx-debug --with-cxx-dialect=C++11 --download-colpack
```

NOTE: that these are for Mac OSX. If you are installing on a linux machine, then replace **clang** with **gcc**. Also, sometimes turning off -with-fc=0 could help with compilation. This step will take awhile.

4. If configuration goes well, you can then compile. This step will take awhile too.:

```
$ make PETSC_DIR=/path-to-my-ADM1F-folder/build/petsc PETSC_ARCH=macx-debug all
```

- 5. After compilation, PETSc will show you how to test your installation (testing is optional).
- 6. Navigate back to the *build* folder (cd .../) and compile adm1f:

```
$ make adm1f
```

or:

\$ make

7. Set **ADM1F_EXE** in your environmental variable. Add this line in your ~/.bashrc or similar files (~/.bash_profile on Mac OS X). Once you add it into the bash file, do not forget to source ~/.bash_profile:

\$ export ADM1F_EXE=path-to-my-ADM1F-folder/build/adm1f

8. NOTE: There are two versions of the ADMF1: the original version (adm1f.cxx), and the modified version of the model (adm1f_srt.cxx, see $ADM1F\ SRT$).

Running ADM1F

1. Make sure that **ADM1F EXE:** is not empty (see step 7 from the previous section).:

```
$ echo $ADM1F_EXE
```

2. Navigate to the *simulations* folder and run the model:

```
$ $ADM1F_EXE
or using command-line options (see 4 and 5):
$ $ADM1F_EXE -ts_monitor -steady
```

- 3. Note that adm1f will look for three files *ic.dat*, *params.dat*, and *influent.dat*, which contain the initial conditions (45 values), parameters (100 values), and influent values (28 values), see *Inputs/Outputs*.
- 4. The command-line options are:
 - \bullet -Cat [val] mass of Cat+ added [kmol/m3]
 - -Vliq [val] volume of liquid [m3]
 - -Vgas [val] volume of liquid [m3]
 - -t resx [val] -SRT adjustment: t resx = SRT-HRT, [d] (works only for adm1f srt.cxx)
 - -params file [filename] specify params filename (default is params.dat)
 - -ic_file [filename] specify initial conditions filename (default is ic.dat)
 - -influent_file [filename] specify influent filename (default is influent.dat)
 - -ts_monitor shows the timestep and time information on screen
 - -steady run as steady state else runs as transient
 - $\bullet\,$ -debug gives out more details on the screen
- 5. More command-line options can be found here.

ADM1F SRT

The adm1f_srt.cxx version includes solid retention time (SRT) and other modifications described below. To switch to the SRT version of the model change 'EXAMPLESC = adm1f_srt.cxx', 'OBJECTS_PF = adm1f_srt.o', and 'adm1f: adm1f_srt.o' in the build/makefile. Then recompile the model (Compile ADM1F, step 6).

- Includes a term (T_resx) in the mass balance to separate the solids retention time from hydraulic retention time.
- Uses the empirical Hill function that describes the inhibition of acetogenesis and hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis by acetic acid with the noncompetitive inhibition model¹².
- Describes the inhibition of acetic acid on acetoclastic methanogenesis with the Haldane equation³⁴.
- Includes a adsorption-inhibition term describing the long-chain fatty acid⁶ (LCFA) inhibition of LCFA degradation and methanogenesis.
- Includes Arrhenius equations describing the effect of temperature on bioreaction kinetics⁵.
- Includes a cation term to simulate the addition of NaOH for pH adjustment.

References

¹ Love, N. G., R. J. Smith, K. R. Gilmore, and C. W. Randall. 1999. Oxime inhibition of nitrification during treatment of an ammonia-containing industrial waste. Water Environment Research 71:418–26.

² Oslislo, A., and Z. Lewandowski. 1985. Inhibition of nitrification in the packed bed reactors by selected organic compounds. Water Research 19:423–26.

³ Haldane, J. B. S. 1930. Enzymes. London: Longmans.

⁴ Andrews, J. F. 1968. A mathematical model for the continuous culture of microorganisms utilizing inhibitory substrates. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 10:707–23.

⁶ Palatsi, J., Illa, J., Prenafeta-Boldú, F.X., Laureni, M., Fernandez, B., Angelidaki, I., Flotats. X. 2010. Long-chain fatty acids inhibition and adaptation process in anaerobic thermophilic digestion: Batch tests, microbial community structure and mathematical modelling. Bioresource Technology. 101, 7, 2243-2251.

⁵ Novak, J. T. 1974. Temperature-substrate interactions in biological treatment. Journal, Water Pollution Control Federation 46:1984–94.

Inputs/Outputs

4.1 Initial Conditions [ic.dat]

Index	Notation	Unit	Description	
1	S su	kgCOD/m3	soluble monosaccharides	
2	S aa	kgCOD/m3	soluble amino acids	
3	S fa	kgCOD/m3	soluble total LCFA	
4	S va	kgCOD/m3	soluble total valerate	
5	S bu	kgCOD/m3	soluble total butyrate	
6	S pro	kgCOD/m3	soluble total propionate	
7	S ac	kgCOD/m3	soluble acetate	
8	S h2	kgCOD/m3	hydrogen gas	
9	S ch4	kgCOD/m3	methane gas	
10	S IC	kmoleC/m3	soluble inorganic carbon	
11	S IN	kmoleC/m3	soluble inorganic nitrogen	
12	SI	kgCOD/m3	soluble inert materials	
13	X c biom	kgCOD/m3	particulate of composites	
14	X ch biom	kgCOD/m3	particulate of carbohydrate	
15	X pr biom	kgCOD/m3	particulate of proteins	
16	X li biom	kgCOD/m3	particulate of lipids	
17	X su	kgCOD/m3	monosaccharides degraders (microorganisms)	
18	X_aa	kgCOD/m3	amino acids degraders (microorganisms)	
19	X_fa	kgCOD/m3	LCFA degraders (microorganisms)	
20	X_c4	kgCOD/m3	valerate and butyrate degraders (microorgan-	
			isms)	
21	X_pro	kgCOD/m3	propionate degraders (microorganisms)	
22	X_ac	kgCOD/m3	acetate degraders (microorganisms)	
23	X_h2	kgCOD/m3	hydrogen degraders (microorganisms)	
24	X_I	${\rm kgCOD/m3}$	particulate of inerts	
25	S_cation	kmole/m3	cations (strong base)	
26	S_anion	kmole/m3	anions (strong acid)	
27	S_hva	${\rm kgCOD/m3}$	soluble valerate acid	
28	S_hbu	${\rm kgCOD/m3}$	soluble butyratic acid	
29	S_hpro	${ m kgCOD/m3}$	soluble propionatic acid	
30	S_hac	kgCOD/m3	soluble acetatic acid	
31	S_hco3	kmole/m3	soluble bicarbonate	
32	S_nh3	$\rm kmole/m3$	soluble ammonia	
33	S_gas_h2	kgCOD/m3	soluble hydrogen gas	
34	S_gas_ch4	kgCOD/m3	soluble methane gas	

Table 1- continued from previous page

Index	Notation	Unit	Description
35	S_gas_co2	kmole/m3	soluble carbon dioxide gas
36	Q	m3/d	flow rate
37	Temp	°C	temperature
38	S_D1_D	unitless	Dummy
39	S_D2_D	unitless	Dummy
40	S_D3_D	unitless	Dummy
41	X_D4_D	unitless	Dummy
42	X_D5_D	unitless	Dummy
43	S_H_ion	kmoleH+/m3	soluble hydrogen ion
44	S_co2	kmoleC/m3	soluble carbon dioxide
45	S_nh4	kmoleN/m3	soluble ammonium

4.2 Influent Data [influent.dat]

Index	Notation	Unit	Description	
1	S_su_in	kgCOD/m3	soluble input monosaccharides	
2	S_aa_in	kgCOD/m3	soluble input amino acids	
3	S_fa_in	kgCOD/m3	soluble input total LCFA	
4	S_va_in	kgCOD/m3	soluble input total valerate	
5	S_bu_in	kgCOD/m3	soluble input total butyrate	
6	S_pro_in	${\rm kgCOD/m3}$	soluble input total propionate	
7	S_ac_in	kgCOD/m3	soluble input acetate	
8	S_h2_in	${\rm kgCOD/m3}$	hydrogen gas	
9	S_ch4_in	kgCOD/m3	methane gas	
10	S_IC_in	kmoleC/m3	soluble input inorganic carbon	
11	S_IN_in	kmoleC/m3	soluble input inorganic nitrogen	
12	S_I _in	kgCOD/m3	soluble input inert materials	
13	X_c_biom_in	kgCOD/m3	particulate input of composites	
14	X_ch_biom_in		particulate input of carbohydrate	
15	X_pr_biom_in	kgCOD/m3	particulate input of proteins	
16		kgCOD/m3	particulate input of lipids	
17	X_su_in	kgCOD/m3	monosaccharides degraders (microorganisms)	
18	X_aa_in	kgCOD/m3	amino acids degraders (microorganisms)	
19	X_fa_in	kgCOD/m3	LCFA degraders (microorganisms)	
20	X_c4_in	kgCOD/m3	valerate and butyrate degraders (microorgan-	
			isms)	
21	X_pro_in	kgCOD/m3	propionate degraders (microorganisms)	
22	X_ac_in	kgCOD/m3	acetate degraders (microorganisms)	
23	X_h2_in	kgCOD/m3	hydrogen degraders (microorganisms)	
24	X_I_in	kgCOD/m3	particulate input of inerts	
25	S_cation_in	kmole/m3	input cations	
26	S_anion_in	kmole/m3	input anions	
27	Q	m3/d	flow rate	
28	Temp	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	temperature	

4.3 Parameters [params.dat]

Index	Notation	Unit	Description	
1	f sI xc	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of composites (substrate) disintegrate to	
			soluble inerts (product)	
2	f xI xc	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of composites (substrate) disintegrate to	
			particulate inerts (product)	
3	f ch xc	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of composites (substrate) disintegrate to	
			carbohydrates (product)	
4	f_pr_xc	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of composites (substrate) disintegrate to	
			proteins (product)	
5	f_li_xc	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of composites (substrate) disintegrate to	
			lipids (product)	
6	N_xc	kmole N/(kg COD)	nitrogen content of composites	
7	N_I	kmole N/(kg COD)	nitrogen content of inerts	
8	N_aa	kmole N/(kg COD)	nitrogen content of amino acids	
9	C_xc	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of composites	
10	C_sI	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of soluble inerts	
11	C_ch	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of carbohydrates	
12	C_pr	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of proteins	
13	C_li	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of lipids	
14	C_xI	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of particulate inerts	
15	C_su	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of monosaccharides	
16	C_aa	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of amino acids	
17	f_fa_li	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of lipids (substrate) degrade to LCFA	
			(product)	
18	C_fa	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of total LCFA	
19	f_h2_su	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of monosaccharides (substrate) degrade	
			to hydrogen (product)	
20	f_bu_su	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of monosaccharides (substrate) degrade	
			to butyrate (product)	
21	f_pro_su	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of monosaccharides (substrate) degrade	
			to propionate (product)	
22	f_ac_su	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of monosaccharides (substrate) degrade	
			to acetate (product)	
23	N_bac	kmole N/(kg COD)	nitrogen content of synthesized into bacteria	
24	C_bu	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of total butyrate	
25	C_pro	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of total propionate	
26	C_ac	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of total acetate	
27	C_bac	kmole $C/(kg COD)$	carbon content of synthesized into bacteria	
28	Y_su	kgCOD_X/kg COD_S	yield of biomass on monosaccharides (substrate)	
29	f_h2_aa	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of amino acids (substrate) degrade to hy-	
			drogen (product)	
30	f_va_aa	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of amino acids (substrate) degrade to	
			valerate (product)	
31	f_bu_aa	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of amino acids (substrate) degrade to bu-	
			tyrate (product)	
32	f_pro_aa	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of amino acids (substrate) degrade to	
			propionate (product)	
33	f_ac_aa	kgCOD/kg COD	fraction of amino acids (substrate) degrade to ac-	
			etate (product)	
34	C_va	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of total valerate	
35	Y_aa	$kgCOD_X/kg$	yield of biomass on amino acids (substrate)	
		COD_S		
			continues on next nage	

Table 2 – continued from previous page

La al :	Mararia -		d from previous page	
Index	Notation	Unit Unit	Description Line 11 CDA (1 to t)	
36	Y_fa	$ m kgCOD_X/kg$ $ m COD_S$	yield of biomass on total LCFA (substrate)	
37	Y_c4	kgCOD_X/kg COD_S	yield of biomass on butyrate (substrate)	
38	Y_pro	kgCOD_X/kg COD_S	yield of biomass on total propionate (substrate)	
39	C ch4	kmole C/(kg COD)	carbon content of methane	
40	Y_ac	kgCOD_X/kg COD_S	yield of biomass on total acetate (substrate)	
41	Y_h2	$\begin{array}{c} \rm kgCOD_X/kg \\ \rm COD_S \end{array}$	yield of biomass on hydrogen (substrate)	
42	k_dis	1/d	disintegration rate	
43	k_hyd_ch	1/d	hydrolysis rate of carbohydrates (carbs to simple sugars)	
44	k hyd pr	1/d	hydrolysis rate of proteins	
45	k hyd li	1/d	hydrolysis rate of lipids	
46	K S IN	kgCOD S/m3	half saturation value of inorganic nitrogen	
47	k m su	kg-	Monod maximum specific uptake rate for	
		COD_S/kgCOD_X/d	monosaccharides	
48	K S su	kgCOD S/m3	half saturation value of monosaccharides	
49	pH UL acida	_ /	upper pH limit of acidic acetate	
50	pH LL acidae		lower pH limit of acidic acetate	
51	k m aa	kg-	Monod maximum specific uptake rate for amino	
		COD_S/kgCOD_X/d	acids	
52	K_S_aa	kgCOD_S/m3	half saturation value of amino acids	
53	k_m_fa	kg- COD_S/kgCOD_X/d	Monod maximum specific uptake rate for total LCFA	
54	K_S_fa	kgCOD_S/m3	half saturation value of total LCFA	
55	K_Ih2_fa	kgCOD/m3	inhibition constant LCFA (substrate) degradation by hydrogen (inhibitor)	
56	k_m_c4	$\begin{array}{c} \text{kg-} \\ \text{COD_S/kgCOD_X/d} \end{array}$	Monod maximum specific uptake rate for butyrate and valerate	
57	K_S_c4	$kgCOD_S/m3$	half saturation value of butyrate	
58	K_Ih2_c4	kgCOD/m3	inhibition constant for butyrate and valerate (substrate) degradation by hydrogen (inhibitor)	
59	k_m_pro	kg- COD S/kgCOD X/d	Monod maximum specific uptake rate for total propionate	
60	K_S_pro	kgCOD_S/m3	half saturation value of total propionate	
61	K_Ih2_pro	kgCOD/m3	inhibition constant for propionate (substrate) degradation by hydrogen (inhibitor)	
62	k_m_ac	kg- COD_S/kgCOD_X/d	Monod maximum specific uptake rate for total acetate	
63	K_S_ac	kgCOD_S/m3	half saturation value of total acetate	
64	K_I_nh3	kmol N/m3	inhibition constant by ammonia (inhibitor)	
65	pH_UL_ac	unitless	upper pH limit for total acetate	
66	pH LL ac	unitless	lower pH limit for total acetate	
67	k_m_h2	kg- COD_S/kgCOD_X/d	Monod maximum specific uptake rate for hydro-	
68	K S h2	kgCOD S/m3	half saturation value of hydrogen	
69	pH UL h2	unitless	upper pH limit for hydrogen	
70	pH LL h2	unitless	lower pH limit for hydrogen	
71	k dec Xsu	1/d	first order decay rate for the monosaccharide de-	
1 1	11_000_115u	1/4	graders	

Index Notation Unit Description 72 $k_{dec}Xaa$ 1/dfirst order decay rate for the amino acids degraders first order decay rate for the LCFA degraders 73 k dec Xfa 1/d74 k dec Xc4 1/dfirst order decay rate for the butyrate and valerate 75 Xpro k 1/dfirst order decay rate for the propionate degraders dec76 k Xac 1/dfirst order decay rate for the acetate degraders dec Xh2 77 k dec 1/dfirst order decay rate for the hydrogen degraders 78 R bar m3 kmole-1 K-1 gas law constant (8.314e-2) 79 Τ base base temperature $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ Τ 80 op operating temperature 81 pK w base unitless pKa of water 82 unitless pKa of total valerate pΚ va base \mathbf{a} 83 bu base unitless pKa of total butyrate pΚ \mathbf{a} pro_baseunitless 84 pKa of total propionate pΚ \mathbf{a} 85 pΚ ac unitless pKa of total acetate a \overline{pK} co286 baseunitless pKa of carbon dioxide \mathbf{a} 87 рK \mathbf{a} IN base unitless pKa of inorganic nitrogen 88 pK a hco3 basenitless pKa of bicarbonate 89 k A Bbu 1/M/dacid base kinetic parameter for total butyrate 90 Α Bpro 1/M/dacid base kinetic parameter for total propionate 1/M/d91 Α Bac acid base kinetic parameter for total acetate 92 k A Bco2 1/M/dacid base kinetic parameter for carbon dioxide 93 k A BIN 1/M/dacid base kinetic parameter for inhibitors 94 P atm bar atmospheric pressure 1/dgas-liquid transfer coefficient 95 kLa 96 H h2o base M(liq)/bar Henry's law coefficient of water Κ 97 base M(liq)/bar Henry's law coefficient of carbon dioxide H co298 Η ch4 base M(liq)/bar Henry's law coefficient of methane 99 K H h2 base M(liq)/bar Henry's law coefficient of hydrogen k P 100 m2/d/bar proportional gain

Table 2 – continued from previous page

4.4 Outputs [indicator***.out]

Index	Notation	Unit	Description
1	Ssu	m mg~COD/L	soluble monosaccharides
2	Saa	m mg~COD/L	soluble amino acids
3	Sfa	m mg~COD/L	soluble total LCFA
4	Sva	m mg~COD/L	soluble total valerate
5	Sbu	m mg~COD/L	soluble total butyrate
6	Spro	m mg~COD/L	soluble total propionate
7	Sac	m mg~COD/L	soluble total acetate
8	Sh2	m mg~COD/L	soluble hydrogen
9	Sch4	m mg~COD/L	soluble methane
10	Sic	m mg~C/L	soluble inorganic carbon
11	Sin	mg N/L	soluble inorganic nitrogen
12	Si	m mg~COD/L	soluble inerts
13	Xc	$\mod \mathrm{COD/L}$	particulate composites
14	Xch	m mg~COD/L	particulate carbohydrates
15	Xpr	m mg~COD/L	particulate proteins
16	Xli	m mg~COD/L	particulate lipids
17	Xsu	m mg~COD/L	monosaccharides degraders (microorganisms)
	•	_	continues on next page

Table 3 – continued from previous page

Name				from previous page	
Year May	Index	Notation	Unit	Description	
20					
Suppose			,		
21	20	Xc4	$\mod \mathrm{COD/L}$, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
22				isms)	
Mathematics	21	Xpro	m mg~COD/L	propionate degraders (microorganisms)	
	22	Xac	m mg~COD/L	acetate degraders (microorganisms)	
Scat + mmol/L	23	Xh2	m mg~COD/L	hydrogen degraders (microorganisms)	
26	24	Xi	m mg~COD/L	particulate inerts	
26	25	scat+	$\mathrm{mmol/L}$	cations	
27		san-	$\overline{\mathrm{mmol/L}}$	anions	
S			,	a scale used to specify how acidic or basic a water-	
28 S_H+ mol/L soluble hydrogen cation 29 Sva- mg COD/L soluble total valerate anion 30 Sbu- mg COD/L soluble total butyrate anion 31 Spro- mg COD/L soluble total propionate anion 32 Sac- mg COD/L soluble icarbonate anion 34 Sco2 mmol C/L soluble carbon dioxide 35 Snh3 mg N/L soluble carbon dioxide 36 Snh4+ mg N/L soluble ammonia 37 Sgas,h2 mg COD/L soluble methane gas 38 Sgas,ch2 mg COD/L soluble methane gas 39 Sgas,co2 mmol C/L soluble methane gas 40 pgas,h2 atm partial pressure of gas hydrogen 41 pgas,cb4 atm partial pressure of gas acarbon dioxide 42 pgas,cb2 atm partial pressure of gas methane 42 pgas,cb4 atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas m3/d <td< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td> v</td></td<>		1		v	
Sva-	28	S H+	$\mathrm{mol/L}$		
Soluble Soluble total butyrate anion Soluble total propionate anion Soluble total propionate anion Soluble total pertate anion Soluble total acetate anion Soluble total acetate anion Soluble total acetate anion Soluble total acetate anion Soluble amonia So			l /		
Spro-			,		
32 Sac- mg COD/L soluble total acetate anion 33 Shco3- mmol C/L soluble bicarbonate anion 34 Sco2 mmol C/L soluble carbon dioxide 35 Snh3 mg N/L soluble ammonia 36 Snh4+ mg COD/L soluble ammonia cation (ammonium) 37 Sgas,h2 mg COD/L soluble methane gas 38 Sgas,ch2 mg COD/L soluble carbon dioxide gas 40 pgas,b2 atm partial pressure of gas hydrogen 41 pgas,co2 atm partial pressure of gas methane 42 pgas,co2 atm partial pressure of gas all gases 43 pgas,total atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 43 pgas,total atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 43 pgas,total atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas mg COD/L			,	v v	
33 Shco3- mmol C/L soluble bicarbonate anion 34 Sco2 mmol C/L soluble arrhon dioxide 35 Shh3 mg N/L soluble ammonia 36 Shh4+ mg N/L soluble ammonia cation (ammonium) 37 Sgas,h2 mg COD/L soluble hydrogen gas 38 Sgas,ch4 mg COD/L soluble carbon dioxide gas 40 pgas,b2 atm partial pressure of gas hydrogen 41 pgas,ch4 atm partial pressure of gas carbon dioxide 42 pgas,co2 atm partial pressure of gas arethane 42 pgas,co2 atm partial pressure of gas arbon dioxide 43 pgas,total atm partial pressure of gas arbon dioxide 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 45 Si mg COD/L readily biodegradil gases 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 45 Si mg COD/L particulate inert organics 46 Ss mg COD/L					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,		
37 Sgas,h2 mg COD/L soluble hydrogen gas 38 Sgas,cb4 mg COD/L soluble methane gas 39 Sgas,co2 mmol C/L soluble carbon dioxide gas 40 pags,h2 atm partial pressure of gas hydrogen 41 pgas,ch4 atm partial pressure of gas acarbon dioxide 42 pgas,co2 atm partial pressure of gas all gases 43 pgas,total atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 45 Si mg COD/L soluble inert organics 46 Ss mg COD/L particulate inert organics 47 Xi mg COD/L particulate inert organics 48 Xs mg COD/L particulate inert organics 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate inert organics 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate inert organics 50 Snh mg N/L ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble the ammonia produced during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, s					
38 Sgas,co2 mmol C/L soluble methane gas 39 Sgas,co2 mmol C/L soluble carbon dioxide gas 40 pgas,h2 atm partial pressure of gas methane 41 pgas,co2 atm partial pressure of gas carbon dioxide 43 pgas,total atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 45 Si mg COD/L soluble inert organics 46 Ss mg COD/L particulate inert organics 47 Xi mg COD/L particulate inert organics 48 Xs mg COD/L particulate inert organics 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate inert organics 50 Snh mg N/L particulate arising from biomass decay decay 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate arising from biomass decay decay 50 Snh mg N/L ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble tradition process from soluble organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic nitrogen, sug					
39 Sgas,co2 mmol C/L soluble carbon dioxide gas 40 pgas,h2 atm partial pressure of gas hydrogen 41 pgas,cb4 atm partial pressure of gas methane 42 pgas,co2 atm partial pressure of gas carbon dioxide 43 pgas,total atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 45 Si mg COD/L soluble inert organics 46 Ss mg COD/L particulate inert organics 48 Xs mg COD/L particulate inert organics 48 Xs mg COD/L particulate inert organics 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate inert organics 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate inert organics 50 Snh mg N/L particulate inert organics 51 Sns mg N/L particulate inert organics 52 Xns mg N/L soluble organic nitrogen 53 Xs mg N/L solu					
40 pgas,h2 atm partial pressure of gas hydrogen 41 pgas,ch4 atm partial pressure of gas methane 42 pgas,co2 atm partial pressure of gas carbon dioxide 43 pgas,total atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 45 Si mg COD/L soluble inert organics 46 Ss mg COD/L particulate inert organics 47 Xi mg COD/L particulate inert organics 48 Xs mg COD/L particulate inert organics 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate inert organics 50 Snh mg N/L ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble the ammonia produced during ammonification process from soluble organic nitrogen 51 Sns mg N/L soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 52 Xns mg C/L charge balance					
41 pgas,ch4 atm partial pressure of gas methane 42 pgas,co2 atm partial pressure of gas carbon dioxide 43 pgas,total atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 45 Si mg COD/L readily biodegradable substrate 46 Ss mg COD/L particulate inert organics 48 Xs mg COD/L particulate arising from biomass decay decay 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate arising from biomass decay decay 50 Snh mg N/L ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble the ammonia produced during ammonification process from soluble organic nitrogen 51 Sns mg N/L soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 52 Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended			/	Ÿ	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
43 pgas, total atm partial pressure of gas all gases 44 pgas m3/d flow rate of gas 45 Si mg COD/L soluble inert organics 46 Ss mg COD/L readily biodegradable substrate 47 Xi mg COD/L particulate inert organics 48 Xs mg COD/L slowly biodegradable substrate 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate arising from biomass decay decay 50 Snh mg N/L ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble the ammonia produced during ammonification process from soluble organic nitrogen 51 Sns mg N/L soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 52 Xns mg C/L particulate biodegradable organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
1					
Si					
46 Ss mg COD/L readily biodegradable substrate 47 Xi mg COD/L particulate inert organics 48 Xs mg COD/L slowly biodegradable substrate 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate arising from biomass decay decay 50 Snh mg N/L ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble the ammonia produced during ammonification process from soluble organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 52 Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids 55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetate propionate ratio 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate/ kg acetate/ capacetate/ kg acetate/ capacetate/ kg acetate/ capacetate/ kg acetate/ capaceta			,	~	
Xi			,	~	
48 Xs mg COD/L slowly biodegradable substrate 49 Xd mg COD/L particulate arising from biomass decay decay 50 Snh mg N/L ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble the ammonia produced during ammonification process from soluble organic nitrogen 51 Sns mg N/L soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 52 Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids 55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate acetate propionate ratio			,		
49 Xd mg COD/L particulate arising from biomass decay decay 50 Snh mg N/L ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble the ammonia produced during ammonification pro- cess from soluble organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable or- ganic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 52 Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegrad- able organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the con- centration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids 55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg ac- etate equivalent of pro- pionate			,		
50 Snh mg N/L ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble the ammonia produced during ammonification process from soluble organic nitrogen 51 Sns mg N/L soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 52 Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids 55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate 58 Ammonia and ammonium nitrogen, soluble the ammonia produced during ammonification process from soluble organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis 58 total suspended solids 59 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 50 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 51 acetic acid 52 acetate propionate ratio				, ,	
ammonia produced during ammonification process from soluble organic nitrogen Sns mg N/L soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis Salk mg C/L charge balance TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate					
51 Sns mg N/L soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 52 Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis 53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids 55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate	90	Snn	mg N/L		
Sns mg N/L soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis Salk mg C/L charge balance TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate soluble biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis total suspended solids volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 acetic acid acetate propionate ratio					
during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis Xns	E 1	Cma	ma m NI /I		
ganic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis The second se	31	Siis	mg N/L		
of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis The soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis The soluble organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis Salk mg C/L charge balance TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic hydrolysis of pa					
drolysis Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis Salk mg C/L charge balance TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate drolysis particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated able organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis total suspended solids volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 acetic acid acetate propionate ratio				0	
52 Xns mg N/L particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen generated able organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids 55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate acetate propionate ratio					
ated during hydrolysis of particulate biodegradable organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis Salk	59	Vng	mg N/I		
able organic nitrogen, suggesting it is the concentration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 53	IJZ	AllS	mg N/L	-	
centration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids 55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate 58 centration of soluble organic hydrogen generated during hydrolysis 49 charge balance 59 volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 50 acetic acid 50 acetate propionate ratio					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
53 Salk mg C/L charge balance 54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids 55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate Salk mg C/L charge balance total suspended solids volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 acetic acid acetate propionate ratio					
54 TSS mg TSS/L total suspended solids 55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate equivalent of propionate acetate propionate ratio	52	Salle	mg C/I	_ · · · ·	
55 VFA_C2toC5 mg COD/L volatile fatty acid from C2 to C5 56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate propionate ratio etate equivalent of propionate				_	
56 mass_Sac mg Hac/L acetic acid 57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate propionate ratio etate equivalent of propionate					
57 PAratio kg acetate/ kg acetate propionate ratio etate equivalent of propionate			,	*	
etate equivalent of propionate		_	~ /		
pionate	97	rAiatio	, ,	acetate propionate ratio	
continues on next page			Pionare		

Table 3 – continued from previous page

Index	Notation	Unit	Description	
58	Alk	m mg/L~CaCO3	alkalinity	
59	NH3	m mg~N/L	ammonia	
60	NH4	mg N/L	ammonium	
61	LCFA	$mgCOD_LCFA/L$	long chain fatty acid	
62	percentch4	%	biogas methane content, methane percentage out-	
			put, percent by volume	
63	energych4	%	energy content of CH4 gas, methane energy out-	
			put, methane converted to COD, percentage of	
			input that's converted to CH4 energy wise	
64	efficiency	%	COD removal	
65	VFA/ALK	g acetate eq./g CaCO3	volatile fatty acid to alkalinity ratio	
66	ACN	kg COD/m3/d	acetate capacity number, the ratio between the	
			maximum acetate utilization rate and the average	
			acetate production rate	
67	SampleT	d		

Examples

All demo cases are documented in jupyter notebooks.

5.1 ADM1F: Steady State

Here we run the steady state case and comparing it with the Matlab results. Make sure to compile build/admlf.cxx.

Author: Elchin Jafarov

[1]: import os

5.1.1 1. Steady State Run

```
import numpy as np
    import pandas as pd
    import subprocess
    import sklearn.metrics as sklm
    import xlrd
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    %matplotlib inline
[2]: # navigate to simulations folder
    os.chdir('../../simulations')
[3]: # check the path to the executable
     !echo $ADM1F_EXE
    /Users/elchin/project/ADM1F_WM/build/adm1f
[4]: # running the executable in the cell
    !$ADM1F_EXE -steady
    Vliq [m3] is: 3400.000000
    Vgas [m3] is: 300.000000
    Reading parameters in file: params.dat
    Reading influent values in file: influent.dat
    Reading initial condition values in file: ic.dat
    Running as steady state problem.
    Solving.
    Done!
```

```
[5]: # remove the output files
  !sh clean.sh

[6]: # or run using subprocess
  subprocess.Popen('$ADM1F_EXE -ts_monitor -steady', shell=True)

[6]: <subprocess.Popen at 0x7f84a4974040>
```

If the run is successful then indicator-***.out should be saved in the simulations folder. Here take the last time step saved in the last indicator file (indicator-062.out). The accending numeration of the output files corresponds to the time iterations taken towards the steady state condition.


5.1.2 2. Comparison of the ADM1F (C++) with ADM1 (Matlab)

The ADM1F runs much faster than the corresponding Matlab version. The main difference between C++ and the Matlab versions of the model is that ADM1F uses optimized solvers from the PETCS package to solve the corresponding mass balance equations. The ADM1F allows usage of the diffrent solvers. The ADM1(Matlab) is using ode45 nonstiff differential equation solver that cannot be changed. Below we benchmark ADM1F(C++) outputs with the ADM1(Matlab).

```
[7]: # read the output produced by ADM1(Matlab) from the xls file
wb = xlrd.open_workbook('../docs/jupyter_notebook/out_sludge.xls')
sheet = wb.sheet_by_index(1)
results_matlab = [sheet.cell_value(4,i) for i in range(66)]
```

load the last file from the steady runs and compare it with the Matlab output.

```
[10]: plt.plot(res['Matlab']-res['C++'],linewidth=2)
plt.xlabel('Number of outputs',fontsize=14)
plt.ylabel('Difference ',fontsize=14);
plt.title('res[Matlab]-res[C++]',fontsize=14)
plt.xlim([0,60]);
```



```
[11]: rmse=sklm.mean_squared_error(res['Matlab'],res['C++'])
     mae=sklm.mean_absolute_error(res['Matlab'],res['C++'])
     r2=sklm.r2_score(res['Matlab'],res['C++'])
      print('MAE:',round(mae,4))
      print('RMSE:',round(rmse,4))
      print('R2 Score:',round(r2,4))
      MAE: 0.0136
      RMSE: 0.0014
      R2 Score: 1.0
```

5.2 ADM1F: Execution time

Here we calculate the execution time for a sample of size 100. We perturb a certain number of elements in one of the inputs files (e.g. influent.dat, ic.dat, params.dat) by some 'percent' value. We sample perturbed elements 100 times in a non-repeatable fashion using latin hypercube 'lhs' or 'uniform' sampling methods. Then we calculate the execution time 100 times. Note, if you do not have any of the packages used in this script, use pip install package_name.

Authors: Wenjuan Zhang and Elchin Jafarov

```
[1]: import adm1f_utils as adm1fu
     import os
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    %matplotlib inline
```

```
[2]: # navigate to simulations folder
    os.chdir('../../simulations')
```

1. Let's vary elements of the influent.dat

```
[3]: #Set the path to the ADM1F executable
    ADM1F_EXE = '/Users/elchin/project/ADM1F_WM/build/adm1f'
     # Set the value of percentage and sample size for lhs
    percent = 0.1 # NOTE: for params percent should be <= 0.05</pre>
    sample_size = 100
    variable = 'influent'
                               # influent/params/ic
    method = 'lhs'
                       #'uniform' or 'lhs'
```

```
[4]: #use help command to learn more about create_a_sample_matrix function
     #help(adm1fu.create_a_sample_matrix)
[5]: index=adm1fu.create_a_sample_matrix(variable,method,percent,sample_size)
    print ()
    print ('Number of elements participated in the sampling:',len(index))
    Saves a sampling matrix [sample_size,array_size] into var_influent.csv
    sample_size,array_size: (100, 11)
    Each column of the matrix corresponds to a variable perturbed 100 times around its original
     ⇔value
    var_influent.csv SAVED!
    Number of elements participated in the sampling: 11
[6]: exe_time=adm1fu.adm1f_output_sampling(ADM1F_EXE,variable,index)
    All 100 runs were successfully computed
    outputs_influent.csv SAVED!
    Note: Depending on the computer system configuration, the computational time might vary.
[7]: def plot_exec_time(exe_time):
        plt.plot(exe_time,'*')
        plt.axhline(exe_time.mean(),linestyle='--', alpha=0.6,color='green')
        plt.xlabel('sample size',fontsize=14)
        plt.ylabel('Time [secs]',fontsize=14)
        plt.legend(['exec time', 'mean'])
        print('cumulative time:',round(exe_time.sum(),2),'seconds',)
        print('mean time:',round(exe_time.mean(),2),'seconds')
        print('min time:',round(exe_time.min(),2),'seconds')
        print('max time:',round(exe_time.max(),2),'seconds')
        ax = plt.gca()
        ax.tick_params(axis = 'both', which = 'major', labelsize = 14)
    plot_exec_time(exe_time)
    cumulative time: 23.97 seconds
    mean time: 0.24 seconds
    min time: 0.16 seconds
    max time: 0.34 seconds
         0.30
     Time [secs]
         0.25
         0.20
                                                            exec time
                                                            mean
                 0
                          20
                                    40
                                              60
                                                                 100
                                                        80
                                   sample size
```


2. Let's vary the param.dat elements and compute the execution time.

```
[8]: # Set the value of percentage and sample size for lhs
    percent = 0.05 # NOTE: for params percent should be <= 0.05</pre>
    sample_size = 100
    variable = 'params'
                            # influent/params/ic
    method = 'lhs'
                      #'uniform' or 'lhs'
```

```
[9]: index=adm1fu.create_a_sample_matrix(variable,method,percent,sample_size)
    print ()
    print ('Number of elements participated in the sampling:',len(index))
    Saves a sampling matrix [sample_size,array_size] into var_params.csv
    sample_size,array_size: (100, 92)
    Each column of the matrix corresponds to a variable perturbed 100 times around its original
    var_params.csv SAVED!
    Number of elements participated in the sampling: 92
```

[10]: exe_time=adm1fu.adm1f_output_sampling(ADM1F_EXE, variable, index) All 100 runs were successfully computed outputs_params.csv SAVED!

[11]: plot_exec_time(exe_time) cumulative time: 20.32 seconds mean time: 0.2 seconds min time: 0.0 seconds



max time: 0.34 seconds

5.3 ADM1F SRT: single tank and two-phase anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor

This script is used to simulate a single tank suspended anaerobic dynamic membrane digester and a novel two-phase anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreator with separated SRT and HRT. In the two-phase reactor the effluent (model output) from the first phase dynamic membrane bioreactor is converted to the influent (model input) for the second-phase anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor as shown in the figure.

Note: Before running the ADM1F SRT simulations see intructions on how to compile adm1f_srt.cxx in the User Guide.

Mass balance equation with SRT:

The ADM1 and ADM1F solve the mass balance equation (i.e. mass_change = mass_in - mass_out + reaction). ADM1F_SRT version of the model includes solid retention time $(t_{res,X})$ as shown in the equation below.

$$\frac{dS_{\text{liq,i}}}{dt} = \frac{qS_{\text{in,i}}}{V_{\text{liq}}} - \frac{qS_{\text{liq,i}}}{V_{\text{liq}}} + \sum_{j=1}^{12} \rho_j v_{i,j}$$

$$\frac{dX_{\text{liq,i}}}{dt} = \frac{qX_{\text{in,i}}}{V_{\text{liq}}} - \frac{X_{\text{liq,i}}}{t_{\text{res,X}} + V_{\text{liq}}/q} + \sum_{j=13}^{24} \rho_j v_{i,j}$$

Authors: Wenjuan Zhang, Elchin Jafarov, Kuang Zhu

```
[1]: # Load packages
  import numpy as np
  import pandas as pd
  import os
  import seaborn as sns
  import adm1f_utils as adm1fu
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  %matplotlib inline
```

```
[2]: # navigate to simulations folder os.chdir('../../simulations')
```

```
[3]: # Grab the names and unit of all the outputs
(output_name,output_unit)=adm1fu.get_output_names()
```

```
[4]: #check the path to the executable
!echo $ADM1F_EXE

/Users/elchin/project/ADM1F_WM/build/adm1f
```

5.3.1 Single tank anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor (AnDMBR)

To simulate the single tank AnDMBR that has separated SRT and HRT, We can call the functionreactor1 with different Q (flow-rate), Vliq (reactor volume), t_resx (SRT-HRT) values, the function will return the corresponding output

```
Usage example: reactor1(Q=100, t_resx=30, Vliq=300) (Unit) Q: [m3/d], t_resx: [day], Vliq: [m3]
```


```
[5]: # testing different SRTs=[0,1,2,...9] on the one-phase reactor
    resx_list = [i for i in range(10)]
     # setup the matrix with columns correspoding SRTs and rows to outputs
    output1_resx = np.zeros((len(resx_list), 67))
     # here we utilize back euler solver and adataptive time step
     # for more command options see "User Guide/Running ADM1F/step 5"
    options='-ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady'
    for i in range(len(resx_list)):
        output1_resx[i] = adm1fu.reactor1(opt=options, Vliq=300, Q=600, t_resx=resx_list[i])
    np.savetxt('output_1phase.csv',output1_resx,delimiter=',',fmt='%1.4e')
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     →resx 0 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
    indicator-228.out
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     \hookrightarrowresx 1 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
    indicator-307.out
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     →resx 2 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
    indicator-437.out
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     →resx 3 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
     indicator-563.out
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     →resx 4 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
    indicator-522.out
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     →resx 5 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
    indicator-517.out
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     →resx 6 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
    indicator-534.out
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     →resx 7 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
    indicator-542.out
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     →resx 8 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
    indicator-556.out
    Reactor run, phase-one:
    $ADM1F_EXE -ts_type beuler -ts_adapt_type basic -ts_max_snes_failures -1 -steady -Vliq 300 -t_
     →resx 9 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
    indicator-576.out
```

```
[6]: df1_resx = pd.read_csv('output_1phase.csv', sep=',', header=None)
    df1_resx.columns = output_name
    df1_resx.insert(0,"T_resx",resx_list)
```

Relation between t resx and output when Vliq=300m3, Q=600m3/d

```
[7]: # check the results with increasing T_resx, we should expect decrease in Ssu
    df1_resx
```

```
[7]:
       T_resx
                   Ssu
                             Saa
                                      Sfa
                                               Sva
                                                         Sbu
                                                                  Spro
                                                                           Sac
    0
               2152.800
                         324.2700
                                  7382.70
                                            2381.700
                                                     3667.300
                                                               2542.700
                                                                         8263.5
            0
    1
                123.060
                          49.1670
                                  7751.20
                                           2574.400
                                                     4202.900
                                                               3244.500
                                                                         9600.7
            1
    2
            2
                 63.057
                          26.6380
                                  7839.00
                                           2610.000
                                                     4268.600
                                                              3305.000
                                                                         9742.9
    3
            3
                 39.313
                          17.3450
                                   908.19
                                             41.129
                                                       54.118
                                                                 53.411
                                                                         3339.7
                 30.634
                                                                 35.895 3066.6
    4
            4
                          13.5750
                                    457.19
                                             30.592
                                                       39.989
                          11.2240
    5
            5
                 25.261
                                   309.53
                                             24.543
                                                       31.958
                                                                 27.254 2856.4
                 21.606
                                                                         2685.8
    6
            6
                          9.6181
                                   236.08
                                             20.615
                                                       26.775
                                                                 22.111
    7
            7
                 18.960
                          8.4513
                                   192.13
                                             17.859
                                                       23.153
                                                                 18.702 2543.7
    8
            8
                 16.955
                           7.5652
                                  162.87
                                             15.818
                                                       20.479
                                                                 16.276 2423.2
    9
                 15.383
                           6.8691
                                   141.96
                                             14.244
                                                       18.422
                                                                 14.462 2319.3
            Sh2
                         Sch4
                                                 NH3
                                                          NH4
                                                                  LCFA
                                        Alk
    0
       25.643000 -8.963700e-82 ... 44957.0 0.053067 1074.00 7382.70
    1
       30.352000 3.859800e-12 ... 59182.0 0.047701
                                                       1135.60
                                                                7751.20
    2
       30.772000 1.129100e-09 ... 61421.0 0.048208
                                                       1150.90
                                                                7839.00
        0.000662 2.574900e+02 ... 16289.0 2.513100
                                                        963.03
                                                                 908.19
    3
        0.000515 2.653300e+02 ... 18846.0 3.157300
                                                        963.70
    4
                                                                 457.19
    5
        0.000424 2.695900e+02 ... 21320.0 3.693300
                                                        966.73
                                                                 309.53
    6
        0.000362 2.726300e+02 ... 23721.0 4.147800
                                                        970.17
                                                                 236.08
    7
        0.000317
                  2.750200e+02 ... 26050.0 4.540600
                                                        973.69
                                                                 192.13
    8
        0.000284
                  2.770000e+02 ...
                                    28311.0 4.885500
                                                        977.21
                                                                 162.87
        0.000257 2.786900e+02 ... 30505.0 5.192300
                                                        980.68
                                                                 141.96
        percentch4
                      energych4
                                 efficiency
                                              VFA/ALK
                                                                ACN
                                                                     sampleT
    0 -1.133000e-81
                         83.808
                                     3.9774
                                             0.351490 -3.203400e-72
                                                                         0.5
    1 1.599000e-12
                         100.630
                                    -76.4800
                                             0.310840 1.255000e-08
                                                                         0.5
    2 4.600500e-10
                         102.210
                                   -155.0200
                                             0.304150
                                                       2.832600e-07
                                                                         0.5
    3 5.579800e+01
                                             0.200760 9.097200e+00
                         209.370
                                  -218.4400
                                                                         0.5
                                  -304.2100
    4 5.623900e+01
                         214.510
                                             0.157850 1.324200e+01
                                                                         0.5
    5 5.648800e+01
                         217.230
                                  -390.0200
                                             0.129290 1.778600e+01
                                                                         0.5
    6 5.666900e+01
                         219.140
                                 -475.6200
                                             0.108900
                                                       2.278900e+01
                                                                         0.5
    7 5.681200e+01
                         220.630
                                 -560.8900
                                             0.093693 2.825000e+01
                                                                         0.5
      5.692900e+01
                         221.870
                                  -645.8400
                                             0.081984 3.416600e+01
                                                                         0.5
    9 5.702800e+01
                         222.920
                                  -730.4900 0.072728 4.053400e+01
                                                                         0.5
    [10 rows x 68 columns]
```



Configurations

Configuration	Vliq (m ³)	t_resx (d)	$Q (m^3/d)$
Sherri's	3400	0	134
Phase 1	340	1.5	618
Phase 2	3400	700	618/—

where $t_{resx} = SRT - HRT$

```
[9]: config_default = {'Vliq':3400, 't_resx':0, 'Q':134}
     config1 = {'Vliq':340, 't_resx':1.5, 'Q':618}
     config2 = {'Vliq':3400, 't_resx':700, 'Q':618}
```

Default Configuration

```
[10]: # output using default configuration
     ls_default = adm1fu.reactor1(**config_default).tolist()
     df_default = pd.DataFrame(data = [ls_default],columns=output_name, index=['Sherri\'su
     df_default
     Reactor run, phase-one:
     $ADM1F_EXE -Vliq 3400 -t_resx 0 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
     indicator-034.out
                                                                         Spro
[10]:
                               Ssu
                                        Saa
                                                Sfa
                                                                 Sbu
     Sherri's Configuration 7.17674 3.21796 54.9446 6.39778 8.23228 6.08339
                                       Sh2
                              Sac
                                              Sch4
                                                        Sic
                                                                     Alk
     Sherri's Configuration 1963.6 0.00012 48.3303 639.764 ...
                                                                  8438.52
                               NH3
                                       NH4
                                               LCFA
                                                       percentch4
                                                                     energych4
     Sherri's Configuration 9.32395 1026.69 54.9446
                                                            56.905
                                                                        65.228
                            efficiency
                                        VFA/ALK
                                                     ACN
                                                          sampleT
     Sherri's Configuration
                               53.3712 0.220453 109.164
                                                          25.3731
     [1 rows x 67 columns]
```

Configuration 1

```
[11]: # output using configuration 1
     ls_config1 = adm1fu.reactor1(**config1).tolist()
     df_config1 = pd.DataFrame(data = [ls_config1],columns=output_name, index=['Phase 1_
     df_config1
     Reactor run, phase-one:
     $ADM1F_EXE -Vliq 340 -t_resx 1.5 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
     indicator-051.out
[11]:
                              Ssu
                                       Saa
                                               Sfa
                                                        Sva
                                                                 Sbu
                                                                         Spro
     Phase 1 Configuration 80.5616 33.4704 7808.75 2598.14 4246.87
                                                                       3285.25
                              Sac
                                                             Sic
                                       Sh2
                                                   Sch4
                                                                           Alk
     Phase 1 Configuration 9696.05 28.5069 9.600970e-30 147.214 ...
                                                                       60316.4
                               NH3
                                       NH4
                                               LCFA
                                                      percentch4
                                                                    energych4
                                                     4.182560e-30
                                                                       104.203
     Phase 1 Configuration 0.048011 1145.6 7808.75
                            efficiency
                                        VFA/ALK
                                                         ACN
     Phase 1 Configuration
                             -104.737 0.308161 1.722550e-17 0.550162
     [1 rows x 67 columns]
```

Configuration 2


```
[12]: # output using configuration 2
     ls_config2 = adm1fu.reactor1(**config2).tolist()
     df_config2 = pd.DataFrame(data = [ls_config2],columns=output_name, index=['Phase 2_
      df_config2
     Reactor run, phase-one:
     $ADM1F_EXE -Vliq 3400 -t_resx 700 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
     indicator-025.out
[12]:
                                                Sfa
                                                                  Sbu
                               Ssu
                                       Saa
                                                         Sva
                                                                         Spro
     Phase 2 Configuration 2.70068 1.20723 19.0168
                                                      2.34856 3.01652 2.16597
                               Sac
                                        Sh2
                                                Sch4
                                                          Sic
                                                                        Alk
     Phase 2 Configuration 1125.18 0.000045 75.1774 952.376
                                                               . . .
                                                                    21574.7
                               NH3
                                       NH4
                                               LCFA
                                                                     energych4
                                                       percentch4
     Phase 2 Configuration 14.7549 1186.64
                                            19.0168
                                                            58.194
                                                                       255.569
                                                     ACN sampleT
                            efficiency
                                        VFA/ALK
                             -899.833 0.049221 3302.96 5.50162
     Phase 2 Configuration
     [1 rows x 67 columns]
```

5.3.2 Two-Phase anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor

To simulate a novel two-phase AnDMBR, We can call the function reactor2 with different Q1 (phase 1 flow rate), Q2 (phase 2 flow rate, normally equal to Q1), Vliq1 (phase 1 volume), Vliq2 (phase 2 volume), t_resx1 (SRT-HRT for phase 1), and t_resx2 (SRT-HRT for phase 1) values. In this function, the model output from phase 1 will be extracted and converted to the model input for phase 2. The function will return phase1 output and phase2 output

Usage example: reactor2(Q1=100, t resx1=30, t resx2=100, Vliq1=300, Vliq2=3000)

```
[13]: from IPython.display import Image print ('Schematics of the two-phase reactor')
Image(filename='../docs/_static/images/2phase_reactor.png')
Schematics of the two-phase reactor
```



Config12

Configurations

Configuration	Vliq (m ³)	t_resx (d)	$Q (m^3/d)$
Sherri's	3400	0	134
Phase 1	340	1.5	618
Phase 2	3400	700	618/—

```
where t resx = SRT - HRT
```

```
[14]: # config12 = {"Vliq1":340, "Vliq2":3400, "t_resx1":1.5, "t_resx2":700, "Q1":618, "Q2":618}
     config12 = {"Vliq1":340, "Vliq2":3400, "t_resx1":1.5, "t_resx2":700, "Q1":618}
```

```
[15]: result_config12 = adm1fu.reactor2(**config12)
     Reactor run, phase-one:
     $ADM1F_EXE -Vliq 340 -t_resx 1.5 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
     indicator-051.out
     Reactor run, phase-two:
     $ADM1F_EXE -Vliq 3400 -t_resx 700 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
     indicator-024.out
```

```
[16]: # output using config12
     result_config12 = adm1fu.reactor2(**config12)
     ls1_config12 = result_config12[0].tolist()
     ls2_config12 = result_config12[1].tolist()
     df_config12 = pd.DataFrame(data = [ls1_config12],columns=output_name,index=['Phase1'])
     df_config12.loc['Phase2'] = ls2_config12
     df\_config12
     Reactor run, phase-one:
     $ADM1F_EXE -Vliq 340 -t_resx 1.5 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
     indicator-051.out
     Reactor run, phase-two:
     $ADM1F_EXE -Vliq 3400 -t_resx 700 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
     indicator-024.out
```

```
Г16]:
                  Ssu
                            Saa
                                       Sfa
                                                  Sva
                                                             Sbu
                                                                        Spro
     Phase1 80.561600
                       33.470400 7808.7500
                                            2598.14000 4246.87000 3285.25000
             0.355681
                        0.132504
                                    19.2757
                                               2.37729
                                                           3.05196
                                                                      2.19399
     Phase2
                Sac
                          Sh2
                                      Sch4
                                                Sic
                                                             Alk
                                                                        NH3
     Phase1 9696.05 28.506900 9.371060e-31 147.214 ... 60316.4
                                                                    0.048011
     Phase2 1248.96 0.000046 7.114040e+01 867.356 ... 17101.8 15.289200
               NH4
                        LCFA
                                percentch4
                                             energych4 efficiency
                                                                     VFA/ALK \
     Phase1 1145.6 7808.7500 4.082390e-31
                                                104.203
                                                          -104.737 0.308161
     Phase2 1139.4
                      19.2757 6.198330e+01
                                                192.277
                                                          -590.307 0.068884
                     ACN
                          sampleT
     Phase1 5.381270e-18 0.550162
     Phase2 -2.807000e+01 6.241160
     [2 rows x 67 columns]
```

[]

5.4 ADM1F SRT: Input/output sensitivity

Here we explore the relationships between inputs and outputs. In the ADM1F: Execution time example we showed how to run the models with the perturbed input values from influent.dat and param.dat files. Assuming that you run the ADM1F: Execution time example and produced the outputs_influent.csv and outputs_params.csv files, we use these outputs here to study the relationship between influents and outputs, and params and outputs. If not just uncomment lines 5 and 18 and re-run the simulations.

Authors: Wenjuan Zhang and Elchin Jafarov

```
[1]: import adm1f_utils as adm1fu
   import os
   import pandas as pd
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
   import seaborn as sns
   %matplotlib inline
```

5.4.1 1. Influent/Output sensitivity

```
[2]: # navigate to simulations folder
    os.chdir('../../simulations')

[3]: #Set the path to the ADM1F executable
    ADM1F_EXE = '/Users/elchin/project/ADM1F_WM/build/adm1f'

# Set the value of percentage and sample size for lhs
    percent = 0.1 # NOTE: for params percent should be <= 0.05
    sample_size = 100
    variable = 'influent' # influent/params/ic
    method = 'uniform' #'uniform' or 'lhs'

[4]: index=adm1fu.create_a_sample_matrix(variable,method,percent,sample_size)
    print ()
    print ('Number of elements participated in the sampling:',len(index))</pre>
```

```
Saves a sampling matrix [sample_size,array_size] into var_influent.csv
    sample_size, array_size: (100, 11)
    Each column of the matrix corresponds to a variable perturbed 100 times around its original
     →value
    var_influent.csv SAVED!
    Number of elements participated in the sampling: 11
[5]: #exe_time=adm1fu.adm1f_output_sampling(ADM1F_EXE,variable,index)
[6]: [output_name,output_unit]=adm1fu.get_output_names()
    alloutputs = pd.read_csv('outputs_influent.csv', sep=',', header=None)
    alloutputs.columns = output_name
[7]: alloutputs
[7]:
           Ssu
                   Saa
                            Sfa
                                     Sva
                                             Sbu
                                                     Spro
                                                               Sac
                                                                        Sh2
    0
        7.37820 3.30795
                        56.7230
                                  6.60272
                                          8.46032
                                                   6.26942
                                                            1908.38
                                                                    0.000123
        7.28460
                3.26614 55.8953
                                  6.52078 8.34621
                                                   6.18289
                                                            2033.37
        6.85866 3.07582 52.1661
                                  6.11321 7.84990
                                                   5.79147
                                                            1926.30 0.000114
        6.72726 3.01709 51.0289
                                  5.97094 7.70738
                                                  5.67153 1931.76 0.000112
        7.55763 3.38810 58.3212 6.83699 8.63179
                                                            2242.26 0.000126
    4
                                                  6.43593
                             . . .
                                      . . .
                                              . . .
       7.65859 3.43319 59.2237
                                  6.78604 8.84032
                                                            1911.45 0.000128
    95
                                                   6.52986
    96 7.40606 3.32040 56.9708 6.57190 8.52763
                                                  6.29525
                                                            2049.40 0.000123
    97 7.06582 3.16840 53.9710 6.30301 8.09601 5.98132 1778.88 0.000118
    98 6.85456 3.07399 52.1312 6.12749 7.83407 5.78776 2146.27 0.000114
    99 7.44704 3.33870 57.3346 6.67541 8.53678 6.33321 1983.08 0.000124
          Sch4
                   Sic
                                 Alk
                                          NH3
                                                    NH4
                                                            LCFA
                                                                    percentch4
                                                 987.952 56.7230
    0
        48.7774 615.799 ... 8148.98 8.64671
                                                                       57.2720
    1
        48.4068 645.849 ... 8602.48 9.61694 1048.750 55.8953
                                                                       56.8728
        47.7048 651.060 ... 8442.25
                                      9.57599 1031.740 52.1661
    2
                                                                       56.7510
        47.6768 660.852 ... 8558.31
                                      9.84058 1044.000 51.0289
                                                                       56.6698
    3
                         ... 9061.95 10.49270 1109.360 58.3212
    4
        49.1049 657.957
                                                                       57.2318
    . .
            . . .
                     . . .
                         . . .
                                  . . .
                                           . . .
                                                     . . .
                                                              . . .
                                                                           . . .
    95
        48.9244 616.258 ... 8197.36
                                       8.37148
                                                985.507
                                                         59.2237
                                                                       56.5753
    96
        48.6287
                649.584
                             8699.15
                                       9.58421
                                                1054.650
                                                          56.9708
                                                                       56.5357
                         . . .
    97
        48.2397 605.950
                              7819.47
                                       8.22490
                                                949.405
                                                                       57.3804
                                                          53.9710
                         . . .
    98 48.1629 685.484
                         ... 9144.20 11.07250 1119.840 52.1312
                                                                       56.8556
                                      9.04090 1016.750 57.3346
    99 48.5798 627.253 ... 8349.28
                                                                       57.0513
                                VFA/ALK
         energych4
                    efficiency
                                              ACN sampleT
                       52.7615 0.222004 116.5620 24.3594
    0
            66.2091
                       53.8907 0.223891
            65.9860
                                         92.1431 24.8179
    1
                       52.8110 0.216106 125.4410 27.1630
    2
            61.6157
    3
            61.9098
                       53.9655 0.213730 116.0810 27.9786
            68.8331
                       51.4620 0.234238 77.3666 23.5162
               . . .
                           . . .
                                               . . .
            71.6467
                       52.7621 0.221140 125.5700 23.0752
    95
    96
            69.7230
                       54.2485 0.223168 102.1390 24.2222
    97
            61.6582
                       52.9718 0.215719 129.6520 25.9740
    98
            64.3136
                       53.8121 0.222069 88.1808 27.1834
                       53.8114 0.225090 103.0960 24.0295
    99
            66.1387
    [100 rows x 67 columns]
```

[8]: [influent_name,influent_index]=adm1fu.get_influent_names()


[9]: # since we did not use all the columns in the influent.dat (see create_a_sample_matrix)

(continued from previous page) # we use index to select used headers for used values header=[] for i in index: header.append(influent_name[i]) influent_inputs = pd.read_csv('var_influent.csv', sep=',', header=None) influent_inputs.columns = header influent_inputs.head() S_su_in S_aa_in S_fa_in S_ac_in S_IN_in X_ch_biom_in X_pr_biom_in \ 0 2.41679 4.54049 3.26551 1.06715 0.00794 8.21103 7.65897 8.47247 1 2.71197 4.44197 2.94117 0.97991 0.00798 8.44312 2 2.37594 4.05372 3.09329 1.10619 0.00801 8.84280 8.55680 3 2.70155 4.55292 3.31319 1.00561 0.00784 9.14260 8.30096 4 2.35939 4.30043 3.00319 1.05070 0.00860 8.26193 9.19056 X li biom in X I in Temp 0 5.45471 18.04704 139.57635 31.64409 16.95071 136.99766 1 5.01331 32.47646 2 4.62146 18.06979 125.17005 31.95536 3 4.69829 17.67225 121.52161 37.86524 4 5.36216 19.24420 144.58137 35.68530 [10]: # merge influent and output datasets inout=pd.concat([influent_inputs,alloutputs], axis=1) inout.head() [10]: S_su_in S_aa_in S_fa_in S_ac_in S_IN_in X_ch_biom_in X_pr_biom_in \ 0 2.41679 4.54049 3.26551 1.06715 0.00794 8.21103 7.65897 1 2.71197 4.44197 2.94117 0.97991 0.00798 8.47247 8.44312 2 2.37594 4.05372 3.09329 1.10619 0.00801 8.84280 8.55680 3 2.70155 4.55292 3.31319 1.00561 0.00784 9.14260 8.30096 4 2.35939 4.30043 3.00319 1.05070 0.00860 8.26193 9.19056 X_I_{in} Q ... NH3 Alk NH4 X_li_biom_in 0 5.45471 18.04704 139.57635 ... 8148.98 8.64671 987.952 5.01331 16.95071 136.99766 ... 8602.48 1048.750 9.61694 1 2 4.62146 18.06979 125.17005 ... 8442.25 9.57599 1031.740 3 4.69829 17.67225 121.52161 ... 8558.31 9.84058 1044.000 5.36216 19.24420 144.58137 ... 9061.95 10.49270 1109.360 efficiency LCFA percentch4 energych4 VFA/ALK ACN sampleT 0 56.7230 57.2720 66.2091 52.7615 0.222004 116.5620 24.3594 53.8907 0.223891 1 55.8953 56.8728 65.9860 92.1431 24.8179 61.6157 52.8110 0.216106 125.4410 2 52,1661 56.7510 27.1630 53.9655 0.213730 116.0810 3 51.0289 56.6698 61.9098 27.9786 51.4620 0.234238 4 58.3212 57.2318 68.8331 77.3666 23.5162

The correlation heat map matrix below shows that four influents have the highest impact on the results: X_ch_biom_in, X_pr_biom_in, X_li_biom_in, and Q.

```
[11]: corr=inout.corr()
   plt.figure(figsize=(21,5))
   sns.heatmap(corr.iloc[0:11,11:-1], cmap=sns.diverging_palette(220, 10, as_cmap=True))
   plt.title('Correlation Matrix [influent/results]',fontsize=16);
   plt.ylabel('Influent',fontsize=16)
   plt.xlabel('Outputs',fontsize=16)
[11]: Text(0.5, 23.09375, 'Outputs')
```

[5 rows x 78 columns]




Let's merge ph values from the results with the influents and explore the correlations.


```
[12]: influent_ph=pd.concat([influent_inputs,alloutputs[' pH ']], axis=1)
```

```
[13]: plt.figure(figsize=(10,4))
      influent_ph.corr().iloc[-1].plot(linewidth=2)
      plt.title('Correlation plot (ph)')
      plt.xlabel('Influents')
      plt.ylabel('Correlation')
      plt.ylim([-1,1])
```


[13]: (-1.0, 1.0)



```
[14]: plt.scatter( influent_ph['X_pr_biom_in'],influent_ph[' pH '])
     plt.xlabel('X_pr_biom_in',fontsize=18)
     plt.ylabel('pH',fontsize=18);
```



[15]: from pandas.plotting import scatter_matrix
scatter_matrix(influent_ph, alpha=0.6,figsize=(10,10));



5.4.2 2. Params/Output sensitivity

```
[16]: # Set the value of percentage and sample size for lhs
      percent = 0.1 # NOTE: for params percent should be <= 0.05</pre>
      sample_size = 100
      variable = 'params'
                              # influent/params/ic
      method = 'uniform'
                             #'uniform' or 'lhs'
[17]: index=adm1fu.create_a_sample_matrix(variable,method,percent,sample_size)
      print ()
      print ('Number of elements participated in the sampling:',len(index))
      Saves a sampling matrix [sample_size,array_size] into var_params.csv
      sample_size,array_size: (100, 92)
      Each column of the matrix corresponds to a variable perturbed 100 times around its \operatorname{original}_{\sqcup}
      ⇔value
      var_params.csv SAVED!
      Number of elements participated in the sampling: 92
```

```
[18]: #exe_time=adm1fu.adm1f_output_sampling(ADM1F_EXE,variable,index)
[19]: [output_name,output_unit]=adm1fu.get_output_names()
     alloutputs = pd.read_csv('outputs_params.csv', sep=',', header=None)
     alloutputs.columns = output_name
[20]: [param_name,param_index]=adm1fu.get_param_names()
[21]: # since we did not use all the columns in the influent.dat (see create_a_sample_matrix)
      # we use index to select used headers for used values
     header=[]
     for i in index:
         header.append(param_name[i])
     param_inputs = pd.read_csv('var_params.csv', sep=',', header=None)
     param_inputs.columns = header
     param_inputs.head()
        f_sI_xc f_xI_xc f_ch_xc f_pr_xc f_li_xc
                                                        N_xc
                                                                  N_{-}I
                                                                          N_aa \
     0 0.09116 0.27346 0.21535 0.21711 0.27475 0.00251 0.00420
                                                                       0.00736
     1 0.09692 0.25605 0.18183 0.21486 0.27367 0.00293 0.00450
                                                                       0.00648
     2 0.09653 0.25089 0.18351 0.19403 0.22666 0.00246 0.00420 0.00649
     3 0.09891 0.25074 0.19437 0.20372 0.23318 0.00263 0.00468 0.00666
     4 0.10742 0.26412 0.20268 0.20954 0.26893 0.00263 0.00414 0.00723
           C_xc
                    C_sI ...
                                   k_A_Bpro
                                                  k_A_Bac
                                                               k_A_Bco2
     0 0.02895 0.02792 ... 1.026524e+10 1.086406e+10 9.205019e+09
     1 0.02930 0.02715 ... 9.961014e+09 9.209860e+09 9.484090e+09
     2 0.02824 0.03114 ... 1.043165e+10 1.081807e+10 9.359366e+09
     3 0.02873 0.02895 ... 9.431350e+09 1.031777e+10 9.787729e+09
     4 0.02958 0.03157 ... 1.090281e+10 1.020322e+10 1.063838e+10
             k_A_BIN
                            kLa K_H_h2o_base K_H_co2_base K_H_ch4_base \
     0 1.087446e+10 207.51543 0.02859
                                               0.03361
                                                                  0.00146
     1 1.097333e+10 185.69982
                                      0.03129
                                                    0.03583
                                                                  0.00146
     2 9.475087e+09 218.85580
                                     0.02930
                                                   0.03748
                                                                  0.00140
        1.030247e+10 184.26372
                                     0.03229
                                                    0.03850
                                                                  0.00127
     4 1.076841e+10 189.12319
                                     0.02950
                                                    0.03578
                                                                  0.00138
        K_H_h2_base
                             k_P
            0.00071 50821.70460
     0
            0.00079 45097.70847
     1
            0.00074 53707.49901
     2
     3
            0.00086 49069.07961
            0.00083 54000.23123
      [5 rows x 92 columns]
[22]: # merge influent and output datasets
     inout=pd.concat([param_inputs,alloutputs], axis=1)
     inout.head()
[22]:
        f_sI_xc f_xI_xc f_ch_xc f_pr_xc f_li_xc
                                                        N_xc
                                                                  N_I
                                                                           N_aa \
     0\quad 0.09116\quad 0.27346\quad 0.21535\quad 0.21711\quad 0.27475\quad 0.00251\quad 0.00420\quad 0.00736
     1 \quad 0.09692 \quad 0.25605 \quad 0.18183 \quad 0.21486 \quad 0.27367 \quad 0.00293 \quad 0.00450 \quad 0.00648
     2 0.09653 0.25089 0.18351 0.19403 0.22666 0.00246 0.00420 0.00649
     3\quad 0.09891\quad 0.25074\quad 0.19437\quad 0.20372\quad 0.23318\quad 0.00263\quad 0.00468\quad 0.00666
     4 0.10742 0.26412 0.20268 0.20954 0.26893 0.00263 0.00414 0.00723
           C_xc
                    C_sI ...
                                  Alk
                                            NH3
                                                      NH4
                                                              LCFA
                                                                       percentch4
     0 0.02895 0.02792 ... 8909.63 9.53919 1060.990 61.5561
                                                                          56.0945
                                                                                  (continues on next page)
```


Chapter 5. Examples

```
(continued from previous page)
```

```
1 0.02930 0.02715 ... 7707.69 10.88420
                                            927.238 56.1139
                                                                   62.1148
2 0.02824 0.03114 ... 7873.10 8.67396 927.390 68.2248
                                                                   58.8253
3 \quad 0.02873 \quad 0.02895 \quad \dots \quad 7961.45 \quad 10.83540 \quad 953.650 \quad 64.3854
                                                                   57.4965
4 0.02958 0.03157 ... 8755.37 10.43910 1081.950 67.7978
                                                                   56.9557
    energych4 efficiency VFA/ALK
                                          ACN sampleT
      65.7436 52.8869 0.222452 87.1484 25.3731
0
      62.3272
                  55.0303 0.147138 654.5290 25.3731
1
      64.9817
                 54.1026 0.191081 196.3670 25.3731
2
      66.4942
                  55.2003 0.118815 -1663.6400 25.3731
3
4
      64.9350
                  53.1639 0.222974
                                     94.6821 25.3731
[5 rows x 159 columns]
```

```
[23]: corr=inout.corr()
     plt.figure(figsize=(25,15))
     sns.heatmap(corr.iloc[0:92,92:-1], cmap=sns.diverging_palette(220, 10, as_cmap=True))
     plt.title('Correlation Matrix [params/results]',fontsize=16);
     plt.ylabel('Parameters',fontsize=16)
     plt.xlabel('Outputs',fontsize=16)
```

[23]: Text(0.5, 113.09375, 'Outputs')



[]:

5.5 ADM1F SRT: Synthetic Model-Data Calibration

Authors: Wenjuan Zhang and Elchin Jafarov

Before starting model clibration some additional softwares needs to be installed

- 1. Install Julia
- 2. Install Mads
- "import Pkg; Pkg.add("Mads")" -> this command should be enough
- Mads github page is https://github.com/madsjulia/Mads.jl
- Mads documentation documentation page is http://madsjulia.github.io/Mads.jl/
- 3. To use jupyter notebook to display Julia, add Julia Hub, check this page
 https://juliahub.com/ui/Packages/IJulia/nfu7T/1.23.1

5.5.1 1. Sensitivity of the model output to parameter changes

Before starting model clibration we need to make sure that results are sensitive to the parameter changes.

```
[2]: import Mads
    import DelimitedFiles
     import OrderedCollections
[3]: # check if you are in the right folder
    pwd()
[3]: "/Users/elchin/project/ADM1F_WM/calibration"
[4]: # navigate to the calibration folder
    cd("/Users/elchin/project/ADM1F_WM/calibration")
[5]: # passing "tim.mads" to the Mads. "tim.mads" includes the "tim.jl" that executes the
     # ADM1F model and saves seven outputs of interest.
    filename = "tim.mads"
    md = Mads.loadmadsfile(filename)
[5]: Dict{String,Any} with 4 entries:
      "Parameters" => OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,OrderedCollections.O...
      "Observations" => OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,OrderedCollections.O...
      "Julia command" => "./tim.jl"
      "Filename"
                     => "tim.mads"
[6]: # run the model with the default pamaters from "tim.mads"
     # we denote the synthetic truth/observations
    output_truth=Mads.forward(md)
[6]: OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{Any,Float64} with 7 entries:
      "o2" => 145.362
      "o3" => 48.578
      "o1" => 363.333
      "o4" => 35.1163
      "o5" => 11.6628
      "o6" => 6.51573
      "o7" => 3.04116e-312
[7]: # the variables in the dictinary that have "type" equal to "opt" will participate in the
     # model-data calibration
    md["Parameters"]["p48"]#["init"]
```

```
[7]: OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any} with 4 entries:
        "init" => 0.5
        "max" => 0.6
        "min" => 0.4
        "type" => "opt"
 [8]: Mads.showobservations(md)
                 target =
                                    43.524 weight =
                                                                    1
      01
                 target =
      02
                                   19.1588 weight =
                                                                    1
      о3
                 target =
                                   6.23771 \text{ weight} =
                                                                    1
                                   0.00779 \text{ weight} =
      o4
                 target =
      о5
                 target =
                                         0 weight =
      о6
                 target =
                                   6.87726 \text{ weight} =
                                                                    1
      ο7
                 target =
                                   0.63904 \text{ weight} =
                                                                    1
      Number of observations is 7
[10]: # set observations equal to model runs with md["Parameters"]["p48"][init]=0.5
      md["Observations"]["o1"]["target"]=output_truth["o1"]
      md["Observations"]["o2"]["target"]=output_truth["o2"]
      md["Observations"]["o3"]["target"]=output_truth["o3"]
      md["Observations"]["o4"]["target"]=output_truth["o4"]
      md["Observations"]["o5"]["target"]=output_truth["o5"]
      md["Observations"]["o6"]["target"]=output_truth["o6"]
      md["Observations"]["o7"]["target"]=output_truth["o7"]
[10]: 3.04116075262e-312
[11]: # new synthetic observations
      Mads.showobservations(md)
                                   363.333 \text{ weight} =
                                                                    1
      ი1
                 target =
      ο2
                 target =
                                  145.362 weight =
                                                                    1
      о3
                 target =
                                   48.578 weight =
                                                                    1
                                  35.1163 \text{ weight} =
      ο4
                 target =
      о5
                 target =
                                  11.6628 weight =
      о6
                 target =
                                   6.51573 \text{ weight} =
                                                                    1
      ο7
                 target =
                              3.04116e-312 \text{ weight} =
                                                                    1
      Number of observations is 7
[27]: #find out how many parameters are opt-in
      for i in 1:100
          s1=string.(i)
          s2="p"
          if md["Parameters"][s2*s1]["type"]=="opt"
              println(i)
              println(md["Parameters"][s2*s1])
          end
      end
      OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any}("init" => 0.41,"max" => 0.6,"min" => 0.4,"type" =>
      →"opt")
      54
      OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any}("init" => 0.031,"max" => 0.0455999999999995,"min"
      \Rightarrow=> 0.0304,"type" => "opt")
      OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any}("init" => 0.013, "max" => 0.018, "min" => 0.012, "type
      →" => "opt")
```

Two parameters are opt-in from the tim.mads. This file can be changed manually or here by chaning the initial value (init) to a slightly different one.

```
[20]: #let's opt-in a new parameter
     md["Parameters"]["p54"]["type"]="opt" #K_S_fa
     md["Parameters"]["p54"]
[20]: OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any} with 4 entries:
       "init" => 0.032
       "max" => 0.0456
       "min" => 0.0304
       "type" => "opt"
[21]: println(md["Parameters"]["p48"])
     println(md["Parameters"]["p60"])
     println(md["Parameters"]["p54"])
     OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any}("init" => 0.55, "max" => 0.6, "min" => 0.4, "type" =>
     OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any}("init" => 0.017, "max" => 0.018, "min" => 0.012, "type
     →" => "opt")
     OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any}("init" => 0.032,"max" => 0.0455999999999995,"min"
     →=> 0.0304,"type" => "opt")
[31]: #change to new initial values
     md["Parameters"]["p48"]["init"]=0.58
     md["Parameters"]["p60"]["init"]=0.0165 #K_S_pro
     md["Parameters"]["p54"]["init"]=0.045
     println(md["Parameters"]["p48"])
     println(md["Parameters"]["p60"])
     println(md["Parameters"]["p54"])
     OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any}("init" => 0.58, "max" => 0.6, "min" => 0.4, "type" =>
     →"opt")
     OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{String,Any}("init" => 0.0165, "max" => 0.018, "min" => 0.012, "type
     →" => "opt")
     →=> 0.0304,"type" => "opt")
 [9]: #we can also change the boundary of the allowed interval
     #md["Parameters"]["p48"]["init"]=0.59
     #md["Parameters"]["p48"]["max"]=0.7
     #md["Parameters"]["p48"]["min"]=0.35
 [9]: 0.35
[32]: #rerun the model and compare the results with the previous forward run
     output1=Mads.forward(md)
[32]: OrderedCollections.OrderedDict{Any,Float64} with 7 entries:
       "o2" => 145.06
       "o3" => 48.3842
       "o1" => 363.488
       "o4" => 35.0174
       "o5" => 11.6574
       "o6" => 6.51656
       "o7" => -1.14602e-312
     "o2" => 145.362 "o3" => 48.578 "o1" => 363.333 "o4" => 35.1163 "o5" => 11.6628 "o6" => 6.51573
     "67" => 3.04116e-312
```

5.5.2 2. Model Calibration

Once the sensitivity of the model outputs to changes in model parameters are established we can start the calibration. The elaborated sensitivy analysis is required, for an in-depth model calibration.

```
[34]: p, r = Mads.calibraterandom(md)
[34]: (OrderedCollections.OrderedDict("p1" => 0.09060657861205099, "p2" => 0.2964692632944874, "p3" =>
     →0.18538822521329718, "p4" => 0.21021796952211014, "p5" => 0.20993757892890663, "p6" => 0.
     \hookrightarrow029624259152454625,"p10" => 0.028993549342676592...), OptimBase.
     →MultivariateOptimizationResults{LsqFit.LevenbergMarquardt,Float64,1}(LsqFit.
     LevenbergMarquardt(), [-0.4889181593485484, 1.1927438925052574, -0.3739490066652115, 0.
     →2583123288342893, -0.9293788161320726, -0.8970848356758778, -0.6686810831789546, -0.
     →5646712759835035, 0.3221739693428631, -0.16853854003002233 ... -0.515933804055272, -0.
     \rightarrow 9755102180590636, -1.1189154560307426, 1.0593944723620046, 0.1384639308865269, -0.
     →5026261086108612, -0.012128162836436718, 0.28788576425004025, -0.0029143278533535384, -1.
     →2583123288342893, -0.9293788161320726, -0.8970848356758778, -0.6686810831789546, -0.
     →5646712759835035, 0.3221739693428631, -0.16853854003002233 ... -0.515933804055272, -0.
     →9755102180590636, -1.1189154560307426, 1.0593944723620046, 0.1384639308865269, -0.
     →5026261086108612, -0.012128162836436718, 0.28788576425004025, -0.0029143278533535384, -1.
     →1138366569543616], 150.99483823310115, 10, true, false, 0.0001, 0.0, false, 0.001, 0.0, ⊔
     →false, 1.0e-6, 0.0, false, Iter Function value Gradient norm
     , 1101, 10, 0))
[35]: Mads.showobservations(md)
                               363.333 weight =
     ο1
               target =
                                                             1
                              145.362 weight = 48.578 weight =
     02
               target =
                                                             1
               target =
     о3
                                                             1
     ο4
               target =
                              35.1163 \text{ weight} =
                                                             1
                              11.6628 weight =
     ο5
               target =
                                                             1
               target =
     о6
                               6.51573 \text{ weight} =
                                                             1
               target = 3.04116e-312 weight =
     ٥7
     Number of observations is 7
[52]: println("initial guess:")
     println("p48=",md["Parameters"]["p48"]["init"])
     println("p60=",md["Parameters"]["p60"]["init"])
     println("p54=",md["Parameters"]["p54"]["init"])
     println(" ")
     println("calibrated parameters:")
     println("p48=",round(p["p48"],digits=3))
     println("p60=",round(p["p60"],digits=3))
     println("p54=",round(p["p54"],digits=3))
     println(" ")
     println("true parameters:")
     println("p48=",0.41)
     println("p60=",0.013)
     println("p54=",0.031)
     initial guess:
     p48=0.58
     p60=0.0165
     p54=0.045
     calibrated parameters:
     p48=0.486
     p60=0.013
     p54=0.039
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
true parameters:
p48=0.41
p60=0.013
p54=0.031
```

```
[51]: #Only plot the outputs which participate in this match

est = Mads.forward(md, p);
  obs = Mads.getobstarget(md);

idx_out = collect(1:1:7)
  key_out = [string('o',i) for i in idx_out]

est_filt = [est[i] for i in key_out];
  obs_filt = [obs[i] for i in idx_out];

Mads.plotseries([obs_filt est_filt]; names=["Truth", "Est."])
```

[]:

5.6 PH Control

The two-phase AnDMBR simulates a rumen environment to enhance the rates of hydrolysis and acidogenesis. A large amount of volatile fatty acids that decreases PH is produced, which could lead to digester failure. In real-life conditions, sodium hydroxide is added into the first-phase reactor. This maintains a PH around 6.3 and ensures optimal microbial activities, which is similar to the rumen reactor of a cow. Similarly, the PH in the second-phase methane-producing AnDMBR is maintained at 7.2 to provide optimal reactor functionality. In the AnDMBR model, we retain the appropriate levels of PH within each reactor phase by adding corresponding cation mass, which is estimated via the data consistent inversion method¹. The cation amount can then be used to calculate the amount of sodium hydroxide in real implementation. The method and more in-depth mathematical description of the *ph-control* methods can be found in Dr. Zhang's Ph.D. thesis.

Data Consistent Inversion Method

Data consistent inversion method is used here to determine the cation needed to adjust the PH level in the reactor. It is first introduced for uncertainty quantification in inverse problems in? where the ideas and derivations behind it were discussed in great detail. Since the goal here is to solve the inverse problem where target PH, μ^* , can be achieved, we can apply this method to our model. Our goal here is to control the PH in the reactor within an acceptable range. We denote the target PH value by μ^* , a small deviation is allowed around μ^* to meet real-life conditions.

Gaussian (or normal) distribution denoted by $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ has a property that there is a probability of 99.73% that its observation denoted by X will lie within three standard deviations (3 σ) of the mean (μ). This is the so-called three-sigma rule of thumb, to write it in mathematical notation cite{3sigma},

$$P(\mu - 3\sigma \le X \le \mu + 3\sigma) \approx 99.73\%.$$


We would like to use this special property of Gaussian distribution to achieve a high chance of predicted PH being in the three standard deviations of μ^* . Therefore we assume that our predicted PH value follows a Gaussian distribution², $N(\mu^*, \sigma^2)$. We first propose an initial probability density function (pdf) of cationfootnote (A uniform distribution U(0, 0.2) is assumed in our model.), then the data consistent

¹ T. Butler and J. Jakeman and T. Wildey, Combining Push-Forward Measures and Bayes' Rule to Construct Consistent Solutions to Stochastic Inverse Problems, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 40, A984-A1011 (2018).

² We can also assume different distributions for PH.

inversion method is applied to update the pdf of cation such that the pdf of $N(\mu^*, \sigma^2)$ is returned when the updated pdf is propagated through the model.

The following figure describes the relation between cation density, model and PH density.



The methodology of data consistent inversion³ guarantees that when a sample of the updated pdf of cation is put in the model input, there is a probability of 99.73% that the predicted PH will be within three standard deviations around μ^* (grey area). It is straightforward to get the conclusion that the smaller σ we choose in $N(\mu^*, \sigma^2)$, the more accurate the PH will be.


5.6.1 PH Control for One-Phase and Two-Phase Reactor

Phase 1 of the digester represents the condition where membrane blocks the solid materials that are part of the effluent. Here we setup the corresponding SRT to define the time required for the solids to dilute in phase 1. As mentioned above, during this phase VFAs are produced, which reduces the ph of the digester.


To prevent the ph from further decreasing, we developed the ph-control algorithm that allows us to adjust the ph at the beginning of each phase. Implemented in this study ph-control method (see ph_control function in adm1f_utils.py) is designed to implement data consistent inversion method in PH control (described in the section below). The ph method can be used to predict the PH value. The ph_control method is used to return the cation concentration to be changed in the input in order to reach the target PH value (μ^*) . σ is used to denote the standard deviation we allow the PH value to vary around μ^* .

The following figure describes the basic strategy used for PH control

 $^{^3}$ Assumptions are not discussed here for the ease of explanation.



The idea can also be explained in the following flow chart



Similar idea can then be applied to the two-phase reactor. We can configure the two-phase reactor where

volume, flow rate, t resx (t resx = SRT-HRT) of each phase can be set manually to simulate the real reactor. The implementations in both phase 1 and 2 are similar to the above implementation in the one-phase reactor, the only difference is that the output of phase 1 is now the input of phase 2.

In the Ipython notebook, reactor2_cat(target_1, target_2, **kwargs) function is used to calculate the corresponding cation for each phase in order to control the PH level for each phase. Note that the target PH for phase 1 and 2 (target 1, target 2) can be set different when using this function under the corresponding configurations.

5.6.2 ADM1F SRT: Ph control method

The ph control method was developed by Wenjuan Zhang and uses Data Consistent Inversion Method.

Authors: Wenjuan Zhang and Elchin Jafarov

```
[1]: import os
    import adm1f_utils as adm1fu
    import numpy as np
    from scipy.stats import norm
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    %matplotlib inline
```

1. Relation between cation and PH

Here we explore the cation/ph relationships using different configurations. Note, based in the results will be different based on the ADM1F code version (i.e. original or SRT).

```
[2]: # navigate to simulations folder
    os.chdir('../../simulations')
[3]: # Configuration of the one-phase reactor
    config_default = {'Vliq':3400, 't_resx':0, 'Q':134}
    config1 = {'Vliq':340, 't_resx':1.5, 'Q':618}
    config2 = {'Vliq':3400, 't_resx':700, 'Q':618}
```

Configurations

Configuration	Vliq (m ³)	t_resx (d)	$Q (m^3/d)$
Sherri's (default)	3400	0	134
Phase 1	340	1.5	618
Phase 2	3400	700	618/—

where t resx = SRT - HRT

```
[4]: # check if file exsits read from file
     # otherwise run the simulations with different cations `cat_test`
    cat_test = [i*0.001 for i in range(200)]
    filename='data/no-configuration.dat'
    if adm1fu.check_filename(filename):
        ph_test = np.loadtxt(filename)
        ph_test = [adm1fu.ph(i,verbose='off',**config_default)[0] for i in cat_test]
        np.savetxt(filename, ph_test, fmt='%5.6f')
```


Relation b/t cation and Ph under Sherri's config

```
[5]: # Relation b/t cation and Ph under Sherri's config
    plt.figure(figsize=(12,5))
    plt.scatter(cat_test, ph_test)
    plt.ylabel('PH',fontsize=15)
    plt.xticks(fontsize=15)
    plt.yticks(fontsize=15)
    plt.xlabel('Cation (kmole/m3)',fontsize=15)
    plt.title("Sherri's configuration",fontsize=20);
                                          Sherri's configuration
        7.4
        7.3
     표 7.2
        7.1
        7.0
        6.9
             0.000
                       0.025
                                 0.050
                                           0.075
                                                     0.100
                                                               0.125
                                                                         0.150
                                                                                   0.175
                                                                                             0.200
                                               Cation (kmole/m3)
```

Relation b/t cation and Ph under Phase 1 config


```
[6]: filename='data/configuration1.dat'
   if adm1fu.check_filename(filename):
        ph_test_config1 = np.loadtxt(filename)
   else:
        ph_test_config1 = [adm1fu.ph(i, verbose='off', **config1)[0] for i in cat_test]
        np.savetxt(filename, ph_test_config1, fmt=' %5.6f')
```


```
[7]: plt.figure(figsize=(12,5))
  plt.scatter(cat_test, ph_test_config1)
  plt.ylabel('PH',fontsize=15)
  plt.xticks(fontsize=15)
  plt.yticks(fontsize=15)
  plt.xlabel('Cation (kmole/m3)',fontsize=15)
  plt.title("Phase 1 Configuration with only cation being changed",fontsize=20);
```



Relation b/t cation and Ph under Phase 2 config

```
[8]: filename='data/configuration2.dat'
    if adm1fu.check_filename(filename):
        ph_test_config2 = np.loadtxt(filename)
    else:
        ph_test_config2 = [adm1fu.ph(i, verbose='off', **config2)[0] for i in cat_test]
        np.savetxt(filename, ph_test_config2, fmt='%5.6f')
```





2. PH: one-phase reactor

```
[10]: old_ph0 = adm1fu.ph(0)
     print('Predicted PH is {} if using the original cation value {} '.format(old_ph0[0], old_
      →ph0[1]))
     Reactor run, ph phase-one:
      $ADM1F_EXE -steady -influent_file influent_cur.dat
      Predicted PH is 6.91367 if using the original cation value 0
[11]: old_ph1 = adm1fu.ph(0, **config1)
      print('Predicted PH is {} if using the original cation value {}'.format(old_ph1[0], old_
      →ph1[1]))
      Reactor run, ph phase-one:
      $ADM1F_EXE -steady -influent_file influent_cur.dat -Vliq 340 -t_resx 1.5
      Predicted PH is 4.57781 if using the original cation value 0
[12]: old_ph2 = adm1fu.ph(0, **config2)
     print('Predicted PH is {} if using the original cation value {}'.format(old_ph2[0], old_
      →ph2[1]))
      Reactor run, ph phase-one:
      $ADM1F_EXE -steady -influent_file influent_cur.dat -Vliq 3400 -t_resx 700
      Predicted PH is 7.05983 if using the original cation value 0
      Set Target: Let's calculate the amount of cation needed by the one-phase reactor to match required ph
      targets using Data Consistent Inversion method.
[13]: class target:
         def __init__(self,ph,sig):
             self.ph = ph
              self.sig = sig
         def pdf(self,x):
              return norm.pdf(x,self.ph,self.sig)
[14]: # Give the necessary information
                             # target_ph: target PH value, target_sig: allow some variations around_
      # target_ph = 6.5
      \hookrightarrow target PH
      target_sig = 0.01  # The smaller this value is, the more accurate we will get in the end
      sample_size = 100
      infl_path = 'influent.dat'
      params_path = 'params.dat'
      ic_path = 'ic.dat'
      ## Use data consistent inversion method to return the needed cation to get the target PH
      init_sample = np.random.uniform(0,0.2,sample_size) #the more samples we generate, the more_
      →accurate we will get in the end
[15]: target72 = target(7.2, target_sig)
     target73 = target(7.3, target_sig)
      target75 = target(7.5, target_sig)
      Target 1: target ph=7.2 with Sherri's (default) configuration
[16]: ## ph_control accepts target, initial sample, number of cation values and file path of each_
      \hookrightarrow input file
      ## ph_control return the needed cation to get the target PH
      cat_tar72_dc = adm1fu.ph_control(target72,init_sample,1,infl_path,params_path,ic_path,verbose=
      →'off', **config_default)
```

Chapter 5. Examples

(continued from previous page)

```
# Print out the Needed Cation value!!
print('The amount of cation in the reactor should be:', cat_tar72_dc[0], 'kmole/m3')
Predicted PH is 6.91367
The amount of cation in the reactor should be: 0.07135066533871785 kmole/m3
```

```
[17]: [adm1fu.ph(i, **config_default) for i in cat_tar72_dc]
     Reactor run, ph phase-one:
     $ADM1F_EXE -steady -influent_file influent_cur.dat -Vliq 3400 -t_resx 0
[17]: [(7.18975, 0.07135066533871785)]
```

Target 2: target ph=7.2 with configuration 1

```
[18]: ## ph_control accepts target, initial sample, number of cation values and file path of each_
      \hookrightarrow input file
      ## pph_control return the needed cation to get the target PH
     cat_tar72_c1 = adm1fu.ph_control(target72,init_sample,1,infl_path,params_path,ic_path,verbose=
      # Print out the Needed Cation value!!
     print('The amount of cation in the reactor should be:', cat_tar72_c1[0], 'kmole/m3')
     Predicted PH is 4.57781
     The amount of cation in the reactor should be: 0.19737738732010346 kmole/m3
```

```
[19]: [adm1fu.ph(i, **config1) for i in cat_tar72_c1]
     Reactor run, ph phase-one:
     $ADM1F_EXE -steady -influent_file influent_cur.dat -Vliq 340 -t_resx 1.5
[19]: [(7.19608, 0.19737738732010346)]
```

Target 3: target ph=7.2 with configuration 2

```
[20]: ## ph_control accepts target, initial sample, number of cation values and file path of each_
      \hookrightarrow input file
      ## ph_control return the needed cation to get the target PH
      cat_tar72_c2 = adm1fu.ph_control(target72,init_sample,1,infl_path,params_path,ic_path,verbose=
      →'off', **config2)
      # Print out the Needed Cation value!!
      print('The amount of cation in the reactor should be:', cat_tar72_c2[0], 'kmole/m3')
      Predicted PH is 7.05983
      The amount of cation in the reactor should be: 0.031198904067240532 kmole/m3
```

```
[21]: [adm1fu.ph(i, **config2) for i in cat_tar72_c2]
     Reactor run, ph phase-one:
     $ADM1F_EXE -steady -influent_file influent_cur.dat -Vliq 3400 -t_resx 700
[21]: [(7.20101, 0.031198904067240532)]
```

3. PH: two-phase reactor

PH control for both phase 1 and phase 2

 $\begin{array}{lll} reactor_cat(target_1=target1, & target_2=target2, & Q1=1, & Vliq1=1, & t_resx1=1, & Q2=1, & Vliq2=1, & t_res2=1) \end{array}$

PH control for just phase 1 in two-phase reactor

 $reactor_cat(target_1 = target1,\ Q1 = 1,\ Vliq1 = 1,\ t_resx1 = 1,\ Q2 = 1,\ Vliq2 = 1,\ t_res2 = 1)$

```
[22]: ## Configuration of two-phase reacotr

# config12 = {"Vliq1":340, "Vliq2":3400, "t_resx1":1.5, "t_resx2":700, "Q1":618, "Q2":618}

config12 = {"Vliq1":340, "Vliq2":3400, "t_resx1":1.5, "t_resx2":700, "Q1":618}
```

$target_ph1{=}7.5,\,target_ph2{=}7.2~with~default~configuration 12$

```
[23]: config12 = {"Vliq1":340, "Vliq2":3400, "t_resx1":1.5, "t_resx2":700, "Q1":618}
    adm1fu.reactor2_cat(init_sample,target_1=target75,target_2=target72,verbose='off',**config12)

verbose: off
Predicted PH is 4.57781
$ADM1F_EXE -steady -Vliq 340 -t_resx 1.5 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
Phase 1, after changing cation to 0.197377 kmole/m3, new PH = 7.19608
Predicted PH is 7.10724

$ADM1F_EXE -steady -Vliq 3400 -t_resx 700 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
Phase 2, after changing cation to 0.017699 kmole/m3, new PH = 7.18887
```

target ph1=7.5, target ph2=None with default configuration12

```
[24]: adm1fu.reactor2_cat(init_sample,target_1=target75,verbose='off',**config12)

verbose: off
Predicted PH is 4.57781
$ADM1F_EXE -steady -Vliq 340 -t_resx 1.5 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
Phase 1, after changing cation to 0.197377 kmole/m3, new PH = 7.19608

$ADM1F_EXE -steady -Vliq 3400 -t_resx 700 -influent_file influent_cur.dat
Phase 2, without changing cation, predicted PH = 7.65471
```

References

Footnote

Chapter 6

Join Us on Slack

If you have any questions or would like to be a developer, feel free to join our slack channel.

References

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Bioenergy Technologies Office, under contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.