

AGIEMANG ERIC

MAT 458 - HOMEWORK #3

① using the Randomized Complete block design (RCBD) the statistical model (effect model) for this is

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad \begin{cases} i=1, 2, 3, \dots, a \\ j=1, 2, 3, \dots, b \end{cases} \quad \text{where}$$

$y_{ij}$  is the  $i$ th observation belonging to the  $j$ th block,  $\mu$  is the overall mean,  $\tau_i$  is the  $i$ th treatment effect,  $\beta_j$  is the  $j$ th Block effect and the  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is the random error present in the experiment.

Here, the treatment is the distance (ft) and blocks the subject.

At 5% level of significance ( $\alpha=0.05$ ), we test the

hypothesis  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4$

$H_1: \mu_i \neq \mu_j$  for at least one pair

Distance (ft)	Subject					$y_{i.}$
	1	2	3	4	5	
4	10	6	6	6	6	34
6	7	6	6	1	6	26
8	5	3	3	2	5	18
10	6	4	4	2	3	19
$y_{.j}$	28	19	19	11	20	$y_{..} = 97$

$$N = ab = 4 \times 5 = 20 ; \quad a = 4 \quad b = 5$$

$$SS_T = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b y_{ij}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N} = [10^2 + 6^2 + 6^2 + 6^2 + 6^2 + 7^2 + 6^2 + 6^2 + 1^2 + 5^2 + 3^2 + 3^2 + 2^2 + 5^2 + 6^2 + 4^2 + 4^2 + 2^2 + 3^2] - \left[ \frac{97^2}{20} \right]$$

$$= 555 - 470.45 = \underline{\underline{84.55}}$$

$$SS_{Tr} = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=1}^a y_{i.}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N} = \frac{1}{5} [34^2 + 26^2 + 18^2 + 19^2] - \left( \frac{97^2}{20} \right) = \underline{\underline{32.95}}$$

$$SS_{BL} = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^b y_{.j}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N} = \frac{1}{4} [28^2 + 19^2 + 19^2 + 11^2 + 20^2] - \left( \frac{97^2}{20} \right) = \underline{\underline{36.3}}$$

$$SS_E = SS_T - SS_{Tr} - SS_{BL} = [84.55 - 32.95 - 36.3] = 15.3$$

The test statistics is  $F_0 = \frac{MS_{Tr}}{MS_E}$  which is distributed as  $F_0 \sim F_{(a-1), (a-1)(b-1)}$  if the null hypothesis  $H_0$  is true.

But  $MS_{Tr} = \frac{SS_{Tr}}{a-1} = \frac{32.95}{4-1} = 10.98333$

$$MS_E = \frac{SS_E}{(a-1)(b-1)} = \frac{15.3}{(4-1)(5-1)} = 1.275$$

$$\therefore F_0 = \frac{10.98333}{1.275} = 8.6144 \quad \text{and } F_{\alpha, a-1, (a-1)(b-1)} = F_{0.05/3, 12} = 3.49$$

$$MS_{BL} = \frac{SS_{BL}}{b-1} = \frac{36.3}{5-1} = 9.075$$

The completed ANOVA table is given by:

Source	SS	df	MS	$F_0$	P-value
Distance	32.95	3	10.9833	8.6144	0.0025
Subject	36.3	4	9.075		
Error	15.3	12	1.275		
TOTAL	84.55	19			

DECISION: Reject  $H_0$  if  $F_0 \geq F_{\alpha, (a-1), (a-1)(b-1)} = F_{0.05/3, 12}$  which we conclude that since  $F_0 = 8.6144 > 3.49 = F_{\alpha, (a-1), (a-1)(b-1)}$  we ~~conclude~~ <sup>hence</sup> that we reject  $H_0$  and conclude that there is a significant difference between the means of the four distances showing ~~difference in the effect~~ of the distance of the object from the eye on the focal time. Note that the degrees of freedom (df) are calculated

by: Treatment  $df = (a-1) = (4-1) = 3$

Block  $df = (b-1) = (5-1) = 4$

Error  $df = (a-1)(b-1) = 3 \times 4 = 12$

Total  $df = N-1 = (20-1) = 19$

The p-value =  $P(F_{3, 12} > 8.6144) \approx 0.0025 < 0.05$   
This confirms our decision to reject  $H_0$ .

② Using the Latin square design (LSD) the statistical model (effect model) is given by:

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + T_j + \beta_k + \varepsilon_{ijk} \quad \begin{cases} i=1, 2, \dots, p \\ j=1, 2, \dots, p \\ k=1, 2, \dots, p \end{cases} \text{ where}$$

$y_{ijk}$  is the observation in the  $i$ th row and  $k$ th column for the  $j$ th treatment,  $\mu$  is the overall mean,  $\alpha_i$  is the  $i$ th row effect,  $T_j$  is the  $j$ th treatment effect and  $\beta_k$  is the  $k$ th column effect and  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$  is the random error present in the experiment.

At 5% level of significance ( $\alpha=0.05$ ), the hypothesis of interest is:

$$H_0: T_1 = T_2 = T_3 = T_4 = 0$$

$H_1$ : At least one of  $T_i$  not zero

Order of Assembly	operators				$y_{i..}$
	1	2	3	4	
1	C=10	D=14	A=7	B=8	39
2	B=7	C=18	D=11	A=8	44
3	A=5	B=10	C=11	D=9	35
4	D=10	A=10	B=12	C=14	46
$y_{.jk}$	32	52	41	39	$y_{...} = 164$

Here number of factors  $p=4$  and so  $N = p \times p = p^2 = 4^2 = 16$ . The row is the order of assembly, column is operator, treatment is method.

$$SS_T = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p y_{ijk}^2 - \frac{y_{...}^2}{N} = [10^2 + 14^2 + 7^2 + 8^2 + 7^2 + 18^2 + 11^2 + 8^2 + 5^2 + 10^2 + 10^2 + 12^2 + 14^2] - \frac{(164)^2}{16} = 153.0$$

$$SS_{Row} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p y_{i..}^2 - \frac{y_{...}^2}{N} = \frac{1}{4} [39^2 + 44^2 + 35^2 + 46^2] - \frac{(164)^2}{16} = 18.50$$

$$SS_{C.L} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p y_{.jk}^2 - \frac{y_{...}^2}{N} = \frac{1}{4} [32^2 + 52^2 + 41^2 + 39^2] - \frac{(164)^2}{16} = 51.50$$

$$SS_{Tr} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^p y_{.j.}^2 - \frac{y_{...}^2}{N} = \frac{1}{4} [30^2 + 37^2 + 53^2 + 44^2] - \frac{(164)^2}{16} = 72.5$$

Note:  $A = (5+10+7+8) = 30 = y_{.1.}$ ,  $C = (10+18+11+14) = 53 = y_{.3.}$  as total 5.  
 $B = (7+10+12+5) = 37 = y_{.2.}$ ,  $D = (10+14+11+9) = 44 = y_{.4.}$



$$SS_E = SS_T - SS_{Row} - SS_{Col} - SS_{Tr} = 153 - 18.5 - 51.5 - 72.5 = 10.5$$

The test statistic is  $F_0 = \frac{MS_{Tr}}{MSE}$  which is distributed as

$F_0 \sim F_{p-1, (p-2)(p-1)}$  if the null hypothesis  $H_0$  is true.

$$\text{But } MS_{Tr} = \frac{SS_{Tr}}{p-1} = \frac{72.5}{4-1} = \underline{24.167}$$

$$MSE = \frac{SS_E}{(p-2)(p-1)} = \frac{10.5}{(4-2)(4-1)} = \frac{10.5}{6} = \underline{1.75}$$

$$\therefore F_0 = \frac{24.167}{1.75} = \underline{13.8097} \quad \text{and } F_{\alpha, p-1, (p-2)(p-1)} = F_{0.05, 3, 6} = \underline{4.76}$$

$$MS_{Row} = \frac{SS_{Row}}{p-1} = \frac{18.5}{4-1} = \underline{6.1667}, \quad MS_{Col} = \frac{SS_{Col}}{p-1} = \frac{51.5}{4-1} = \underline{17.1667}$$

$$P\text{-Value} = P(F_{3, 6} > 13.8097) \approx 0.0042 < 0.05. = \underline{17.1667}$$

df's: Treatment df =  $p-1 = (4-1) = 3$ ; Error df =  $(p-2)(p-1) = (4-2)(4-1) = 6$   
 Rows df:  $p-1 = (4-1) = 3$   
 Column df:  $p-1 = (4-1) = 3$  Total df =  $p^2 - 1 = (4^2 - 1) = 15$

Decision: We reject  $H_0$  if  $F_0 > f_{\alpha, p-1, (p-2)(p-1)}$ .

We observe that  $F_0 = 13.8097 > f_{0.05, 3, 6} = 4.76$  hence we reject  $H_0$  and conclude that the average due to order of assembly differ significantly as well as the operators; but the average due to column do not differ significantly since  $f_{Coln} = 3.5238 < F_0 = 13.8097$ .

$$\text{note } F_{Row} = \frac{MS_{Row}}{MSE} = \frac{6.1667}{1.75} = \underline{3.5238}$$

Our decision to reject  $H_0$  above is confirmed by the small p-value of  $0.0042 < 0.05$ .

② We determine if there possibly exist BIB design given  
 $a = 5$  treatment (one factor), block of size 3 ( $k=3$ )  
 $r$  = number of replicates,  $b$  is the number of blocks,  
 $\lambda$  is number of blocks where each pair of treatment appear.

i) For  $r=2$ , if there possibly exist BIBD,  $\lambda$  and  $b$  must be integers. Now,  $\lambda = \frac{r(k-1)}{a-1} = \frac{2(3-1)}{5-1} = \frac{4}{4} = 1$  which is an integer.

$$\text{Also } bk = ar = N \Rightarrow b(3) = 5(2) = 10$$

$\Rightarrow b = \frac{10}{3}$  which is not an integer hence No there is no exist of BIB design.  $b$  must be an integer.

ii) For  $r=3$  if there possibly exist BIBD,  $\lambda$  and  $b$  must be integers. Now,  $\lambda = \frac{r(k-1)}{a-1} = \frac{3(3-1)}{5-1} = \frac{6}{4} = \frac{3}{2}$  which is not an integer.

$$\text{Now } bk = ar = N \Rightarrow b(3) = 3(5) \Rightarrow b = 5$$

Since  $\lambda$  is not an integer, No there is no exist BIBD.

iii) For  $r=4$  if there possibly exist BIBD,  $\lambda$  and  $b$  must be integers. Now  $\lambda = \frac{r(k-1)}{a-1} = \frac{4(3-1)}{5-1} = \frac{8}{4} = 2$  which is not an integer. Now  $bk = ar = N \Rightarrow b(3) = 5(4) \Rightarrow b = \frac{20}{3}$  not an integer. In fact there is No exist of BIB design.

$$\text{iv) For } \lambda = 2, \lambda = \frac{r(k-1)}{a-1} \Rightarrow 2 = \frac{r(3-1)}{5-1} \Rightarrow r = \frac{2(4)}{2}$$

$\therefore r = 4$  an integer.

Next  $bk = ar = N$ ; Then  $\frac{b(3)}{3} = \frac{5(4)}{3} = \frac{20}{3}$  not an integer so No there is no existence of BIB design since  $b$  is not an integer.

v) for  $\lambda = 3$ ;  $\lambda = \frac{r(k-1)}{a-1} \Rightarrow 3 = \frac{r(3-1)}{5-1} \Rightarrow r = \frac{4(3)}{2}$

$\Rightarrow r = 6$  an integer.  
 Next  $bk = ar = N$  and so  $b(3) = 6(5) = 10$  an integer. Since  $\lambda, r, b, k$  are all integer Yes there ~~possibly~~ exist BIB design with  $\lambda = 3, r = 6, b = 10$  and  $k = 3$ .

vi) for  $\lambda = 4$ ;  $\lambda = \frac{r(k-1)}{a-1} \Rightarrow 4 = \frac{r(3-1)}{5-1} \Rightarrow$   
 $\Rightarrow \frac{4 \cdot (4)}{2} = \frac{2r}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{16}{2} = 8$  which is an integer

Next,  $bk = ar = N$ . Then  $b(3) = \frac{5(8)}{3} = \frac{40}{3} = b$  which is not an integer so there is no exist BIB design.  $b$  must be an integer.



4) i) The table presents  $a=5$ ,  $b=5$ ,  $k=4$   
 we have  $N=ar=bk$ . So  $\cancel{r} = \cancel{b}(\cancel{4}) = 4 \therefore \underline{r=4}$   
 $\Rightarrow r = \frac{bk}{a}$   
 $\lambda = \frac{r(k-1)}{a-1} \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{4(4-1)}{5-1} = \frac{4(3)}{4} = \underline{3}$

Here,  $a$  is the total number of treatments.

$b$  is the total number of blocks.

$k$  is the total number of treatments each block contains.

$r$  is number of times each treatment occurs in the design.

$\lambda$  is the number of times each pair of treatment appear in the same block.

ii) The model for the BIB Design is  $y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + B_j + \epsilon_{ij}$   
 This is the effect model,  
 $\begin{cases} i=1, 2, \dots, 5 \\ j=1, 2, \dots, 5 \end{cases}$  where  $y_{ij}$  is the  $i$ th observation for the  $j$ th block,  $\mu$  is the overall mean,  
 $\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  and is i.i.d

$T_i$  is the  $i$ th treatment effect and  $B_j$  is the  $j$ th block effect  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is the random error present in the experiment and that  $\sum_{i=1}^a T_i = 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^b B_j = 0$ .

At 5% level of significance ( $\alpha=0.05$ ), the hypothesis of interest is  $H_0: T_1 = T_2 = T_3 = T_4 = T_5 = 0$

$H_1$ : At least one of  $T_i \neq 0$ .

Now we find the ANOVA entries.

Additive	Car					$y_{i.}$
	1	2	3	4	5	
1	—	17	14	13	12	56
2	14	—	—	13	10	51
3	12	—	13	12	9	46
4	13	11	11	—	—	47
5	11	12	10	—	8	41
$y_{.j}$	50	54	48	50	39	$y_{..} = 241$

From the table above, we calculate the quantities:

$$SS_T = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b y_{ij}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N} = [17^2 + 14^2 + 13^2 + 12^2 + 13^2 + 12^2 + 14^2 + 14^2 + 13^2 + 10^2 + 12^2 + 13^2 + 12^2 + 9^2 + 13^2 + 11^2 + 11^2 + 12^2 + 11^2 + 12^2 + 10^2 + 8^2] - \frac{241^2}{20} = 2981 - 2904.05 = 76.95$$

$$SS_{BL} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^b y_{.j}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N} = \frac{1}{4} [50^2 + 54^2 + 48^2 + 50^2 + 39^2] - 2904.05 = 31.2$$

$$SS_{Tr(adj)} = K \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{Q_i^2}{\lambda_i} \quad \text{where } Q_i = y_{i.} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^b n_{ij} y_{.j}$$

$$Q_1 = y_{1.} - \frac{1}{4} [54 + 48 + 50 + 39] \Rightarrow (56 - 47.75) = \frac{33}{4}$$

$$Q_2 = y_{2.} - \frac{1}{4} [50 + 54 + 50 + 39] = (51 - 48.25) = \frac{11}{4}$$

$$Q_3 = y_{3.} - \frac{1}{4} [50 + 54 + 50 + 39] = (46 - 46.75) = -\frac{3}{4}$$

$$Q_4 = y_{4.} - \frac{1}{4} [50 + 54 + 48 + 50] = (47 - 50.5) = -\frac{7}{2}$$

$$Q_5 = y_{5.} - \frac{1}{4} [50 + 54 + 48 + 39] = (41 - 47.75) = -\frac{27}{4}$$

$$\text{we see that } \sum_{i=1}^a Q_i = 0 = \frac{33}{4} + \frac{11}{4} - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{7}{2} - \frac{27}{4} = 0$$



$$\text{Then } SS_{Tr(\text{adjusted})} = 4 \left[ \left( \frac{33}{4} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{-3}{4} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{-7}{4} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{-27}{4} \right)^2 \right] \\ = \underline{35.7333} \quad 3(5)$$

$$SS_E = [SS_T - SS_{Tr(\text{adjusted})} - SS_{BL}] = (76.95 - 35.733 - 31.2) \\ = \underline{10.017}$$

$$MS_{Tr(\text{adj})} = \frac{SS_{Tr(\text{adj})}}{(a-1)} \quad / \quad MS_{BL} = \frac{SS_{BL}}{(b-1)} \quad / \quad MS_E = \frac{SS_E}{(N-a-b+1)}$$

where  $(a-1)$   $(b-1)$   $(N-a-b+1)$  are df for treatment adj, block, and error respectively.  $N-1$  is the df for the total. So  $(a-1) = 4$ ,  $(b-1) = 4$   $(N-a-b+1) = 11$ .

$$\text{Then } MS_{Tr(\text{adj})} = \frac{35.7333}{(5-1)} = \underline{8.9333}, \quad MS_E = \frac{10.017}{(20-5-5+1)} = \underline{0.9106}$$

$$MS_{BL} = \frac{31.2}{(5-1)} = \underline{7.80}$$

The ~~test statistic~~ <sup>test statistic</sup> is  $F_0 = \frac{MS_{Tr(\text{adjusted})}}{MS_E}$  under  $H_0$   $F_{\alpha, (a-1), (N-a-b+1)}$ .

$$\Rightarrow F_0 = \frac{8.9333}{0.9106} = \underline{9.80999}$$

The critical region is  $F_{0.05, 4, 11} = \underline{3.36}$

Decision rule: Reject  $H_0$  if  $F_0 \geq F_{0.05, 4, 11}$

From the above,  $F_0 = 9.8099 > 3.36 = F_{0.05, 4, 11}$  so we reject  $H_0$  and conclude that there is a significant effect of additive on mileage.

