

EPFL

PROJECT CSE I

Notes

Fabio Matti

supervised by
Prof. Fabio Nobile
Dr. Davide Pradovera

February 16, 2022

1 FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

1.1 THE POISSON EQUATION

We aim to solve an equation of the form

$$-\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.1)$$

on a domain $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, with a solution $u(\mathbf{x})$ that satisfies a certain boundary condition $u(\mathbf{x}) = u_d(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ that lie on the border of Ω .

To do this, we first convert this equation to its weak form by multiplying both sides with an arbitrary test function $v(\mathbf{x})$, which vanishes on the border (i.e. $v(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$), and by then integrating over all of Ω :

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (1.2)$$

We may now rearrange the gradient product rule $\nabla(ab) = (\nabla a)b + a(\nabla b)$ and Gauss' theorem (as long as $v(\mathbf{x})$ is differentiable in a neighborhood of Ω) combined with the fact that $v(\mathbf{x})$ vanishes on $\partial\Omega$ to convert the right-hand side to

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= -\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\nabla u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\boldsymbol{\omega} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Consequently, the weak formulation of the problem is to find $u(\mathbf{x})$, such that for arbitrary $v(\mathbf{x})$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (1.4)$$

To simplify and generalize the notation, we may use the linear form $L : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$L(v) = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (1.5)$$

and also the bilinear form $a : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (1.6)$$

1.2 EXAMPLE: ONE DIMENSIONAL POISSON EQUATION

To illustrate the choice of basis functions, we will now consider the simple one dimensional case $\Omega = [a, b]$, such that the weak formulation of the problem turns into

$$\int_a^b u'(x)v'(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x)v(x)dx \quad (1.7)$$

We now subdivide the domain $[a, b]$ into M subintervals, each of length $h = (b - a)/M$, with nodes at $x_k = a + hk, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$. We proceed to choose as the basis functions the class of the piecewise linear Lagrange interpolating polynomials on $[x_k, x_{k+1}], k \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$, defined as

$$v_k(x) = \frac{x - x_{k-1}}{x_k - x_{k-1}} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}} + \frac{x_{k+1} - x}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]\}} \quad (1.8)$$

If we now interpolate $f(x)$ and $u(x)$ as piecewise linear Lagrange polynomials, we get the representation

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\approx \sum_{i=1}^M f(x_{i-1}) \frac{x - x_i}{x_{i-1} - x_i} + f(x_i) \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} f(x_i) v_i(x) \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

and analogously

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} u(x_i) v_i(x) \quad (1.10)$$

We now restrict ourselves to the discrete variational formulation of the problem

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} u(x_i) \int_a^b v_i'(x) v_j'(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} f(x_i) \int_a^b v_i(x) v_j(x) dx \quad (1.11)$$

which needs to be satisfied for all $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$.

This equation can be rewritten in terms of two matrices \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{L} which we define as

$$K_{ij} = \int_a^b v_i(x) v_j(x) dx \quad (1.12)$$

$$L_{ij} = \int_a^b v_i'(x) v_j'(x) dx \quad (1.13)$$

such that we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} u(x_i) L_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} f(x_i) K_{ij} \quad (1.14)$$

Notice, that we only need the entries K_{ij} and L_{ij} with $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, M-1\}$, since we already know the boundary conditions of $u(x)$ at $x = x_0$ and $x = x_M$.

We realize, that the L_2 inner product of $v_i(x)$ with $v_j(x)$ (and consequently also the one of $v'_i(x)$ with $v'_j(x)$) is zero for all $|i - j| > 1$, hence, we distinguish two different cases.

1. $i = j$: Here, the inner product turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^b v_i(x) v_i(x) dx &= \int_a^b \left(\frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}} + \left(\frac{x_{i+1} - x}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]\}} dx \\
 &= 2 \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \left(\frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right)^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{2}{h^2} \int_{x_{i-1} - x_{i-1}}^{x_i - x_{i-1}} u^2 du \\
 &= \frac{2}{h^2} \frac{1}{3} h^3 \\
 &= \frac{2h}{3}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

and for the derivatives it is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^b v'_i(x) v'_i(x) dx &= \int_a^b \left(\frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}} + \left(\frac{-1}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]\}} dx \\
 &= 2 \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \left(\frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right)^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{2}{h^2} \int_0^h 1 du \\
 &= \frac{2}{h}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

2. $|i - j| = 1$: Here, we can limit ourselves to the case where $j = i + 1$, since the other case is fully symmetric. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^b v_i(x) v_{i+1}(x) dx &= \int_a^b \frac{x_{i+1} - x}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \frac{x - x_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]\}} dx \\
 &= \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{x_{i+1} - x}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \frac{x - x_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{x_i - x_i}^{x_{i+1} - x_i} (x_{i+1} - x_i - u) u du \\
 &= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^h (h - u) u du \\
 &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left(\frac{h^3}{2} - \frac{h^3}{3} \right) \\
 &= \frac{h}{6}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

and for the derivative it is

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b v'_i(x) v'_{i+1}(x) dx &= \int_a^b \frac{-1}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \frac{1}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]\}} dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{h^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} 1 dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{h}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

Now, using the previously defined matrices \mathbf{K}_{ij} and \mathbf{L}_{ij} , we get the matrix equation

$$\mathbf{L} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{f} \tag{1.19}$$

with

$$\mathbf{u} = (u_0, u(x_1), \dots, u_M)^T \tag{1.20}$$

$$\mathbf{f} = (f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_M))^T \tag{1.21}$$

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & & \\ -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & \\ & -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & \ddots & \\ & & -\frac{1}{h} & \ddots & -\frac{1}{h} \\ & & & \ddots & \frac{2}{h} \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.22}$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_0}{f(x_0)} & & & & \\ \frac{2h}{3} & \frac{h}{6} & & & \\ \frac{h}{6} & \frac{2h}{3} & \frac{h}{6} & & \\ & \frac{h}{6} & \frac{2h}{3} & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{h}{6} & \ddots & \frac{h}{6} \\ & & & \ddots & \frac{2h}{3} \\ & & & & \frac{u_M}{f(x_M)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.23}$$

$$(1.24)$$

Here, we have adjusted the first rows in \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{K} , such that the boundary conditions are necessarily satisfied. To obtain the finite element solution, we simply solve this linear system.

2 MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS

Let $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, E_3)^T$ denote the electric field, $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$ the magnetic field strength, and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, j_3)$ the electric current density. We suppose Maxwell's equations hold:

$$\epsilon \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho \quad (2.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{B} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \mathbf{B}) = \partial_t \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{j} \quad (2.4)$$

We can therefore write $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ for some vector potential \mathbf{A} , and $\mathbf{E} = \nabla \phi - \partial_t \mathbf{A}$ for some scalar potential ϕ . Plugging these identities into (2.4), we get

$$\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \partial_t \nabla \phi - \partial_t^2 \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{j} \quad (2.5)$$

We may choose $\nabla \phi = 0$ as a gauge, and introduce a harmonic time dependence of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{j} with frequencies ω , such that $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \exp(i\omega t)$ and $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}) \exp(i\omega t)$. Plugging this into (2.5) yields us

$$\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - \omega^2 \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{j} \quad (2.6)$$

We reduce this equation to its weak formulation, by multiplying it with a vector-valued function $\mathbf{v} \in H_{\text{curl}}(\Omega)$, where we denoted

$$H_{\text{curl}}(\Omega) = \{\mathbf{u} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ such that } \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{C}), \nabla \times \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{C})\} \quad (2.7)$$

and by integrating over all of Ω :

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A})) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (2.8)$$

To further simplify this expression, we will derive an identity for the scalar product of a vector-valued function \mathbf{v} with the curl of a vector-valued function \mathbf{u} . For this, we use the completely antisymmetric tensor ε_{ijk} (frequently referred to as the Levi-Civita tensor), to rewrite the k -th component of the curl as

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{u})_k = \sum_i \sum_j \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_i u_j \quad (2.9)$$

where ∂_i denotes the partial derivative with respect to the i -th coordinate direction. Rewriting the scalar product as a sum, we apply the product rule to get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v} &= \sum_k (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})_k v_k \\ &= \sum_k \left(\sum_i \sum_j \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_i u_j \right) v_k \\ &= \sum_k \sum_i \sum_j \partial_i (\varepsilon_{ijk} u_j v_k) - \sum_k \sum_i \sum_j u_j (\varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_i v_k) \\ &= \sum_i \partial_i (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v})_i - \sum_j u_j (\nabla \times \mathbf{v})_j \\ &= \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Consequently, we may rewrite the double curl term in the weak formulation as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A})) \cdot \mathbf{v} &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot ((\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{v}) - \int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} ((\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} - \int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

We will now have a look at what conditions \mathbf{v} needs to satisfy, such that the boundary term (first integral) vanishes, and we would end up with

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) - \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (2.12)$$

To be continued...

3 IDEAS

What might be really interesting is to instead look at the problem in space-time using the Maxwell tensor

$$\mathbb{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -E_1/c & -E_2/c & -E_3/c \\ E_1/c & 0 & B_3 & -B_2 \\ E_2/c & -B_3 & 0 & B_1 \\ E_3/c & B_2 & -B_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

In the covariant formulation of the Maxwell theory, the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations reduce to a single equation

$$\partial_a F^{ab} = -\mu J^b \quad (3.2)$$

with the four current density $\mathbf{J} = (\mu c \rho, \mu \mathbf{j})$. The weak formulation of the problem could then be stated as (using Einstein's sum convention, i.e. summing over repeated indices)

$$\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} F_{ab} \partial^a v^b = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} \partial_b J^b \quad (3.3)$$

where boundary conditions are yet to be determined. If we somehow would manage to find a suitable function space for the four-dimensional \mathbf{v} , it might be possible to find both \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} from a finite element method.