

EPFL

PROJECT CSE I

# Notes

*Fabio Matti*

supervised by  
Prof. Fabio Nobile  
Dr. Davide Pradovera

February 17, 2022

# 1 FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

## 1.1 THE POISSON EQUATION

*Taken from FEniCS manual (too lazy for bibtex...)*

We aim to solve an equation of the form

$$-\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.1)$$

on a domain  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ , with a solution  $u(\mathbf{x})$  that satisfies a certain boundary condition  $u(\mathbf{x}) = u_d(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$  that lie on the border of  $\Omega$ .

To do this, we first convert this equation to its weak form by multiplying both sides with an arbitrary test function  $v(\mathbf{x})$ , which vanishes on the border (i.e.  $v(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ ), and by then integrating over all of  $\Omega$ :

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (1.2)$$

We may now rearrange the gradient product rule  $\nabla(ab) = (\nabla a)b + a(\nabla b)$  and Gauss' theorem (as long as  $v(\mathbf{x})$  is differentiable in a neighborhood of  $\Omega$ ) combined with the fact that  $v(\mathbf{x})$  vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$  to convert the right-hand side to

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= -\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\nabla u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\boldsymbol{\omega} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Consequently, the weak formulation of the problem is to find  $u(\mathbf{x})$ , such that for arbitrary  $v(\mathbf{x})$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (1.4)$$

To simplify and generalize the notation, we may use the linear form  $L : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$L(v) = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (1.5)$$

and also the bilinear form  $a : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (1.6)$$

## 1.2 EXAMPLE: ONE DIMENSIONAL POISSON EQUATION

*Initial idea taken from Wikipedia article about FEM.*

To illustrate the choice of basis functions, we will now consider the simple one dimensional case  $\Omega = [a, b]$ , such that the weak formulation of the problem turns into

$$\int_a^b u'(x)v'(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x)v(x)dx \quad (1.7)$$

We now subdivide the domain  $[a, b]$  into  $M$  subintervals, each of length  $h = (b - a)/M$ , with nodes at  $x_k = a + hk, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$ . We proceed to choose as the basis functions the class of the piecewise linear Lagrange interpolating polynomials on  $[x_k, x_{k+1}], k \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$ , defined as

$$v_k(x) = \frac{x - x_{k-1}}{x_k - x_{k-1}} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}} + \frac{x_{k+1} - x}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]\}} \quad (1.8)$$

If we now interpolate  $f(x)$  and  $u(x)$  as piecewise linear Lagrange polynomials, we get the representation

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\approx \sum_{i=1}^M f(x_{i-1}) \frac{x - x_i}{x_{i-1} - x_i} + f(x_i) \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} f(x_i) v_i(x) \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

and analogously

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} u(x_i) v_i(x) \quad (1.10)$$

We now restricted ourselves to the discrete variational formulation of the problem

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} u(x_i) \int_a^b v_i'(x) v_j'(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} f(x_i) \int_a^b v_i(x) v_j(x) dx \quad (1.11)$$

which needs to be satisfied for all  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$ .

This equation can be rewritten in terms of two matrices  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  which we define as

$$K_{ij} = \int_a^b v_i(x) v_j(x) dx \quad (1.12)$$

$$L_{ij} = \int_a^b v_i'(x) v_j'(x) dx \quad (1.13)$$

such that we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} u(x_i) L_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} f(x_i) K_{ij} \quad (1.14)$$

Notice, that we only need the entries  $K_{ij}$  and  $L_{ij}$  with  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, M-1\}$ , since we already know the boundary conditions of  $u(x)$  at  $x = x_0$  and  $x = x_M$ .

We realize, that the  $L_2$  inner product of  $v_i(x)$  with  $v_j(x)$  (and consequently also the one of  $v'_i(x)$  with  $v'_j(x)$ ) is zero for all  $|i - j| > 1$ , hence, we distinguish two different cases.

1.  $i = j$ : Here, the inner product turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b v_i(x) v_i(x) dx &= \int_a^b \left( \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}} + \left( \frac{x_{i+1} - x}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]\}} dx \\ &= 2 \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \left( \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{2}{h^2} \int_{x_{i-1} - x_{i-1}}^{x_i - x_{i-1}} u^2 du \\ &= \frac{2}{h^2} \frac{1}{3} h^3 \\ &= \frac{2h}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

and for the derivatives it is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b v'_i(x) v'_i(x) dx &= \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}} + \left( \frac{-1}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]\}} dx \\ &= 2 \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \left( \frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{2}{h^2} \int_0^h 1 du \\ &= \frac{2}{h} \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

2.  $|i - j| = 1$ : Here, we can limit ourselves to the case where  $j = i + 1$ , since the

other case is fully symmetric. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b v_i(x)v_{i+1}(x)dx &= \int_a^b \frac{x_{i+1}-x}{x_{i+1}-x_i} \frac{x-x_i}{x_{i+1}-x_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]\}} dx \\
&= \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{x_{i+1}-x}{x_{i+1}-x_i} \frac{x-x_i}{x_{i+1}-x_i} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{x_i-x_i}^{x_{i+1}-x_i} (x_{i+1}-x_i-u)u du \\
&= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^h (h-u)u du \\
&= \frac{1}{h^2} \left( \frac{h^3}{2} - \frac{h^3}{3} \right) \\
&= \frac{h}{6}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

and for the derivative it is

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b v'_i(x)v'_{i+1}(x)dx &= \int_a^b \frac{-1}{x_{i+1}-x_i} \frac{1}{x_{i+1}-x_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]\}} dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{h^2} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} 1 dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{h}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

Now, using the previously defined matrices  $\mathbf{K}_{ij}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{ij}$ , we get the matrix equation

$$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{f} \tag{1.19}$$

with

$$\mathbf{u} = (u_0, u(x_1), \dots, u_M)^T \quad (1.20)$$

$$\mathbf{f} = (f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_M))^T \quad (1.21)$$

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & & \\ -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & \\ & -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & \ddots & \\ & & -\frac{1}{h} & \ddots & -\frac{1}{h} \\ & & & \ddots & \frac{2}{h} \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.22)$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_0}{f(x_0)} & & & & \\ \frac{2h}{3} & \frac{h}{6} & & & \\ \frac{h}{6} & \frac{2h}{3} & \frac{h}{6} & & \\ & \frac{h}{6} & \frac{2h}{3} & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{h}{6} & \ddots & \frac{h}{6} \\ & & & \ddots & \frac{2h}{3} \\ & & & & \frac{u_M}{f(x_M)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.23)$$

$$(1.24)$$

Here, we have adjusted the first rows in  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{K}$ , such that the boundary conditions are necessarily satisfied. To obtain the finite element solution, we simply solve this linear system.

## 2 MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS

Let  $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, E_3)^T$  denote the electric field,  $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, B_2, B_3)^T$  the magnetic field strength, and  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, j_3)^T$  the electric current density. We suppose Maxwell's equations hold:

$$\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{E}) = \rho \quad (2.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{B} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \mathbf{B}) = \partial_t (\epsilon \mathbf{E}) + \mathbf{j} \quad (2.4)$$

We can therefore write  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$  for some vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi - \partial_t \mathbf{A}$  for some scalar potential  $\phi$ . Plugging these identities into (2.4), we get

$$\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \partial_t \nabla \phi - \partial_t^2 \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{j} \quad (2.5)$$

We may choose  $\nabla\phi = 0$  as a gauge, and introduce a harmonic time dependence of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{j}$  with frequencies  $\omega$ , such that  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \exp(i\omega t)$  and  $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}) \exp(i\omega t)$ . Plugging this into (2.5) yields us

$$\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - \omega^2 \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{j} \quad (2.6)$$

We reduce this equation to its weak formulation, by multiplying it with a vector-valued function  $\mathbf{v} \in H_{\text{curl}}(\Omega)$ , where we denoted

$$H_{\text{curl}}(\Omega) = \{\mathbf{u} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ such that } \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{C}), \nabla \times \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{C})\} \quad (2.7)$$

and by integrating over all of  $\Omega$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A})) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (2.8)$$

To further simplify this expression, we will derive an identity for the scalar product of a vector-valued function  $\mathbf{v}$  with the curl of a vector-valued function  $\mathbf{u}$ . For this, we use the completely antisymmetric tensor  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$  (frequently referred to as the Levi-Civita tensor), to rewrite the  $k$ -th component of the curl as

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{u})_k = \sum_i \sum_j \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_i u_j \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\partial_i$  denotes the partial derivative with respect to the  $i$ -th coordinate direction. Rewriting the scalar product as a sum, we apply the product rule to get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v} &= \sum_k (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})_k v_k \\ &= \sum_k \left( \sum_i \sum_j \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_i u_j \right) v_k \\ &= \sum_k \sum_i \sum_j \partial_i (\varepsilon_{ijk} u_j v_k) - \sum_k \sum_i \sum_j u_j (\varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_i v_k) \\ &= \sum_i \partial_i (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v})_i - \sum_j u_j (\nabla \times \mathbf{v})_j \\ &= \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Consequently, we may rewrite the double curl term in the weak formulation as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A})) \cdot \mathbf{v} &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot ((\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{v}) - \int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} ((\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} - \int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

We will now have a look at what conditions  $\mathbf{v}$  needs to satisfy, such that the boundary term (first integral) vanishes, and we would end up with

$$-\int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) - \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (2.12)$$

Let  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{t}$  denote the normal and tangential vectors to  $\partial\Omega$  at a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ . For the boundary term to vanish, we require

$$((\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

In other words, we need

$$((\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{v}) \perp \mathbf{n} \quad (2.14)$$

which is satisfied for all  $\mathbf{v} \parallel \mathbf{n}$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

[Todo: Formally derive this condition using Levi-Civita tensor]

### 3 WEAK DERIVATIVE

*Taken from Quarteroni: Introduction to Finite Elements Method*

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  open. The support of  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$\text{supp}(f) = \overline{\{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \neq 0\}} \quad (3.1)$$

$f$  has compact support, if there exists a compact subset  $K \subset \Omega$ , such that  $\text{supp}(f) \subset K$ , and define

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = \{f \in C^\infty(\Omega) \mid f \text{ has compact support}\} \quad (3.2)$$

(If I remember correctly, extending this notion to  $f \in C^1(\Omega)$  should yield an almost identical treatment, unless we also include higher order (weak) partial derivatives). Let  $T : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi \mapsto \langle T, \varphi \rangle = T(\varphi)$  be a linear map. We say that  $T$  is continuous, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, \varphi_n \rangle = \langle T, \varphi \rangle \quad (3.3)$$

with  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  converging to  $\varphi$ . Such (linear and continuous) maps are called distribution on  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , and they form the space of distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ .

The (weak) partial coordinate-derivatives of  $T$  (namely  $\partial_i T$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ) are characterized by distributions that satisfy

$$\langle \partial_i T, \varphi \rangle = -\langle T, \partial_i \varphi \rangle \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

Interesting for us is mainly the following case: Given a function  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we define a distribution  $T_f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  to be

$$\langle T_f, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x) dx \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .



This allows us to define a weak derivative to functions that are (in the classical sense) not differentiable (i.e. not in  $C^1(\Omega)$ ). Consider for example the absolute value function  $|\cdot| \in L_2(K)$  where  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  is compact. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_K (\partial_x |x|) \varphi(x) dx &= - \int_K |x| \varphi'(x) dx \\
&= - \int_{K \cap \mathbb{R}_+} x \varphi'(x) dx - \int_{K \cap \mathbb{R}_-} (-x) \varphi'(x) dx \\
&= \int_{K \cap \mathbb{R}_+} \varphi(x) dx + \int_{K \cap \mathbb{R}_-} (-1) \varphi(x) dx \\
&= \int_K \text{sign}(x) \varphi(x) dx
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

we may conclude that the weak derivative of the absolute value function is therefore the signum function. Notice, how the derivative of the absolute value function is only not well-defined at  $x = 0$ , i.e. on a set of zero measure. This nuisance is circumvented when talking about the weak derivative, since the measure zero sets have zero integral.

## 4 IDEAS

What might be really interesting is to instead look at the problem in space-time using the Maxwell tensor

$$\mathbb{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -E_1/c & -E_2/c & -E_3/c \\ E_1/c & 0 & B_3 & -B_2 \\ E_2/c & -B_3 & 0 & B_1 \\ E_3/c & B_2 & -B_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

In the covariant formulation of the Maxwell theory, the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations reduce to a single equation

$$\partial_a F^{ab} = -J^b \tag{4.2}$$

with the four current density  $\mathbf{J} = (\mu c \rho, \mu \mathbf{j})$ . The weak formulation of the problem could then be stated as (using Einstein's sum convention, i.e. summing over repeated indices)

$$\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} F^{ab} \partial_a v_b = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} J^b v_b \tag{4.3}$$

where boundary conditions are yet to be determined. If we somehow would manage to find a suitable function space for the four-dimensional  $\mathbf{v}$ , it might be possible to find both  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  from a finite element method.