### **Proof Relevant Corecursive Resolution**

Peng Fu, Ekaterina Komentdantskaya, Tom Schrijvers, Andrew Pond

### Type Class Inference

```
data OddList a = OCons a (EvenList a)
data EvenList a = Nil | ECons a (OddList a)
class Eq x where
 eq :: x -> x -> Bool
instance (Eq a, Eq (EvenList a)) => Eq (OddList a) where
   eq (OCons x xs) (OCons y ys) = eq x y && eq xs ys
   eq _ = False
instance (Eq a, Eq (OddList a)) => Eq (EvenList a) where
   eq Nil Nil = True
   eq (ECons x xs) (ECons y ys) = eq x y && eq xs ys
   eq _ _ = False
test :: Eq (EvenList Int) => Bool
test = eq (ECons 1 (OCons 2 Nil)) (ECons 1 (OCons 2 Nil))
```

### Type Class Inference

```
data OddList a = OCons a (EvenList a)
data EvenList a = Nil | ECons a (OddList a)
data Eq x = EqD \{eq :: x \rightarrow x \rightarrow Bool\}
kOdd :: Eq a -> Eq (EvenList a) -> Eq (OddList a)
kOdd d1 d2 = EqD q
where q (OCons x xs) (OCons y ys) = eq d1 x y && eq d2 xs ys
      q _ = False
kEven :: Eq a -> Eq (OddList a) -> Eq (EvenList a)
kEven d1 d2 = EqD q
where q Nil Nil = True
      q (ECons x xs) (ECons y ys) = eq d1 x y && eq d2 xs ys
       q = False
test :: Eq (EvenList Int) -> Bool
test d = eq d (ECons 1 (OCons 2 Nil)) (ECons 1 (OCons 2 Nil))
```

### How to obtain the evidence d for Eq (EvenList Int)?

# Cycling nontermination

### Consider the following logic program $\Phi$

$$\kappa_{Odd}: Eq\ x, Eq\ (EvenList\ x) \Rightarrow Eq\ (OddList\ x)$$
 
$$\kappa_{Even}: Eq\ x, Eq\ (OddList\ x) \Rightarrow Eq\ (EvenList\ x)$$
 
$$\kappa_{Int}: Eq\ Int$$

For Query Eq (EvenList Int):

$$\Phi \vdash \underline{Eq\ (EvenList\ Int)} \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Even}} Eq\ Int, Eq\ (OddList\ Int) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Int}} \\ Eq\ (OddList\ Int) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Odd}} Eq\ Int, Eq\ (EvenList\ Int) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Int}} \\ \underline{Eq\ (EvenList\ Int)} \rightarrow \dots$$

# Cycling nontermination

### Consider the following logic program $\Phi$

$$\kappa_{Odd}: Eq\ x, Eq\ (EvenList\ x) \Rightarrow Eq\ (OddList\ x)$$
 
$$\kappa_{Even}: Eq\ x, Eq\ (OddList\ x) \Rightarrow Eq\ (EvenList\ x)$$
 
$$\kappa_{Int}: Eq\ Int$$

For Query Eq (EvenList Int):

$$\begin{array}{l} \Phi \vdash \underline{Eq~(EvenList~Int)} \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Even}} Eq~Int, Eq~(OddList~Int) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Int}} \\ Eq~(OddList~Int) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Odd}} Eq~Int, Eq~(EvenList~Int) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Int}} \\ Eq~(EvenList~Int) \rightarrow ... \end{array}$$

▶ So what is the d such that  $\Phi \vdash d : Eq$  (EvenList Int)?

# Typing Rule for Fixpoint

$$\frac{\Phi,\alpha:T\vdash e:T}{\Phi\vdash \mu\alpha.e:T}$$

▶ We can view  $\mu\alpha.e$  as  $\alpha = e$ , where  $\alpha \in FV(e)$ 

# Typing Rule for Fixpoint

$$\frac{\Phi,\alpha:T\vdash e:T}{\Phi\vdash \mu\alpha.e:T}$$

- ▶ We can view  $\mu\alpha.e$  as  $\alpha = e$ , where  $\alpha \in FV(e)$
- ▶ Operational meaning:  $\mu\alpha.e \rightsquigarrow [\mu\alpha.e/\alpha]e$

# Typing Rule for Fixpoint

$$\frac{\Phi,\alpha:T\vdash e:T}{\Phi\vdash \mu\alpha.e:T}$$

- ▶ We can view  $\mu\alpha.e$  as  $\alpha = e$ , where  $\alpha \in FV(e)$
- ▶ Operational meaning:  $\mu\alpha.e \rightsquigarrow [\mu\alpha.e/\alpha]e$
- ▶ The typing derivation for  $\Phi \vdash d : Eq(EvenList\ Int)$ :

```
\frac{\Phi, \alpha : Eq(\textit{EvenList Int}) \vdash \kappa_{\textit{Even}} \; \kappa_{\textit{Int}} \; (\kappa_{\textit{Odd}} \kappa_{\textit{Int}} \; \alpha) : Eq(\textit{EvenList Int})}{\Phi \vdash \mu \alpha. \kappa_{\textit{Even}} \; \kappa_{\textit{Int}} \; (\kappa_{\textit{Odd}} \kappa_{\textit{Int}} \; \alpha) : Eq(\textit{EvenList Int})}
```

## Type Class Inference

{- eval: test h ==> True -}

```
data OddList a = OCons a (EvenList a)
data EvenList a = Nil | ECons a (OddList a)
data Eq x = EqD \{eq :: x -> x -> Bool\}
kOdd :: Eq a -> Eq (EvenList a)) -> Eq (OddList a)
kOdd d1 d2 = EqD q
where q (OCons x xs) (OCons y ys) = eq d1 x y && eq d2 xs ys
      q _ = False
kEven :: Eq a -> Eq (OddList a)) -> Eq (EvenList a)
kEven d1 d2 = EqD q
where q Nil Nil = True
      q (ECons x xs) (ECons y ys) = eq d1 x y \& eq d2 xs ys
      q _ = False
test :: Eq (EvenList Int) -> Bool
test d = eq d (ECons 1 (OCons 2 Nil)) (ECons 1 (OCons 2 Nil))
h :: Eq (EvenList Int)
h = kEven kInt (kOdd kInt h)
```

### What about looping nontermination?

Example from Haskell Programming with Nested Types by P. Johann and N. Ghani

```
data Mu h a = In (h (Mu h) a)
data HPTree f a = HPLeaf a | HPNode (f (a, a))
type PTree a = Mu HPTree a
instance Eq (h (Mu h) a) => Eq (Mu h a) where
 eq (In x) (In y) = eq x y
instance (Eq a, Eq (f (a, a))) => Eq (HPTree f a) where
 eq (HPLeaf x) (HPLeaf y) = eq x y
 eq (HPNode xs) (HPNode ys) = eq xs ys
 eq = False
tree :: Mu HPTree Int.
tree = In (HPLeaf 42)
test :: Eq (Mu HPTree Int) => Bool
test = eq tree tree
```

### **Looping Notermination**

### The corresponding logic program $\Phi$ :

$$\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu h) a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu h a)$$
 $\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree f \ a)$ 
 $\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)$ 
 $\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int$ 

► For query *Eq* (*Mu HPTree Int*):

$$\begin{split} \Phi \vdash & \underline{Eq(\textit{Mu HPTree Int})} \rightarrow_{\kappa_{\textit{Mu}}} Eq(\textit{HPTree (Mu HPTree) Int}) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{\textit{HPTree}}} \\ Eq \textit{Int}, Eq \textit{(Mu HPTree) (Int, Int)} \rightarrow_{\kappa_{\textit{Int}}} & \underline{Eq} \textit{(Mu HPTree (Int, Int))} \rightarrow_{\kappa_{\textit{Mu}}} \\ & Eq(\textit{HPTree (Mu HPTree) (Int, Int))} \rightarrow_{\kappa_{\textit{HPTree}}} \\ Eq \textit{(Int, Int)}, Eq \textit{(Mu HPTree) ((Int, Int), (Int, Int))} \rightarrow_{\kappa_{\textit{Pair}}, \kappa_{\textit{Int}}, \kappa_{\textit{Int}}} \\ & \underline{Eq} \textit{(Mu HPTree ((Int, Int), (Int, Int)))} \rightarrow \dots \end{split}$$

### **Looping Notermination**

The corresponding logic program  $\Phi$ :

$$\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)$$

$$\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int$$

► For query *Eq* (*Mu HPTree Int*):

$$\begin{split} \Phi \vdash \underline{Eq(Mu\ HPTree\ Int)} \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Mu}} Eq(HPTree\ (Mu\ HPTree)\ Int) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{HPTree}} \\ Eq\ Int, Eq\ (Mu\ HPTree)\ (Int, Int) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Int}} \underline{Eq\ (Mu\ HPTree\ (Int, Int))} \rightarrow_{\kappa_{HPTree}} \\ Eq\ (HPTree\ (Mu\ HPTree)\ ((Int, Int), (Int, Int)) \rightarrow_{\kappa_{Pair}, \kappa_{Int}, \kappa_{Int}} \\ Eq\ (Mu\ HPTree)\ ((Int, Int), (Int, Int)) \rightarrow_{\kappa} \\ Eq\ (Mu\ HPTree\ ((Int, Int), (Int, Int))) \rightarrow \dots \end{split}$$

▶ What is the d such that  $\Phi \vdash d : Eq \ (Mu \ HPTree \ Int)$ ?

#### Assume we have axioms $\Phi$ :

```
\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)
\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)
\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)
\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int
```

▶ Directly prove Eq (Mu HPTree Int) seems impossible

#### Assume we have axioms $\Phi$ :

```
\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)
\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)
\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)
\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int
```

- ▶ Directly prove *Eq* (*Mu HPTree Int*) seems impossible
- ▶ Prove a lemma  $e : Eq x \Rightarrow Eq (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$  instead

#### Assume we have axioms $\Phi$ :

```
\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)
\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)
\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)
\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int
```

- ▶ Directly prove *Eq* (*Mu HPTree Int*) seems impossible
- ▶ Prove a lemma  $e : Eq x \Rightarrow Eq (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$  instead
- $(e \kappa_{Int}) : Eq (Mu HPTree Int)$

```
\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)
\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)
\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)
\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int
```

Derive  $e: Eq x \Rightarrow Eq (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$  using fixpoint typing rule

**1**. Assumption  $\alpha : Eq \ x \Rightarrow Eq \ (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$ 

$$\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)$$

$$\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int$$

- 1. Assumption  $\alpha : Eq \ x \Rightarrow Eq \ (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$
- **2**. Assume  $\alpha_1 : Eq x$ , to show  $Eq (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$

$$\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)$$

$$\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int$$

- 1. Assumption  $\alpha : Eq \ x \Rightarrow Eq \ (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$
- **2**. Assume  $\alpha_1 : Eq x$ , to show Eq (Mu HPTree x)
- 3. Apply  $\kappa_{Mu}$ , we get a new goal  $Eq(HPTree\ (Mu\ HPTree)\ x)$

$$\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)$$

$$\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int$$

- 1. Assumption  $\alpha : Eq \ x \Rightarrow Eq \ (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$
- **2**. Assume  $\alpha_1 : Eq x$ , to show Eq (Mu HPTree x)
- 3. Apply  $\kappa_{Mu}$ , we get a new goal  $Eq(HPTree\ (Mu\ HPTree)\ x)$
- **4**. Apply  $\kappa_{HPTree}$ , we get  $Eq\ x, Eq\ (Mu\ HPTree\ (x,x))$

$$\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)$$

$$\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int$$

- 1. Assumption  $\alpha : Eq \ x \Rightarrow Eq \ (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$
- **2**. Assume  $\alpha_1 : Eq x$ , to show Eq (Mu HPTree x)
- 3. Apply  $\kappa_{Mu}$ , we get a new goal  $Eq(HPTree\ (Mu\ HPTree)\ x)$
- **4.** Apply  $\kappa_{HPTree}$ , we get  $Eq\ x, Eq\ (Mu\ HPTree\ (x,x))$
- 5. Eq x is proved by  $\alpha_1$

$$\kappa_{Mu}: Eq(h\ (Mu\ h)\ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu\ h\ a)$$
 $\kappa_{HPTree}: (Eq\ a, Eq(f\ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree\ f\ a)$ 
 $\kappa_{Pair}: (Eq\ x, Eq\ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)$ 
 $\kappa_{Int}: Eq\ Int$ 

- 1. Assumption  $\alpha : Eq \ x \Rightarrow Eq \ (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$
- **2**. Assume  $\alpha_1 : Eq x$ , to show Eq (Mu HPTree x)
- 3. Apply  $\kappa_{Mu}$ , we get a new goal  $Eq(HPTree\ (Mu\ HPTree)\ x)$
- **4**. Apply  $\kappa_{HPTree}$ , we get  $Eq\ x, Eq\ (Mu\ HPTree\ (x,x))$
- 5. Eq x is proved by  $\alpha_1$
- 6. Apply  $\alpha$  on Eq (Mu HPTree (x,x)), get Eq (x,x)

$$\kappa_{Mu} : Eq(h (Mu \ h) \ a) \Rightarrow Eq(Mu \ h \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{HPTree} : (Eq \ a, Eq(f \ (a, a))) \Rightarrow Eq(HPTree \ f \ a)$$

$$\kappa_{Pair} : (Eq \ x, Eq \ y) \Rightarrow Eq(x, y)$$

$$\kappa_{Int} : Eq \ Int$$

- 1. Assumption  $\alpha : Eq \ x \Rightarrow Eq \ (Mu \ HPTree \ x)$
- **2**. Assume  $\alpha_1 : Eq x$ , to show Eq (Mu HPTree x)
- 3. Apply  $\kappa_{Mu}$ , we get a new goal  $Eq(HPTree\ (Mu\ HPTree)\ x)$
- 4. Apply  $\kappa_{HPTree}$ , we get  $Eq\ x, Eq\ (Mu\ HPTree\ (x,x))$
- 5. Eq x is proved by  $\alpha_1$
- 6. Apply  $\alpha$  on Eq (Mu HPTree (x,x)), get Eq (x,x)
- 7. Apply  $\kappa_{Pair}$ ,  $\alpha_1$  on Eq(x, x), Q.E.D.  $\mu \alpha. \lambda \alpha_1. \kappa_{Mu} (\kappa_{HPTree} \alpha_1 (\alpha (\kappa_{Pair} \alpha_1 \alpha_1))) : Eq x \Rightarrow Eq (Mu HPTree x)$

### **Looping Notermination**

```
data Mu h a = In (h (Mu h) a)
data HPTree f a = HPLeaf a | HPNode (f (a, a))
type PTree a = (Mu HPTree) a
kMu :: Eq (h (Mu h) a) -> Eq (Mu h a)
kMu d = EqD q
where q(In x)(In y) = eq d x y
kHPTree :: Eq a -> Eq (f (a, a))) -> Eq (HPTree f a)
kHPTree d1 d2 = EqD q
where q (HPLeaf x) (HPLeaf y) = eq d1 x y
        q (HPNode xs) (HPNode ys) = eq d2 xs ys
        q = False
tree :: (Mu HPTree) Int
tree = In (HPLeaf 42)
test :: Eq (Mu HPTree Int) -> Bool
test d = eq d tree tree
h :: Eq x -> Eq (Mu HPTree x)
h x = kMu (kHPTree x (h (kPair x x)))
g :: Eq (Mu HPTree Int)
q = h kInt
```

## Summary

- Corecursive Resolution = Resolution + Mu + Lambda
- Discover lemma heuristically
- Construct dictionary automatically
- ► Please see the paper *Proof Relevant Corecursive Resolution* for more details. Thank you!