

# Login.gov Accessibility Assessment: Identity Verification Process

Identity Assurance Level 2 (IAL2)

# **Executive summary**

Login.gov is committed to being inclusive and accessible for all users. Our goal is to continuously improve how people of all abilities are able to use Login.gov to easily access government applications and services.

This report describes the conformance of the Login.gov identity verification process for Identity Assurance Level 2 (IAL2) with W3C's Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG). The review process is described in Section 5 below and is based on evaluation factors in the W3C's accessibility evaluation resources.

Based on this evaluation, the web pages in the IAL2 identity verification process meet WCAG 2.1, Conformance Level AA.

## **Evaluation background**

Conformance evaluation of web accessibility requires a combination of semi-automated evaluation tools and manual evaluation by an experienced reviewer. The results in this report are based on an evaluation conducted in August 2021 and reflect the improvements made since the evaluation. The IAL2 identity verification process is close to meeting applicable sections of the WCAG 2.1 AAA conformance

level. Accessibility features in which Login's Identity Verification Process is strong include.

- Form labels
- Error handling
- <u>Text links</u>

- Font size
- Images
- Keyboard navigation

Accessibility elements we are actively improving include:

- <u>Structure/semantics</u>
- Skip navigation
- Color

# Scope of review

This report describes the conformance of the login.gov identity verification process for Identity Assurance Level 2 with the <u>W3C's Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG)</u>.

URL path for this review:

- <a href="https://login.gov/">https://login.gov/</a>
  - https://secure.login.gov/
    - IAL2 identity verification process

## **Evaluation methods used**

The terms used in the Conformance Level information are defined as follows:

- **Supports**: The functionality of the product has at least one method that meets the criterion without known defects or meets with equivalent facilitation.
- **Partially supports**: Some functionality of the product does not meet the criterion.

- Not applicable: The criterion is not relevant to the product.
- **Not evaluated:** The product has not been evaluated against the criterion.

# **Results**

Design element	Accessibility requirements	Supports	Partially supports	Not applicable	Not evaluated
Images	Content is available with images disabled				
	Images that are purely decorative, and not contextual, have empty, or null, alternative text specified				
	Images that convey contextual content have equivalent alternative text specified in the alt attribute of the img element	•			
	Page uses image-based CAPTCHA, alt text has been added to state what alternatives exist for non-sighted users.			П	
Structur e/ semantic s	Important information placed towards the top of the page				
	Headings represent an accurate outline of the content.				
	Headings, paragraphs, and lists are obvious and sensible				
	Screen readers can read the content of the page by using the down arrow key.				
	Information heard matches the information conveyed to a sighted user				

	]		I	
	Each link has a unique link text and description			
	Screen readers can read the content of the page by using the down arrow key. Information you hear matches the information conveyed to a sighted user			
	Page titles are meaningful and accurate			
Skip nav	Users can navigate via a link, the "skip link", at the top of each page directly to the main content area			
	"Skip to Main Content" link target appears at the start of the main content area, usually right before the <h1> heading tag</h1>			
	Skip navigation link shifts visual focus AND cursor focus to the desired target			
Form labels	Logically-related groups of form elements are identified with appropriate fieldset and legend elements			
	Required form controls are visually and semantically distinguished from optional form controls.			
	Required field indicators (*), formatting guidance and/or field instructions (e.g. MM/DD/YYYY) are included as part of field labels			
	Each appropriate input element or form control has an			

	associated and visible label			
	element or title attribute			
Error handling	Form error messages identify			
	the error(s) to the user and			
	describe them to the user			
	User(s) quickly identify when			
	an error has occurred with			
nanamig	submission of the form and			
	they are instructed on what			
	must be corrected to			
	successfully submit the form			
	Information conveyed by color			
	is also conveyed by context,			
	markup, graphic coding, or			
	other means			
Color	Focus indicator is always			
Coloi	visible (e.g., If sighted			
	keyboard users are not able to			
	track cursor focus when	•		
	tabbing from link to link the			
	CSS is missing definitions for link/anchor focus.)			
	Each element (link, form			
	element, button, plug-in, etc.)			
	on a page is navigable and			
	operable via the keyboard			
Keyboar	Page's tab is order logical			
d	Focus indicator is always			
u	visible (e.g., If sighted			
	keyboard users are not able to	_		
	track cursor focus when			
	tabbing from link to link the			
	CSS is missing definitions for			
	link/anchor focus.)			
Text	All text links have sufficient			
links	color contrast of at least 4.5:1	_		
1111105	All links are underlined			

Font size and type exceed appropriate standards			
Plug-ins/viewers			
Media (Multi)			
Data tables			

## What's next

#### **Goal 1: Meet Conformance Level AAA Rating**

Meet WCAG AA level, and applicable sections of the WCAG 2.1 AAA conformance level. WCAG 2.0 conformance level AAA includes several criteria pertaining to language and multilingualism. By addressing certain functional and design elements Login.gov will remove barriers preventing users from completing the identity verification process.

#### **Priorities**

- Adding additional language options besides English, Spanish, and French.
- Improve Login's bilingual capabilities and proficiency

### **Goal 2: Usability testing**

A key aspect to building an inclusive Login.gov is incorporating direct public feedback in our research.

#### **Priorities**

- Discover challenges and gaps in the identity verification flow for End Users who have (are)
  - Cognitive disabilities
  - Novice English speakers (ESL)
  - Braille Display Users

Screen reader and magnification users

#### **Goal 3: Accessibility evaluation**

Continue to evaluate all public facing static sites and flows so we can understand gaps and areas of improvement.

#### **Priorities**

- All public facing static sites
  - o Login.gov site
  - Developers.login.gov site
  - o Partners.login.gov site
  - Data.login.gov (Beta)

## References

Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) Overview

Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.1

Techniques for WCAG 2.1

**Accessibility Evaluation Resources** 

Web Accessibility Evaluation Tools List

<u>Using Combined Expertise to Evaluate Web Accessibility</u>