

# STUDENT STUDY GUIDE

FOR

## Numerical Analysis Eighth Edition

**Richard L. Burden**

**J. Douglas Faires**

YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY

COPYRIGHT © 2005 Richard L. Burden and J. Douglas Faires

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Mathematical Preliminaries</b>	<b>1</b>
Exercise Set 1.1 . . . . .	1
Exercise Set 1.2 . . . . .	4
Exercise Set 1.3 . . . . .	7
<b>Solutions of Equations of One Variable</b>	<b>13</b>
Exercise Set 2.1 . . . . .	13
Exercise Set 2.2 . . . . .	15
Exercise Set 2.3 . . . . .	19
Exercise Set 2.4 . . . . .	25
Exercise Set 2.5 . . . . .	28
Exercise Set 2.6 . . . . .	32
<b>Interpolation and Polynomial Approximation</b>	<b>35</b>
Exercise Set 3.1 . . . . .	35
Exercise Set 3.2 . . . . .	41
Exercise Set 3.3 . . . . .	45
Exercise Set 3.4 . . . . .	47
Exercise Set 3.5 . . . . .	52
<b>Numerical Differentiation and Integration</b>	<b>55</b>
Exercise Set 4.1 . . . . .	55
Exercise Set 4.2 . . . . .	58
Exercise Set 4.3 . . . . .	63
Exercise Set 4.4 . . . . .	68
Exercise Set 4.5 . . . . .	72
Exercise Set 4.6 . . . . .	74
Exercise Set 4.7 . . . . .	76
Exercise Set 4.8 . . . . .	78
Exercise Set 4.9 . . . . .	80
<b>Initial-Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations</b>	<b>83</b>
Exercise Set 5.1 . . . . .	83
Exercise Set 5.2 . . . . .	85
Exercise Set 5.3 . . . . .	87

Exercise Set 5.4 . . . . .	90
Exercise Set 5.5 . . . . .	93
Exercise Set 5.6 . . . . .	95
Exercise Set 5.7 . . . . .	99
Exercise Set 5.8 . . . . .	100
Exercise Set 5.9 . . . . .	102
Exercise Set 5.10 . . . . .	105
<b>Direct Methods for Solving Linear Systems</b>	<b>111</b>
Exercise Set 6.1 . . . . .	111
Exercise Set 6.2 . . . . .	116
Exercise Set 6.3 . . . . .	120
Exercise Set 6.4 . . . . .	125
Exercise Set 6.1 . . . . .	128
Exercise Set 6.6 . . . . .	134
<b>Iterative Techniques in Matrix Algebra</b>	<b>141</b>
Exercise Set 7.1 . . . . .	141
Exercise Set 7.2 . . . . .	142
Exercise Set 7.3 . . . . .	144
Exercise Set 7.4 . . . . .	146
Exercise Set 7.5 . . . . .	147
<b>Approximation Theory</b>	<b>155</b>
Exercise Set 8.1 . . . . .	155
Exercise Set 8.2 . . . . .	157
Exercise Set 8.3 . . . . .	158
Exercise Set 8.4 . . . . .	159
Exercise Set 8.5 . . . . .	161
Exercise Set 8.6 . . . . .	164
<b>Approximating Eigenvalues</b>	<b>167</b>
Exercise Set 9.1 . . . . .	167
Exercise Set 9.2 . . . . .	169
Exercise Set 9.3 . . . . .	172
Exercise Set 9.4 . . . . .	173
<b>Numerical Solutions of Nonlinear Systems of Equations</b>	<b>177</b>
Exercise Set 10.1 . . . . .	177
Exercise Set 10.2 . . . . .	179
Exercise Set 10.3 . . . . .	182
Exercise Set 10.4 . . . . .	185
Exercise Set 10.5 . . . . .	188

<b>Boundary-Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations</b>	<b>191</b>
Exercise Set 11.1 . . . . .	191
Exercise Set 11.2 . . . . .	193
Exercise Set 11.3 . . . . .	195
Exercise Set 11.4 . . . . .	198
Exercise Set 11.5 . . . . .	199
 <b>Numerical Solutions to Partial Differential Equations</b>	 <b>205</b>
Exercise Set 12.1 . . . . .	205
Exercise Set 12.2 . . . . .	206
Exercise Set 12.3 . . . . .	211
Exercise Set 12.4 . . . . .	213



# Preface

This Student Study Guide for Numerical Analysis, Eighth Edition, by Burden and Faires contains worked out representative exercises for all the techniques discussed in the book. Although the answers to the odd exercises are also in the back of the text, the results listed in this Study Guide go well beyond those in the book. The exercises that are solved in the Guide were chosen to be those requiring insight into the methods discussed in the text.

We have also added a number of exercises to the text that involve the use of a computer algebra system. We chose Maple as our standard, but any of these systems can be used. In our recent teaching of the course we found that students understood the concepts better when they worked through the algorithms step-by-step, but let the computer algebra system do the tedious computation.

It has been our practice to include in our Numerical Analysis book structured algorithms of all the techniques discussed in the text. The algorithms are given in a form that can be coded in any appropriate programming language, by students with even a minimal amount of programming expertise.

In the Fifth Edition of Numerical Analysis we included in the Student Study Guide a disk containing FORTRAN and Pascal programs for the algorithms in the book. In the Sixth edition we placed the disk in the text itself, and added C programs, as well as worksheets in Maple and Mathematica, for all the algorithms. We continued this practice for the Seventh Edition, have updated the added Maple programs to both versions 5.0 and 6.0, and added MATLAB programs as well.

For the Eighth Edition, we have added new Maple programs to reflect the linear algebra package change from the original `linalg` package to the more modern `LinearAlgebra` package. In addition, we now also have the programs coded in Java.

You will not find a disk with this edition of the book. Instead, our reviewers suggested, and we agree, that it is more useful to have the programs available for downloading from the web. At the website for the book,

<http://www.as.yasu.edu/~fares/Numerical-Analysis/>

you will find the programs. This site also contains additional information about the book and will be updated regularly to reflect any modifications that might be made. For example, we will place there any responses to questions from users of the book concerning interpretations of the exercises and appropriate applications of the techniques.

We hope our supplement package provides flexibility for instructors teaching Numerical Analysis. If you have any suggestions for improvements that can be incorporated into future editions of the book or the supplements, we would be most grateful to receive your comments. We can be most easily contacted by electronic mail at the addresses listed below.

Youngstown State University

Richard L. Burden  
burden@math.ysu.edu

December 27, 2004

J. Douglas Faires  
fares@math.ysu.edu

# Mathematical Preliminaries

## Exercise Set 1.1, page 14

1. **d.** Show that the equation  $x - (\ln x)^x = 0$  has at least one solution in the interval  $[4, 5]$ .

SOLUTION: It is not possible to algebraically solve for the solution  $x$ , but this is not required in the problem, we must only show that a solution exists. Let

$$f(x) = x - (\ln x)^x = x - \exp(x(\ln(\ln x))).$$

Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[4, 5]$  with  $f(4) \approx 0.3066$  and  $f(5) \approx -5.799$ , the Intermediate Value Theorem implies that a number  $x$  must exist in  $(4, 5)$  with  $0 = f(x) = x - (\ln x)^x$ .

2. **c.** Find intervals that contain a solution to the equation  $x^3 - 2x^2 - 4x + 3 = 0$ .

SOLUTION: Let  $f(x) = x^3 - 2x^2 - 4x + 3$ . The critical points of  $f$  occur when

$$0 = f'(x) = 3x^2 - 4x - 4 = (3x + 2)(x - 2);$$

that is, when  $x = -\frac{2}{3}$  and  $x = 2$ . Relative maximum and minimum values of  $f$  can occur only at these values. There are at most three solutions to  $f(x) = 0$ , since  $f(x)$  is a polynomial of degree three. Since  $f(-2) = -5$  and  $f(-\frac{2}{3}) \approx 4.48$ ;  $f(0) = 3$  and  $f(1) = -2$ ; and  $f(2) = -5$  and  $f(4) = 19$ ; solutions lie in the intervals  $[-2, -2/3]$ ,  $[0, 1]$ , and  $[2, 4]$ .

4. **a.** Find  $\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)|$  when  $f(x) = (2 - e^x + 2x)/3$ .

SOLUTION: First note that  $f'(x) = (-e^x + 2)/3$ , so the only critical point of  $f$  occurs at  $x = \ln 2$ , which lies in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . The maximum for  $|f(x)|$  must consequently be

$$\max\{|f(0)|, |f(\ln 2)|, |f(1)|\} = \max\{1/3, (2 \ln 2)/3, (4 - e)/3\} = (2 \ln 2)/3.$$

9. Find the second Taylor polynomial for  $f(x) = e^x \cos x$  about  $x_0 = 0$ .

SOLUTION: Since

$$f'(x) = e^x(\cos x - \sin x), \quad f''(x) = -2e^x(\sin x), \quad \text{and} \quad f'''(x) = -2e^x(\sin x + \cos x),$$

we have  $f(0) = 1$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ , and  $f''(0) = 0$ . So

$$P_2(x) = 1 + x \quad \text{and} \quad R_2(x) = \frac{-2e^\xi(\sin \xi + \cos \xi)}{3!}x^3.$$



**a.** Use  $P_2(0.5)$  to approximate  $f(0.5)$ , find an upper bound for  $|f(0.5) - P_2(0.5)|$ , and compare this to the actual error.

**SOLUTION:** We have  $P_2(0.5) = 1 + 0.5 = 1.5$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(0.5) - P_2(0.5)| &\leq \max_{\xi \in [0, 0.5]} \left| \frac{-2e^\xi (\sin \xi + \cos \xi)}{3!} (0.5)^2 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} (0.5)^2 \max_{\xi \in [0, 0.5]} |e^\xi (\sin \xi + \cos \xi)|. \end{aligned}$$

To maximize this quantity on  $[0, 0.5]$ , first note that  $D_x e^x (\sin x + \cos x) = 2e^x \cos x > 0$ , for all  $x$  in  $[0, 0.5]$ . This implies that the maximum and minimum values of  $e^x (\sin x + \cos x)$  on  $[0, 0.5]$  occur at the endpoints of the interval, and

$$e^0 (\sin 0 + \cos 0) = 1 < e^{0.5} (\sin 0.5 + \cos 0.5) \approx 2.24.$$

Hence,

$$|f(0.5) - P_2(0.5)| \leq \frac{1}{3} (0.5)^3 (2.24) \approx 0.0932.$$

**b.** Find a bound for the error  $|f(x) - P_2(x)|$ , for  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

**SOLUTION:** A similar analysis to that in part (a) gives

$$|f(x) - P_2(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3} (1.0)^3 e^1 (\sin 1 + \cos 1) \approx 1.252.$$

**c.** Approximate  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$  using  $\int_0^1 P_2(x) dx$ .

**SOLUTION:**

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx \approx \int_0^1 1 + x dx = \left[ x + \frac{x^2}{2} \right]_0^1 = \frac{3}{2}.$$

**d.** Find an upper bound for the error in part (c).

**SOLUTION:** From part b),

$$\int_0^1 |R_2(x)| dx \leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{3} e^1 (\cos 1 + \sin 1) x^3 dx = \int_0^1 1.252 x^3 dx = 0.313.$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 e^x \cos x dx = \left[ \frac{e^x}{2} (\cos x + \sin x) \right]_0^1 = \frac{e}{2} (\cos 1 + \sin 1) - \frac{1}{2} (1 + 0) \approx 1.378,$$

the actual error is  $|1.378 - 1.5| \approx 0.12$ .

- 14.** Use the error term of a Taylor polynomial to estimate the error involved in using  $\sin x \approx x$  to approximate  $\sin 1^\circ$ .

**SOLUTION:** First we need to convert the degree measure for the sine function to radians. We have  $180^\circ = \pi$  radians, so  $1^\circ = \frac{\pi}{180}$  radians. Since,  $f(x) = \sin x$ ,  $f'(x) = \cos x$ ,  $f''(x) = -\sin x$ , and  $f'''(x) = -\cos x$ , we have  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ , and  $f''(0) = 0$ . The approximation  $\sin x \approx x$  is given by  $f(x) \approx P_2(x)$  and  $R_2(x) = -\frac{\cos \xi}{3!} x^3$ . If we use the bound  $|\cos \xi| \leq 1$ , then

$$\left| \sin \frac{\pi}{180} - \frac{\pi}{180} \right| = \left| R_2 \left( \frac{\pi}{180} \right) \right| = \left| \frac{-\cos \xi}{3!} \left( \frac{\pi}{180} \right)^3 \right| \leq 8.86 \times 10^{-7}.$$

**16. a.** Let  $f(x) = e^{x/2} \sin \frac{x}{3}$ . Use Maple to determine the third Maclaurin polynomial  $P_3(x)$ .

SOLUTION: Define  $f(x)$  by

```
>f:=exp(x/2)*sin(x/3);
```

$$f := e^{(1/2)x} \sin\left(\frac{1}{3}x\right)$$

Then find the first three terms of the Taylor series with

```
>g:=taylor(f,x=0,4);
```

$$g := \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{1}{6}x^2 + \frac{23}{648}x^3 + O(x^4)$$

Extract the third Maclaurin polynomial with

```
>p3:=convert(g,polynomial);
```

$$p3 := \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{1}{6}x^2 + \frac{23}{648}x^3$$

**b.**  $f^{(4)}(x)$  and bound the error  $|f(x) - P_3(x)|$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

SOLUTION: Determine the fourth derivative.

```
>f4:=diff(f,x,x,x,x);
```

$$f4 := -\frac{119}{2592}e^{(1/2)x} \sin\left(\frac{1}{3}x\right) + \frac{5}{54}e^{(1/2)x} \cos\left(\frac{1}{3}x\right)$$

Find the fifth derivative.

```
>f5:=diff(f4,x);
```

$$f5 := -\frac{119}{2592}e^{(1/2)x} \sin\left(\frac{1}{3}x\right) + \frac{61}{3888}e^{(1/2)x} \cos\left(\frac{1}{3}x\right)$$

See if the fourth derivative has any critical points in  $[0, 1]$ .

```
>p:=fsolve(f5=0,x,0..1);
```

$$p := .6047389076$$

The extreme values of the fourth derivative will occur at  $x = 0, 1$ , or  $p$ .

```
>c1:=evalf(subs(x=p,f4));
```

$$c1 := .09787176213$$

```
>c2:=evalf(subs(x=0,f4));
```

$$c2 := .09259259259$$

```
>c3:=evalf(subs(x=1,f4));
```

$$c3 := .09472344463$$

The maximum absolute value of  $f^{(4)}(x)$  is  $c_1$  and the error is given by

```
>error:=c1/24;
```

$$error := .004077990089$$

- 26.** Suppose that  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are in  $[a, b]$ , and that  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are positive constants. Show that a number  $\xi$  exists between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  with

$$f(\xi) = \frac{c_1 f(x_1) + c_2 f(x_2)}{c_1 + c_2}.$$

**SOLUTION:** Let  $m = \min\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}$  and  $M = \max\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}$ . Then  $m \leq f(x_1) \leq M$  and  $m \leq f(x_2) \leq M$ , so

$$c_1 m \leq c_1 f(x_1) \leq c_1 M \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 m \leq c_2 f(x_2) \leq c_2 M.$$

Thus,

$$(c_1 + c_2)m \leq c_1 f(x_1) + c_2 f(x_2) \leq (c_1 + c_2)M$$

and

$$m \leq \frac{c_1 f(x_1) + c_2 f(x_2)}{c_1 + c_2} \leq M.$$

By the Intermediate Value Theorem applied to the interval with endpoints  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , there exists a number  $\xi$  between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  for which

$$f(\xi) = \frac{c_1 f(x_1) + c_2 f(x_2)}{c_1 + c_2}.$$

## Exercise Set 1.2, page 26

- 2. c.** Find the largest interval in which  $p^*$  must lie to approximate  $\sqrt{2}$  with relative error at most  $10^{-4}$ .

**SOLUTION:** We need

$$\frac{|p^* - \sqrt{2}|}{|\sqrt{2}|} \leq 10^{-4},$$

so

$$|p^* - \sqrt{2}| \leq \sqrt{2} \times 10^{-4};$$

that is,

$$-\sqrt{2} \times 10^{-4} \leq p^* - \sqrt{2} \leq \sqrt{2} \times 10^{-4}.$$

This implies that  $p^*$  must be in the interval  $(\sqrt{2}(0.9999), \sqrt{2}(1.0001))$ .

- 5. e.** Use three-digit rounding arithmetic to compute

$$\frac{\frac{13}{14} - \frac{6}{7}}{2e - 5.4},$$

and determine the absolute and relative errors.

**SOLUTION:** Using three-digit rounding arithmetic gives  $\frac{13}{14} = 0.929$ ,  $\frac{6}{7} = 0.857$ , and  $e = 2.72$ . So

$$\frac{13}{14} - \frac{6}{7} = 0.0720 \quad \text{and} \quad 2e - 5.4 = 5.44 - 5.40 = 0.0400.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\frac{13}{14} - \frac{6}{7}}{2e - 5.4} = \frac{0.0720}{0.0400} = 1.80.$$

The correct value is approximately 1.954, so the absolute and relative errors to three digits are

$$|1.80 - 1.954| = 0.154 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|1.80 - 1.954|}{1.954} = 0.0788,$$

respectively.

9. a. Use the first three terms of the Maclaurin series for the arctangent function to approximate  $\pi = 4 \left[ \arctan \frac{1}{2} + \arctan \frac{1}{3} \right]$ , and determine the absolute and relative errors.

SOLUTION: Let  $P(x) = x - \frac{1}{3}x^3 + \frac{1}{5}x^5$ . Then  $P\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.464583$  and  $P\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 0.3218107$ , so

$$\pi = 4 \left[ \arctan \frac{1}{2} + \arctan \frac{1}{3} \right] \approx 3.145576.$$

The absolute and relative errors are, respectively,

$$|\pi - 3.145576| \approx 3.983 \times 10^{-3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|\pi - 3.145576|}{|\pi|} \approx 1.268 \times 10^{-3}.$$

12. Let

$$f(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{x}.$$

- a. Find  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$ .

SOLUTION: Using L'Hospitals Rule, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{1} = \frac{1 + 1}{1} = 2.$$

- b. Use three-digit rounding arithmetic to evaluate  $f(0.1)$ .

SOLUTION: With three-digit rounding arithmetic we have  $e^{0.100} = 1.11$  and  $e^{-0.100} = 0.905$ , so

$$f(0.100) = \frac{1.11 - 0.905}{0.100} = \frac{0.205}{0.100} = 2.05.$$

- c. Replace each exponential function with its third Maclaurin polynomial and repeat part (b).

SOLUTION: The third Maclaurin polynomials give

$$e^x \approx 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-x} \approx 1 - x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{6}x^3,$$

so

$$f(x) \approx \frac{\left(1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3\right) - \left(1 - x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{6}x^3\right)}{x} = \frac{2x + \frac{1}{3}x^3}{x} = 2 + \frac{1}{3}x^2.$$

Thus, with three-digit rounding, we have

$$f(0.100) \approx 2 + \frac{1}{3}(0.100)^2 = 2 + (0.333)(0.001) = 2.00 + 0.000333 = 2.00.$$



SOLUTION:

$$\begin{aligned} f(1.53) &= (((1.01)4.62 - 4.62)4.62 - 3.11)4.62 + 12.2)4.62 - 1.99 \\ &= (((4.67 - 4.62)4.62 - 3.11)4.62 + 12.2)4.62 - 1.99 \\ &= ((0.231 - 3.11)4.62 + 12.2)4.62 - 1.99 \\ &= (-13.3 + 12.2)4.62 - 1.99 = -7.07. \end{aligned}$$

d. Compare the approximations in parts (b) and (c).

SOLUTION: The exact result is 7.61, so the absolute errors in parts (b) and (c) are, respectively,  $|-6.79 + 7.61| = 0.82$  and  $|-7.07 + 7.61| = 0.54$ . The relative errors are, respectively, 0.108 and 0.0710.

28. Show that both sets of data given in the opening application for this chapter can give values of  $T$  that are consistent with the ideal gas law.

SOLUTION: For the initial data, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0.995 \leq P \leq 1.005, \quad 0.0995 \leq V \leq 0.1005, \\ 0.082055 \leq R \leq 0.082065, \quad \text{and} \quad 0.004195 \leq N \leq 0.004205. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$287.61 \leq T \leq 293.42.$$

Since  $15^\circ \text{ Celsius} = 288.16 \text{ kelvin}$ , we are within the bound. When  $P$  is doubled and  $V$  is halved,

$$1.99 \leq P \leq 2.01 \quad \text{and} \quad 0.0497 \leq V \leq 0.0503,$$

so

$$286.61 \leq T \leq 293.72.$$

Since  $19^\circ \text{ Celsius} = 292.16 \text{ kelvin}$ , we are again within the bound. In either case it is possible that the actual temperature is  $290.15 \text{ kelvin} = 17^\circ \text{ Celsius}$ .

## Exercise Set 1.3, page 36

3. a. Determine the number  $n$  of terms of the series

$$\arctan x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{x^{2i-1}}{(2i-1)}$$

that are required to ensure that  $|4P_n(1) - \pi| < 10^{-3}$ .

SOLUTION: Since the terms of the series

$$\pi = 4 \arctan 1 = 4 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{1}{2i-1}$$

alternate in sign, the error produced by truncating the series at any term is less than the magnitude of the next term.

To ensure significant accuracy, we need to choose  $n$  so that

$$\frac{4}{2(n+1)-1} < 10^{-3} \quad \text{or} \quad 4000 < 2n+1.$$

To ensure this accuracy requirement, we need  $n \geq 2000$ .

**b.** How many terms are required to ensure the  $10^{-10}$  accuracy needed for an approximation to  $\pi$ ?

SOLUTION: In this case, we need

$$\frac{4}{2(n+1)-1} < 10^{-10} \quad \text{or} \quad n > 20,000,000,000.$$

Clearly, a more rapidly convergent method is needed for this approximation.

**8. a.** How many calculations are needed to determine a sum of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i a_i b_j?$$

SOLUTION: For each  $i$ , the inner sum  $\sum_{j=1}^i a_i b_j$  requires  $i$  multiplications and  $i-1$  additions, for a total of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \quad \text{multiplications}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i - 1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n \quad \text{additions.}$$

Once the  $n$  inner sums are computed,  $n-1$  additions are required for the final sum.

The final total is:

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \quad \text{multiplications} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(n+2)(n-1)}{2} \quad \text{additions.}$$

**b.** Re-express the series in a way that will reduce the number of calculations needed to determine this sum.

SOLUTION: By rewriting the sum as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i a_i b_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=1}^i b_j,$$

we can significantly reduce the amount of calculation. For each  $i$ , we now need  $i-1$  additions to sum  $b_j$ 's for a total of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i - 1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n \quad \text{additions.}$$

Once the  $b_j$ 's are summed, we need  $n$  multiplications by the  $a_i$ 's, followed by  $n-1$  additions of the products.

The total additions by this method is still  $\frac{1}{2}(n+2)(n-1)$ , but the number of multiplications has been reduced from  $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$  to  $n$ .

- 10.** Devise an algorithm to compute the real roots of a quadratic equation in the most efficient manner.

**SOLUTION:** The following algorithm uses the most effective formula for computing the roots of a quadratic equation.

INPUT  $A, B, C$ .

OUTPUT  $x_1, x_2$ .

Step 1 If  $A = 0$  then

if  $B = 0$  then OUTPUT ('NO SOLUTIONS');  
STOP.

else set  $x_1 = -C/B$ ;  
OUTPUT ('ONE SOLUTION',  $x_1$ );  
STOP.

Step 2 Set  $D = B^2 - 4AC$ .

Step 3 If  $D = 0$  then set  $x_1 = -B/(2A)$ ;  
OUTPUT ('MULTIPLE ROOTS',  $x_1$ );  
STOP.

Step 4 If  $D < 0$  then set

$b = \sqrt{-D}/(2A)$ ;  
 $a = -B/(2A)$ ;  
OUTPUT ('COMPLEX CONJUGATE ROOTS');  
 $x_1 = a + bi$ ;  
 $x_2 = a - bi$ ;  
OUTPUT ( $x_1, x_2$ );  
STOP.

Step 5 If  $B \geq 0$  then set

$d = B + \sqrt{D}$ ;  
 $x_1 = -2C/d$ ;  
 $x_2 = -d/(2A)$   
else set  
 $d = -B + \sqrt{D}$ ;  
 $x_1 = d/(2A)$ ;  
 $x_2 = 2C/d$ .

Step 6 OUTPUT ( $x_1, x_2$ );  
STOP.

- 15.** Suppose that as  $x$  approaches zero,

$$F_1(x) = L_1 + O(x^\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(x) = L_2 + O(x^\beta).$$

Let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be nonzero constants, and define

$$F(x) = c_1 F_1(x) + c_2 F_2(x) \quad \text{and} \quad G(x) = F_1(c_1 x) + F_2(c_2 x).$$

Show that if  $\gamma = \text{minimum } \{\alpha, \beta\}$ , then as  $x$  approaches zero,



**a.**  $F(x) = c_1 L_1 + c_2 L_2 + O(x^\gamma)$

**b.**  $G(x) = L_1 + L_2 + O(x^\gamma)$

**SOLUTION:** Suppose for sufficiently small  $|x|$  we have positive constants  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  independent of  $x$ , for which

$$|F_1(x) - L_1| \leq K_1 |x|^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |F_2(x) - L_2| \leq K_2 |x|^\beta.$$

Let  $c = \max(|c_1|, |c_2|, 1)$ ,  $K = \max(K_1, K_2)$ , and  $\delta = \max(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**a.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x) - c_1 L_1 - c_2 L_2| &= |c_1(F_1(x) - L_1) + c_2(F_2(x) - L_2)| \\ &\leq |c_1| K_1 |x|^\alpha + |c_2| K_2 |x|^\beta \\ &\leq cK (|x|^\alpha + |x|^\beta) \\ &\leq cK |x|^\gamma (1 + |x|^{\delta-\gamma}) \leq K |x|^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small  $|x|$ . Thus,  $F(x) = c_1 L_1 + c_2 L_2 + O(x^\gamma)$ .

**b.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(x) - L_1 - L_2| &= |F_1(c_1 x) + F_2(c_2 x) - L_1 - L_2| \\ &\leq K_1 |c_1 x|^\alpha + K_2 |c_2 x|^\beta \\ &\leq K c^\delta (|x|^\alpha + |x|^\beta) \\ &\leq K c^\delta |x|^\gamma (1 + |x|^{\delta-\gamma}) \leq K'' |x|^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small  $|x|$ . Thus,  $G(x) = L_1 + L_2 + O(x^\gamma)$ .

- 16.** Consider the Fibonacci sequence defined by  $F_0 = 1$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ , and  $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$ , if  $n \geq 0$ , and define  $x_n = F_{n+1}/F_n$ . Assuming that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  converges, show that the limit is the golden ratio:  $x = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ .

**SOLUTION:** Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x \quad \text{and} \quad x_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{x_n},$$

we have

$$x = 1 + \frac{1}{x}, \quad \text{which implies that} \quad x^2 - x - 1 = 0.$$

The only positive solution to this quadratic equation is  $x = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ .

- 17.** The Fibonacci sequence also satisfies the equation

$$F_n \equiv \tilde{F}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[ \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right].$$

**a.** Write a Maple procedure to calculate  $F_{100}$ .

**SOLUTION:**

```
>n:=98;f:=1;s:=1;
```

```
n:=98
```

```
f:=1
```

```
s:=1
```

```
>for i from 1 to n do
```

```
> l:=f+s:f:=s:s:=l:od;
```

```
l:=2
```

```
f:=1
```

```
s:=2
```

```
l:=3
```

```
f:=2
```

```
s:=3
```

```
l:=5
```

```
:
```

```
l:=218922995834555169026
```

```
f:=135301852344706746049
```

```
s:=218922995834555169026
```

```
l:=354224848179261915075
```

**b.** Use Maple with the default value of `Digits` followed by `evalf` to calculate  $\tilde{F}_{100}$ .

**SOLUTION:**

```
F100:=((1+sqrt(5))/2)^100-((1-sqrt(5))/2)^100)/sqrt(5);
```

$$F_{100} := \frac{1}{5} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5} \right)^{100} - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5} \right)^{100} \right) \sqrt{5}$$

```
evalf(F100);
```

$$0.3542248538 \times 10^{21}$$

**c.** Why is the result from part (a) more accurate than the result from part (b)?

SOLUTION: The result in part (a) is computed using exact integer arithmetic, and the result in part (b) is computed using ten-digit rounding arithmetic.

**d.** Why is the result from part (b) obtained more rapidly than the result from part (a)?

SOLUTION: The result in part (a) required traversing a loop 98 times.

**e.** What results when you use the command `simplify` instead of `evalf` to compute  $\tilde{F}_{100}$ ?

SOLUTION: The result is the same as the result in part (a).

# Solutions of Equations of One Variable

## Exercise Set 2.1, page 51

1. Use the Bisection method to find  $p_3$  for  $f(x) = \sqrt{x} - \cos x$  on  $[0, 1]$ .


SOLUTION: Using the Bisection method gives  $a_1 = 0$  and  $b_1 = 1$ , so  $f(a_1) = -1$  and  $f(b_1) = 0.45970$ . We have  $p_1 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + b_1) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f(p_1) = -0.17048 < 0$ . Since  $f(a_1) < 0$  and  $f(p_1) < 0$ , we assign  $a_2 = p_1 = 0.5$  and  $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ . Thus,  $f(a_2) = -0.17048 < 0$ ,  $f(b_2) = 0.45970 > 0$ , and  $p_2 = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 + b_2) = 0.75$ . Since  $f(p_2) = 0.13434 > 0$ , we have  $a_3 = 0.5$ ;  $b_3 = p_2 = 0.75$  so that  $p_3 = \frac{1}{2}(a_3 + b_3) = 0.625$ .

2. a. Let  $f(x) = 3(x+1)(x - \frac{1}{2})(x-1)$ . Use the Bisection method on the interval  $[-2, 1.5]$  to find  $p_3$ .

SOLUTION: Since

$$f(x) = 3(x+1)\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)(x-1),$$

we have the following sign graph for  $f(x)$ :



Thus,  $a_1 = -2$ , with  $f(a_1) < 0$ , and  $b_1 = 1.5$ , with  $f(b_1) > 0$ . Since  $p_1 = -\frac{1}{4}$ , we have  $f(p_1) > 0$ . We assign  $a_2 = -2$ , with  $f(a_2) < 0$ , and  $b_2 = -\frac{1}{4}$ , with  $f(b_2) > 0$ . Thus,  $p_2 = -1.125$  and  $f(p_2) < 0$ . Hence, we assign  $a_3 = p_2 = -1.125$  and  $b_3 = -0.25$ . Then  $p_3 = -0.6875$ .

11. Let  $f(x) = (x+2)(x+1)x(x-1)^3(x-2)$ . To which zero of  $f$  does the Bisection method converge for the following intervals?

SOLUTION: Since

$$f(x) = (x+2)(x+1)x(x-1)^3(x-2),$$



SOLUTION: Since  $p_n - p_{n-1} = 1/n$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_n - p_{n-1}) = 0$ . However,  $p_n$  is the  $n$ th partial sum of the divergent *harmonic* series. The harmonic series is the classic example of a series whose terms go to zero, but not rapidly enough to produce a convergent series. There are many proofs of divergence of this series, any calculus text should give at least two. One proof will simply analyze the partial sums of the series and another based on the Integral Test. The point of the problem is not the fact that this particular sequence diverges, it is that a test for an approximate solution to a root based on the condition that  $|p_n - p_{n-1}|$  is small should always be suspect. Consecutive terms of a sequence may be close to each other, but not sufficiently close to the actual solution you are seeking.

19. A trough of water of length  $L = 10$  feet has a cross section in the shape of a semicircle with radius  $r = 1$  foot. When filled with water to within a distance  $h$  of the top, the volume  $V = 12.4 \text{ ft}^3$  of the water is given by the formula

$$12.4 = 10 \left[ 0.5\pi - \arcsin h - h(1 - h^2)^{1/2} \right]$$

Determine the depth of the water to within 0.01 feet.

SOLUTION: Applying the Bisection Algorithm on the interval  $[0, 1]$  to the function

$$f(h) = 12.4 - 10 \left[ 0.5\pi - \arcsin h - h(1 - h^2)^{1/2} \right]$$

gives  $h \approx p_{13} = 0.1617$ , so the depth is  $r - h \approx 1 - 0.1617 = 0.838$  feet.

## Exercise Set 2.2, page 61

3. The following three methods are proposed to compute  $21^{1/3}$ . Rank them in order, based on their apparent speed of convergence, assuming  $p_0 = 1$ .

SOLUTION:

a. Since

$$p_n = \frac{20p_{n-1} + 21/p_{n-1}^2}{21},$$

we have

$$g(x) = \frac{20x + 21/x^2}{21} = \frac{20}{21}x + \frac{1}{x^2},$$

and  $g'(x) = \frac{20}{21} - \frac{2}{x^3}$ . Thus,  $g'(21^{1/3}) = \frac{20}{21} - \frac{2}{21} = 0.857$ .

b. Since

$$p_n = p_{n-1} - \frac{p_{n-1}^3 - 21}{3p_{n-1}^2},$$

we have

$$g(x) = x - \frac{x^3 - 21}{3x^2} = x - \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{7}{x^2} = \frac{2}{3}x + \frac{7}{x^2}$$

and  $g'(x) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{7}{x^3}$ . Thus,  $g'(21^{1/3}) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} = 0.333$ .

c. Since

$$p_n = p_{n-1} - \frac{p_{n-1}^4 - 21p_{n-1}}{p_{n-1}^2 - 21},$$

we have

$$g(x) = x - \frac{x^4 - 21x}{x^2 - 21} = \frac{x^3 - 21x - x^4 + 21x}{x^2 - 21} = \frac{x^3 - x^4}{x^2 - 21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= \frac{(x^2 - 21)(3x^2 - 4x^3) - (x^3 - x^4)2x}{(x^2 - 21)^2} \\ &= \frac{3x^4 - 63x^2 - 4x^5 + 84x^3 - 2x^4 + 2x^5}{(x^2 - 21)^2} \\ &= \frac{-2x^5 + x^4 + 84x^3 - 63x^2}{(x^2 - 21)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $g'(21^{1/3}) = 5.706 > 1$ .

d. Since

$$p_n = \left( \frac{21}{p_{n-1}} \right)^{1/2},$$

we have

$$g(x) = \left( \frac{21}{x} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{21}}{x^{1/2}}$$

and  $g'(x) = \frac{-\sqrt{21}}{2x^{3/2}}$ . Thus,  $g'(21^{1/3}) = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

The order of convergence should be (b), (d), (a). Choice (c) does not converge.

9. Use a fixed-point iteration method to determine an approximation to  $\sqrt{3}$  that is accurate to within  $10^{-4}$ .

SOLUTION: As always with fixed-point iteration, the trick is to choose the fixed-point problem that will produce rapid convergence.

Recalling the solution to Exercise 10 in Section 2.1, we need to convert the root-finding problem  $f(x) = x^2 - 3$  into a fixed-point problem. One successful solution is to write

$$0 = x^2 - 3 \quad \text{as} \quad x = \frac{3}{x},$$

then add  $x$  to both sides of the latter equation and divide by 2. This gives  $g(x) = 0.5(x + \frac{3}{x})$ , and for  $p_0 = 1.0$ , we have  $\sqrt{3} \approx p_4 = 1.73205$ .

12. c. Determine a fixed-point function  $g$  and an appropriate interval that produces an approximation to a positive solution of  $3x^2 - e^x = 0$  that is accurate to within  $10^{-5}$ .

SOLUTION: There are numerous possibilities:

For  $g(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}e^x}$  on  $[0, 1]$  with  $p_0 = 1$ , we have  $p_{12} = 0.910015$ .

For  $g(x) = \ln 3x^2$  on  $[3, 4]$  with  $p_0 = 4$ , we have  $p_{16} = 3.733090$ .

- 18.** Show that (a) Theorem 2.2 is true if  $|g'(x)| \leq k$  is replaced by the statement “ $g'(x) \leq k < 1$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$ ”, but that (b) Theorem 2.3 may not hold in this situation.

**SOLUTION:** The proof of existence is unchanged. For uniqueness, suppose  $p$  and  $q$  are fixed points in  $[a, b]$  with  $p \neq q$ . By the Mean Value Theorem, a number  $\xi$  in  $(a, b)$  exists with

$$p - q = g(p) - g(q) = g'(\xi)(p - q) \leq k(p - q) < p - q,$$

giving the same contradiction as in Theorem 2.2.

However, for Theorem 2.3, consider  $g(x) = 1 - x^2$  on  $[0, 1]$ . The function  $g$  has the unique fixed point  $p = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{5})$ . With  $p_0 = 0.7$ , the sequence eventually alternates between numbers close to 0 and to 1, so there is no convergence.

- 19.** Use Theorem 2.3 to show that the sequence

$$x_n = \frac{1}{2}x_{n-1} + \frac{1}{x_{n-1}}$$

converges for any  $x_0 > 0$ .

**SOLUTION:** First let  $g(x) = x/2 + 1/x$ . For  $x \neq 0$ , we have  $g'(x) = 1/2 - 1/x^2$ . If  $x > \sqrt{2}$ , then  $1/x^2 < 1/2$ , so  $g'(x) > 0$ . Also,  $g(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}$ .

Suppose, as is the assumption given in part (a), that  $x_0 > \sqrt{2}$ . Then

$$x_1 - \sqrt{2} = g(x_0) - g(\sqrt{2}) = g'(\xi)(x_0 - \sqrt{2}),$$

where  $\sqrt{2} < \xi < x_0$ . Thus,  $x_1 - \sqrt{2} > 0$  and  $x_1 > \sqrt{2}$ . Further,

$$x_1 = \frac{x_0}{2} + \frac{1}{x_0} < \frac{x_0}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{x_0 + \sqrt{2}}{2},$$

and  $\sqrt{2} < x_1 < x_0$ . By an inductive argument, we have

$$\sqrt{2} < x_{m+1} < x_m < \dots < x_0.$$

Thus,  $\{x_m\}$  is a decreasing sequence that has a lower bound and must therefore converge. Suppose  $p = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m$ . Then

$$p = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{x_{m-1}}{2} + \frac{1}{x_{m-1}} \right) = \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{p}.$$

Thus,

$$p = \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{p},$$

which implies that

$$2p^2 = p^2 + 2,$$

so  $p = \pm\sqrt{2}$ . Since  $x_m > \sqrt{2}$  for all  $m$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = \sqrt{2}.$$



Now consider the situation when  $0 < x_0 < \sqrt{2}$ , which is the situation in part (b). Then we have

$$0 < (x_0 - \sqrt{2})^2 = x_0^2 - 2x_0\sqrt{2} + 2,$$

so

$$2x_0\sqrt{2} < x_0^2 + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{2} < \frac{x_0}{2} + \frac{1}{x_0} = x_1.$$

To complete the problem, we consider the three possibilities for  $x_0 > 0$ .

Case 1:  $x_0 > \sqrt{2}$ , which by part (a) implies that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = \sqrt{2}$ .

Case 2:  $x_0 = \sqrt{2}$ , which implies that  $x_m = \sqrt{2}$  for all  $m$  and that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = \sqrt{2}$ .

Case 3:  $0 < x_0 < \sqrt{2}$ , which implies that  $\sqrt{2} < x_1$  by part (b). Thus,

$$0 < x_0 < \sqrt{2} < x_{m+1} < x_m < \dots < x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = \sqrt{2}.$$

In any situation, the sequence converges to  $\sqrt{2}$ , and rapidly, as we will discover in the Section 2.3.

- 24.** Suppose that the function  $g$  has a fixed-point at  $p$ , that  $g \in C[a, b]$ , and that  $g'$  exists in  $(a, b)$ . Show that if  $|g'(p)| > 1$ , then the fixed-point sequence will fail to converge for any initial choice of  $p_0$ , except if  $p_n = p$  for some value of  $n$ .

**SOLUTION:** Since  $g'$  is continuous at  $p$  and  $|g'(p)| > 1$ , by letting  $\epsilon = |g'(p)| - 1$  there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|g'(x) - g'(p)| < \epsilon = |g'(p)| - 1,$$

whenever  $0 < |x - p| < \delta$ . Since

$$|g'(x) - g'(p)| \geq |g'(p)| - |g'(x)|,$$

for any  $x$  satisfying  $0 < |x - p| < \delta$ , we have

$$|g'(x)| \geq |g'(p)| - |g'(x) - g'(p)| > |g'(p)| - (|g'(p)| - 1) = 1.$$

If  $p_0$  is chosen so that  $0 < |p - p_0| < \delta$ , we have by the Mean Value Theorem that

$$|p_1 - p| = |g(p_0) - g(p)| = |g'(\xi)||p_0 - p|,$$

for some  $\xi$  between  $p_0$  and  $p$ . Thus,  $0 < |p - \xi| < \delta$  and

$$|p_1 - p| = |g'(\xi)||p_0 - p| > |p_0 - p|.$$

This means that when an approximation gets close to  $p$ , but is not equal to  $p$ , the succeeding terms of the sequence move away from  $p$ .

**Exercise Set 2.3, page 71**

1. Let  $f(x) = x^2 - 6$  and  $p_0 = 1$ . Use Newton's method to find  $p_2$ .

SOLUTION: Let  $f(x) = x^2 - 6$ . Then  $f'(x) = 2x$ , and Newton's method becomes

$$p_n = p_{n-1} - \frac{f(p_{n-1})}{f'(p_{n-1})} = p_{n-1} - \frac{p_{n-1}^2 - 6}{2p_{n-1}}.$$

With  $p_0 = 1$ , we have

$$p_1 = p_0 - \frac{p_0^2 - 6}{2p_0} = 1 - \frac{1 - 6}{2} = 1 + 2.5 = 3.5$$

and

$$p_2 = p_1 - \frac{p_1^2 - 6}{2p_1} = 3.5 - \frac{3.5^2 - 6}{2(3.5)} = 2.60714.$$

3. Let  $f(x) = x^2 - 6$ . With  $p_0 = 3$  and  $p_1 = 2$ , find  $p_3$  for (a) the Secant method and (b) the method of False Position.

SOLUTION: The formula for both the Secant method and the method of False Position is

$$p_n = p_{n-1} - \frac{f(p_{n-1})(p_{n-1} - p_{n-2})}{f(p_{n-1}) - f(p_{n-2})}.$$

a. The Secant method:

With  $p_0 = 3$  and  $p_1 = 2$ , we have  $f(p_0) = 9 - 6 = 3$  and  $f(p_1) = 4 - 6 = -2$ . The Secant method gives

$$p_2 = p_1 - \frac{f(p_1)(p_1 - p_0)}{f(p_1) - f(p_0)} = 2 - \frac{(-2)(2 - 3)}{-2 - 3} = 2 - \frac{2}{-5} = 2.4$$

and  $f(p_2) = 2.4^2 - 6 = -0.24$ . Then we have

$$p_3 = p_2 - \frac{f(p_2)(p_2 - p_1)}{f(p_2) - f(p_1)} = 2.4 - \frac{(-0.24)(2.4 - 2)}{(-0.24 - (-2))} = 2.4 - \frac{-0.096}{1.76} = 2.45454.$$

b. The method of False Position:

With  $p_0 = 3$  and  $p_1 = 2$ , we have  $f(p_0) = 3$  and  $f(p_1) = -2$ . As in the Secant method (part (a)),  $p_2 = 2.4$  and  $f(p_2) = -0.24$ . Since  $f(p_1) < 0$  and  $f(p_2) < 0$ , the method of False Position requires a reassignment of  $p_1$ . Then  $p_1$  is changed to  $p_0$  so that  $p_1 = 3$ , with  $f(p_1) = 3$ , and  $p_2 = 2.4$ , with  $f(p_2) = -0.24$ . We calculate  $p_3$  by

$$p_3 = p_2 - \frac{f(p_2)(p_2 - p_1)}{f(p_2) - f(p_1)} = 2.4 - \frac{(-0.24)(2.4 - 3)}{-0.24 - 3} = 2.4 - \frac{0.144}{-3.24} = 2.44444.$$

- c. Since  $\sqrt{6} \approx 2.44949$ , the approximation (a) is better.

5. c. Apply Newton's method to find a solution to  $x - \cos x = 0$  in the interval  $[0, \pi/2]$  that is accurate to within  $10^{-4}$ .

SOLUTION: With  $f(x) = x - \cos x$ , we have  $f'(x) = 1 + \sin x$ , and the sequence generated by Newton's method is

$$p_n = p_{n-1} - \frac{p_{n-1} - \cos p_{n-1}}{1 + \sin p_{n-1}}.$$

For  $p_0 = 0$ , we have  $p_1 = 1$ ,  $p_2 = 0.75036$ ,  $p_3 = 0.73911$ , and the sufficiently accurate  $p_4 = 0.73909$ .

7. c. Apply the Secant method to find a solution to  $x - \cos x = 0$  in the interval  $[0, \pi/2]$  that is accurate to within  $10^{-4}$ .

SOLUTION: The Secant method approximations are generated by the sequence

$$p_n = p_{n-1} - \frac{(p_{n-1} - \cos p_{n-1})(p_{n-1} - p_{n-2})}{(p_{n-1} - \cos p_{n-1}) - (p_{n-2} - \cos p_{n-2})}.$$

Using the endpoints of the intervals as  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ , we have the entries in the following tables.

For the Secant method:

$n$	$p_n$
0	0
1	1.5707963
2	0.6110155
3	0.7232695
4	0.7395671
5	0.7390834
6	0.7390851

For the method of False Position:

$n$	$p_n$
0	0
1	1.5707963
2	0.6110155
3	0.7232695
4	0.7372659
5	0.7388778
6	0.7390615
7	0.7390825

9. c. Apply the method of False Position to find a solution to  $x - \cos x = 0$  in the interval  $[0, \pi/2]$  that is accurate to within  $10^{-4}$ .

SOLUTION: The method of False Position approximations are generated using this same formula as in Exercise 7, but incorporate the additional bracketing test.

13. Apply Newton's method to find a solution, accurate to within  $10^{-4}$ , to the value of  $x$  that produces the closest point on the graph of  $y = x^2$  to the point  $(1, 0)$ .

SOLUTION: The distance between an arbitrary point  $(x, x^2)$  on the graph of  $y = x^2$  and the point  $(1, 0)$  is

$$d(x) = \sqrt{(x-1)^2 + (x^2-0)^2} = \sqrt{x^4 + x^2 - 2x + 1}.$$

Because a derivative is needed to find the critical points of  $d$ , it is easier to work with the square of this function,

$$f(x) = [d(x)]^2 = x^4 + x^2 - 2x + 1,$$

whose minimum will occur at the same value of  $x$  as the minimum of  $d(x)$ . To minimize  $f(x)$  we need  $x$  so that

$$0 = f'(x) = 4x^3 + 2x - 2.$$

Applying Newton's method to find the root of this equation with  $p_0 = 1$  gives  $p_5 = 0.589755$ . The point on the graph of  $y = x^2$  that is closest to  $(1, 0)$  has the approximate coordinates  $(0.589755, 0.347811)$ .

16. Use Newton's method to solve for roots of

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - x \sin x - \frac{1}{2} \cos 2x.$$

SOLUTION: Newton's method with  $p_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$  gives  $p_{15} = 1.895488$  and with  $p_0 = 5\pi$  gives  $p_{19} = 1.895489$ . With  $p_0 = 10\pi$ , the sequence does not converge in 200 iterations.

The results do not indicate the fast convergence usually associated with Newton's method because the function and its derivative have the same roots. As we approach a root, we are dividing by numbers with small magnitude, which increases the round-off error.

19. Explain why the iteration equation for the Secant method should not be used in the algebraically equivalent form

$$p_n = \frac{f(p_{n-1})p_{n-2} - f(p_{n-2})p_{n-1}}{f(p_{n-1}) - f(p_{n-2})}.$$

SOLUTION: This formula incorporates the subtraction of nearly equal numbers in both the numerator and denominator when  $p_{n-1}$  and  $p_{n-2}$  are nearly equal. The form given in the Secant Algorithm subtracts a correction from a result that should dominate the calculations. This is always the preferred approach.

22. Use Maple to determine how many iterations of Newton's method with  $p_0 = \pi/4$  are needed to find a root of  $f(x) = \cos x - x$  to within  $10^{-100}$ .

SOLUTION: We first define  $f(x)$  and  $f'(x)$  with

```
>f:=x->cos(x)-x;
```

$$f := x \rightarrow \cos(x) - x$$

```
and >fp:=x->(D)(f)(x);
```

$$fp := x \rightarrow -\sin(x) - 1$$

We wish to use 100-digit rounding arithmetic so we set

```
>Digits:=100; p0:=Pi/4;
```

$$Digits := 100$$

$$p0 := \frac{1}{4}\pi$$

```
>for n from 1 to 7 do
```

```
> p1:=evalf(p0-f(p0)/fp(p0));
```

```
> err:=abs(p1-p0);
> p0:=p1;
>od;
This gives
```

$$p_7 = .73908513321516064165531208767387340401341175890075746496 \\ 56806357732846548835475945993761069317665319,$$

which is accurate to  $10^{-100}$ .

- 23.** The function defined by  $f(x) = \ln(x^2 + 1) - e^{0.4x} \cos \pi x$  has an infinite number of zeros. Approximate, to within  $10^{-6}$ ,
- (a) the only negative zero,
  - (b) the four smallest positive zeros, and
  - (d) the 25th smallest positive zero.

**SOLUTION:** The key to this problem is recognizing the behavior of  $e^{0.4x}$ . When  $x$  is negative, this term goes to zero, so  $f(x)$  is dominated by  $\ln(x^2 + 1)$ . However, when  $x$  is positive,  $e^{0.4x}$  dominates the calculations, and  $f(x)$  will be zero approximately when this term makes no contribution; that is, when  $\cos \pi x = 0$ . This occurs when  $x = n/2$  for a positive integer  $n$ . Using this information to determine initial approximations produces the following results:


For part (a), we can use  $p_0 = -0.5$  to find the sufficiently accurate  $p_3 = -0.4341431$ .

For part (b), we can use:

$p_0 = 0.5$  to give  $p_3 = 0.4506567$ ;  $p_0 = 1.5$  to give  $p_3 = 1.7447381$ ;  
 $p_0 = 2.5$  to give  $p_5 = 2.2383198$ ; and  $p_0 = 3.5$  to give  $p_4 = 3.7090412$ .

In general, a reasonable initial approximation for the  $n$ th positive root is  $n - 0.5$ . To solve part (d), we let  $p_0 = 24.5$  to produce the sufficiently accurate approximation  $p_2 = 24.4998870$ .

Graphs for various parts of the region are shown below.



- 26.** Determine the minimal annual interest rate  $i$  at which an amount  $P = \$1500$  per month can be invested to accumulate an amount  $A = \$750,000$  at the end of 20 years based on the annuity due equation

$$A = \frac{P}{i} [(1 + i)^n - 1].$$

SOLUTION: This is simply a root-finding problem where the function is given by

$$f(i) = A - \frac{P}{i} [(1+i)^n - 1] = 750000 - \frac{1500}{(i/12)} \left[ (1+i/12)^{(12)(20)} - 1 \right].$$

Notice that  $n$  and  $i$  have been adjusted because the payments are made monthly rather than yearly. The approximate solution to this equation can be found by any method in this section. Newton's method is a bit cumbersome for this problem, since the derivative of  $f$  is complicated. The Secant method would be a likely choice. The minimal annual interest is approximately 6.67%.

28. A drug administered to a patient produces a concentration in the blood stream given by  $c(t) = Ate^{-t/3}$  mg/mL,  $t$  hours after  $A$  units have been administered. The maximum safe concentration is 1 mg/mL.
- What amount should be injected to reach this safe level, and when does this occur?
  - When should an additional amount be administered, if it is administered when the level drops to 0.25 mg/mL?
  - Assuming 75% of the original amount is administered in the second injection, when should a third injection be given?

SOLUTION: The maximum concentration occurs when

$$0 = c'(t) = A \left( 1 - \frac{t}{3} \right) e^{-t/3}.$$

This happens when  $t = 3$  hours, and since the concentration at this time will be  $c(3) = 3Ae^{-1}$ , we need to administer  $A = \frac{1}{3}e$  units.

For part (b) of the problem, we need to determine  $t$  so that

$$0.25 = c(t) = \left( \frac{1}{3}e \right) te^{-t/3}.$$

This occurs when  $t$  is 11 hours and 5 minutes; that is, when  $t = 11.08\bar{3}$  hours.

The solution to part (c) requires finding  $t$  so that

$$0.25 = c(t) = \left( \frac{1}{3}e \right) te^{-t/3} + 0.75 \left( \frac{1}{3}e \right) (t - 11.08\bar{3})e^{-(t-11.08\bar{3})/3}.$$

This occurs after 21 hours and 14 minutes.

29. Let  $f(x) = 3^{3x+1} - 7 \cdot 5^{2x}$ .

a. Use the Maple commands `solve` and `fsolve` to try to find all roots of  $f$ .

SOLUTION: First define the function by

```
>f:=x->3^(3*x+1)-7*5^(2*x);
```

$$f := x \rightarrow 3^{(3x+1)} - 7 \cdot 5^{2x}$$

```
>solve(f(x)=0,x);
```

$$-\frac{\ln(3/7)}{\ln(27/25)}$$


```
>fsolve(f(x)=0,x);
```

$$\text{fsolve}(3^{(3x+1)} - 7 \cdot 5^{(2x)} = 0, x)$$

The procedure `solve` gives the exact solution, and `fsolve` fails because the negative  $x$ -axis is an asymptote for the graph of  $f(x)$ .

**b.** Plot  $f(x)$  to find initial approximations to roots of  $f$ .

SOLUTION: Using the Maple command `>plot({f(x)}, x=10.5..11.5);` produces the following graph.



**c.** Use Newton's method to find roots of  $f$  to within  $10^{-16}$ .

SOLUTION: Define  $f'(x)$  using

```
>fp:=x->(D)(f)(x);
```

$$fp := x \rightarrow 3 \cdot 3^{(3x+1)} \ln(3) - 14 \cdot 5^{(2x)} \ln(5)$$

```
>Digits:=18; p0:=11;
```

$$Digits := 18$$

$$p0 := 11$$

```
>for i from 1 to 5 do
```

```
>p1:=evalf(p0-f(p0)/fp(p0));
```

```
>err:=abs(p1-p0);
```

```
>p0:=p1;
```

```
>od;
```

The results are given in the following table.

$i$	$p_i$	$ p_i - p_{i-1} $
1	11.0097380401552503	.0097380401552503
2	11.0094389359662827	.0002991041889676
3	11.0094386442684488	.2916978339 $10^{-6}$
4	11.0094386442681716	.2772 $10^{-2}$
5	11.0094386442681716	0

d. Find the exact solutions of  $f(x) = 0$  algebraically.

SOLUTION: We have  $3^{3x+1} = 7 \cdot 5^{2x}$ . Taking the natural logarithm of both sides gives

$$(3x + 1) \ln 3 = \ln 7 + 2x \ln 5.$$

Thus,

$$3x \ln 3 - 2x \ln 5 = \ln 7 - \ln 3,$$

$$x(3 \ln 3 - 2 \ln 5) = \ln \frac{7}{3},$$

and

$$x = \frac{\ln 7/3}{\ln 27 - \ln 25} = \frac{\ln 7/3}{\ln 27/25} = -\frac{\ln 3/7}{\ln 27/25}.$$

This agrees with part (a).

## Exercise Set 2.4, page 82

1. a. Use Newton's method to find a solution accurate to within  $10^{-5}$  for  $x^2 - 2xe^{-x} + e^{-2x} = 0$ , where  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ .

SOLUTION: Since

$$f(x) = x^2 - 2xe^{-x} + e^{-2x}$$

and

$$f'(x) = 2x - 2e^{-x} + 2xe^{-x} - 2e^{-2x},$$

the iteration formula is

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= p_{n-1} - \frac{f(p_{n-1})}{f'(p_{n-1})} \\ &= p_{n-1} - \frac{p_{n-1}^2 - 2p_{n-1}e^{-p_{n-1}} + e^{-2p_{n-1}}}{2p_{n-1} - 2e^{-p_{n-1}} + 2p_{n-1}e^{-p_{n-1}} - 2e^{-2p_{n-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

With  $p_0 = 0.5$ ,

$$p_1 = 0.5 - (0.01134878)/(-0.3422895) = 0.5331555.$$

Continuing in this manner,  $p_{13} = 0.567135$  is accurate to within  $10^{-5}$ .

3. a. Repeat Exercise 1(a) using the modified Newton-Raphson method described in Eq. (2.11). Is there an improvement in speed or accuracy over Exercise 1?

SOLUTION: Since

$$f(x) = x^2 - 2xe^{-x} + e^{-2x},$$

$$f'(x) = 2x - 2e^{-x} + 2xe^{-x} - 2e^{-2x},$$

and

$$f''(x) = 2 + 4e^{-x} - 2xe^{-x} + 4e^{-2x},$$



the iteration formula is

$$p_n = p_{n-1} - \frac{f(p_{n-1})f'(p_{n-1})}{[f'(p_{n-1})]^2 - f(p_{n-1})f''(p_{n-1})}.$$

With  $p_0 = 0.5$ , we have  $f(p_0) = 0.011348781$ ,  $f'(p_0) = -0.342289542$ ,  $f''(p_0) = 5.291109744$  and

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= 0.5 - \frac{(0.011348781)(-0.342289542)}{(-0.342289542)^2 - (0.011348781)(5.291109744)} \\ &= 0.5680137. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner,  $p_3 = 0.567143$  is accurate to within  $10^{-5}$ .

6. a. Show that the sequence  $p_n = 1/n$  converges linearly to  $p = 0$ , and determine the number of terms required to have  $|p_n - p| < 5 \times 10^{-2}$ .

SOLUTION: Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1} - p|}{|p_n - p|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/(n+1)}{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n+1} = 1,$$

we have linear convergence. To have  $|p_n - p| < 5 \times 10^{-2}$ , we need  $1/n < 0.05$ , which implies that  $n > 20$ .

8. Show that (a) the sequence  $p_n = 10^{-2^n}$  converges quadratically to zero, but that (b)  $p_n = 10^{-n^k}$  does not converge to zero quadratically, regardless of the size of  $k > 1$ .

SOLUTION:

a. Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1} - 0|}{|p_n - 0|^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10^{-2^{n+1}}}{(10^{-2^n})^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10^{-2^{n+1}}}{10^{-2 \cdot 2^n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10^{-2^{n+1}}}{10^{-2^{n+1}}} = 1,$$

the sequence is quadratically convergent.

b. However, for any  $k > 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1} - 0|}{|p_n - 0|^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10^{-(n+1)^k}}{(10^{-n^k})^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10^{-(n+1)^k}}{10^{-2n^k}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 10^{2n^k - (n+1)^k}$$

diverges. So the sequence  $p_n = 10^{-n^k}$  does not converge quadratically for any positive value of  $k$ .

10. Show that the fixed-point method

$$g(x) = x - \frac{mf(x)}{f'(x)}$$

has  $g'(p) = 0$ , if  $p$  is a zero of  $f$  of multiplicity  $m$ .

SOLUTION: If  $f$  has a zero of multiplicity  $m$  at  $p$ , then a function  $q$  exists with

$$f(x) = (x - p)^m q(x), \quad \text{where} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow p} q(x) \neq 0.$$

Since

$$f'(x) = m(x-p)^{m-1}q(x) + (x-p)^mq'(x),$$

we have

$$g(x) = x - \frac{mf(x)}{f'(x)} = x - \frac{m(x-p)^mq(x)}{m(x-p)^{m-1}q(x) + (x-p)^mq'(x)},$$

which reduces to

$$g(x) = x - \frac{m(x-p)q(x)}{mq(x) + (x-p)q'(x)}.$$

Differentiating this expression and evaluating at  $x = p$  gives

$$g'(p) = 1 - \frac{mq(p)[mq(p)]}{[mq(p)]^2} = 0.$$

If  $f'''$  is continuous, Theorem 2.8 implies that this sequence produces quadratic convergence once we are close enough to the solution  $p$ .

- 12.** Suppose that  $f$  has  $m$  continuous derivatives. Show that  $f$  has a zero of multiplicity  $m$  at  $p$  if and only if

$$0 = f(p) = f'(p) = \cdots = f^{(m-1)}(p), \quad \text{but} \quad f^{(m)}(p) \neq 0.$$

**SOLUTION:** If  $f$  has a zero of multiplicity  $m$  at  $p$ , then  $f$  can be written as

$$f(x) = (x-p)^mq(x),$$

for  $x \neq p$ , where

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} q(x) \neq 0.$$

Thus,

$$f'(x) = m(x-p)^{m-1}q(x) + (x-p)^mq'(x)$$

and  $f'(p) = 0$ . Also,

$$f''(x) = m(m-1)(x-p)^{m-2}q(x) + 2m(x-p)^{m-1}q'(x) + (x-p)^mq''(x)$$

and  $f''(p) = 0$ .

In general, for  $k \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(k)}(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{d^j (x-p)^m}{dx^j} q^{(k-j)}(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} m(m-1) \cdots (m-j+1) (x-p)^{m-j} q^{(k-j)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ , we have  $f^{(k)}(p) = 0$ , but

$$f^{(m)}(p) = m! \lim_{x \rightarrow p} q(x) \neq 0.$$

Conversely, suppose that  $f(p) = f'(p) = \dots = f^{(m-1)}(p) = 0$  and  $f^{(m)}(p) \neq 0$ . Consider the  $(m-1)$ th Taylor polynomial of  $f$  expanded about  $p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(p) + f'(p)(x-p) + \dots + \frac{f^{(m-1)}(p)(x-p)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} + \frac{f^{(m)}(\xi(x))(x-p)^m}{m!} \\ &= (x-p)^m \frac{f^{(m)}(\xi(x))}{m!}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi(x)$  is between  $x$  and  $p$ . Since  $f^{(m)}$  is continuous, let

$$q(x) = \frac{f^{(m)}(\xi(x))}{m!}.$$

Then  $f(x) = (x-p)^m q(x)$  and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} q(x) = \frac{f^{(m)}(p)}{m!} \neq 0.$$

14. Show that the Secant method converges of order  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , the golden ratio.

SOLUTION: Let  $e_n = p_n - p$ . If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|e_{n+1}|}{|e_n|^\alpha} = \lambda > 0,$$

then for sufficiently large values of  $n$ ,  $|e_{n+1}| \approx \lambda |e_n|^\alpha$ . Thus,

$$|e_n| \approx \lambda |e_{n-1}|^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |e_{n-1}| \approx \lambda^{-1/\alpha} |e_n|^{1/\alpha}.$$

Using the hypothesis that for some constant  $C$  and sufficiently large  $n$ , we have  $|p_{n+1} - p| \approx C |p_n - p| |p_{n-1} - p|$ , which gives

$$\lambda |e_n|^\alpha \approx C |e_n| \lambda^{-1/\alpha} |e_n|^{1/\alpha}.$$

So

$$|e_n|^\alpha \approx C \lambda^{-1/\alpha-1} |e_n|^{1+1/\alpha}.$$

Since the powers of  $|e_n|$  must agree,

$$\alpha = 1 + 1/\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

This number is called the *Golden Ratio*. It appears in numerous situations in mathematics and in art.

## Exercise Set 2.5, page 86

2. Apply Newton's method to approximate a root of

$$f(x) = e^{6x} + 3(\ln 2)^2 e^{2x} - \ln 8 e^{4x} - (\ln 2)^3 = 0.$$

Generate terms until  $|p_{n+1} - p_n| < 0.0002$ , and construct the Aitken's  $\Delta^2$  sequence  $\{\hat{p}_n\}$ .

SOLUTION: Applying Newton's method with  $p_0 = 0$  requires finding  $p_{16} = -0.182888$ . For the Aitken's  $\Delta^2$  sequence, we have sufficient accuracy with  $\hat{p}_6 = -0.183387$ . Newton's method fails to converge quadratically because there is a multiple root.

3. Let  $g(x) = \cos(x - 1)$  and  $p_0^{(0)} = 2$ . Use Steffensen's method to find  $p_0^{(1)}$ .

SOLUTION: With  $g(x) = \cos(x - 1)$  and  $p_0^{(0)} = 2$ , we have

$$p_1^{(0)} = g(p_0^{(0)}) = \cos(2 - 1) = \cos 1 = 0.5403023$$

and

$$p_2^{(0)} = g(p_1^{(0)}) = \cos(0.5403023 - 1) = 0.8961867.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^{(1)} &= p_0^{(0)} - \frac{(p_1^{(0)} - p_0^{(0)})^2}{p_2^{(0)} - 2p_1^{(0)} + p_0^{(0)}} \\ &= 2 - \frac{(0.5403023 - 2)^2}{0.8961867 - 2(0.5403023) + 2} \\ &= 2 - 1.173573 = 0.826427. \end{aligned}$$

5. Steffensen's method is applied to a function  $g(x)$  using  $p_0^{(0)} = 1$  and  $p_2^{(0)} = 3$  to obtain  $p_0^{(1)} = 0.75$ . What could  $p_1^{(0)}$  be?

SOLUTION: Steffensen's method uses the formula

$$p_1^{(0)} = p_0^{(0)} - \frac{(p_1^{(0)} - p_0^{(0)})^2}{p_2^{(0)} - 2p_1^{(0)} + p_0^{(0)}}.$$

Substituting for  $p_0^{(0)}$ ,  $p_2^{(0)}$ , and  $p_0^{(1)}$  gives

$$0.75 = 1 - \frac{(p_1^{(0)} - 1)^2}{3 - 2p_1^{(0)} + 1} \quad \text{or} \quad 0.25 = \frac{(p_1^{(0)} - 1)^2}{4 - 2p_1^{(0)}}.$$

Thus,

$$1 - \frac{1}{2}p_1^{(0)} = (p_1^{(0)})^2 - 2p_1^{(0)} + 1, \quad \text{so} \quad 0 = (p_1^{(0)})^2 - 1.5p_1^{(0)},$$

and  $p_1^{(0)} = 1.5$  or  $p_1^{(0)} = 0$ .

11. b. Use Steffensen's method to approximate the solution to within  $10^{-5}$  of  $x = 0.5(\sin x + \cos x)$ , where  $g$  is the function in Exercise 11(f) of Section 2.2, that is,  $g(x) = 0.5(\sin x + \cos x)$ .

SOLUTION: With  $g(x) = 0.5(\sin x + \cos x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_0^{(0)} &= 0, \quad p_1^{(0)} = g(0) = 0.5, \\
 p_2^{(0)} &= g(0.5) = 0.5(\sin 0.5 + \cos 0.5) = 0.678504051, \\
 p_0^{(1)} &= p_0^{(0)} - \frac{(p_1^{(0)} - p_0^{(0)})^2}{p_2^{(0)} - 2p_1^{(0)} + p_0^{(0)}} = 0.777614774, \\
 p_1^{(1)} &= g(p_0^{(1)}) = 0.707085363, \\
 p_2^{(1)} &= g(p_1^{(1)}) = 0.704939584, \\
 p_0^{(2)} &= p_0^{(1)} - \frac{(p_1^{(1)} - p_0^{(1)})^2}{p_2^{(1)} - 2p_1^{(1)} + p_0^{(1)}} = 0.704872252, \\
 p_1^{(2)} &= g(p_0^{(2)}) = 0.704815431, \\
 p_2^{(2)} &= g(p_1^{(2)}) = 0.704812197, \\
 p_0^{(3)} &= p_0^{(2)} - \frac{(p_1^{(2)} - p_0^{(2)})^2}{p_2^{(2)} - 2p_1^{(2)} + p_0^{(2)}} = 0.704812002, \\
 p_1^{(3)} &= g(p_0^{(3)}) = 0.704812002,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$p_2^{(3)} = g(p_1^{(3)}) = 0.704812197.$$

Since  $p_2^{(3)}$ ,  $p_1^{(3)}$ , and  $p_0^{(3)}$  all agree to within  $10^{-5}$ , we accept  $p_2^{(3)} = 0.704812197$  as an answer that is accurate to within  $10^{-5}$ .

- 14. a.** Show that a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  that converges to  $p$  with order  $\alpha > 1$  converges superlinearly to  $p$ .

SOLUTION: Since  $\{p_n\}$  converges to  $p$  with order  $\alpha > 1$ , a positive constant  $\lambda$  exists with

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1} - p|}{|p_n - p|^\alpha}.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{p_{n+1} - p}{p_n - p} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1} - p|}{|p_n - p|^\alpha} \cdot |p_n - p|^{\alpha-1} = \lambda \cdot 0 = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p}{p_n - p} = 0.$$

This implies that  $\{p_n\}$  that converges superlinearly to  $p$ .

- b.** Show that  $p_n = \frac{1}{n^n}$  converges superlinearly to zero, but does not converge of order  $\alpha$  for any  $\alpha > 1$ .

SOLUTION: This sequence converges superlinearly to zero since

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/(n+1)^{(n+1)}}{1/n^n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^n}{(n+1)^{(n+1)}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^n \frac{1}{n+1} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{(1+1/n)^n} \right) \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{1}{e} \cdot 0 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

However, the sequence does not converge of order  $\alpha$  for any  $\alpha > 1$ , since for  $\alpha > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/(n+1)^{(n+1)}}{(1/n^n)^\alpha} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{\alpha n}}{(n+1)^{(n+1)}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^n \frac{n^{(\alpha-1)n}}{n+1} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{(1+1/n)^n} \right) \frac{n^{(\alpha-1)n}}{n+1} = \frac{1}{e} \cdot \infty = \infty.\end{aligned}$$

**17.** Let  $P_n(x)$  be the  $n$ th Taylor polynomial for  $f(x) = e^x$  expanded about  $x_0 = 0$ .

**a.** For fixed  $x$ , show that  $p_n = P_n(x)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.13.

SOLUTION: Since  $p_n = P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} x^k$ , we have

$$p_n - p = P_n(x) - e^x = \frac{-e^\xi}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1},$$

where  $\xi$  is between 0 and  $x$ . Thus,  $p_n - p \neq 0$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ . Further,

$$\frac{p_{n+1} - p}{p_n - p} = \frac{\frac{-e^{\xi_1}}{(n+2)!} x^{n+2}}{\frac{-e^\xi}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1}} = \frac{e^{(\xi_1 - \xi)x}}{n+2},$$

where  $\xi_1$  is between 0 and 1. Thus,  $\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{(\xi_1 - \xi)x}}{n+2} = 0 < 1$ .

**b.** Let  $x = 1$ , and use Aitken's  $\Delta^2$  method to generate the sequence  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_8$ .

SOLUTION: The sequence has the terms shown in the following tables.

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$p_n$	1	2	2.5	$2.\overline{6}$	$2.708\overline{3}$	$2.71\overline{6}$	$2.7180\overline{5}$
$\hat{p}_n$	3	2.75	$2.7\overline{2}$	2.71875	$2.718\overline{3}$	2.7182870	2.7182823

$n$	7	8	9	10
$p_n$	2.7182539	2.7182787	2.7182815	2.7182818
$\hat{p}_n$	2.7182818	2.7182818		

c. Does Aitken's  $\Delta^2$  method accelerate the convergence in this situation?

SOLUTION: Aitken's  $\Delta^2$  method gives quite an improvement for this problem. For example,  $\hat{p}_6$  is accurate to within  $5 \times 10^{-7}$ . We need  $p_{10}$  to have this accuracy.

## Exercise Set 2.6, page 96

2. b. Use Newton's method to approximate, to within  $10^{-5}$ , the real zeros of

$$P(x) = x^4 - 2x^3 - 12x^2 + 16x - 40.$$

Then reduce the polynomial to lower degree, and determine any complex zeros.

SOLUTION: Applying Newton's method with  $p_0 = 1$  gives the sufficiently accurate approximation  $p_7 = -3.548233$ . When  $p_0 = 4$ , we find another zero to be  $p_5 = 4.381113$ . If we divide  $P(x)$  by

$$(x + 3.548233)(x - 4.381113) = x^2 - 0.832880x - 15.54521,$$

we find that

$$P(x) \approx (x^2 - 0.832880x - 15.54521)(x^2 - 1.16712x + 2.57315).$$

The complex roots of the quadratic on the right can be found by the quadratic formula and are approximately  $0.58356 \pm 1.49419i$ .

4. b. Use Müller's method to find the real and complex zeros of

$$P(x) = x^4 - 2x^3 - 12x^2 + 16x - 40.$$

SOLUTION: The following table lists the initial approximation and the roots. The first initial approximation was used because  $f(0) = -40$ ,  $f(1) = -37$ , and  $f(2) = -56$  implies that there is a minimum in  $[0, 2]$ . This is confirmed by the complex roots that are generated.

The second initial approximations are used to find the real root that is known to lie between 4 and 5, due to the fact that  $f(4) = -40$  and  $f(5) = 115$ .

The third initial approximations are used to find the real root that is known to lie between  $-3$  and  $-4$ , since  $f(-3) = -61$  and  $f(-4) = 88$ .

$p_0$	$p_1$	$p_2$	Approximated Roots	Complex Conjugate Root
0	1	2	$p_7 = 0.583560 - 1.494188i$	$0.583560 + 1.494188i$
2	3	4	$p_6 = 4.381113$	
-2	-3	-4	$p_5 = -3.548233$	

5. b. Find the zeros and critical points of

$$f(x) = x^4 - 2x^3 - 5x^2 + 12x - 5,$$

and use this information to sketch the graph of  $f$ .

SOLUTION: There are at most four real zeros of  $f$  and  $f(0) < 0$ ,  $f(1) > 0$ , and  $f(2) < 0$ . This, together with the fact that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = \infty$ , implies that these zeros lie in the intervals  $(-\infty, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(1, 2)$ , and  $(2, \infty)$ . Applying Newton's method for various initial approximations in these intervals gives the approximate zeros: 0.5798, 1.521, 2.332, and  $-2.432$ . To find the critical points, we need the zeros of

$$f'(x) = 4x^3 - 6x^2 - 10x + 12.$$


Since  $x = 1$  is quite easily seen to be a zero of  $f'(x)$ , the cubic equation can be reduced to a quadratic to find the other two zeros: 2 and  $-1.5$ .

Since the quadratic formula applied to

$$0 = f''(x) = 12x^2 - 12x - 10$$

gives  $x = 0.5 \pm (\sqrt{39}/6)$ , we also have the points of inflection.

A sketch of the graph of  $f$  is given below.



7. Find a solution, accurate to within  $10^{-4}$ , to the problem

$$600x^4 - 550x^3 + 200x^2 - 20x - 1 = 0, \quad \text{for } 0.1 \leq x \leq 1$$

by using the various methods in this chapter.

SOLUTION:

a. Bisection method: For  $p_0 = 0.1$  and  $p_1 = 1$ , we have  $p_{14} = 0.23233$ .

b. Newton's method: For  $p_0 = 0.55$ , we have  $p_6 = 0.23235$ .

c. Secant method: For  $p_0 = 0.1$  and  $p_1 = 1$ , we have  $p_8 = 0.23235$ .

d. Method of False Position: For  $p_0 = 0.1$  and  $p_1 = 1$ , we have  $p_{88} = 0.23025$ .

e. Müller's method: For  $p_0 = 0$ ,  $p_1 = 0.25$ , and  $p_2 = 1$ , we have  $p_6 = 0.23235$ .

Notice that the method of False Position was much less effective than both the Secant method and the Bisection method.



9. A can in the shape of a right circular cylinder must have a volume of  $1000 \text{ cm}^3$ . To form seals, the top and bottom must have a radius  $0.25 \text{ cm}$  more than the radius and the material for the side must be  $0.25 \text{ cm}$  longer than the circumference of the can. Minimize the amount of material that is required.

SOLUTION: Since the volume is given by

$$V = 1000 = \pi r^2 h,$$

we have  $h = 1000/(\pi r^2)$ . The amount of material required for the top of the can is  $\pi(r + 0.25)^2$ , and a similar amount is needed for the bottom. To construct the side of the can, the material needed is  $(2\pi r + 0.25)h$ . The total amount of material  $M(r)$  is given by

$$M(r) = 2\pi(r + 0.25)^2 + (2\pi r + 0.25)h = 2\pi(r + 0.25)^2 + 2000/r + 250/\pi r^2.$$

Thus,

$$M'(r) = 4\pi(r + 0.25) - 2000/r^2 - 500/(\pi r^3).$$

Solving  $M'(r) = 0$  for  $r$  gives  $r \approx 5.363858$ . Evaluating  $M(r)$  at this value of  $r$  gives the minimal material needed to construct the can:

$$M(5.363858) \approx 573.649 \text{ cm}^2.$$

10. Leonardo of Pisa (Fibonacci) found the base 60 approximation

$$1 + 22\left(\frac{1}{60}\right) + 7\left(\frac{1}{60}\right)^2 + 42\left(\frac{1}{60}\right)^3 + 33\left(\frac{1}{60}\right)^4 + 4\left(\frac{1}{60}\right)^5 + 40\left(\frac{1}{60}\right)^6$$

as a root of the equation

$$x^3 + 2x^2 + 10x = 20.$$

How accurate was his approximation?

SOLUTION: The decimal equivalent of Fibonacci's base 60 approximation is  $1.3688081078532$ , and Newton's Method gives  $1.36880810782137$  with a tolerance of  $10^{-16}$ . So Fibonacci's answer was correct to within  $3.2 \times 10^{-11}$ . This is the most accurate approximation to an irrational root of a cubic polynomial that is known to exist, at least in Europe, before the sixteenth century. Fibonacci probably learned the technique for approximating this root from the writings of the great Persian poet and mathematician Omar Khayyám.