

## WEEK 11 : Lecture Notes

### Deterministic PDA:

A PDA  $M = (\Delta, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z_0, F)$  is deterministic if

- i)  $\delta(q, a, z)$  is either empty or a singleton set for each  $q \in Q, a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$  and  $z \in \Gamma$
- ii)  $\delta(q, \epsilon, z) \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\delta(q, a, z) = \emptyset \neq a \in \Sigma$

- DFA, NFA are equivalent with respect to the languages accepted
- Same is not true for PDA
- $ww^R$  is accepted by non-deterministic PDA, but is not accepted by any deterministic PDA

### Example

Consider the PDA

$$M = (\{q_0, q_1, q_f\}, \{a, b, z_0\}, \delta, q_0, z_0, \{q_f\})$$

where  $\delta$  is defined by :

$$\delta(q_0, a, z_0) = \{(q_0, a z_0)\}, \quad \delta(q_0, b, z_0) = \{(q_0, b z_0)\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, a, a) = \{q_0, aa\}, \quad \delta(q_0, b, a) = \{q_0, ba\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, a, b) = \{q_0, ab\}, \quad \delta(q_0, b, b) = \{q_0, bb\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, c, a) = \{q_1, a\}, \quad \delta(q_0, c, b) = \{q_1, b\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, c, z_0) = \{(q_1, z_0)\}, \quad \delta(q_1, a, a) = \delta(q_1, b, b)$$

$$\delta(q_1, \epsilon, z_0) = \{(q_f, z_0)\} = \{(q_f, \epsilon)\}$$

$$\delta(q_f, \epsilon, z_0) = \{(q_f, \epsilon)\}$$

Then,

$$N(M) = \{w c w^R \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$$

## Pushdown Automata and Context-free languages

- Class of languages accepted by PDA's are precisely the class of CFLs.

- language accepted by PDA's final state are exactly the languages accepted by PDA's by empty stack, i.e.  $N(M_1) = L \text{ iff } L(M_2) = L$ , where  $M_1, M_2$  are accepted
- languages accepted by empty state are exactly the CFLs i.e.  $N(M) = L \text{ iff } L \text{ is a CFL}$

Equivalence of acceptance by final state and empty state

Theorem:

If  $L$  is  $L(M_2)$  for some PDA  $M_2$  then  $L$  is  $N(M_1)$  for some PDA  $M_1$ .

Proof:

Let  $M_2 = (\Delta, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z_0, f)$  be a PDA

such that  $L = L(M_2)$

Let  $M_1 = (\Delta \cup \{q_e, q_0'\}, \Sigma, \Gamma \cup \{x_0\}, \delta', q_0,$

where  $\delta'$  is defined as follows:

$$R_1: \delta'(q_0', \varepsilon, x_0) = \{(q_0, z_0 x_0)\}$$

$$R_2: \delta'(q, a, z) = \delta(q, a, z) \vee (q, a, z) \in \Delta \times \{\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}\}$$

$$R_3: \delta'(q, \varepsilon, z) \text{ contains } (q_e, \varepsilon) \vee q \in f \text{ and } z \in \Gamma \cup \{x_0\}^{x \Gamma}$$

$$R_4: \delta'(q_e, \varepsilon, z) \text{ contains } (q_e, \varepsilon) \vee z \in \Gamma \cup \{x_0\}$$

- $R_1$  causes  $M_1$  to enter the initial ID of  $M_2$ , except that  $M_1$  will have its own bottom of the stack marker which is below the symbol of  $M_2$ 's stack.
- $R_2$  allow  $M_1$  to simulate  $M_2$
- Should  $M_2$  ever enter a final state  $R_3$  and  $R_4$  allow  $M_1$  the choice of entering state  $q_e$  and erasing its stack

Note:  $M_2$  may possibly erase its entire stack for some input  $x$  not in  $L(M_2)$  (i.e. without entering to a final state of  $F$ )

This is why  $M_1$  has its own special bottom-of-stack marker  $X_0$

Otherwise,  $M_1$  in simulating  $M_2$  would also erase its entire stack, thereby accepting  $x$  when it should not.

To prove  $L(M_2) \subseteq N(M_1)$

Let  $x \in L(M_2)$

Then  $(q_0, x, z_0) \xrightarrow{M_2}^* (q, \epsilon, r)$  for some  $q \in F$

Now consider  $M_1$  with input  $x$

By  $R_1$ ,

$(q'_0, x, X_0) \xrightarrow{M_1} (q_0, x, z_0 X_0)$

By  $R_2$ , every move of  $M_2$  is a legal move of  $M_1$ , thus

$(q_0, x, z_0) \xrightarrow{M_1}^* (q, \epsilon, r)$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}(q_0', \alpha, x_0) &\xrightarrow[M_1]{\quad} (q_0, \alpha, z_0 x_0) \\ &\xrightarrow[M_1]{*} (q, \varepsilon, rx_0) \\ &\xrightarrow[M_1]{*} (q_e, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)\end{aligned}$$

By  $R_3$  and  $R_4$

and  $M_1$  accepts  $x$  by empty stack.

Thus  $x \in N(M_1)$

To prove  $N(M_1) \subseteq L(M_2)$

If  $M_1$  accepts  $x$  by empty stack, it is easy to show that the sequence of moves must be

i. one move by  $R_1$

ii. then a sequence of moves by  $R_2$  in which  $M_1$  simulates acceptance of  $x$  by  $M_2$

followed by the erasing of  $M_1$ 's stack using

$R_3$  and  $R_4$

Thus

$x \in L(M_2)$

### Theorem

If  $L$  is  $N(L_1)$  for some PDA  $M_1$ , then  $L$  is  $L(M_2)$  for some PDA  $M_2$

Proof:

Let  $M = (\Delta, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z_0, \perp)$  be a PDA such that

$$L = N(M_1)$$

Let  $M_2 = (\Delta \cup \{q_0', q_f\}, \Sigma, \Gamma \cup \{x_0\}, \delta', q_0', x_0, \{q_f\})$

where  $\delta'$  is defined as:

$$R_1: \delta'(q_0', \varepsilon, x_0) = \{(q_0, z_0 x_0)\}$$

$$R_2: \delta'(q, a, z) = \delta(q, a, z) \quad \forall q \in \Delta, a \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}, z \in \Gamma$$

$$R_3: \delta'(q, \varepsilon, x_0) \text{ contains } (q_f, \varepsilon) \quad \forall q \in \Delta$$

- $R_1$  causes  $M_2$  to enter the initial ID of  $M_1$ , except that  $M_2$  will have its own bottom-of-stack marker  $x_0$ .
- $R_2$  allows  $M_2$  to simulate  $M_1$ .  
Should  $M_1$  ever erase its entire stack, then  $M_2$ , when simulating  $M_1$ , will erase its entire stack except the symbol  $x_0$  at the bottom.
- $R_3$  causes  $M_2$ , when  $x_0$  appears, to enter a final state thereby accepting the input  $\pi$

The proof that  $L(M_2) = N(M_1)$  is similar to the previous proof.

Example: Consider the PDA  $M_1$  given by

$$M_1 = (\{q_0, q_1\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, z_0\}, \delta, q_0, z_0, \phi)$$

where  $\delta$  is given by

$$R_1: \delta(q_0, a, z_0) = \{(q_0, az_0)\}$$

$$R_2: \delta(q_0, a, a) = \{(q_0, aa)\}$$

$$R_3: \delta(q_0, b, a) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$$

$$R_4: \delta(q_1, b, a) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$$

$$R_5: \delta(q_1, \epsilon, z_0) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$$

Determine  $N(M_1)$ . Also construct a PDA  $M_2$  such that  $L(M_2) = N(M_1)$

Soln:

- $R_1$  stores  $a$  in PDS
- $R_2$  repeatedly stores  $a$  in PDS (thus string  $a^n$  in PDS)
- $R_3$  is used to erase  $a$  from PDS when  $b$  is encountered for the first time and PDA transits to state  $q_1$
- $R_4$  repeatedly erases  $a$  from PDS when  $b$  is encountered and PDA remain in the same state  $q_1$
- $R_5$  empties PDS if  $z_0$  remains in stack after processing the entire input.

Thus,  $(q_0, a^n b^n, z_0) \xrightarrow{M}^* (q_0, b^n, a^n z_0)$   
 $\vdash (q_1, b^{n-1}, a^{n-1} z_0) \text{ by } R_1 \text{ and } R_2$   
 $\xrightarrow{M}^* (q_1, \epsilon, z_0) \text{ by } R_3 \text{ and } R_4$   
 $\vdash (q_1, \epsilon \epsilon) \text{ by } R_5$

Thus  $a^n b^n \in N(M)$

i.e.  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1\} \subseteq N(M)$

To prove  $N(M) \subseteq \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1\}$

- Let  $w \in N(M)$

$\Rightarrow$  Then  $(q_0, w, z_0) \xrightarrow{M}^* (q_1, \epsilon, \epsilon)$

(Note: PDS can be emptied only when M is in state  $q_1$ )

- Also  $w$  must start with  $a$ , otherwise we cannot make any move.
- We store  $a$  in PDS if current input symbol is  $a$  and the topmost symbol of PDS is either  $a$  or  $z_0$ .
- PDA erases  $a$  in PDS if current input symbol is  $b$ .
- PDA enters ID  $(q_1, \epsilon, \epsilon)$  only by  $R_5$
- PDA can reach ID  $(q_1, \epsilon, z_0)$  only by erasing  $a$ 's in PDS, which is possible.
- When # of  $b$ 's = # of  $a$ 's and so  $w = a^n b^n$

Thus  $N(M) = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1\}$

Construction of  $M_2$  such that  $L(M_2) = N(M_1)$

$$M_1 = (\{q_0, q_1\}, \{a, b\}, \{q, z_0\}, \delta, q_0, z_0, +)$$

↓ construct

$$M_2 = (\{q_0, q_1, q'_0, q_1\}, \{ab\}, \{a, z_0, x_0\}, \delta', q'_0, x_0, \{q_1\})$$

where  $\delta'$  is defined by

**added rule**  $\rightarrow \delta'(q'_0, \epsilon, x_0) = \{(q_0, z_0, x_0)\}$

**follow given rules**  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta'(q_0, a, z_0) = \delta(q_0, a, z_0) = \{(q_0, az_0)\} \\ \delta'(q_0, aa) = \{(q_0, aa)\} \\ \delta'(q_0, b, a) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\} \\ \delta'(q_1, b, a) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\} \\ \delta'(q_1, \epsilon, z_0) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\} \end{array} \right.$

**added rules**  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta'(q_0, \epsilon, x_0) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\} \\ \delta'(q_1, \epsilon, x_0) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\} \end{array} \right.$

Thus

$$L(M_2) = N(M_1)$$

## Equivalence of PDA's and CFL's

Theorem:

If  $L$  is a CFL, then there exists a PDA  $M$  such that  $L = N(M)$

Proof:

Assume that  $\epsilon \notin L(G)$

(The construction can be modified if  $\epsilon \in L(G)$ )

Let  $G = (V, T, P, S)$  be a CFG in GNF generation 2

Let  $M = (\{q\}, T, V, \delta, q, S, \phi)$

where  $\delta(q, a, A)$  contains  $(q, r)$  whenever  $A \rightarrow a$  or  
is in  $P$ .

Claim:

$S \xrightarrow{*} x\alpha$  by a leftmost derivation iff

$(q, x, S) \xrightarrow{*_M} (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$

where  $x \in T^*$ ,  $\alpha \in V^*$

Example:

Construct a PDA  $M$  equivalent to the following  
CFG:  $S \rightarrow 0BB$ ,  $B \rightarrow 0s/1s/0$

Test whether  $010^4$  is in  $N(M)$ .

Soln:

$M = (\{q\}, \{0, 1\}, \{S, B\}, \delta, q, S, \phi)$  where  
 $\delta$  is defined by

$\delta(q, 0, S) = \{(q, BB)\}$ ,  $\delta(q, 1, B) = \{(q, B)\}$

$\delta(q, 0, B) = \{(q, S), (q, \epsilon)\}$

So,  $(q, 010^4, s) \vdash (q, 10^4, BB)$   
 $\vdash (q, 0^4, SB)$   
 $\vdash (q, 0^3, BBB)$   
 $\vdash (q, 0^2, BB)$   
 $\vdash (q, 0, B)$   
 $\vdash (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$   
 accept

Thus,  $010^4 \in N(M)$

Claim:

$$s \xrightarrow{*} x\alpha, x \in T^*, \alpha \in V^*$$

(1)

iff  $(q, x, s) \xrightarrow{M}^* (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$

Proof:

if part

Let  $(q, x, s) \xrightarrow{i} (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$  and show by induction on  $i$  that  $s \xrightarrow{*} x\alpha$

Base:  $i=0$ :  $(q, x, s) \xrightarrow{0} (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$   
 i.e.  $x = \epsilon$ ,  $\alpha = s$  and  
 $s \xrightarrow{*} s (= x\alpha)$  holds

Induction step

Let  $i > 0$  and  $x = ya$ ,  $y \in T^*$ ,  $a \in T$

Consider next to last step:

$$(q, ya, s) \xrightarrow{i-1} (q, a, \beta) \vdash (q, \epsilon, \alpha), \beta \in V^*$$

$\underbrace{(q, y, s) \xrightarrow{i-1} (q, \epsilon, \beta)}$

By induction hypothesis

$$S \xrightarrow{*} y\beta, \quad y \in T^*, \quad \beta \in V^*$$

Also, the last move

$$(q, a, \beta) \vdash (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$$

implies

$$\beta = Ar \text{ for some } A \in V$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & (q, a, Ar) \vdash (q, \epsilon, \eta r) \text{ if } s(q, a, A) \text{ contains } (q, \eta) \\ & \quad = (q, \epsilon, \alpha) \text{ i.e. if } A \rightarrow a\eta \text{ is in } P \\ & \text{implies } \alpha = \eta r \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\text{Thus, } S \xrightarrow{*} y\beta \Rightarrow ya\eta r = ya\alpha = a\alpha$$

and we conclude the 'if' part of (1)

only if part:

Let  $S \xrightarrow{i} a\alpha, \quad a \in T^*, \quad \alpha \in V^*$  by a leftmost derivation.

We show by induction on  $i$  that

$$(q, u, S) \xrightarrow{*} (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$$

Base:  $i=0$

$$u = \epsilon, \quad \alpha = S \quad \text{as} \quad S \xrightarrow{0} a\alpha$$

$$\therefore (q, u, S) \xrightarrow{} (q, \epsilon, \emptyset) \xrightarrow{0} (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$$

Inductive step:

Let  $i > 0$  and suppose  $S \xrightarrow{i-1} yAr \Rightarrow ya\eta r$

where  $u = ya, \quad \alpha = \eta r$  and  $A \rightarrow a\eta$  is in  $P$  as  $G$  is in GNF

By induction hypothesis

$$(q, y, s) \xrightarrow{M^*} (q, \epsilon, Ar)$$

and thus  $(q, ya, s) \xrightarrow{M^*} (q, a, Ar)$

Since  $A \rightarrow a\gamma$  is in  $\Phi$ , it follows that  $\delta(q, a, A)$  contains  $(q, \gamma)$ .

Thus  $(q, a, s) \xrightarrow{M^*} (q, a, Ar)$

$$\xrightarrow{M} (q, \epsilon, Ar) = (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$$

and the 'only if' part of ① follows.

### Alternative construction

If  $G$  is not in GNF, set PDA  $M$  to be

$$M = (\{q\}, T, VUT, S, q, S, \phi)$$

where  $S$  is defined as follows:

1.  $\delta(q, \epsilon, A) = \{(q, \alpha) \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \text{ is in } \Phi\}$

2.  $\delta(q, a, a) = \{(q, \epsilon)\}$  for every  $a \in T$

Example: Convert the CFG  $G$  given below to a PDA.

$$E \rightarrow I \mid E+E \mid E \cdot E \mid (E)$$

$$I \rightarrow a \mid b \mid Ia \mid Ib \mid Io \mid Ii$$

Sol:

$$M = (\{q\}, \{a, b, o, l, +, \cdot, (, )\}, \{I, E\}UT, S, q, S, \phi)$$

$\subseteq T$

where  $S$  is defined as

$$\delta(q, \epsilon, E) = \{(q, I), (q, E+E), (q, E \cdot E), (q, (E))\}$$

$$\delta(q, \epsilon, I) = \{(q, a), (q, b), (q, Ia), (q, Ib), (q, Io), (q, Ii)\}$$

$$\delta(q, c, c) = \{(q, \epsilon)\} \quad \forall c \in T$$

Example:

$G$  is a CFG with productions

$$S \rightarrow 0BB, \quad B \rightarrow 0S|1S|0$$

Convert it to PDA  $M$  such that

$$N(M) = L(G)$$

Soln:

$$M = (\{q\}, \{0, 1\}, \{S, B, 0, 1\}, \delta, q, S, \phi)$$

$\text{"T"} \quad \text{"VUT"}$

where  $\delta$  is defined as

$$\delta(q, \epsilon, S) = \{(q, 0BB)\}$$

$$\delta(q, \epsilon, B) = \{(q, 0S), (q, 1S), (q, 0)\}$$

$$\delta(q, 0, 0) = \{(q, \epsilon)\}$$

$$\delta(q, 1, 1) = \{(q, \epsilon)\}$$

Check  $010^4 \in N(M)$  or not.

$$(q, 010^4, S) \vdash (q, 010^4, 0BB)$$

$$\vdash (q, 10^4, BB)$$

$$\vdash (q, 10^4, 1SB)$$

$$\vdash (q, 0^4, SB)$$

$$\vdash (q, 0^4, 0BBB)$$

$$\vdash (q, 0^3, BBB)$$

$$\vdash (q, 0^3, 0BB)$$

$$\vdash (q, 0^2, BB)$$

$$\vdash (q, 0^2, 0B)$$

$$\vdash (q, 0, B)$$

$$\vdash (q, 0, 0)$$

$$\vdash (q, \epsilon, \epsilon) \quad \text{accept}$$

## Theorem

The following three statements are equivalent

1.  $L$  is a CFL
2.  $L = N(M_1)$  for some PDA  $M_1$ ,
3.  $L = L(M_2)$  for some PDA  $M_2$

(Proofs skipped, only constructions are given)

• (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

$$M_2 = (\Delta, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z_0, F)$$

such that  $L = L(M_2)$

$\downarrow$  construct  $M_1$  s.t.  $L = N(M_1)$

$$M_1 = (\Delta, \cup \{q_e, q_0'\}, \Sigma, \Gamma \cup \{x_0\}, \delta', q_0', x_0, \Phi)$$

where  $\delta'$  is defined as follows

$$R_1: \delta'(q_0', \varepsilon, x_0) = \{(q_0, z_0 x_0)\}$$

$$\delta'(q, a, z) = \delta(q, a, z) \vee q \in \Delta, a \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}, z \in \Gamma$$

$$\delta'(q, \varepsilon, z) \text{ contains } (q_e, \varepsilon) \vee q \in F, z \in \Gamma \cup \{x_0\}$$

$$\delta'(q_e, \varepsilon, z) \text{ contains } (q_e, \varepsilon) \vee z \in \Gamma \cup \{x_0\}$$

• (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)

$M_1 = (\Delta, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z_0, \phi)$  such that  $L = N(M_1)$

$\downarrow$  construct  $M_2$  s.t.  $L = L(M_2)$

$M_2 = (\Delta \cup \{q_0', q_f\}, \Sigma, \Gamma \cup \{x_0\}, \delta', q_0', x_0, \{q_f\})$

where  $\delta'$  is defined as follows:

$R_1: \delta'(q_0', \epsilon, x_0) = \{(q_0, z_0 x_0)\}$

$R_2: \delta'(q, a, z) = \delta(q, a, z) \quad \forall q \in \Delta, a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\} \text{ and } z \in \Gamma$

$R_3: \delta'(q, \epsilon, x_0) \text{ contains } (q_f, \epsilon) \quad \forall q \in \Delta$

• (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

$G = (V, T, P, S)$  such that  $L = L(G)$

$\downarrow$  construct  $M_1$  s.t.  $L = N(M_1)$

$M_1 = (\{q\}, T, V, S, q, S, \phi)$

where  $\delta(q, a, A)$  contains  $(q, r)$

whenever  $A \rightarrow a\alpha$  is in  $P$ .

Alternative construction of  $M_1$  from  $G$

$G = (V, T, P, S)$  not in GNF with  $L = L(G)$

$\downarrow$  construct  $M_1$  s.t.  $L = N(M_1)$

$M_1 = (\{q\}, T, V \cup T, S, q, S, \phi)$

where  $\delta$  is defined as

(i)  $\delta(q, \epsilon, A) = \{(q, \alpha) \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \text{ is in } P\}$

(ii)  $\delta(q, a, a) = \{(q, \epsilon)\} \text{ for every } a \in T$

• (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)

$M_1 = (\Delta, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z_0, \phi)$  such that  $L = N(M_1)$

$\downarrow$  construct  $G = (V, T, P, S)$  s.t.  $L = L(G)$

$G = (V, T, P, S)$ ,  $T = \Sigma$ ,  $V = SU\{[q, z, p] \mid q, p \in \Delta, z \in \Gamma\}$

and  $P$  is set of following productions

i.  $S \rightarrow [q_0, z_0, p] \vee p \in \Delta$

ii.  $(q_1, \epsilon) \in \delta(q, a, z)$  induces production

$$[q, z, q_1] \rightarrow a$$

iii.  $(q, z_1, z_2 \dots z_m) \in \delta(q, a, z)$  yields productions

$$[q, z, q_{m+1}] \rightarrow a [q_1, z_1, q_2] [q_2, z_2, q_3] \dots$$

$$\dots [q_m, z_m, q_{m+1}]$$

for each  $q_1, q_2 \dots q_{m+1} \in \Delta$

$z_1, z_2, \dots z_m \in \Gamma$

and  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$

## Theorem

If  $L$  is  $N(M)$  for some PDA  $M$ , then  $L$  is a CFL.

Proof:

$$M = (\Delta, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z_0, \dagger)$$

(construction of  $G$ )

We define  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  where

$$V = \{S\} \cup \{[q, z, p] \mid q, p \in \Delta, z \in \Gamma\}$$

$P$  is the set of productions:

1.  $S \rightarrow [q_0, z_0, q]$  for each  $q \in \Delta$

2a.  $[q, z, q_{m+1}] \rightarrow a [q_1, z_1, q_2] [q_2, z_2, q_3] \dots [q_m, z_m, q_m]$

for each  $q, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{m+1} \in \Delta$

each  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$  and

$z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Gamma$  such that

$$(q_1, z_1, q_2, \dots, z_m) \in \delta(q, a, z)$$

2b. if  $m=0$ , then the production is

$[q, z, q] \rightarrow a$  induced by  $(q, \epsilon) \in \delta(q, a, z)$

$$\therefore L(G) = N(M)$$

↓  
corresponding to  
move erasing a  
pushdown symbol

Example: Construct a CFG  $G$  which accepts  $N(M)$  where

$$M = (\{q_0, q_1\}, \{a, b\}, \{z_0, z\}, S, q_0, z_0, \phi)$$

and  $\delta$  is given by

$$\delta(q_0, b, z_0) = \{(q_0, zz_0)\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, \epsilon, z_0) = \{(q_0, z)\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, b, z) = \{(q_0, zz)\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, a, z) = \{(q_1, z)\}$$

$$\delta(q_1, b, z) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$$

$$\delta(q_1, a, z_0) = \{(q_0, z_0)\}$$

Sol:

Let

$G = (V, T, P, S)$  generating  $N(M)$

$$T = \{a, b\}$$

$$V = S \cup \{[q_0, z_0, q_0], [q_0, z, q_0], [q_0, z_0, q_1], \\ [q_0, z, q_1], [q_1, z_0, q_0], [q_1, z, q_0], \\ [q_1, z_0, q_1], [q_1, z, q_1]\}$$

The productions are:

S-productions:

$$1. S \rightarrow [q_0, z_0, q_0] \mid [q_0, z_0, q_1]$$

Other productions:

$$2. \delta(q_0, b, z_0) = \{(q_0, zz_0)\} \text{ yields}$$

$$[q_0, z_0, q_0] \rightarrow b[q_0, z, q_0][q_0, z_0, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, z_0, q_0] \rightarrow b[q_0, z, q_1][q_1, z_0, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, z_0, q_1] \rightarrow b[q_0, z, q_0][q_0, z_0, q_1]$$

$$[q_0, z_0, q_1] \rightarrow b[q_0, z, q_1][q_1, z_0, q_1]$$

$$3. \quad \delta(q_0, \varepsilon, z_0) = \{(q_0, \varepsilon)\} \text{ yields}$$

$$[q_0, z_0, q_0] \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

$$4. \quad \delta(q_0, b, z) = \{(q_0, zz)\} \text{ yields}$$

$$[q_0, z, q_0] \rightarrow b[q_0, z, q_0] [q_0, z, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, z, q_0] \rightarrow b[q_0, z, q_1] [q_1, z, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, z, q_1] \rightarrow b[q_0, z, q_0] [q_0, z, q_1]$$

$$[q_0, z, q_1] \rightarrow b[q_0, z, q_1] [q_1, z, q_1]$$

$$5. \quad \delta(q_0, a, z) = \{(q_1, z)\} \text{ yields.}$$

$$[q_0, z, q_0] \rightarrow a[q_1, z, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, z, q_1] \rightarrow a[q_1, z, q_1]$$

$$6. \quad \delta(q_1, a, z_0) = \{(q_0, z)\} \text{ yields}$$

$$[q_1, z_0, q_0] \rightarrow a[q_0, z_0, q_0]$$

$$[q_1, z_0, q_1] \rightarrow a[q_0, z_0, q_1]$$

$$7. \quad \delta(q_1, b, z) = \{(q_1, \varepsilon)\} \text{ yields}$$

$$[q_1, z, q_1] \rightarrow b$$

Example:

Construct a CFG  $G$  which accepts  $N(M)$  where  
 $M = (\{q\}, \{0,1\}, \{z, A, B\}, \delta, q, z)$

where  $\delta$  is defined by

$$\delta(q, 0, z) = \{(q, Az)\}, \quad \delta(q, 1, z) = \{(q, Bz)\},$$

$$\delta(q, 0, A) = \{(q, AA)\}, \quad \delta(q, 1, A) = \{(q, \epsilon)\},$$

$$\delta(q, 0, B) = \{(q, \epsilon)\}, \quad \delta(q, 1, B) = \{(q, BB)\}$$

$$\delta(q, \epsilon, z) = \{(q, \epsilon)\}$$

Sol:

$$G = (V, T, P, S), \quad T = \{0, 1\}$$

$$V = S \cup \{[q, z, q], [q, A, q], [q, B, q]\}$$

Productions:

•  $S$ - productions:

$$S \rightarrow [q, z, q]$$

•  $\delta(q, 0, z) = \{(q, Az)\}$  yields

$$[q, z, q] \rightarrow 0[q, A, q][q, z, q]$$

•  $\delta(q, 1, z) = \{(q, Bz)\}$  yields

$$[q, z, q] \rightarrow 1[q, B, q][q, z, q]$$

•  $\delta(q, 0, A) = \{(q, AA)\}$  yields

$$[q, A, q] \rightarrow 0[q, A, q][q, A, q]$$

•  $\delta(q, 1, A) = \{(q, \epsilon)\}$  yields

$$[q, A, q] \rightarrow \perp$$

•  $\delta(q, 0, B) = \{(q, \epsilon)\}$  yields

$$[q, B, q] \rightarrow 0$$

- $\delta(q, 1, B) = \{(q, BB)\}$  yields

$$[q, B, q] \rightarrow 1 [q, B, q] [q, B, q]$$

- $\delta(q, \epsilon, z) = \{(q, \epsilon)\}$  yields

$$[q, z, q] \rightarrow \epsilon$$

Let

$$X = [q, z, q], C = [q, A, q], D = [q, B, q]$$

Then the productions are:

$$S \rightarrow X$$

$$X \rightarrow 0CX$$

$$X \rightarrow 1DX$$

$$C \rightarrow OCC$$

$$C \rightarrow 1$$

$$D \rightarrow 0$$

$$D \rightarrow 1DD$$

$$X \rightarrow \epsilon$$

Thus,

$$G = (\{S, C, D\}, \{0, 1\}, \{S \rightarrow 0CS | 1DS | \epsilon, C \rightarrow OCC | 1 \\ D \rightarrow 1DD | 0 | S\})$$

Example:

$$M = (\{q_0, q_1\}, \{0, 1\}, \{x, z_0\}, \delta, q_0, z_0, \phi)$$

where  $\delta$  is given by

$$\delta(q_0, 0, z_0) = \{(q_0, xz_0)\}, \delta(q_1, 1, x) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, 0, x) = \{(q_0, xx)\}, \delta(q_1, \epsilon, x) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, 1, x) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}, \delta(q_1, \epsilon, z_0) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$$

To construct a CFG  $G = (V, T, P, S)$  generating  $N(M)$

Soln:

$$T = \{0, 1\}$$

$$V = S \cup \{[q_0, z_0, q_0], [q_0, z_0, q_1], \\ [q_0, x, q_0], [q_0, x, q_1], \\ [q_1, z_0, q_0], [q_1, z_0, q_1], \\ [q_1, x, q_0], [q_1, x, q_1]\}$$

Productions in  $P$

$$1. S \rightarrow [q_0, z_0, q_0] \mid [q_0, z_0, q_1]$$

$$2. \delta(q_0, 0, z_0) = \{(q_0, x, z_0)\} \text{ induces}$$

$$[q_0, z_0, q_0] \rightarrow 0[q_0, x, q_0][q_0, z_0, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, z_0, q_0] \rightarrow 0[q_0, x, q_1][q_1, z_0, q_0]$$

$$\underline{\delta(q_1, 1, x) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}} \text{ yields}$$

$$[q_0, z_0, q_1] \rightarrow 0[q_0, x, q_0][q_0, z_0, q_1]$$

$$[q_0, z_0, q_1] \rightarrow 0[q_0, x, q_1][q_1, z_0, q_1]$$

$$3. \quad \delta(q_1, 1, x) = \{(q_1, \varepsilon)\} \text{ induces}$$

$$[q_1, x, q_1] \rightarrow 1$$

$$4. \quad \delta(q_0, 0, x) = \{(q_0, xx)\} \text{ induces}$$

$$[q_0, x, q_0] \rightarrow 0 [q_0, x, q_0] [q_0, x, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, x, q_0] \rightarrow 0 [q_0, x, q_1] [q_1, x, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, x, q_1] \rightarrow 0 [q_0, x, q_0] [q_0, x, q_1]$$

$$[q_0, x, q_1] \rightarrow 0 [q_1, x, q_1] [q_1, x, q_1]$$

$$5. \quad \delta(q_1, \varepsilon, x) = \{(q_1, \varepsilon)\} \text{ yields}$$

$$[q_1, x, q_1] \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

$$6. \quad \delta(q_0, 1, x) = \{(q_1, \varepsilon)\} \text{ yields}$$

$$[q_0, x, q_1] \rightarrow 1$$

$$7. \quad \delta(q_1, \varepsilon, z_0) = \{(q_1, \varepsilon)\} \text{ yields}$$

$$[q_1, z_0, q_1] \rightarrow \varepsilon$$