

Lecture 10

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Assume (i). Let $\varepsilon > 0$.

To show: There exists a G_δ -set G ,
 $G \supseteq E$ & $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$.

by (i), there exists an open set $U_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
such that $U_n \supseteq E$ & $m^*(U_n \setminus E) \leq \frac{1}{n}$.

Let $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ $\forall n$

Then G is a G_δ -set & $E \subseteq G$.

And $m^*(G \setminus E) \leq m^*(U_n \setminus E) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ $\forall n$
($\because G \setminus E \subseteq U_n \setminus E$)

$\Rightarrow m^*(G \setminus E) \leq 0$.

$\Rightarrow m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$, as required.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume (iii). To show: E is measurable.

we have $E = G \setminus (G \setminus E)$

We know that G is measurable

& $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0 \Rightarrow G \setminus E$ is measurable.

$$E = G \setminus (G \setminus E) = G \cap (G \setminus E)^c$$

is also measurable.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)*: Assume (i), i.e., E is a measurable set.

To show: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a closed set $F \subseteq E$ such that $m^*(E \setminus F) \leq \varepsilon$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$.

We have E^c is measurable.

Then by (ii), there exists an open set $U \supseteq E^c$ such that $m(U \setminus E^c) \leq \varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } U \setminus E^c &= U \cap (E^c)^c \\ &= U \cap E \\ &= E \cap (U^c)^c \\ &= E \setminus U^c \end{aligned}$$

Let $F = U^c$. F is a closed set.

Then we have $m(E \setminus F) \leq \varepsilon$, as required.

(ii)* \Rightarrow (iii)*: Assume (ii)*. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let F_n be a closed set, $F_n \subseteq E$ & $m^*(E \setminus F_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$.

Let $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Then F is an F_{σ} -set.

$$\& F \subseteq E.$$

$$\text{Now } m^*(E \setminus F) \leq m^*(F \setminus F_n) \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall n$$

$$(\because E \setminus F \subseteq E \setminus F_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow m^*(E \setminus F) \leq 0.$$

$$\text{Thus } m^*(E \setminus F) = 0, \text{ as required.}$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Proof is analogue to (iii) \Rightarrow (i)

EXERCISE.

Qn: Suppose $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $m^*(E) < \infty$.

Then when E is measurable? Can we give a necessary & sufficient conditions to have that E is measurable?

Theorem: Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ & $m^*(E) < \infty$. Then

E is measurable if and only if

(*) given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists disjoint finite intervals I_1, \dots, I_{n_0} such that

$$m^*(E \Delta \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} I_i) < \varepsilon.$$

We may stipulate that intervals I_i be open, closed or half-open.

proof:-

\Rightarrow : Assume E is measurable.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then there exists an open set $U \supseteq E$ such that $m(U \setminus E) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

$$\Rightarrow m(U) < \infty \quad (\because m(E) < \infty)$$

$$U = E \cup (U \setminus E)$$

$$m(U) = m(E) + m(U \setminus E)$$

But U is an open set,

Therefore

$$U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$$

, disjoint union of open intervals I_i .

$$\begin{aligned} m(U) &= m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(I_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} l(I_i) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} l(I_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for some } n_0 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\text{Let } V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} I_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i = U.$$

$$\therefore V \subseteq U.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } E \Delta V &= (E \setminus V) \cup (V \setminus E) \\ &\subseteq (U \setminus V) \cup (U \setminus E) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore m^*(E \Delta V) &\leq m^*(U \setminus V) + m^*(U \setminus E) \quad \left(\because \begin{array}{l} E \subseteq U, \\ V \subseteq U \end{array} \right) \\ &= m^*\left(\bigcup_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} I_i\right) + m^*(U \setminus E) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } m^*(E \Delta \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} I_i) < \varepsilon, \text{ as required.}$$

If we wish the intervals to be, say, half-open we first obtain open intervals I_1, \dots, I_{n_0} as above. & then for each i , choose a half-open interval $J_i \subseteq I_i$ such that $m(I_i \setminus J_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3n_0}$ $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Then } m \left(E \Delta \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} J_i \right) &\leq m \left(E \Delta \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i \right) \\
 &\quad + m \left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} I_i \right) \Delta \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} J_i \right) \right) \\
 &\quad \left(\text{Use some properties of } \Delta \right) \\
 &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

So the construction goes through for the intervals J_i .

Why for any other type of intervals.

⇐: To show: E is measurable.

Assume $(*)$. given $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists an open set $U \supset E$ such that $m^*(U) \leq m^*(E) + \varepsilon$.

To show E is measurable it is sufficient to show $m^*(U \setminus E)$ is sufficiently small.
(by above Theorem)

We have $(*)$. i.e., There exists intervals I_1, \dots, I_{n_0} disjoint such that $m^* \left(E \Delta \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} I_i \right) < \varepsilon$.

$$\text{Let } J = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} I_i. \quad \& \quad V = U \cap J.$$

Now $U \Delta E \subseteq (U \Delta V) \cup (V \Delta E)$ (check it!)

$$\Rightarrow m^*(U \Delta E) \leq m^*(U \Delta V) + m^*(V \Delta E)$$

Since $V \subseteq J$, we have $V \setminus E \subseteq J \setminus E$ ✓
by since $E \subseteq U$, we have $E \setminus V = E \setminus J$

Pf:- $a \in E \setminus V$

$$\Rightarrow a \in E \text{ \& } a \notin V$$

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} V \subseteq U \\ E \setminus V \supseteq E \setminus U \\ \text{p.f.} \end{array}}$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in U \text{ \& } a \notin V = U \cap J$$

$$\Rightarrow a \notin J$$

Thus $a \in E \setminus J$.

$$\therefore E \setminus V \subseteq E \setminus J.$$

$$\text{Let } a \in E \setminus J \Rightarrow a \in E \text{ \& } a \notin J$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in V \text{ \& } a \notin V$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in E \setminus V.$$

$$\therefore E \setminus J \subseteq E \setminus V.$$

$$\therefore E \setminus V = E \setminus J.$$

$$\text{Thus } V \Delta E \subseteq J \Delta E$$

$$\Rightarrow m^*(U \Delta E) \leq m^*(J \Delta E) < \epsilon.$$

$$\text{But } E \subseteq V \cup (V \Delta E)$$

$$\Rightarrow m^*(E) \leq m^*(V) + m^*(V \Delta E) \\ < m(V) + \varepsilon.$$

$$\begin{aligned} m(V \Delta V) &= m((V \setminus V) \cup \underbrace{(V \setminus V)}_{\text{"}\phi\text{"}}) \\ &= m(V \setminus V) \\ &= m(V) - m(V) \\ &\leq m^*(E) + \varepsilon - m(V) \\ &< \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore m^*(V \setminus E) = m^*(V \Delta E) < 3\varepsilon. \quad (\text{check it!})$$

$\Rightarrow m^*(V \setminus E)$ is sufficiently small
as required.
