TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS

Assignment #3, Module: MA5633

Gustavo Ramirez

January 20, 2017

In this document are described the algorithms employed for solving the different tasks of this assignment.

1 LU FACTORISATION WITH PARTIAL PIVOTING

When preparing a matrix A to solve a system of equations of the form $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, some entries in the matrix can have a much different scale than the rest of the values in that matrix, which may lead to "divisions by zero" (due to the fact that the value is indeed zero, or due to the resolution of values stored in the computer, which makes it zero). The more prone to happen this in an algorithm, the more *unstable* is that algorithm.

A way to make certain algorithm more stable, is adding to it an extra step: *pivoting*. There are three types of pivoting: partial, complete and scaling. We will focus here on partial pivoting. The algorithm to be used here is *LU decomposition*:

if a system of equations of the form $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is to be solved, then the matrix A can be decomposed in the following way: A = LU, such that: $A\vec{x} = (LU)\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, from which we can find \vec{x} by first solving $L\vec{y} = \vec{b}$ for \vec{y} , and then solving $U\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ for \vec{x}

When applying that LU decomposition algorithm naively, i.e. by using the following equations:

$$u_{11} = a_{11}, \ u_{12} = a_{12}, ..., \ u_{1n} = a_{1n}, \ l_{ii} = 1$$
 (1.1)

$$u_{ij} = a_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} u_{kj} l_{ik}, \ i > 1$$
 (1.2)

$$l_{ij} = \frac{1}{u_{ij}} \left(a_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} u_{kj} l_{ik} \right)$$
 (1.3)

If any of those u_{jj} is zero, the system becomes unstable; the solution to this is *partial pivoting*: rearrange the rows of A in a way that, in each column, the largest element is at the diagonal.

When pivoting, each time a permutation of rows is performed, this represents a permutation in the vector \vec{b} . Permutations can be written as a matrix acting over A: $A_p = PA$, where A_p is a matrix where the diagonal entries are the largest on their corresponding columns; this implies:

$$A_p = L(U\vec{x}) = (PA)\vec{x} = P(A\vec{x}) = P(\vec{b})$$
 (1.4)

i.e. P is obtained previous to the LU decomposition, the decomposition is applied to A_p , and then the solution \vec{x} is obtained in two steps:

$$L\vec{y} = P\vec{b} \Rightarrow U\vec{x} = \vec{y} \tag{1.5}$$

Once the LU decomposition has taken place, the solutions are obtained as:

$$y_i = \left((P\vec{b})_i - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} l_{ij} y_j \right) \frac{1}{l_{ii}}$$
 (1.6)

for *i* going from i = 0 to i = n - 1, and finally:

$$x_{i} = \left(y_{i} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} u_{ij} x_{j}\right) \frac{1}{u_{ii}}$$
(1.7)

for i going from i = n - 1 to i = 0.

2 CONDITION NUMBER ESTIMATOR

To study the condition number estimator, it's necessary to introduce the infinity norm of a matrix:

$$||A||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| \right)$$
 (2.1)

and in the case of vectors:

$$||x||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i| \tag{2.2}$$

The condition number gives some limits for the variations of the solutions of the linear system of equations, in terms of variations on their data. The bigger the condition number, the more prone to fluctuate is the solution when small changes on the data of the system are made.

3 JACOBI AND GAUSS-SEIDEL ITERATIVE SOLVERS

3.1 JACOBI

The algorithm is very simple: from the system of equation of the form $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, from the matrix A is extracted only the diagonal: $D_{ii} = A_{ii}$, $D_{ij} = 0$, $i \neq j$, and then another matrix is created: R = A - D.

Then, the solution of the system is obtained through the use of the iterative formula:

$$x^{k+1} = D^{-1}(n - Rx^k) (3.1)$$

starting with an initial guess x^0 , and then iterating.

3.2 Gauss-Seidel

Gauss-Seidel method is similar to Jacobi's Method, both being iterative methods for solving systems of linear equations, but Gauss-Seidel converges somewhat quicker in serial applications.

The method consists in the following: if the matrix A is decomposed into L (this lower matrix containing the diagonal as well) and U matrices, and after that split, the idea is to apply the following iterative formula:

$$x^{k+1} = L^{-1}(b - Ux^k) (3.2)$$

4 Analytical solutions to ODEs

Before solving part 4 of this assignment, analytical solutions to the ODEs to be solved numerically, are given here:

4.1

The differential equation given in this case is:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -y\ln y\tag{4.1}$$

with "initial" condition:

$$y(0) = 0.5 (4.2)$$

from which the solution is (all three ODEs were solved manually, no Mathematica or something similar was used):

$$y(x) = e^{Be^{-x}} (4.3)$$

where:

$$B = \ln 0.5 \approx -0.693147 \tag{4.4}$$

4.2

In this case:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} + 4y = 1\tag{4.5}$$

and:

$$y(0) = 1$$
 (4.6)

with solution:

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{4} + Be^{-4x} \tag{4.7}$$

and with constant:

$$B = \frac{3}{4} \tag{4.8}$$

4.3

In this final case:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = y, \ y(0) = 1$$
 (4.9)

and then:

$$y(x) = e^x (4.10)$$

5 PROBLEM 4 OF ASSIGNMENT

Rather than describing the different algorithms, I'll focus here on analyzing the resulting data from the simulations.

It is clear that the error decreases when the number of points in the 1D grid is increased.

The most relevant aspect of these numerical approximations, is taking a look at 5 (= 4+1) points in the 1D grid; these data is in line 2 of files foward_1.txt and implicit.txt, and in line 1 of file rungekutta.txt.

For that value of n = 4, it's impressive how the Runge Kutta method does much better than the Euler's method, even with the enhancement of attaching the trapezoidal predictor-corrector.