

## Course Notes

---

# CSCA67 - Discrete Mathematics

---



UNIVERSITY OF  
**TORONTO**  
SCARBOROUGH

### Instructors:

	<b>Dr. Anna Bretscher</b>	<b>Dr. Richard Pancer</b>
<b>Email:</b>	bretscher@utsc.utoronto.ca	pancer@utsc.utoronto.ca
<b>Office:</b>	IC493	IC490
<b>Office Hours:</b>	Monday 12:10 - 1:30	Monday 11:10 - 12:30
	Wednesday 1:10 - 2:00	Friday 1:30 - 3:00
	Friday 1:10 - 2:00 (will change after week 6)	

# 1 Propositions, Implications

## Definitions:

A **proposition** is a statement that evaluates to True or False. In computer science, its often referred to as a **Boolean expression**.

A **compound roposition** is a proposition statementt that involves multiple propositions joined by connectives. It takes multiple truth values as input and returns a single truth value as output.

A **connective** corresponds to English conjunctions such as "and", "or", "not" etc.


## Basic connectives and truth tables:

Symbol	Meaning	$P$	$Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee Q$	$P \rightarrow Q$	$P \leftrightarrow Q$
$\wedge$	"AND"	T	T	T	T	T	T
$\vee$	"OR"	T	F	F	T	F	F
$\rightarrow$	"IF...THEN"	F	T	F	T	T	F
$\leftrightarrow$	"IF AND ONLY IF"	F	F	F	F	T	T
$\neg$	"NOT"						

## Implication:

### Different ways of writing $P \rightarrow Q$ :

1. If P then Q
2. If P, Q
3. Q, if P
4. P only if Q
5. P is sufficient for Q
6. Q is necessary for P
7. If not Q, then not P
8. Not P or Q



## Logical Equivalences:

Commutative	$p \wedge q \iff q \wedge p$	$p \vee q \iff q \vee p$
Associative	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \iff p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	$(p \vee q) \vee r \iff p \vee (q \vee r)$
Distributive	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \iff (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	$p \vee (q \wedge r) \iff (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
Identity	$p \wedge T \iff p$	$p \vee F \iff p$
Negation	$p \vee \neg p \iff T$	$p \wedge \neg p \iff F$
Double Negative	$\neg(\neg p) \iff p$	
Idempotent	$p \wedge p \iff p$	$p \vee p \iff p$
Universal Bound	$p \vee T \iff T$	$p \wedge F \iff F$
De Morgan's	$\neg(p \wedge q) \iff (\neg p) \vee (\neg q)$	$\neg(p \vee q) \iff (\neg p) \wedge (\neg q)$
Absorption	$p \vee (p \wedge q) \iff p$	$p \wedge (p \vee q) \iff p$
Conditional or ( $\rightarrow$ ) Law	$(p \rightarrow q) \iff (\neg p \vee q)$	$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \iff (p \wedge \neg q)$
Biconditional	$(p \leftrightarrow q) \iff (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$	

## Order of Operations:

1. NOT( $\neg$ )
2. AND( $\wedge$ )
3. OR( $\vee$ )
4. Quantifiers( $\forall/\exists$ )
5. ( $\rightarrow$  /  $\leftrightarrow$ )

## 2 Predicates and Quantifiers

<b>Forall:</b>	$\forall$	<b>Prove statement in the form of <math>\exists x \in S, \ni: P(x)</math></b>
<b>There exists:</b>	$\exists$	We simply need to find <b>one</b> value of $x$ in the set $S$ , that makes $P(x)$ true. <b>One value is enough.</b>
<hr/>		<hr/>
Negations:		<b>Example:</b>
$\neg \forall = \exists$	$\neg \exists = \forall$	There exists an integer $n$ , such that $n^2$ is even. $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ni: n^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ Let $n = 2$ , then $(2)^2 = 4$ which is an even number

**Prove statement in the form of  $\forall x \in S, \ni: P(x)$**

This means we must use techniques such as algebraic manipulation to show that:

$P(x)$  holds for every arbitrary  $x \in S$

**Example:**

Forall integers  $n$ , if  $n$  is odd, then  $n^2$  is odd.

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow n^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$

Let  $n = 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$

then  $n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2$  which is an even number.

Therefore: Forall integers  $n$ , if  $n$  is odd, then  $n^2$  is odd. *QED*

### 2.1 Modulus

$$10 \bmod 3 = 1$$

The modulus or "mod" operator means the remainder when we divide two numbers.

**Congruent mod** means that two numbers have the same remainder when divided by one number.

$$10 \equiv_3 7 \Leftrightarrow 10 \bmod 3 = 7 \bmod 3$$

### 2.2 Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

The **Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic** states that any integer greater than 1 is either a **prime** number itself, or can be represented as the unique product of prime numbers.

For example:

$$\begin{aligned} 16 &= 2^4 \\ 18 &= 2^1 \cdot 3^2 \\ 21 &= 2^0 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \end{aligned}$$

Numbers that can be written as the unique product of primes are called **Composite Numbers**.

Reminder: a **prime number** is a number that can only be divided evenly by 1 and the number itself.



### 3 Basic Proof Strategies

To prove in the form of  $P \rightarrow Q$ :

**Direct Proof:** Assume  $P$  is true then prove  $Q$

---

This form works because if we recall the truth table for  $P \rightarrow Q$ ,  
When  $P$  is true,  $Q$  must be true for the statement to evaluate to true.

**Proof by Contrapositive:** Assume  $\neg Q$  is true then prove  $\neg P$

---

This form works because the contrapositive is logically equivalent to the original,  
 $P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$

**Proof by Contradiction:** Assume  $\neg(\neg(P \rightarrow Q)) \equiv P \wedge \neg Q$

---

Then we must derive some sort of contradiction.

Once we arrive at the contradiction, that means one of our assumptions cannot be correct.  
for example if  $\neg Q$  is false, that means  $Q$  is true.

**Proof by Cases/Exhaustion:**  $X \vee Y \rightarrow Q$  Show  $X \rightarrow Q \wedge Y \rightarrow Q$

**Example:**

$x \in \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow x^2 + x + 1 \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$  ( $x^2 + x$  is odd)

---

**Case 1:**  $x$  is odd

$x = 2k + 1$

$(2k + 1)^2 + (2k + 1) + 1$

$= 4k^2 + 6k + 3$

$= 2(2k^2 + 3) + 3$  case holds when  $x$  is odd.

---

**Case 2:**  $x$  is even

$x = 2k$

$(2k)^2 + 2k + 1$

$= 4k^2 + 2k + 1$

$= 2(2k^2 + k) + 1$  case holds when  $x$  is even.

Since we have proven both case are indepently even, we can conclude  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, x^2 + x + 1 \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$

---

#### Some Definitions:

**Theorem:** A statement that has already been proved.

**Axiom:** A statement that is self evidently true.

**Identi:** An equation that is true for all values of an arbitrary variable.

**Proof:** A mathematical argument demonstrating the truth of a proposition.

**Tautology:** A propositional logic formula that always evaluates to True.  $(A \vee \neg A)$  - (I'm hungry or I'm not hungry)

**Rational Number:** A number that can be represented as the fraction of two relatively prime integers.

$$A \in \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A = \frac{m}{n}, n \neq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(m, n) = 1$$

**Logic in a nutshell**

Statement	Ways to Prove it	Ways to Use it	How to Negate it
$p$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prove that <math>p</math> is true.</li> <li>Assume <math>p</math> is false, and derive a contradiction.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>p</math> is true.</li> <li>If <math>p</math> is false, you have a contradiction.</li> </ul>	not $p$
$p$ and $q$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prove <math>p</math>, and then prove <math>q</math>.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>p</math> is true.</li> <li><math>q</math> is true.</li> </ul>	(not $p$ ) or (not $q$ )
$p$ or $q$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assume <math>p</math> is false, and deduce that <math>q</math> is true.</li> <li>Assume <math>q</math> is false, and deduce that <math>p</math> is true.</li> <li>Prove that <math>p</math> is true.</li> <li>Prove that <math>q</math> is true.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If <math>p \Rightarrow r</math> and <math>q \Rightarrow r</math> then <math>r</math> is true.</li> <li>If <math>p</math> is false, then <math>q</math> is true.</li> <li>If <math>q</math> is false, then <math>p</math> is true.</li> </ul>	(not $p$ ) and (not $q$ )
$p \Rightarrow q$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assume <math>p</math> is true, and deduce that <math>q</math> is true.</li> <li>Assume <math>q</math> is false, and deduce that <math>p</math> is false.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If <math>p</math> is true, then <math>q</math> is true.</li> <li>If <math>q</math> is false, then <math>p</math> is false.</li> </ul>	$p$ and (not $q$ )
$p \iff q$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prove <math>p \Rightarrow q</math>, and then prove <math>q \Rightarrow p</math>.</li> <li>Prove <math>p</math> and <math>q</math>.</li> <li>Prove (not <math>p</math>) and (not <math>q</math>).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Statements <math>p</math> and <math>q</math> are interchangeable.</li> </ul>	( $p$ and (not $q$ )) or ((not $p$ ) and $q$ )
$(\exists x \in S) P(x)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Find an <math>x</math> in <math>S</math> for which <math>P(x)</math> is true.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Say “let <math>x</math> be an element of <math>S</math> such that <math>P(x)</math> is true.”</li> </ul>	$(\forall x \in S) \text{ not } P(x)$
$(\forall x \in S) P(x)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Say “let <math>x</math> be any element of <math>S</math>.” Prove that <math>P(x)</math> is true.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If <math>x \in S</math>, then <math>P(x)</math> is true.</li> <li>If <math>P(x)</math> is false, then <math>x \notin S</math>.</li> </ul>	$(\exists x \in S) \text{ not } P(x)$

Graph from Introduction to mathematical arguments - by Michael Hutchings

## 4 Proof of Irrationality

### 4.1 Approach 1 - Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Prove that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

---

Assume the contrary that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational.

Then by the definition of rational numbers,  $\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}, \exists: m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(m, n) = 1, n \neq 0$

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

$$n\sqrt{2} = m$$

$$2n^2 = m^2$$

$$m = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot x_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \quad n = y_1^{\beta_1} \cdot y_2^{\beta_2} \cdot y_3^{\beta_3} \cdots y_n^{\beta_n}$$

Each x, y are primes by the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.

$$m^2 = (x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot x_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n})(x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot x_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n})$$

This means that  $m^2$  has  $2n$  possible factors.

$$2n^2 = 2(y_1^{\beta_1} \cdot y_2^{\beta_2} \cdot y_3^{\beta_3} \cdots y_n^{\beta_n})(y_1^{\beta_1} \cdot y_2^{\beta_2} \cdot y_3^{\beta_3} \cdots y_n^{\beta_n})$$

This means that  $n^2$  has  $2n$  possible factors plus one factor 2.

as  $m^2$  has an even number of prime factors,  $2n^2$  will have an odd number of prime factors, contradicting the fundamental theorem.

$\therefore \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{I}$  by contradiction.

*QED*

### 4.2 Approach 2 - Definition of a Rational Number

Prove that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

---

Assume the contrary that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational.

Then by the definition of rational numbers,  $\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}, \exists: m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(m, n) = 1, n \neq 0$

$\gcd(m, n)$  means that  $m, n$  MUST be relative prime.

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

$$n\sqrt{2} = m$$

$$2n^2 = m^2$$

$$2n^2 = m^2 \Rightarrow m^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow m \cdot m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$

---

The previous line showed that  $m$  is even, so now we can substitute  $m$  with any arbitrary even number  $2k$ .

$$m = (2k), k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$2n^2 = (2k^2)$$

$$2n^2 = 4k^2$$

$$n^2 = 2k^2$$

$$n^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow n \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \gcd(m, n) \neq 1$$

Since  $m, n$  are both even, they cannot be relatively prime,  $\therefore \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{I}$  by contradiction.

*QED*

## 5 Induction

### Simple Induction Format:

Suppose we need to prove  $P(n)$  for all natural numbers.

#### 1. State the Predicates

$P(n) : \dots$

#### 2. Base case

Prove that  $P(n)$  holds when  $n$  is the smallest possible natural number.

$P(0) : \dots$  is True.

#### 3. Inductive Hypothesis

Assume that  $P(k)$  holds for any arbitrary  $k$

$P(k) : \dots$  is True.

#### 4. Inductive Step

Prove that  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$

Assume  $P(k)$  then show  $P(k+1)$

**Example:** Prove  $\sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

**Stating the Predicate:**  $P(n) : \sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

**Base case:**  $n = 0 : \sum_{i=0}^0 i = 0 \quad \frac{0(0+1)}{2} = 0$

**Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume for any arbitrary  $k \geq 0$ ,  $P(k)$  holds.

$$P(k) = \sum_{i=0}^k i = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$$

**Inductive Step:** Prove  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$

$$P(k+1) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i = 1 + 2 + 3 \cdots + k + (k+1)$$

$$P(k+1) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (k+1) \text{ by Inductive Hypothesis}$$

$$P(k+1) = \frac{k(k+1) + 2(k+1)}{2}$$

$$P(k+1) = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

**Conclusion:**

$$\therefore P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

## 6 Pigeonhole Principle

**Core Principle:** There exists  $n$  pigeons and  $m$  pigeonholes, if  $n > m$ , there must be atleast one pigeonhole with atleast two pigeons.

**Example:** Prove that if 7 distinct numbers are selected from  $\{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$ , then some two will add to 12.

**Pigeons:** 7 distinct numbers

**Pigeonholes:** 6 sets of numbers that add up to 12.

$$\{1, 11\}, \{2, 10\}, \{3, 9\}, \{4, 8\}, \{5, 7\}, \{6\}$$

**Note:** If we select 7 numbers from a set of 6, we will be forced to select atleast 2 of the numbers from the same set.

$\therefore$  if 7 distinct numbers are selected from  $\{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$ , then atleast two will add up to 12.

*QED*



## 7 Proof Samples

---

### 7.1 Euclid's Proof for Infinite Primes

Assume to the contrary that there are a finite number of primes,

then let this be the complete set of primes:  $p_1, p_2, p_3 \dots p_n$

---

let  $A = (p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot p_4 \dots \cdot p_n) + 1$

$A$  is not divisible by any known primes as it always leaves a remainder of 1.

so either  $A$  is a prime number itself, or  $A$  has a unique prime factor that is not in the existing list.

---

Contradictions:

if  $A$  is a prime number, then  $p_n$  is not the greatest prime.

if  $A$  is a composite number, then  $p_1, p_2, p_3 \dots p_n$  does not contain all the primes.

Therefore, there must be an infinite number of primes.

*QED*

### 7.2 Arithmetic mean and Geometric mean

---

**Definition.** The arithmetic mean of  $a_1, a_2$ :

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}$$

**Definition.** The geometric mean of  $a_1, a_2$ :

$$\sqrt{a_1 \cdot a_2}$$

**Prove that:**  $\forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} \geq \sqrt{a_1 \cdot a_2}$

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} \geq \sqrt{a_1 \cdot a_2}$$

$$\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}\right)^2 \geq a_1 \cdot a_2$$

$$\frac{a_1^2 + 2(a_1 \cdot a_2) + a_2^2}{4} \geq a_1 \cdot a_2$$

$$a_1^2 + 2(a_1 \cdot a_2) + a_2^2 \geq 4(a_1 \cdot a_2)$$

$$a_1^2 + 2(a_1 \cdot a_2) + a_2^2 - 4(a_1 \cdot a_2) \geq 0$$

$$a_1^2 - 2(a_1 \cdot a_2) + a_2^2 \geq 0$$

$$(a_1 - a_2)^2 \geq 0$$

*QED*

## 8 Strong Induction

### Strong Induction Format:

Suppose we need to prove  $P(n)$  for all natural numbers.

#### 1. State the Predicates

$P(n) : \dots$

#### 2. Base cases

Unlike Simple Induction, Strong Induction requires multiple base cases to be proven, starting with the smallest value for  $n$ .

**Important note:** Dr.Pancer says natural numbers start at 1.

#### 3. Inductive Hypothesis

Assume that  $P(k)$  holds for any arbitrary  $k$

$P(k) : \dots$  is True.

#### 4. Inductive Step

Prove that  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$

Assume  $P(k)$  then show  $P(k+1)$

A more formal way to write the Inductive Step for Strong Induction:

$P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3) \cdots \wedge \dots P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ , where  $P(1), P(2), P(3)$  were your base cases.

You may have to use your **Inductive Hypothesis** more than once.

Example on next page

**Example:** An alien race of hopkinsville goblins only have 4-cent and 5-cent coins in their currency. Prove that any amount of money greater than 12 cents, can be made using only 4-cent and 5-cent coins.

**1. Stating the Predicates**

$$P(n) : \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists: n \geq 12, n = 4a + 5b$$

**2. Base cases**

$$P(12) = 4(3) + 5(0)$$

$$P(13) = 4(2) + 5(1)$$

$$P(14) = 4(1) + 5(2)$$

$$P(15) = 4(0) + 5(3)$$

**3. Inductive Hypothesis**

Suppose that  $P(k)$ , for any arbitrary  $k$  where  $k \in [12, n)$

$$P(k) : k = 4a + 5b$$

**4. Inductive Step**

$$P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 4)$$

$k = 4a + 5b$  Suppose  $P(k)$  by **Inductive Hypothesis**

$$k + 4 = 4a + 5b + 4$$

$$k + 4 = 4(a + 1) + 5b$$

Since  $k$  is arbitray,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$

Therefore, any amount of money greater than 12 cents, can be made using 4-cent and 5-cent coins by strong induction. *QED*