

# Various Core Proofs/Identities

---

Current Instructor: Natalia Breuss



UNIVERSITY OF  
**TORONTO**  
SCARBOROUGH

**Instructor(s):**

	<b>Dr. Natalia Breuss</b>
<b>Email:</b>	n.breuss@utoronto.ca
<b>Office:</b>	IC484
<b>Office Hours:</b>	Wednesday 11:00 - 13:00

# 1 Identities

## Basic Trigonometric Identities

### Reciprocal Identities

$$(\sin x)^{-1} = \csc x$$

$$(\cos x)^{-1} = \sec x$$

$$(\tan x)^{-1} = \cot x$$

### Pythagorean Identities

$$\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1$$

$$\tan^2 x + 1 = \sec^2 x$$

$$1 + \cot^2 x = \csc^2 x$$

### Angle Addition Identities

$$\sin(x \pm y) = \sin x \cdot \cos y \pm \cos x \cdot \sin y$$

$$\cos(x + y) = \cos x \cdot \cos y - \sin x \cdot \sin y$$

$$\cos(x - y) = \cos x \cdot \cos y + \sin x \cdot \sin y$$

$$\tan(x + y) = \frac{\tan x + \tan y}{1 - \tan x \cdot \tan y}$$

$$\tan(x - y) = \frac{\tan x - \tan y}{1 + \tan x \cdot \tan y}$$

## Hyperbolic Trigonometric Identities

$$\sinh h = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \quad \cosh h = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}$$

## Derivatives of Trigonometric Functions

### Derivative of Trigonometric Functions

$$(\sin x)' = \cos x$$

$$(\cos x)' = -\sin x$$

$$(\tan x)' = \sec^2 x$$

$$(\csc x)' = -\csc x \cot x$$

$$(\sec x)' = \sec x \tan x$$

$$(\cot x)' = -\csc^2 x$$

### Derivative of Hyperbolic Trigonometric Functions

$$(\sinh x)' = \cosh x$$

$$(\cosh x)' = \sinh x$$

$$(\tanh x)' = \operatorname{sech}^2 x$$

$$(\operatorname{csch} x)' = -\operatorname{csch} x \cdot \coth x$$

$$(\operatorname{sech} x)' = -\operatorname{sech} x \cdot \tanh x$$

$$(\coth x)' = -\operatorname{csch}^2 x$$

### Derivative of Inverse Trigonometric Functions

$$(\sin^{-1} x)' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \quad (\csc^{-1} x)' = -\frac{1}{|x|\sqrt{x^2-1}}$$

$$(\cos^{-1} x)' = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \quad (\sec^{-1} x)' = -\frac{1}{|x|\sqrt{x^2-1}}$$

$$(\tan^{-1} x)' = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \quad (\cot^{-1} x)' = -\frac{1}{1+x^2}$$

# 2 Uniqueness of Limits

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L \wedge \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = M \implies L = M$$

**Proof:**

Suppose the contrary that:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L \wedge \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = M, L \neq M$$

Assume that  $L > M, L = M + K$ , WLOG

Let's choose  $\epsilon = \frac{k}{2}$ , this way the intervals do not overlap.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L : \exists \delta_1 > 0, \exists: 0 < |x - c| < \delta_1 \rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = M : \exists \delta_2 > 0, \exists: 0 < |x - c| < \delta_2 \rightarrow |f(x) - M| < \epsilon$$

Let  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$  so that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $f(x) \in (M - \epsilon, M + \epsilon)$  and  $f(x) \in (L - \epsilon, L + \epsilon)$ .

Contradiction: This is impossible since we set  $\epsilon = \frac{k}{2}$  to guarantee intervals do not overlap.

Therefore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L \wedge \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = M \implies L = M$  by contradiction.

