Simplifying Parallel Graph Processing:

Survey of Existing Platforms

Sam Pollard (spollard@cs.uoregon.edu), University of Oregon November 23, 2016

This is a survey of existing graph analytics frameworks.

1 Machine Specifications

Below are the preliminary results by running two benchmarks on the research computer Arya.

| CPU Model | AMD Phenom(tm) II X4 965 Processor |
|-------------|------------------------------------|
| CPU Sockets | 1 |
| CPU Cores | 4 |
| CPU Clock | $3400 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| RAM Size | 7.79619Gib |
| RAM Freq | 1600MHz |
| GPU Model | GF106 [GeForce GTS 450] |

Table 1: Machine specifications.

| Transport |
|----------------------------|
| Network Topology |
| Local Scheduling |
| Runtime Feedback |
| Approach |
| Algorithmic Considerations |

Table 2: Middleware specifications

Performance in millions of traversed edges per second (MTEPS)

| | PowerGraph | OpenG |
|------|------------|-------|
| BFS | 87.4 | 341 |
| SSSP | 1.09 | 3.08 |

Table 3: Performance Results

2 Graph Processing Taxonomy

This is in the spirit of [1]. Here, "|" means "or" and "+" means "and." FOSS means Free and Open Source Software. The quotes around "yes" for HPC mean that the product claims to be amenable to high performance computing. Whether these actually achieve their goal is one of the purposes of this project.

¹The current version is a closed-source product by Turi though PowerGraph v2.2 is on Github.

| Name | Type | HPC | Parallelism | Target | FOSS | Source | Notes |
|------------|-----------|-------|-------------|---------|------|--------|-------|
| PowerGraph | Framework | "yes" | both | CPU | yes | [2] | 1 |
| GraphBIG | Benchmark | "yes" | shared | CPU GPU | yes | [3] | |

Table 4: Tools used for graph processing

3 Conclusion

We have presented an updated survey of parallel graph processing frameworks supplementary to [1]. From this, we have selected a representative subset of frameworks on which performance is analyzed and have stored these results in a database. To facilitate parallel graph processing, hardware information and performance results are automatically populated (as were all the tables in this paper). These performance results are then used to provide simple recommendations of the optimally-performing framework given a particular algorithm and problem size.

References

- [1] DOEKEMEIJER, N., AND VARBANESCU, A. L. A survey of parallel graph processing frameworks. Tech. rep., Delft University of Technology, 2014.
- [2] Gonzalez, J. E., Low, Y., Gu, H., Bickson, D., and Guestrin, C. Powergraph: Distributed graph-parallel computation on natural graphs. In *Presented as part of the 10th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 12)* (Hollywood, CA, 2012), USENIX, pp. 17–30.
- [3] NAI, L., XIA, Y., TANASE, I. G., KIM, H., AND LIN, C.-Y. GraphBIG: Understanding graph computing in the context of industrial solutions. In *Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis* (New York, NY, USA, 2015), SC '15, ACM, pp. 69:1–69:12.