

# Simplifying Parallel Graph Processing:

## Survey of Existing Platforms

Sam Pollard (spollard@cs.uoregon.edu), University of Oregon

November 20, 2016

This is a survey of existing graph analytics frameworks.

## 1 Arya

Below are the preliminary results by running two benchmarks on the research computer Arya.

Machine Specifications

CPU 72 Core Intel Xeon E5 2699 v3

RAM 256 GB DDR4 ? MHZ

Report Card

Transport

Network Topology

Local Scheduling

Runtime Feedback

Approach

Algorithmic Considerations

Performance in millions of traversed edges per second (MTEPS)

	PowerGraph	OpenG
BFS	87.4	341
SSSP	1.09	3.08

## 2 Graph Processing Taxonomy

This is in the spirit of [1]. Here, “|” means “or” and “+” means “and.” FOSS means Free and Open Source Software. The quotes around “yes” for HPC mean that the product claims to be amenable to high performance computing. Whether these actually achieve their goal is one of the purposes of this project.

Name	Type	HPC	Parallelism	Target	FOSS	Source	Notes
PowerGraph	Framework	“yes”	both	CPU	yes	[2]	<sup>1</sup>
GraphBIG	Benchmark	“yes”	shared	CPU GPU	yes	[3]	

## References

- [1] DOEKEMEIJER, N., AND VARBANESCU, A. L. A survey of parallel graph processing frameworks. Tech. rep., Delft University of Technology, 2014.
- [2] GONZALEZ, J. E., LOW, Y., GU, H., BICKSON, D., AND GUESTIN, C. Powergraph: Distributed graph-parallel computation on natural graphs. In *Presented as part of the 10th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 12)* (Hollywood, CA, 2012), USENIX, pp. 17–30.

---

<sup>1</sup>The current version is a closed-source product by Turi though PowerGraph v2.2 is on Github.

- [3] NAI, L., XIA, Y., TANASE, I. G., KIM, H., AND LIN, C.-Y. Graphbig: Understanding graph computing in the context of industrial solutions. In *Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis* (New York, NY, USA, 2015), SC '15, ACM, pp. 69:1–69:12.