

# Probabilistic Machine Learning

## Exercises W3

Ralf Herbrich, Jakob Walter

SS 2023

E-mail: {ralf.herbrich, jakob.walter}@hpi.de

Lectures: Mo 9:15-10:45

Lecture Hall: L-E.03

Web: <https://hpi.de>

Tutorial Location: L-E.03

Tutorials: Tu 13:30-15:00

---

## 1 Mathematical Exercises

The expected value of a function  $g(X)$  of a random variable  $X$  with probability mass function  $p$  can be written as

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_x g(x)p(x). \quad (1)$$

where the sum is taken over all possible values  $x$  of  $X$ . If  $X$  is a continuous random variable with pdf  $p$ , then the same holds true:

$$E[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)p(x)dx. \quad (2)$$

Lastly, a similar property also holds for joint distributions. Let  $g$  be a function of the discrete random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  with joint pmf  $p(x, y)$ . Then,

$$E[g(X, Y)] = \sum_x \sum_y g(x, y)p(x, y). \quad (3)$$

An equivalent property also holds for continuous random variables.

### Exercise 1:

1. Use equation (3) to show that

$$E[a \cdot X + b \cdot Y] = a \cdot E[X] + b \cdot E[Y] \quad (4)$$

holds for discrete random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  and any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using Fubini's theorem, it can be shown that the same also holds true for continuous random variables.

2. The variance of a random variable is defined to be

$$\text{var}[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2] \stackrel{(a)}{=} E[X^2] - (E[X])^2. \quad (5)$$

Using equation (4), show that (a) is indeed true.

3. Lastly, the covariance between two random variables is defined to be

$$\text{cov}[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X]) \cdot (Y - E[Y])] \stackrel{(b)}{=} E[XY] - E[X] \cdot E[Y]. \quad (6)$$

Again, verify that (b) is true. You will need to use equation (4).

4. Verify that

$$\text{var}[a \cdot X + b \cdot Y] = a^2 \cdot \text{var}[X] + b^2 \cdot \text{var}[Y] + 2ab \cdot \text{cov}[X, Y]. \quad (7)$$

You can use equation (4), (5) and (6).

5. Verify that

$$\text{cov}[a \cdot X + b \cdot Y, c \cdot W + d \cdot V] = ac \cdot \text{cov}[X, W] + ad \cdot \text{cov}[X, V] + bc \cdot \text{cov}[Y, W] + bd \cdot \text{cov}[Y, V]. \quad (8)$$

### Solution 1:

1. Expanding the left-hand side of (4) using (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[a \cdot X + b \cdot Y] &= \sum_x \sum_y (a \cdot x + b \cdot y) \cdot p(x, y) \\ &= a \cdot \left( \sum_x \sum_y x \cdot p(x, y) \right) + b \cdot \left( \sum_x \sum_y y \cdot p(x, y) \right) \\ &= a \cdot \left( \sum_x x \cdot \underbrace{\sum_y p(x, y)}_{p(x)} \right) + b \cdot \left( \sum_y y \cdot \underbrace{\sum_x p(x, y)}_{p(y)} \right) \\ &= a \cdot \left( \sum_x x \cdot p(x) \right) + b \cdot \left( \sum_y y \cdot p(y) \right) \\ &= a \cdot E[X] + b \cdot E[Y]. \end{aligned}$$

2. Expanding the left-hand side of (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}[X] &= E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2 - 2 \cdot X \cdot E[X] + (E[X])^2] \\ &= E[X^2] - 2 \cdot (E[X])^2 + (E[X])^2 \\ &= E[X^2] - (E[X])^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4) and  $E[E[X]] = E[X]$  in the second line.

3. Expanding the left-hand side of (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{cov}[X] &= E[(X - E[X]) \cdot (Y - E[Y])] \\
 &= E[XY - X \cdot E[Y] - Y \cdot E[X] + E[X] \cdot E[Y]] \\
 &= E[XY] - 2 \cdot E[X] \cdot E[Y] + E[X] \cdot E[Y] \\
 &= E[XY] - E[X] \cdot E[Y],
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4) and  $E[E[X]] = E[X]$  and  $E[E[Y]] = E[Y]$  in the third line.

4. Using the result from (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{var}[a \cdot X + b \cdot Y] &= E[(a \cdot X + b \cdot Y)^2] - (E[a \cdot X + b \cdot Y])^2 \\
 &= E[(a \cdot X)^2 + 2ab \cdot XY + (b \cdot Y)^2] - (a \cdot E[X] + b \cdot E[Y])^2 \\
 &= a^2 \cdot E[X^2] + 2ab \cdot E[XY] + b^2 \cdot E[Y^2] - a^2 \cdot (E[X])^2 - 2ab \cdot E[X] \cdot E[Y] - b^2 \cdot (E[Y])^2 \\
 &= a^2 \cdot (E[X^2] - (E[X])^2) + b^2 \cdot (E[Y^2] - (E[Y])^2) + 2ab \cdot (E[XY] - E[X] \cdot E[Y]) \\
 &= a^2 \cdot \text{var}[X] + b^2 \cdot \text{var}[Y] + 2ab \cdot \text{cov}[X, Y],
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4) in the second line and (5) and (6) in the final line.

5. Using the result from (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{cov}[a \cdot X + b \cdot Y, c \cdot W + d \cdot V] &= E[(a \cdot X + b \cdot Y) \cdot (c \cdot W + d \cdot V)] - E[a \cdot X + b \cdot Y] \cdot E[c \cdot W + d \cdot V] \\
 &= E[ac \cdot XW] + E[ad \cdot XV] + E[bc \cdot YW] + E[bd \cdot YV] - (a \cdot E[X] + b \cdot E[Y]) \cdot (c \cdot E[W] + d \cdot E[V]) \\
 &= ac \cdot (E[XW] - E[X]E[W]) + ad \cdot (E[XV] - E[X]E[V]) + bc \cdot (E[YW] - E[Y]E[W]) + bd \cdot (E[YV] - E[Y]E[V]) \\
 &= ac \cdot \text{cov}[X, W] + ad \cdot \text{cov}[X, V] + bc \cdot \text{cov}[Y, W] + bd \cdot \text{cov}[Y, V],
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (6) in the second line, (4) in the third line and (6) in the final line.

### Exercise 2:

For this exercise, we will first need to define concavity and then introduce Jensen's inequality. A function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *concave* if and only if it holds that for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ :

$$f(t \cdot x_1 + (1 - t) \cdot x_2) \geq t \cdot f(x_1) + (1 - t) \cdot f(x_2). \quad (9)$$

Note that a concave function is thus simply the negative of a convex function. Checking this inequality can be cumbersome. For differentiable functions, checking concavity can be simplified by checking one of the following conditions:

1. A differentiable function  $f$  is concave on an interval if and only if its derivative function  $f'$  is monotonically decreasing on that interval.
2. If  $f$  is twice-differentiable, then  $f$  is concave if and only if  $f''$  is non-positive. I.e.  $f''(x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Having defined concavity of functions, we can now define Jensen's inequality. In the context of probability theory, the inequality can be stated in the following form: If  $X$  is a random variable, and  $f$  is a concave function, then

$$E[f(x)] \leq f(E[X]). \quad (10)$$

You should now have all necessary knowledge to proof the following:

Let  $X$  be an  $M$ -state discrete random variable. That is,  $X$  takes on the values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M$ . Use Jensen's inequality, to show that the entropy of  $X$ , satisfies

$$H[X] \leq \log(M). \quad (11)$$

**Solution 2:**

Using the definition of the entropy  $H[X]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} H[X] &= -E[\log(p(X))] \\ &= E\left[\log\left(\frac{1}{p(X)}\right)\right] \\ &\leq \log\left(E\left[\frac{1}{p(X)}\right]\right) \\ &= \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{p(x_i)} \cdot p(x_i)\right) \\ &= \log(M), \end{aligned}$$

where the third line follows from the concavity of the logarithm.

## 2 Programming

In this exercise, you should build some intuition about the relationship between prior and posterior distributions and the likelihood. To do so, do the following:

1. Define a Beta distribution with parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .
2. Fix your true (unknown) parameter  $\tilde{\pi}$  to 0.5.
3. Generate  $n$  data points  $x_i | \tilde{p} \sim \text{ber}(\tilde{\pi})$ .
4. Compute the posterior distribution  $p(\pi | \mathbf{x})$  of  $\pi$  given the data  $\mathbf{x} = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . We are using a conjugate prior for the likelihood function, thus our posterior is again a Beta-distribution. It has parameters

$$\alpha_{\text{post}} = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \quad (12)$$

$$\beta_{\text{post}} = \beta + n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i. \quad (13)$$

You should now make a plot of the likelihood, the prior and the posterior. Normalize the likelihood so that its value lies in  $[0, 1]$ . You can normalize a vector  $\mathbf{l}$  using

$$\mathbf{l}_{\text{scaled}} = \frac{\mathbf{l} - l_{\min}}{l_{\max} - l_{\min}}. \quad (14)$$

where  $l_{\min}$  and  $l_{\max}$  are the minimum and maximum of  $\mathbf{l}$  respectively.

You should now be able to play around with the code to answer the following questions:

1. For  $\tilde{\pi} = 0.5$  compare the relationship between prior, posterior and likelihood for the following settings:

- $\alpha = \beta = 0.5$
- $\alpha = \beta = 1$
- $\alpha = \beta = 10$
- $\alpha = 1, \beta = 5$
- $\alpha = 5, \beta = 1$

It is said that the posterior is a compromise between prior and likelihood. Can you see why?

2. What is the relationship between likelihood and posterior when you choose a uniform prior ( $\alpha = \beta = 1$ )?
3. Set your true parameter to  $\tilde{\pi} = 0.25$  and use the informative prior with  $\alpha = \beta = 10$ . What effect does the number of samples  $n$  have on the relationship between prior and posterior? Create a vector of  $n$ 's using `n_list = Int.(unique(round.(exp10.(range(0, 5, length = 100)))))` and make two plots. The first one should show the relationship between  $n$  and the posterior mean. The second one should show the relationship between the posterior variance and  $n$ .