1 Theory

1.1 Motion in the Trap

We follow the treatment of Leibfried et al. where the potential of the ion trap is assumed to be harmonic:

$$\Phi(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{2} U_{dc} (2z^2 - x^2 - y^2) + \frac{1}{2} U_{rf} (x^2 - y^2) \cos(\omega_{rf} t)$$
(1)

In the expression above, U_{dc} is the potential applied to the DC electrodes (U in Leibfried) and U_{rf} refers to the potential on the RF electrodes (\tilde{U} in Leibfried), applied with radial frequency ω_{rf} . We use the special choice of coefficients $\alpha = \beta = -1, \gamma = 2$ and $\alpha' = -\beta' = 1, \gamma' = 0$.

The secular motion of the ion can be described with a pseudopotential approximation. The potential energy yields

$$\Psi(x,y,z) = \frac{1}{2}eU_{dc}(2z^2 - x^2 - y^2) + \frac{e^2U_{rf}^2}{4m\omega_{rf}^2}(x^2 + y^2)$$
(2)

From this, the trap frequencies are:

$$\omega_x = \omega_y = \sqrt{\frac{e}{m} \left(\frac{eU_{rf}^2}{2m\omega_{rf}^2} - U_{dc} \right)}$$
 (3)

$$\omega_z = \sqrt{\frac{2eU_{dc}}{m}}. (4)$$

In this model, the radial trap frequencies are degenerate, which may lead to poor laser cooling. In order to lift the degeneracy of the radial modes, we apply an additional static bias U_{bias} on the RF electrodes as follows:

$$\Phi(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{2} U_{dc} (2z^2 - x^2 - y^2) + \frac{1}{2} U_{bias} (x^2 - y^2) + \frac{1}{2} U_{rf} (x^2 - y^2) \cos(\omega_{rf} t)$$
(5)

The Laplace's equation $\Delta \Phi = 0$ still holds with the additional bias term. The trap frequencies are now non-degenerate and are given by:

$$\omega_x = \sqrt{\frac{e}{m} \left(\frac{eU_{rf}^2}{2m\omega_{rf}^2} - U_{dc} + U_{bias} \right)}$$
 (6)

$$\omega_y = \sqrt{\frac{e}{m} \left(\frac{eU_{rf}^2}{2m\omega_{rf}^2} - U_{dc} - U_{bias} \right)}$$
 (7)

$$\omega_z = \sqrt{\frac{2eU_{dc}}{m}}. (8)$$

It is convenient to write the potential in terms of the trap frequencies instead of the geometrical dimensions

$$\omega_z^2 = \frac{2eU_{dc}}{m} \tag{9}$$

$$\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 + \omega_z^2 = \frac{e^2 U_{rf}^2}{m^2 \omega_{xf}^2} \tag{10}$$

$$\omega_x^2 - \omega_y^2 = \frac{2eU_{bias}}{m},\tag{11}$$

leading to the following replacements of the voltages in terms of the desired effective trap frequencies $(\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z)$:

$$U_{dc} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{e} \omega_z^2 \tag{12}$$

$$U_{rf} = \frac{m}{\rho} \omega_{rf} \sqrt{\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 + \omega_z^2} \tag{13}$$

$$U_{bias} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{e} (\omega_x^2 - \omega_y^2). \tag{14}$$

Therefore, the potential may be written as:

$$\Phi(x, y, z, t) = \frac{m}{4e} \left[2\omega_{rf} \sqrt{\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 + \omega_z^2} \left(x^2 - y^2 \right) \cos(\omega_{rf} t) + \omega_z^2 (2z^2 - x^2 - y^2) + \left(\omega_x^2 - \omega_y^2 \right) (x^2 - y^2) \right]. \tag{15}$$

This leads to the following classical equations of motion:

$$\ddot{x} = \left[\frac{1}{2} (-\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 + \omega_z^2) - \omega_{rf} \sqrt{\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 + \omega_z^2} \cos(\omega_{rf} t) \right] x \tag{16}$$

$$\ddot{y} = \left[\frac{1}{2} (\omega_x^2 - \omega_y^2 + \omega_z^2) + \omega_{rf} \sqrt{\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 + \omega_z^2} \cos(\omega_{rf} t) \right] y \tag{17}$$

$$\ddot{z} = -\omega_z^2 z. \tag{18}$$

1.2 Coulomb Repulsion

The coulomb potential for N ions is given by:

$$\Phi_c = \sum_{i < j} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|} \tag{19}$$

$$= \sum_{i < j} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2}}$$
(20)

This leads to the following accelerations experienced by the particle i:

$$\ddot{x_i} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{(x_i - x_j)}{((x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
(21)

$$\ddot{y}_i = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{(y_i - y_j)}{((x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
(22)

$$\ddot{z}_i = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{(z_i - z_j)}{((x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
(23)

(24)

Laser Interaction 1.3

We model the interaction of the laser with a two-level atom using a general form of the Einstein equations (Cohen Tannoudj p286). In terms of the populations of the excited and ground states p_e and p_g (called σ_{bb} and σ_{aa} in the reference):

$$\frac{dp_e}{dt} = -\Gamma p_e + \Gamma_l(p_g - p_e) \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{dp_e}{dt} = -\Gamma p_e + \Gamma_l(p_g - p_e)$$

$$\frac{dp_g}{dt} = +\Gamma p_e + \Gamma_l(p_e - p_g)$$
(25)

where Γ is the rate of the spontaneous emission and Γ_l is the rate of laser interaction, which is proportional to the laser intensity. In the steady state, $\frac{dp_g}{dt} = \frac{dp_e}{dt} = 0$. Using $p_g = 1 - p_e$, we have:

$$p_e = \frac{\Gamma_l}{2\Gamma_l + \Gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\Gamma_l}{2\Gamma_l + \Gamma} \tag{27}$$

Our goal is to identify the laser coupling rate Γ_l in terms of saturation and detuning. To accomplish this we consider optical bloch equations (see atom photon interaction p369). The steady state solution is:

$$p_e = \frac{1}{2} \frac{s_{\text{eff}}}{s_{\text{eff}} + 1} \tag{28}$$

allowing us to identify

$$\Gamma_l = \Gamma \frac{s_{\text{eff}}}{2} \tag{29}$$

where the saturation parameter s_{eff} is defined in terms of the rabi frequency Ω and the detuning Δ .

$$s_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\frac{\Omega^2}{2}}{\Delta^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} \tag{30}$$

It is common to define the saturation parameter s_0 as:

$$s_0 = \frac{2\Omega^2}{\Gamma^2} = s_{\text{eff}} \left(\Delta = 0 \right) \tag{31}$$

In this case,

$$s_{\text{eff}} = \frac{s_0}{\left(\frac{2\Delta}{\Gamma}\right)^2 + 1} \tag{32}$$

Then the laser coupling rate is given by:

$$\Gamma_l = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{s_0}{\left(\frac{2\Delta}{\Gamma}\right)^2 + 1} \tag{33}$$

If we start in the ground state, then per unit time dt, the probability for the ion to get excited is $p_{\text{exc}} = \Gamma_l dt$. Similarly, starting from the excited state, the total rate to be deexcited is $(\Gamma_l + \Gamma) dt$, which is the sum of the rates of stimulated emission and spontaneous emission.

This model of atom photon interaction will capture the correct steady state population and the rates of absrorption and emission. It will not reproduce the correct autocorrelation functions, however, as those result from the coherent nature of the interaction and should be modeled via (8-level) Bloch equations. Since such dynamics occur much faster than the trap frequency, the simulation results should not be significantly affected.