# Finding the Structure of Documents

- Document Structuring is a key subtask of Natural Language Generation (NLG).
- It focuses on organizing information into a logical sequence, including deciding sentence order, grouping text into paragraphs, and structuring content flow. It is closely related to Content Determination, which involves selecting the information to be included in the generated text.

Two critical components of **document structuring** are:

- 1. Sentence Boundary Detection
- 2. Topic Boundary Detection

# 1. Sentence Boundary Detection (SBD)

• Sentence Boundary Detection (SBD) is the process of identifying the end of a sentence in a given text. It is crucial in NLP applications such as text summarization, machine translation, and speech-to-text processing.

#### **Challenges in Sentence Boundary Detection**

• SBD is not as simple as detecting periods (.) because **abbreviations**, **numbers**, **and formatting variations** can cause confusion.

#### **Example of Sentence Boundary Ambiguity**

#### **Case 1: Abbreviations**

- Incorrect detection:
- Dr. John is an expert in NLP. He has worked at Google Inc. since 2015.
- A naive SBD system might **incorrectly split after "Dr." and "Inc."**, assuming they are sentence boundaries.
- Correct detection:
- Dr. John is an expert in NLP.
   He has worked at Google Inc. since 2015.
- To correctly handle such cases, **machine learning models** or **rule-based systems** (such as regular expressions) are used.

# **Sentence Boundary Detection**

#### **Case 2: Numerical Values and Dates**

#### **Incorrect detection:**

- The temperature in New York was 23.5 degrees yesterday. It will be lower today.
- A simple rule-based system might **mistakenly treat "23.5" as a sentence break**.

#### **Correct detection:**

The temperature in New York was 23.5 degrees yesterday.
 It will be lower today.

#### **Techniques for Sentence Boundary Detection**

- **Rule-Based Methods** Use **regular expressions** to identify punctuation patterns.
- Statistical Methods Use Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) to learn sentence-ending probabilities.
- Machine Learning Methods Train classifiers like Naïve Bayes, Decision Trees, or Deep Learning to distinguish sentence boundaries.

# 2. Topic Boundary Detection (TBD)

 Topic Boundary Detection (TBD) identifies where one topic ends and another begins in a document. This is crucial for document summarization, information retrieval, and text segmentation.

### **Challenges in Topic Boundary Detection**

• Detecting topic changes is difficult because **topics can shift gradually or abruptly**, depending on the writing style.

# **Example of Topic Boundary Changes**

#### Case 1: News Article

- Consider a **news report** with the following paragraphs:
- The stock market opened higher today, with major indices gaining points. Experts attribute the rise to positive earnings reports.
- Meanwhile, in sports, the local football team secured a victory against their rivals, thrilling fans.
- A **Topic Boundary Detection** system should recognize that "**Stock Market**" and "**Sports**" are separate topics.

#### Case 2: Research Paper

- A research paper might have the following sections:
- **Introduction** Defines the problem and motivation.
  - **Related Work** Discusses previous research.
  - **Methodology** Explains the approach used.
  - **Results and Discussion** Presents findings and insights.
- A **TBD system** must correctly **segment** these sections.

## Methods

• Document structuring and sentence segmentation involve techniques to determine sentence boundaries, topic boundaries, and overall structure in a text. Various machine learning approaches are used to accomplish this task.

### The key methods include:

- 1. Generative Sequence Classification Methods
- 2. Discriminative Local Classification Methods
- 3. Hybrid Approaches
- 4. Discriminative Sequence Classification Methods
- 5. Extensions for Global Modeling for Sentence Segmentation

### 1. Generative Sequence Classification Methods

• These methods use **probabilistic models** that learn the **joint probability** of words and their corresponding labels (sentence boundaries or topic changes). One of the most common generative models is the **Hidden Markov Model** (**HMM**).

#### **Example: Hidden Markov Model (HMM) for Sentence Boundary Detection**

- Consider this text:
- "Dr. Smith is an expert in AI. He works at Google Inc. in California."
- A naïve rule-based system might incorrectly split after "Dr." or "Inc.". An **HMM-based model** assigns probability scores to whether a word **ends a sentence** or not.

#### How it works

- States: Sentence boundary (B), non-boundary (NB).
- **Observations**: Words, punctuation, capitalization.
- Transition probabilities:  $P(NB \rightarrow B)$ ,  $P(B \rightarrow B)$ , etc.
- Correct output (after HMM analysis):
  - ☑ "Dr. Smith is an expert in AI. | He works at Google Inc. in California."
- Pros & Cons
- Simple and interpretable.
  - X Cannot capture deep semantic relationships.

### 2. Discriminative Local Classification Methods

• Unlike generative models, discriminative models learn decision boundaries to classify each punctuation mark as a sentence boundary (B) or non-boundary (NB).

#### **Example: SVM or Logistic Regression for Sentence Segmentation**

- Consider the sentence:
- "New York is beautiful. The weather is great!"
- A local classifier takes each punctuation mark (. or !) and decides whether it marks a sentence boundary.
- Features used
- **Previous and next words**: "beautiful", "The".
- **Punctuation type**: . or !.
- Capitalization of the next word (The is capitalized  $\rightarrow$  likely a new sentence).
- Correct output:
  - "New York is beautiful. | The weather is great!"

# 3. Hybrid Approaches

Hybrid models combine generative and discriminative methods for better accuracy. A common hybrid approach
is Conditional Random Fields (CRF) + Neural Networks.

#### **Example: CRF for Email Segmentation**

- Consider an **email structure**:
- "Dear John,
- I hope you're doing well.
- Best regards,Alice"

#### A **CRF-based model** considers features like:

- **Line breaks** (indicating new sections).
- Greetings (Dear) and signatures (Best regards).
- Word embeddings to detect sentence importance.
- Correct output (segmented email):
  - "Dear John, | I hope you're doing well. | Best regards, Alice"
- Pros & Cons
- More accurate than pure rule-based methods.
  - X Computationally expensive.

### 4. Discriminative Sequence Classification Methods

- These methods classify entire sequences rather than individual words, allowing the model to learn context better.
- Example: LSTM for Sentence Segmentation in Chat Messages
- Consider a **text message conversation**:
- "hey how are you? i am fine thanks. what about you?"
- A simple rule-based approach might fail to split correctly. An **LSTM-based model** learns sentence structure based on **word embeddings** and **contextual dependencies**.
- Correct segmentation:
  - ✓ "Hey, how are you? | I am fine, thanks. | What about you?"
- Why is LSTM better?
- It **remembers previous words**, helping in cases like:
  - ✓ "I saw Mr. Brown today. He looked happy."(Avoids breaking after "Mr.").
- Pros & Cons
- Handles long-range dependencies well.
  - X Needs large training datasets.

### 5. Extensions for Global Modeling for Sentence Segmentation

- These methods consider long documents and optimize for paragraph and document structuring.
- Example: Hierarchical Attention Network (HAN) for News Article Structuring
- Consider a news article:
- "Stock markets rose today due to positive earnings reports. Experts predict further growth.
- Meanwhile, in sports, the local football team won their championship game."
- A Hierarchical Attention Network (HAN):
- First analyzes words within sentences.
- Then analyzes sentences to determine topic boundaries.
- Correct segmentation:
  - ✓ "Stock markets rose today due to positive earnings reports. Experts predict further growth." ✓ "Meanwhile, in sports, the local football team won their championship game."`
- Pros & Cons
- Best for long documents and paragraph segmentation.
  - X Requires high computational power.

# **Complexity of the Approaches**

- The complexity of different approaches varies based on **time, memory, training, prediction, and feature extraction**. Here's a summary:
- 1.1. Discriminative vs. Generative Models
- Discriminative Approaches (e.g., CRFs, SVMs, Neural Networks)
  - Higher training complexity (requires multiple passes over data).
  - Slower inference (feature extraction is costly).
  - Performs well with fewer training samples.
  - • Handles diverse feature sets (e.g., words, POS tags, punctuation).
- Generative Approaches (e.g., HMMs, Naïve Bayes, HELMs)
  - **Handles large datasets efficiently** (e.g., decades of news transcripts).
  - **Second Second Problem** Faster prediction (fewer features, simpler models).
  - Poor at handling unseen events (limited feature set).

- HMM (Generative Model) predicts sentence boundaries using word probabilities.
- **CRF** (**Discriminative Model**) uses word features + POS tags + punctuation but is **slower** due to feature extraction.

## Local vs. Sequence-Based Approaches

- Local Approaches (Rule-based, SVMs, Decision Trees)
  - **Faster** (only analyzes single sentences).
  - **Less accurate** (misses dependencies between sentences).
- Sequence-Based Approaches (HMMs, CRFs, LSTMs)
  - Complex due to decoding (evaluates multiple sequences).
  - More accurate (captures dependencies across sentences).

- Local Approach: Classifies each sentence independently (faster, but ignores context).
- Sequence Approach: Uses previous and next sentences for better accuracy (slower).

## Polynomial vs. Exponential Complexity

- Dynamic programming helps sequence-based models run in polynomial time instead of exponential.
- Complexity grows **exponentially** with:
  - Number of **boundary candidates**.
  - Number of sentence boundary states.

- **CRF training complexity**: Requires multiple **inference passes** on training data (expensive).
- HMM training complexity: Uses simple probability calculations (faster)

# **Performance of Approaches**

• Performance evaluation depends on accuracy, error rate, F1-score, and recall.

#### **Evaluation Metrics**

- **Error Rate** = (Number of errors) ÷ (Total sentences).
- **F1-score** =  $2 \times (Precision \times Recall) \div (Precision + Recall)$ .
- **NIST Error Rate** = (Wrong labels) ÷ (Actual boundaries).

- A rule-based system for sentence segmentation in speech may have a higher error rate due to speech ambiguities.
- A deep learning system (LSTMs) may have a lower F1-score if trained on limited data

#### 1. Error Rate

$$Error Rate = \frac{Number of errors}{Total \ sentences}$$

- Number of Errors: The count of mistakes made by the system, such as incorrect classifications, mislabeling, or missing information.
- Total Sentences: The total number of sentences in the dataset.
- Explanation: This metric gives a simple proportion of errors relative to the total number of sentences. A lower value indicates better performance.

#### 2. F1-Score

$$ext{F1-score} = 2 imes rac{ ext{Precision} imes ext{Recall}}{ ext{Precision} + ext{Recall}}$$

Precision: Measures how many of the retrieved results were actually correct.

$$Precision = \frac{True\ Positives}{True\ Positives + False\ Positives}$$

- True Positives (TP): Correctly identified items.
- False Positives (FP): Incorrectly identified items (false alarms).
- Recall: Measures how many of the actual correct items were retrieved.

$$Recall = \frac{True\ Positives}{True\ Positives + False\ Negatives}$$

- False Negatives (FN): Missed correct items.
- Explanation: F1-score balances precision and recall, making it a good metric when both false positives and false negatives matter.

### NIST Error Rate – Detailed Explanation

NIST stands for the **National Institute of Standards and Technology**. It is a U.S. government agency that develops measurement standards, including evaluation metrics for various technologies such as speech recognition, text processing, and machine learning.

#### 3. NIST Error Rate

$$NIST \; Error \; Rate = \frac{Wrong \; labels}{Actual \; boundaries}$$

- · Wrong Labels: The number of incorrect classifications or label assignments in the output.
- · Actual Boundaries: The true segmentation points or classifications present in the dataset.
- Explanation: This metric, often used in speech and text processing, measures how often the system
  incorrectly labels or segments data. A lower value indicates better accuracy.

### **Performance Comparison in Text Segmentation**

- Mikheev's Rule-Based Model: Error rate = 1.41%.
- With Abbreviation List: Error rate = 0.45%.
- With POS-based Classifier: Error rate = 0.31%.
- **Gillick's SVM-based Model**: **Error rate = 0.25**% (best performance).

### **Key Takeaway:**

- Supervised ML (SVMs, CRFs) outperforms rule-based methods.
- Sentence segmentation errors affect subsequent NLP tasks (e.g., summarization).

# **Summary Table**

Approach	Training Complexity	Prediction Speed	Accuracy	Best Use Cases
Rule-Based	Low	Fast	Moderate	Simple structures, legal documents
HMM (Generative)	Medium	Fast	Moderate	Speech segmentation
SVMs (Discriminative)	High	Slow	High	Text classification, sentence segmentation
CRFs (Sequence- based)	Very High	Slowest	Very High	Complex NLP tasks (NER, POS tagging)
Deep Learning (LSTMs, BERT)	Highest	Slowest	Best	Large-scale NLP (summarization, translation)