Mathematical Analysis

HECHEN HU

October 22, 2017

Preface

This note was took during my study of Mathematical Analysis using the book Zorich's *Mathematical Analysis*, *Second Edition*. Some proof might just be summarized, and I'll make page references to the original proof in the book. The first 8 chapter come from the first book, the rest come from the second book. All page references goes to the corresponding book.

Contents

Preface				iii	
1	Ger	ieral N	Mathematical Concepts and Notation	1	
	1.1		ematical Symbols and their meanings	1	
	1.2		nd Operations on Them	1	
		1.2.1	Naive Set Theory	1	
		1.2.2	ZFC: Zermelo-Fraenkel Axioms and Axiom of Choice .	2	
		1.2.3	The Cardinality of a Set(Cardinal Numbers)	2	
		1.2.4	Operations on Sets	3	
	1.3	Relati	ons and Functions	4	
		1.3.1	Definitions of Functions	4	
		1.3.2	Elementry Classification of Mappings	4	
		1.3.3	Composition of Functions and Mutually Inverse Map-		
			pings	5	
		1.3.4	Functions as Relations. The Graph of a Function	6	
2	The	Real	Numbers	9	
	2.1		axiom System and Some General Properties of the Set		
		of Real Numbers			
		2.1.1	The Axiom System of the Real Numbers	9	
		2.1.2	Some General Algebraic Properties of Real Numbers .	11	
		2.1.3	The Completeness Axiom and the Existence of a Least		
			Upper(or Greatest Lower) Bound of a Set of Numbers	13	
	2.2 The Most Important Classes of Real Numbers and Computa-				
			Aspects of Operations with Real Numbers	14	
		2.2.1	The Natural Numbers and the Principle of Mathemat-		
			ical Induction	14	
		2.2.2	Rational and Irrational Numbers	15	
		2.2.3	The Principle of Archimedes	17	
		2.2.4	Miscellaneous	18	
	2.3		Lemmas Connected with the Completeness of the Real		
	Numbers				
		2.3.1	The Nested Interval Lemma(Cauchy-Cantor Principle)	20 20	

vi *CONTENTS*

		2.3.2	The Finite Covering Lemma(Borel-Lebesgue Principle, or Heine-Borel Theorem)	21
		2.3.3	The Limit Point Lemma(Bolzano-Weierstrass Principle)	
	2.4		cable and Uncountable Sets	$\frac{-2}{22}$
		2.4.1	The Cardinality of the Continuum	$\frac{-}{24}$
		2.4.2	Miscellaneous	25
		2.1.2	THIS CONTAINS AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	
3	Lim	its		27
	3.1	The L	imit of a Sequence	27
		3.1.1	Definitions and Examples	27
		3.1.2	Properties of the Limit of a Sequence	28
		3.1.3	Questions Involving the Existence of the Limit of a	
			Sequence	30
		3.1.4	Elementary Facts about Series	33
	3.2	The L	imit of a Function	33
4	Con		us Functions	35
	4.1	Basic	Definitions and Examples	35
	4.2	Prope	erties of Continuous Functions	35
5	D:a		al Calculus	37
Э	Diп 5.1		entiable Functions	37
	$5.1 \\ 5.2$			
	5.2		Basic Rules of Differentiation	37 37
			Basic Theorems of Differential Calculus	
	$5.4 \\ 5.5$		ential Calculus Used to Study Functions	37 37
		_	blex Numbers and Elementary Functions	
	5.6		ples of Differential Calculus in Natural Science	37
	5.7	Primi	tives	37
6	Inte	gratio	on	39
	6.1	Defini	tion of the Integral and Description of the Set of Inte-	
		grable	e Functions	39
	6.2	Linear	rity, Additivity and Monotonicity on the Space $\mathcal{R}[a,b]$.	39
	6.3	The In	ntegral and the Derivative	39
	6.4	Some	Applications of Integration	39
	6.5	Impro	per Integrals	39
7	Fun	ations	of Several Variables: Their Limits and Continuity	41
•	7.1		space \mathbb{R}^m and the Most Important Classes of Its Subsets	41
	$7.1 \\ 7.2$		s and Continuity of Functions of Several Variables	41
	1.4	LIIIII	s and Community of Functions of Several Variables	41
8	The	Diffe	rential Calculus of Functions of Several Variables	43
	8.1	The L	inear Structure on \mathbb{R}^m	43
	8.2	The Γ	Differential of a Function of Several Variables	43
	8.3	The B	Basic Laws of Differentiation	43

CONTERNITO	••
CONTENTS	7/11
CONTENTS	V 11

The Basic Facts of Differential Calculus of Real-Valued Func-				

viii *CONTENTS*

1

General Mathematical Concepts and Notation

1.1 Mathematical Symbols and their meanings

Notation	Meaning
$L \Rightarrow P$	L implies P
$L \Leftrightarrow P$	L is equivalent to P
$((L \Rightarrow P) \land (\neg P)) \Rightarrow (\neg L)$	If P follows from L and P is false, then L is false
$\neg((L \Leftrightarrow G) \lor (P \Leftrightarrow G)$	G is not equivalent either to L or to P
A := B	The Definition of A is B (equality by definition)
	End of proof

1.2 Sets and Operations on Them

1.2.1 Naive Set Theory

- 10. A set may consist of any distinguishable objects $(x \in A \Rightarrow \exists! x \in A)$
- 2^0 . A set is unambiguously determined by the collection of objects that comprise it.
- 3^0 . Any property defines the set of objects having that property $(A = \{x | P(x)\} \Rightarrow P(A))$.

However, this will lead to Russell's Paradox:

Let's have $P(M) := M \notin M$

Consider the class $K = \{M|P(M)\}$. If so K is not a set, since whether P(K) is true or false, contradiction arises.

1.2.2 ZFC: Zermelo-Fraenkel Axioms and Axiom of Choice

- 10. (Axiom of Extensionality) Sets A and B are equal iff they have the same elements. $(A = B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x ((x \in A) \Leftrightarrow (x \in B)))$
- 2^0 . (Axiom of Seperation) To any set A and any property P there corresponds a set B whose elements are those elements of A, and only those, having property P(if A is a set, then $B = \{x \in A | P(x)\}$ is also a set).
- 3^0 . (Union Axiom) For any set M whose elements are sets there exists a set $\bigcup M$, called the union of M and consisting of those elements and only those that belong to some element of M ($x \in \bigcup M \Leftrightarrow \exists X((X \in M) \land (x \in X))$)

Similarly, the intersection of the set M is defined as:

$$\bigcap M := \{x \in \bigcup M | \forall X ((X \in M) \Rightarrow (x \in X))\}$$

- 4^0 (Pairing Axiom) For any sets X and Y there exists a set Z such that X and Y are its only elements.
- 50 (Power Set Axiom) For any set X there exists a set P(X) having each subset of X as an element, and having no other elements.

Definition. The successor X^+ of the set X is $X^+ = X \cup \{X\}$.

Definition. An *inductive* set is a set that \emptyset is one of its elements and the successor of each of its elements as belongs to it.

60 (Axiom of Infinity) There exist inductive sets (Example: \mathbb{N}_0). 70 (Axiom of Replacement) Let F(x,y) be a statement (a formula) such that for every $x_0 \in X$ there exists a unique object y_0 such that $F(x_0, y_0)$ is true. Then the objects y for which there exists an element $x \in X$ such that F(x,y) is true form a set.

And finally, an axiom that is independent of ZF.

Definition. A choice function is a function f, defined on a collection X of nonempty sets, such that for every set A in X, f(A) is an element of A.

8⁰ (Axiom of Choice/Zermelo's Axiom) For any set X of nonempty sets, there exists a choice function f defined on $X.(\forall X[\varnothing \notin X \Rightarrow \exists f: X \mapsto \bigcup X \quad \forall A \in X(f(A) \in A)])$

1.2.3 The Cardinality of a Set(Cardinal Numbers)

Definition. The set X is said to be *equipollent* to the set Y if there exists a bijective mapping of X onto $Y(\text{then } X \sim Y)$.

Definition. Cardinality is a measure of the number of elements of the set. If $X \sim Y$, we write cardX = cardY.

If X is equipollent to some subset of Y, we say $cardX \leq cardY$, thus

$$(cardX \leqslant cardY) := \exists Z \subset Y (cardX = cardZ)$$

A set is called *finite* if it is not equipollent to any proper subset of itself; otherwise it is called *infinite*.

It has the properties below:

- $1^0 \quad (cardX \leqslant cardY) \land (cardY \leqslant cardZ) \Rightarrow (cardX \leqslant cardZ).$
- 2^0 $(cardX \leq cardY) \wedge (cardY \leq cardX) \Rightarrow (cardX = cardY)$ (The Schröder–Bernstein theorem).
- $3^0 \quad \forall X \forall Y (cardX \leqslant cardY) \lor (cardY \leqslant cardX) (Cantor's theorem).$

We say cardX < cardY if $(cardX \leq cardY) \land (cardX \neq cardY)$. let \varnothing be the empty set and P(X) the set of all subsets(thus, the power set) of the set X. Then:

Theorem 1.2.1. cardX < cardP(X)

Proof. The assertion is obvious for the empty set, and we shall assume that $X \neq \emptyset$.

Since P(X) contains all the one-element subsets of X, $cardX \leq cardP(X)$. Suppose, contrary to the assertion, that there exists a bijective mapping $f: X \to P(X)$. Let set $A = \{x \in X : x \notin f(x)\}$ consisting of the elements $x \in X$ that do not belong to the set $f(x) \in P(X)$ assigned to them by the bijection. Because $A \in P(X)$, there exists $a \in X$ such that f(a) = A. For the element a the relation $a \in A$ or $a \notin A$ is impossible by the definition of A(Similar to Russell's Paradox).

1.2.4 Operations on Sets

Notation	Meaning	Definition
$A \subset B$	A is a subset of B	$\forall x ((x \in A) \Rightarrow (x \in B))$
A = B	A equals to B	$(A \subset B) \land (B \subset A)$
Ø	Empty Set	$\{x x\neq x\}$
$A \cup B$	The union of A and B	$\{x x\in A\vee x\in B\}$
$A \cap B$	The intersection of A and B	$\{x x\in A\land x\in B\}$
$A \setminus B$	The difference between A and B	$\{x x\in A\land x\notin B\}$
$C_M A$	The complement of A in M	$\{x x\in M \land x\notin A\}whereA\subset M$
$A \times B$	The Cartesian Product of A and B	$\{(x,y) x\in A\wedge y\in B\}$
A^2	$A \times A$	

In the ordered pair $z = (x_1, x_2)$ where $Z = X_1 \times X_2, z \in Z, x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2, x_1$ is called the *first projection* of the pair z and denoted pr₁z while x_2 is called the *second projection* of the pair z and denoted pr₂z.

1.3 Relations and Functions

1.3.1 Definitions of Functions

Definition. We say that there is a function defined on X with values in Y if, by virtue of some fule f, to each element $x \in X$ there corresponds an element $y \in Y$.

$$f(X) \coloneqq \{y \in Y | \exists x ((x \in X) \land (y = f(x)))\}$$

X is called the *domain of definition* and Y is called *set of values* or *range* of the function.

Definition. If $A \subset X$ and $f: X \to Y$ is a function. We denote by $f|_A$ the function $\varphi: A \to Y$ that agrees with f on A. More precisely, $f|_A(x) := \varphi(x)$ if $x \in A$. The function $f|_A$ is called the restriction of f to A, and the function $f: X \to Y$ is called an extension or a continuation of φ to X.

We use the term domain of departure of the function to denote any set X containing the domain of a function, and domain of arrival to denote any subset of Y containing its range.

1.3.2 Elementry Classification of Mappings

Definition. When a function $f: X \to Y$ is called a mapping, the value $f(x) \in Y$ that it assumes at the element $x \in X$ is usually called the *image* of x.

The *image* of a set $A \subset X$ under the mapping $f: X \to Y$ is defined as the set

$$f(A) := \{ y \in Y | \exists x ((x \in A) \land (y = f(x)) \}$$

consisting of the elements of Y that are images of elements of A.

The set

$$f^{-1}(B) := \{x \in X | f(x) \in B\}$$

consisting of the elements of X whose images belong to B is called the *pre-image* (or *complete pre-image*) of the set $B \subset Y$.

Definition. A mapping $f: X \to Y$ is said to be surjective if f(X) = Y injective if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X$, $(f(x_1) = f(x_2)) \Rightarrow (x_1 = x_2)$ holds. bijective if it's both surjective and injective.

Definition. The inverse mapping of a bijective f is denoted as

$$f^{-1}: Y \to X$$

and defined as follows: if f(x) = y, then $f^{-1}(y) = x$.

Note that the pre-image of a set is defined for any mapping $f: X \to Y$, even if it is not bijective and hence has no inverse.

1.3.3 Composition of Functions and Mutually Inverse Mappings

Definition. For two mappings $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$,

$$g \circ f : X \to Z$$
, $(g \circ f)(x) := g(f(x))$

is called the *composition* of the mapping f and the mapping g.

If all the terms of a composition $f_n \circ ... \circ f_1$ are equal to the same function f, we abbreviate it to f^n .

Function composition is associative, that is,

$$h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$$

But in general, $g \circ f \neq f \circ g$.

Definition. The mapping $f: X \to X$ that assigns each element in X to itself is called the *identity mapping* on X and denoted e_X .

Lemma. $(g \circ f = e_X) \Rightarrow (g \text{ is surjective}) \land (f \text{ is injective}).$

Proof. If $f: X \to Y, g: Y \to X$, and $g \circ f = e_X: X \to X$, then

$$X = e_X(X) = (q \circ f)(X) = q(f(X)) \subset q(Y)$$

and hence g is surjective.

Further, if $x_1 \in X$ and $x_2 \in X$, then

$$(x_1 \neq x_2) \Rightarrow (e_X(x_1) \neq e_X(x_2)) \Rightarrow ((g \circ f)(x-1) \neq (g \circ f)(x_2)) \Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow (g(f(x_1)) \neq g(f(x_2))) \Rightarrow (f(x_1) \neq f(x_2))$$

and therefore f is injective.

Proposition. The mappings $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to X$ are bijective and mutually inverse to each other if and only if $g \circ f = e_X$ and $f \circ g = e_Y$.

1.3.4 Functions as Relations. The Graph of a Function

Relations

Definition. A Relation R is any set of ordered pairs (x, y).

The set X is called the *domain of definition* of R, and the set Y is the range of values.

Any set containing the domain of definition of a relation is called a *domain of departure* for that relation, and *domain of arrival* is a set that contains the range of values of the relation.

Instead writing $(x, y) \in R$, we write xRy and say that x is connected with y by the relation R.

If $R \subset X^2$, then we say that the relation R is defined on X.

Classification of Relations

Definition. An *equivalence relation* is a relation that satisfy the following properties:

```
aRa (Reflexivity);

aRb \Rightarrow bRa (Symmetry);

(aRb) \land (bRc) \Rightarrow aRc (Transitivity).
```

An equivalence relation is denoted by the special symbol \sim . $a \sim b$ means a is equivalent to b.

Definition. A partial ordering on a set X^2 is a relation R that have the following properties:

```
aRa (Reflexivity);

(aRb) \land (bRc) \Rightarrow aRc (Transitivity).

(aRb) \land (bRa) \Rightarrow (a = b) (Anti-symmetry);
```

We often write $a \leq b$ and say that b follows a. If the condition

$$\forall a \forall b ((aRb) \lor (bRa)$$

holds in addition to transitivity and anti-symmetry defining a partial ordering relation (this means any two elements of X is comparable), the relation R is called an *ordering*, and the set X is said to be *linearly ordered*.

Functions and Their Graphs

Definition. A relation R is said to be functional if

$$(xRy_1) \wedge (xRy_2) \Rightarrow (y_1 = y_2)$$

and it is called a *function*.

 $R \subset X \times Y$ is a mapping from X into Y, or a function from X into Y.

Definition. The graph of a function $f: X \to Y$, is the subset Γ of $X \times Y$.

$$\Gamma \coloneqq \{(x,y) \in X \times Y | y = f(x)\}$$

The Real Numbers

2.1 The Axiom System and Some General Properties of the Set of Real Numbers

2.1.1 The Axiom System of the Real Numbers

Axioms for Addition:

An operation

$$+: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

is defined, assigning to each ordered pair (x, y) of elements x, y of \mathbb{R} a certain element $x + y \in \mathbb{R}$.

1₊ There exists a neutral, or identity element 0 (called zero) such that

$$x + 0 = 0 + x = x$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

 2_+ For every element $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an element $-x \in \mathbb{R}$ called the negative of x.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow (\exists ! (-x) \in \mathbb{R}) \land (x + (-x) = (-x) + x = 0)$$

 3_{+} The operation + is associative.

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

 4_{+} The operation + is commutative.

$$\forall x \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow (x + y = y + x)$$

Definition. A group structure is defined on the set G – or G is a group – if Axioms 1_+ , 2_+ , and 3_+ holds for an operation defined on this set. The group is called *additive* group if the operation is called *addition*. When the operation is also commutative, that is, Axiom 4_+ holds, the group is also called a *commutative group* or an *Abelian group*.

According to Axioms $1_+ - 4_+$, \mathbb{R} is an additive Abelian group.

Axioms for Multiplication:

An operation

$$ullet$$
: $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

is defined, assigning to each ordered pair (x, y) of elements $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ a certain element $x \cdot y \in \mathbb{R}$, called the product of x and y.

1. There exists a neutral, or identity element $1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow (x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x)$$

2. For every element $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ there exists an element $x^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, called the inverse or reciprocal of x.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow (x \cdot x^{-1} = x^{-1} \cdot x = 1)$$

 3_{\bullet} The operation \bullet is associative.

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$$

 4_{\bullet} The operation \bullet is commutative.

$$\forall x \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow x \cdot y = y \cdot x$$

The set $\mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ is a *multiplicative* group.

Multiplication is distributive with respect to addition.

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow (x+y)z = xz + yz$$

Definition. If two operations satisfying the Axioms of Addition and Multiplication are defined on a set G, then G is called a *field*.

Order Axioms

Between elements of \mathbb{R} there is a relation \leq defined, and :

$$0 \le \forall x \in \mathbb{R}(x \le x)$$
 (Reflexivity)

$$1 \leq (x \leq y) \land (y \leq x) \Rightarrow (x = y)$$
 (Anti-Symmetry)

$$2 \leqslant (x \leqslant y) \land (y \leqslant z) \Rightarrow (x \leqslant z)$$
 (Transitivity)

$$3 \leqslant \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in \mathbb{R} (x \leqslant y) \lor (y \leqslant x)$$

Thus, the relation \leq is an ordering, and \mathbb{R} is linearly ordered.

2.1. THE AXIOM SYSTEM AND SOME GENERAL PROPERTIES OF THE SET OF REAL NUMBERS

The Connection between Addition and Order on $\mathbb R$

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow ((x \leqslant y) \Rightarrow (x + z \leqslant y + z))$$

The Connection between Multiplication and Order on $\mathbb R$

$$\forall x \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow ((0 \leqslant x) \land (0 \leqslant y) \Rightarrow (0 \leqslant x \cdot y))$$

The Axiom of Completeness(Continuity)

If X and Y are nonempty subsets of \mathbb{R} having the property that $x \leq y$ for every $x \in X$ and every $y \in Y$, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \leq c \leq y$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

$$(\forall X \forall Y \subset \mathbb{R}) \land (X, Y \neq \varnothing) \land (\forall x \in X \forall y \in Y \Rightarrow x \leqslant y) \Rightarrow (\exists c \in \mathbb{R} \forall x \in X \forall y \in Y (x \leqslant c \leqslant y))$$

Any set on which these axioms hold can be considered a *model* of the real numbers.

Definition. An axiom system is said to be *categorical* if it determines an unique mathematical object.

Definition. If there are two models of independent number systems \mathbb{R}_A and \mathbb{R}_B that satisfying all the axioms, then a bijective correspondence can be established between these two systems, say $f: \mathbb{R}_A \to \mathbb{R}_B$, preserving the arithmetic operations and the order, that is,

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$$
$$f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$$
$$x \le y \Leftrightarrow f(x) \le f(y)$$

and we can say that \mathbb{R}_A and \mathbb{R}_B are *isomorphic* and the mapping f is called an *isomorphism*.

Theorem 2.1.1. The Axiom System of The Real Numbers is categorical.

2.1.2 Some General Algebraic Properties of Real Numbers

Consequences of the Addition Axioms

- 1⁰ There is only one zero in the set of real numbers.
- 20 Each element of the set of real numbers has a unique negative.
- 3^0 In \mathbb{R} the equation

$$a + x = b$$

has the unique solution

$$x = b + (-a)$$

12

Consequences of the Multiplication Axioms

- 1⁰ There is only one multiplicative unit in the real numbers.
- 2^0 For each $x \neq 0$ there is only one reciprocal x^{-1} .
- 30 For $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$, the equation $a \cdot x = b$ has the unique solution $x = b \cdot a^{-1}$.

Consequences of the Axiom Connecting Addition and Multiplication

 1^0 For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$x \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot x = 0$$

- $2^0 \quad (x \cdot y = 0) \Rightarrow (x = 0) \lor (y = 0).$
- 3^0 For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$-x = (-1) \cdot x$$

 4^0 For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(-1) \cdot (-x) = x$$

 5^0 For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(-x) \cdot (-x) = x \cdot x$$

Consequences of the Order Axioms

10 For any x and y in \mathbb{R} precisely one of the following relations holds:

$$x < y, \quad x = y, \quad x > y$$

 2^0 For any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(x < y) \land (y \leqslant z) \Rightarrow (x < z)$$

$$(x \leqslant y) \land (y < z) \Rightarrow (x < z)$$

Consequences of the Axiom Connecting Order with Addition and Multiplication ${\bf Consequence}$

 1^0 For any $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(x < y) \Rightarrow (x + z) < (y + z)$$
$$(0 < x) \Rightarrow (-x < 0)$$
$$(x \le y) \land (z \le w) \Rightarrow (x + z) \le (y + w)$$
$$(x < y) \land (z < w) \Rightarrow (x + z) < (y + w)$$

2.1. THE AXIOM SYSTEM AND SOME GENERAL PROPERTIES OF THE SET OF REAL NUMBER

 2^0 If $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(0 < x) \land (0 < y) \Rightarrow (0 < xy)$$

$$(x < 0) \land (y < 0) \Rightarrow (0 < xy)$$

$$(x < 0) \land (0 < y) \Rightarrow (xy < 0)$$

$$(x < y) \land (0 < z) \Rightarrow (xz < yz)$$

$$(x < y) \land (z < 0) \Rightarrow (yz < xz)$$

$$3^0 \quad 0 < 1.$$
 $4^0 \quad (0 < x) \Rightarrow (0 < x^{-1}) \text{ and } (0 < x) \land (x < y) \Rightarrow (0 < y^{-1}) \land (y^{-1} < x^{-1}).$

2.1.3 The Completeness Axiom and the Existence of a Least Upper(or Greatest Lower) Bound of a Set of Numbers

Definition. A set $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to be bounded above (resp. bounded below) if there exists a number $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \leqslant c(\text{resp.}c \leqslant x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition (Maximal and Minimal Elements).

$$(a = maxX) := (a \in X \land \forall x \in X(x \leqslant a))$$
$$(a = minX) := (a \in X \land \forall x \in X(a \leqslant x))$$

It follows from the order Axiom 1_{\leq} that if there is a maximal (resp. minimal) element in a set of numbers, it is the only one.

However, not every set, not even every bounded set, has a maximal or minimal element(e.g. $X = \{x \in R | 0 \le x < 1\}$).

Definition. The smallest number that bounds a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ from above is called the *least upper bound*(or the *eact upper bound*) of X and denoted supX("the supremum of X").

$$(s = sup X) := \forall x \in X((x \leqslant s) \land (\forall s' < s \exists x' \in X(s' < x')))$$

Similarly, the greatest lower bound of X, infX ("the infimum of X) can be defined as:

$$(i = infX) := \forall x \in X((i \le x) \land (\forall i' > i \exists x' \in X(x' < i')))$$

Lemma. (The least upper bound principle) Every nonempty set of real numbers that is bounded from above has a unique least upper bound.

Proof. Since we already know that the minimal element of a set of numbers is unique(the relation \leq is Anti-Symmetric), we need only verify that the least upper bound exists.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a given set and $Y = \{y \in \mathbb{R} | \forall x \in X (x \leq y)\}$. We know that $X \neq \emptyset$ and $Y \neq \emptyset$. Then, by the completeness axiom there exists $c \in R$ such that $\forall x \in X \forall y \in Y (x \leq c \leq y)$. Because c is greater than all the elements in X and smaller than all the elements in Y, we can see that $c \in Y$ and c = minY. $\forall c' < c$ we have $c' \in X$, and according to the completeness axiom of real numbers, there exists some $x' \in X$ such that $c' \leq x' \leq c$. Thus c is supX.

The existence of greatest lower bound is analogous with the existence of least upper bound, so

Lemma. (X is nonempty and bounded below) $\Rightarrow (\exists ! inf X)$.

2.2 The Most Important Classes of Real Numbers and Computational Aspects of Operations with Real Numbers

2.2.1 The Natural Numbers and the Principle of Mathematical Induction

Definition of the Set of Natural Numbers

Definition. A set $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ is *inductive* if for each number $x \in X$, it also contains x + 1.

Definition. The set of *natural numbers* is the smallest inductive set(has the cardinality of \aleph_0) containing 1, that is, the intersection of all inductive sets that contain 1.

The Principle of Mathematical Induction

Definition. If a subset E of the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} is such that $1 \in E$ and together with each number $x \in E$, the number x + 1 also belongs to E, then $E = \mathbb{N}$.

$$(E \subset \mathbb{N}) \land (1 \in E) \land (x \in E \Rightarrow (x+1) \in E) \Rightarrow E = \mathbb{N}$$

Some properties of the natural numbers:

10 The sum and product of natural numbers are natural numbers.

$$2^0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \land (n \neq 1) \Rightarrow ((n-1) \in \mathbb{N}).$$

 3^0 For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\{x \in \mathbb{N} | n < x\}$ contains a minimal element, namely

$$\min\{x \in \mathbb{N} | n < x\} = n + 1$$

 $4^0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \land (m \in \mathbb{N}) \land (n < m) \Rightarrow (n + 1 \leqslant m).$

50 The number $(n+1) \in \mathbb{N}$ is the immediate successor of the number $n \in \mathbb{N}$; that is, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are no natural numbers x satisfying n-1 < x < n. 60 If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \neq 1$, then $(n-1) \in \mathbb{N}$ and (n-1) is the immediate predecessor of $n \in \mathbb{N}$; that is, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are no natural numbers x satisfying n-1 < x < n.

 7^0 In any nonempty subset of N there is a minimal element.

Proof. Let $M \subset \mathbb{N}$.

Case 1: For $1 \in M$, We'll have minM = 1, since $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (1 \leq n)$.

Case 2: For $1 \notin M$, we find a set E such that $1 \in E = \mathbb{N} \setminus M$. If n is maxE, then $\forall e \in E \Rightarrow e \leqslant n$. However, $(n+1) \notin E$ because $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow (n+1) > n$, and thus $(n+1) \in M$ (If such n do not exist, then E which contains 1 is not bounded from above, and we can see that $(n \in E) \Rightarrow ((n+1) \in E)$. By the principle of induction, $E = \mathbb{N}$. But this is impossible, since $\mathbb{N} \setminus E = M \neq \emptyset$). Therefore, $(\forall x \in M) \Rightarrow (n \nleq x \nleq (n+1)) \Rightarrow (minM = (n+1))$.

2.2.2 Rational and Irrational Numbers

The Integers

Definition. The union of the set of natural numbers, the set of negatives of natural numbers, and zero is called the set of *integers* and is denoted \mathbb{Z} .

The addition and multiplication of integers do not lead outside of \mathbb{Z} . Thus, \mathbb{Z} is an Abelian group with respect to addition, but \mathbb{Z} nor $\mathbb{Z} \setminus 0$ is a group with respect to multiplication.

Theorem 2.2.1 (The fundamental theorem of arithmetic). Each natural number admits a representation as a product

$$n = p_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot p_k$$

where $p_1, ..., p_k$ are prime numbers. This representation is unique except for the order of the factors.

Corollary. 1 is not a prime number, since the product representation can contain infinite numbers of 1, which makes the representation not unique.

The Rational Numbers

Definition. Numbers of the form $m \cdot n^{-1}$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are called rational numbers. The set of rational numbers is denoted as \mathbb{Q} .

The Irrational Numbers

Definition. The real numbers that are not rational are called *irrational*. The set of irrational numbers is $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

Statement. $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Proof. Let X and Y be the sets of positive real numbers such that $\forall x \in X(x^2 < 2)$ and $\forall y \in Y(2 < y^2)$. $X \neq \emptyset$ and $Y \neq \emptyset$, since $1 \in X$ and $2 \in Y$.

Further, $(x < y) \Leftrightarrow (x^2 < y^2)$, and by the completeness axiom there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall x \in X \forall y \in Y (x \leqslant s \leqslant y)$. The next step is to show that $s^2 = 2$.

Case $1:s^2 < 2$. Then we can see, for example, the number $s + \frac{2-s^2}{3s}$, which is larger than s, would have a square less than 2. Indeed, we know that $1 \in X$, $1^2 \le s^2 < 2$, and $0 < \Delta := 2 - s^2 \le 1$. It follows that

$$(s + \frac{\Delta}{3s})^2 = s^2 + 2 \cdot \frac{\Delta}{3s} + (\frac{\Delta}{3s})^2 < s^2 + 3s \cdot \frac{\Delta}{3s} = s^2 + \Delta = 2$$

Therefore $(s + \frac{\Delta}{3s}) \in X$, and this contradicts to the fact that $\forall x \in X (x \leq s)$.

Similarly, we can prove that $s^2 \not > 2$ by consider the number $s - \frac{\Delta}{3s}$ and $0 < \Delta := s^2 - 2 < 3$, and we have $s^2 = 2$.

Finally, now we'll show that $s \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Let;s suppose the contrary that $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \exists n \in \mathbb{N} (s = \frac{m}{n})$ and m as well as n is a prime number. Because m and n are both prime, we can see that the only common factor between them is 1. But

$$\frac{m}{n} = \sqrt{2}$$
$$(\frac{m}{n})^2 = 2$$
$$m^2 = n^2 \cdot 2$$

Hence m is even. Now let m=2k, and $2k^2=n^2$. Also, n has to be divisible by 2. This contradicts with the fact that the only common factors between m and n is 1.

Definition. (Algebraic Numbers and Transcendental Numbers) A real number is called *algebraic* if it is the root of an algebraic equation

$$a_0 x^n + \dots + a_{n-1} x + a_n = 0$$

with rational coefficients. Otherwise, it is called transcendental.

2.2.3 The Principle of Archimedes

 1^0 Any nonempty subset of natural numbers that is bounded from above contains a maximal element.

Corollary. The set of natural numbers is not bounded above.

 2^0 Any nonempty subset of the integers that is bounded from above (resp. from below) contains a maximal element (resp. minimal element). 3^0 The set of integers is unbounded above and unbounded below.

Theorem 2.2.2 (The principle of Archimedes). For any fixed positive number h and any real number x there exists a unitary integer k such that $(k-1)h \le x \le kh$

Proof. The set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | \frac{x}{h} < n\}$ is not empty, since we can always find an integer that is greater than $\frac{x}{h}$ for any value of x and h. This set is also bounded below and contains a minimal element k. We can see that $(k-1) \leqslant \frac{x}{h} < k$. These inequalities are equivalent to the principle of Archimedes because h > 0. The uniqueness of k can be derived from the uniqueness of the minimal element of a set of numbers.

Corollary. For any positive number ε there exists a natural number n such that $0 < \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$.

Proof. By the principle of Archimedes there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such tha $1 < \varepsilon \cdot n$. Since 0 < 1 and $0 < \varepsilon$, we have 0 < n. Thus $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$.

Corollary. If the number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $0 \le x$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}(x < \frac{1}{n})$, then x = 0.

Corollary. For any numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that a < b there is a rational number $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that a < r < b.

Proof. According to what we had proved, we can choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < \frac{1}{n} < (b-a)$. Then by the principle of Archimedes, there exists an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{m-1}{n} \leqslant a < \frac{m}{n}$. Hence the relationship $b < \frac{m}{n}$ is impossible, since then we'll have $\frac{m-1}{n} \leqslant a < b \leqslant \frac{m}{n}$. Now we substract each side by a, and $(b-a) \leqslant \frac{m}{n} - a$. Because $\frac{m-1}{n} \leqslant a$, it is obvious that $(b-a) \leqslant \frac{m}{n} - \frac{m-1}{n} \Rightarrow (b-a) \leqslant \frac{1}{n}$, which contradicts with the fact that $\frac{1}{n} < b-a$. We can choose $r = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $a < \frac{m}{n} < b$.

Corollary. For any number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k \leq x < k+1$.

Proof. Replace h with 1 in the principle of Archimedes.

The number k just mentioned is denoted [x] and is called the *integer part* of x. The quantity $\{x\} := x - [x]$ is called the *fractional part* of x. Thus $x = [x] + \{x\}$, and $\{x\} \ge 0$.

2.2.4 Miscellaneous

Theorem 2.2.3 (Triangle Inequality). $|a+b| \leq |a|+|b|$ holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We know that $|x| = max\{x, -x\}$ and $\pm x \leq |x|$. Thus

$$a+b\leqslant |a|+b\leqslant |a|+|b|$$

$$-a-b\leqslant |a|-b\leqslant |a|+|b|$$

$$a-b\leqslant |a|-b\leqslant |a|+|b|$$

By the principle of induction, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2.4. The inequality

$$|x_1 + \cdots + x_n| \leqslant |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n|$$

holds and equality holds if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}(x_n \leq 0) \vee \forall n \in \mathbb{N}(0 \leq x_n)$.

Definition. An open interval containing the point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ will be called a *neighborhood* of this point. The interval $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ is the δ -neighborhood about x.

Estimation for errors in arithmetic operations

Definition. If x is the exact value of a quantity and \tilde{x} is a known approximation to the quantity, the numbers

$$\Delta(\tilde{x}) \coloneqq |x - \tilde{x}|$$

and

$$\delta(\tilde{x}) \coloneqq \frac{\Delta(\tilde{x})}{|\tilde{x}|}$$

are called respectively the *absolute* and *relative* error of approximation by \tilde{x} . The relative error is not defined when $\tilde{x} = 0$.

Proposition. If

$$|x - \tilde{x}| = \Delta(\tilde{x}), \qquad |y - \tilde{y}| = \Delta(\tilde{y}),$$

then

$$\begin{split} &\Delta(\tilde{x}+\tilde{y})\coloneqq |(x+y)-(\tilde{x}+\tilde{y})|\leqslant \Delta(\tilde{x})+\Delta(\tilde{y}),\\ &\Delta(\tilde{x}\cdot\tilde{y})\coloneqq |(x\cdot y)-(\tilde{x}\cdot\tilde{y})|\leqslant |\tilde{x}|\Delta(\tilde{y})+|\tilde{y}|\Delta(\tilde{x})+\Delta(\tilde{x})\cdot\Delta(\tilde{y}), \end{split}$$

if, inaddition

$$y \neq 0, \qquad \tilde{y} \neq 0, \qquad \delta(\tilde{y}) = \frac{\Delta(\tilde{y})}{|\tilde{y}|} < 1$$

then

$$\Delta(\frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{y}}) \coloneqq |\frac{x}{y} - \frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{y}}| \leqslant \frac{|\tilde{x}|\Delta(\tilde{y}) + |\tilde{y}|\Delta(\tilde{x})}{\tilde{y}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \delta(\tilde{y})}$$

Proof. Let $x = \tilde{x} + \alpha$ and $y = \tilde{y} + \beta$. Thus, $|\alpha| = \Delta(\tilde{x})$ and $|\beta| = \Delta(\tilde{y})$ Then

$$\begin{split} \Delta(\tilde{x}+\tilde{y}) &= |(x+y)-(\tilde{x}+\tilde{y})| = |\alpha+\beta| \leqslant |\alpha|+|\beta| = \Delta(\tilde{x}) + \Delta(\tilde{y}) \\ \Delta(\tilde{x}\cdot\tilde{y}) &= |(x\cdot y)-(\tilde{x}\cdot\tilde{y})| = |(\tilde{x}+\alpha)(\tilde{y}+\beta)-\tilde{x}\cdot\tilde{y}| = \\ &= |\tilde{x}\beta+\tilde{y}\alpha+\alpha\beta| \leqslant |\tilde{x}||\beta|+|\tilde{y}||\alpha|+|\alpha||\beta| = \\ &= |\tilde{x}|\Delta(\tilde{y})+|\tilde{y}|\Delta(\tilde{x})+\Delta(\tilde{x})\cdot\Delta(\tilde{y}) \\ \Delta(\frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{y}}) &= |\frac{x}{y}-\frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{y}}| = |\frac{x\tilde{y}-y\tilde{x}}{y\tilde{y}}| = \\ &= |\frac{(\tilde{x}+\alpha)\tilde{y}-(\tilde{y}+\beta)\tilde{x}}{\tilde{y}^2}|\cdot|\frac{1}{1+\beta/\tilde{y}}| \leqslant \frac{|\tilde{x}||\beta|+|\tilde{y}||\alpha|}{\tilde{y}^2}\cdot\frac{1}{1-\delta(\tilde{y})} = \\ &= \frac{|\tilde{x}|\Delta(\tilde{y})+|\tilde{y}|\Delta(\tilde{x})}{\tilde{y}^2}\cdot\frac{1}{1-\delta(\tilde{y})} \end{split}$$

These statements imply that

$$\begin{split} \delta(\tilde{x} + \tilde{y}) &\leqslant \frac{\Delta(\tilde{x} + \Delta(\tilde{y}))}{|\tilde{x} + \tilde{y}|} \\ \delta(\tilde{x} \cdot \tilde{y}) &\leqslant \delta(\tilde{x}) + \delta(\tilde{y}) + \delta(\tilde{y}) \cdot \delta(\tilde{y}) \\ \delta(\frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{y}}) &\leqslant \frac{\delta(\tilde{x}) + \delta(\tilde{y})}{1 - \delta(\tilde{y})} \end{split}$$

The Positional Computation System

Lemma. If a number q > 1 is fixed, then for every positive number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$q^{k-1} \leqslant x < q^k$$

Proof. We first verify that the set of numbers of the form q^k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is not bounded above. Suppose the contrary, we'll have a least upper bound such that there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q^m < s$. Also, we can see that $\frac{s}{q} < q^m$ (If this is not the case, then we can have $q^m \leqslant \frac{s}{q} \Rightarrow q^{m+1} \leqslant s$, which makes the biggest element in this set be q^{m+1} , and this is impossible, since q^m must be the biggest element if the least upper bound is s). Here we'll have $\frac{s}{q} < q^m \leqslant s \Rightarrow s < q^{m+1}$, so s could not be the east upper bound of the set.

Because 1 < q, $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \land (m < n) \Rightarrow q^m < q^n$. We already show that this set is not bounded from above, so $\forall c \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N} (\forall n > N(c < q^n)) (\text{or } c \text{ will be the upper bound of this set)}.$

Now let's set $c=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and M=N, it follows that $\forall \varepsilon>0 (\exists M\in \mathbb{N} \forall n>M(\frac{1}{q^m}<\varepsilon)).$

The set $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$ that $K\{m|(0 < x) \land (x < q^m)\}$ is bounded below(because when $x = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, for m < M we will have $q^m < x$). Therefore if the minimal element is denoted as k, for this integer x it is obvious that $q^{k-1} < x < q^k$.

Next we have to prove the uniqueness of such integer k. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow ((m < n) \Rightarrow (m \le n - 1), \text{ and } q > 1 \Rightarrow (q^m \le q^{n-1})$. Thus, if m and n are both the minimal element of the set K, it can be derived that $q^{m-1} \le x < q^m$ and $q^{n-1} \le x < q^n$, which imply $q^{n-1} \le x < q^m$, are incompatible if $m \ne n$.

Definition. The number p satisfying the Lemma(p = k) is called the *order* of x in the base q or (when q is fixed) simply the *order* of x.

By the principle of Archimedes, $\exists! \alpha_p (\alpha_p q^p \leq x < (\alpha_p + 1)q^p)$. We can use r_n , a sequence of numbers, can be used to approximate some real number x. Take $\alpha_p, \alpha_{p-1}, ..., \alpha_{p-n}$... from the set $\{0, 1, ..., q-1\}$ then

$$r_n = \alpha_p q^p + \dots + \alpha_{p-n} q^{p-n}$$

and such that

$$r_n \leqslant x < r_n + \frac{1}{q^{n-p}}$$

The set $\{0, 1, ..., q - 1\}$ is all the digits under base q while the power of q is the order of this corresponding digit.

2.3 Basic Lemmas Connected with the Completeness of the Real Numbers

2.3.1 The Nested Interval Lemma (Cauchy-Cantor Principle)

Definition. A function $f: \mathbb{N} \to X$ of a natural-number argument is called a sequence or a sequence of elements of X. f(n) corresponding to the number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is often denoted x_n and called the nth term of the sequence.

Definition. Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$... be a sequence of sets. If $X_{n+1} \subset X_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say the sequence is *nested*.

Lemma (Cauchy-Cantor). For any nested sequence $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots \supset I_n \supset \cdots$ of closed intervals, there exists a point $c \in \mathbb{R}$ belonging to all of these intervals.

If in addition it is known that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an interval I_k such that $|I_k| < \varepsilon$, then c is the unique point common to all the intervals.

Proof. Lets find two sets in this sequence, denoted as $M = [a_m, b_m]$ and $N = [a_n, b_n]$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose n < m, and we obtain $a_m \leq b_n$. Thus the two numerical sets $A = \{a_m\}$ and $B = \{b_n\}$ satisfy the axiom of completeness. So $\exists c \in \mathbb{R} (a_m \leq c \leq b_n)$. This also means that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (a_n \leq c \leq b_n)$. Therefore this point c belongs to all the intervals.

Now let c_1 and c_2 be two points having this property. Without loss of generality, let $c_1 < c_2$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (a_n \leq c_1 < c_2 \leq b_n) \Rightarrow (0 < c_2 - c_1 < b_n - a_n)$, and the length of any interval in this sequence cannot be less than $c_2 - c_1$. Hence if there are intervals of arbitrarily small length in the sequene, their common point is unique.

2.3.2 The Finite Covering Lemma(Borel-Lebesgue Principle, or Heine-Borel Theorem)

Definition. A system $S = \{X\}$ of sets X is said to *cover* a set Y if $Y \subset \bigcup_{X \in S} X$.

A subset of S that is also a system of sets will be called a subsystem of S.

Lemma (Borel-Lebesgue). Every system of open intervals covering a closed interval contains a finite subsystem that covers the closed interval.

Proof. Let $S = \{U\}$ be a system of open intervals U that cover the closed interval $[a, b] = I_1$. If the interval I_1 could not be covered by a finite set of intervals of the system S, then, dividing I_1 into two halves, we could find that at least one of the two halves, which we denoted by I_2 , does not admit a finite covering. We now repeat this procedure with the interval I_2 , and so on.

In this way a nested sequence $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots \supset I_n \supset \cdots$ of closed intervals arises, none of which admit a covering by a finite subsystem of S. Since the length of the interval $|I_n| = |I_1| \cdot 2^{-n}$, the sequence $\{I_n\}$ contains intervals of arbitrarily small length (The second part of **Cauchy-Contor Principle**), and thus there exists a unique point c belonging to all the intervals $I_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $c \in I_1 = [a, b]$, there exists an open interval $(\alpha, \beta) = U \in S$ containing c. Let $\varepsilon = \min\{c - \alpha, \beta - c\}$. In the sequence just constructed, we find an interval I_n such that $|I_n| < \varepsilon$. Since $c \in I_n$ and $|I_n| < \varepsilon$, we conclude that $I_n \subset (\alpha, \beta)$. But this contradicts the fact that the interval I_n cannot be covered by a finite set of intervals from the system.

2.3.3 The Limit Point Lemma(Bolzano-Weierstrass Principle)

Definition. A point $p \in \mathbb{R}$ is a *limit point* of the set $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ if every neighborhood of the point contains an infinite subset of X.

Examples:

If $X = \{\frac{1}{n} \in \mathbb{R} | n \in \mathbb{N} \}$, the only limit point of X is the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

For an open interval (a, b) every point of the closed interval [a, b] is a limit point, and there are no others.

For the set \mathbb{Q} every point of \mathbb{R} is a limit point; for, as we know, every open interval of the real numbers contains rational numbers.

Lemma (Bolzano-Weierstrass). Every bounded infinite set of real numbers has at least one limit point.

Proof. Let X be the given subset of \mathbb{R} . It follows from the definition of boundedness that X is contained in some closed interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. The next step is to show that at least one point of I is a limit point of X.

If, suppose the contrary, each point $x \in I$ would have a neighborhood U(x) containing either no points of X or at most a finite number. The totality of such neighborhoods $\{U(x)\}$ constructed for the points $x \in I$ forms a covering of I by open intervals U(x). By the finite covering Lemma(Borel-Lebesgue) we can extract a system $U(x_1), ..., U(x_n)$ of open intervals that cover I. But, since $X \subset I$, this same system also covers X. However, there are only finitely many points of X in $U(x_i)$ (the definition of U(x)), and hence only finitely many in their union. That is, X is a finite set. This contradiction completes the proof.

2.4 Countable and Uncountable Sets

Definition. A set X is *countable* if it is equipollent with the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers, that is, $cardX = card\mathbb{N}$.

Proposition. An infinite subset of a countable set is countable.

Proof. Let's consider a countable set E. There is a minimal element of $E_1 := E$, which we assign to $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote $e_1 \in E$. E is infinite, so $E_2 := E \setminus e_1$ is not empty. Following the principle of induction, we can construct a injective mapping from $\{1, 2...\}$ to $\{e_1, e_2, ...\}$.

Now we have to prove that this mapping is also surjective. Suppose the contrary, that an element $e \in E$ does not have a natural number assigned to it. The set $K = \{n \in E | n \le e\}$ is finite, since it's a subset of $\mathbb N$ bounded both from below and above. According to our previous construction, we assign 1

to minK, denoted as e_1 , and we can acquire a sequence $e_1, e_2, ...e_{k=cardK}$. But $e_{k=cardK}$ is maxK, and because $e \in K \land (\forall n \in K(n \leq e))$, e = maxK. Therefore $e = e_k$, or otherwise it will contradict the uniqueness of maximal element.

Proposition. The Union of the sets of a finite or countable system of countable sets is also a countable set.

Proof. Let $X_1, X_2..., X_n, ...$ is a countable system of sets and each set $X_m = \{x_m^1, ..., x_m^n, ...\}$ is itself countable. Since $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}(card(X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n) \geq X_m)$, X is an infinite set. The ordered pair (m, n) identifies the element $x_m^n \in X_m$. We can construct a mapping, like $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} := (m, n) \to \frac{(m+n-2)(m+n-1)}{2} + m$, such that it is bijective. Thus X is countable. Then because $cardX \leq card\mathbb{N}$ and the fact that X is infinite, we conclude that $cardX = card\mathbb{N}$.

If it is known that a set is either finite or countable, we say it is at most $countable(cardX \leq \mathbb{N})$.

Corollary. $card\mathbb{Z} = card\mathbb{N}$

Corollary. $card\mathbb{N}^2 = card\mathbb{N}$ (The direct product of countable sets is countable).

Corollary. $card\mathbb{Q} = card\mathbb{N}$, that is, the set of rational numbers is countable.

Proof. Let (m,n) denote a rational number $\frac{m}{n}$. It is known that the pair (m,n) and (m',n') define the same number iff they are proportional. Thus \mathbb{Q} is equipollent to some infinite subset of the set $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Since $card\mathbb{Z}^2 = card\mathbb{N}$, we can conclude that $card\mathbb{Q} = card\mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. The set of algebraic numbers is countable.

Proof. It can be observed that $card\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} = card\mathbb{N}$. By the principle of induction, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}(card\mathbb{Q}^k = card\mathbb{N})$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}^k$ be an ordered set $(r_1, r_2, ..., r_k)$ consists of k rational numbers.

An algebraic equation of degree k with rational coefficient can be writtne in the reduced form $x^k + r_1 x^{k-1} + \cdots + r_k = 0$. Thus there are as many different algebraic equations of degree k as there are different ordered sets $(r_1, ..., r_k)$ of rational numbers, that is, a countable set.

The algebraic equation with rational coefficients (of arbitrary degree) is the union of sets consisting of algebraic equation (of a fixed degree) which is countable, and this union is countable. Each such equation has only a finite number of roots. Hence the set of algebraic numbers is at most countable. But it is infinite, and therefore countable.

2.4.1 The Cardinality of the Continuum

Definition. The set \mathbb{R} of real numbers is also called the *number continuum* (from Latin *continuum*, meaning continuous, or solid), and its cardinality the *cardinality of the continuum*.

Theorem 2.4.1 (Cantor). $card\mathbb{N} < card\mathbb{R}$

Proof by Nested Interval Lemma. It is sufficient to show that even [0,1] in an uncountable set.

Assume it is countable, that is, can be written as a sequence $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n,$ Take x_1 on $I_0 = [0, 1]$, and find I_1 such that $x_1 \notin I_1$. Then construct the nested interval I_n such that $x_{n+1} \notin I_{n+1}$ and $|I_n| > 0$. It follows the nested interval lemma that there exist a point $c \in [0, 1]$ belonging to all I_n . But by our construction, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and c cannot be any point of the sequence $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n,$

Proof by Cantor's Diagonal Argument. Let's first consider an the set L and write out the infinite sequence of distinct binary numbers in it which has the form:

$$\begin{split} s1 &= (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,\ldots) \\ s2 &= (1,1,1,1,1,1,1,\ldots) \\ s3 &= (0,1,0,1,0,1,0,\ldots) \\ s4 &= (1,0,1,0,1,0,1,\ldots) \\ s5 &= (1,1,0,1,0,1,1,\ldots) \\ s6 &= (0,0,1,1,0,1,1,\ldots) \\ s7 &= (1,0,0,0,1,0,0,\ldots) \\ \ldots \end{split}$$

We then construct a number s such that its first digit is the complementary (swapping 0s for 1s and vice versa) of the first digit of s_1 and etc.

$$s1 = (\mathbf{0}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$s2 = (1, \mathbf{1}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$$

$$s3 = (0, 1, \mathbf{0}, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$$

$$s4 = (1, 0, 1, \mathbf{0}, 1, 0, 1, \dots)$$

$$s5 = (1, 1, 0, 1, \mathbf{0}, 1, 1, \dots)$$

$$s6 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \mathbf{1}, 1, \dots)$$

$$s7 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \mathbf{0}, \dots)$$

$$\dots$$

$$s = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \dots)$$

By construction s differs from s_n at the nth digit, so s is not in this sequence, and thus L is uncountable.

We can now define a mapping $f: L \to \mathbb{R}. f(s_n) = r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ means that s_n and r_n have the same digit while r_n is under base 10 and s_n is under base 2. For $s_n \neq s_m \Rightarrow (r_n = f(s_n)) \neq (r_m = f(s_m)), f$ is injective, and with the fact that all s_n corresponds to a r_n together give us cardf(L) = cardL. Since f(L) is a subset of \mathbb{R} , we can see that \mathbb{R} is also uncountable.

The cardinality of \mathbb{R} is often denotes as \mathfrak{c} .

Corollary. $\mathbb{Q} \neq \mathbb{R}$, and so irrational numbers exist.

Corollary. There exist transcendental numbers, since the set of algebraic numbers is countable.

2.4.2 Miscellaneous

Statement. The cardinality of P(X), which is the power set of X, satisfy that if cardX = n, $cardP(X) = 2^n$.

Proof. We can use the principle of induction to complete the proof. If n = 1, $X = \{x\}$, then $P(X) = \{\emptyset, X\}$, then $cardP(X) = 2^1$.

Now if $n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow cardP(X) = 2^n$, let X be a set that has x as one of its elements and has the cardinality of n+1. Therefore $Y = X \setminus \{x\}$ has n elements. We can divide P(X) into two parts: the ones containing x and the ones don't. If $x \in A \subset P(X)$, then $A \setminus \{x\} \subset P(Y)$ and vice versa. Thus we can set up a bijection between P(Y) and the elements in P(X) that contains x. Similarly, we can clearly see that a bijection between the subsets of P(X) that does not contains x and P(Y). Thus $cardP(X) = 2^n + 2^n = 2^{n+1}$, and we complete the proof.

Limits

3.1 The Limit of a Sequence

3.1.1 Definitions and Examples

Definition. A number $A \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the *limit of the numerical sequence* $\{x_n\}$ if for every neighborhood V(A) of A there exists an index N (depending on V(A)) such that all terms of the sequence having index larger than N belong to V(A). $((\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = A) := \forall V(A) \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n > N(x_n \in V(A)))$

An equivalent way (or more common) way to say this is that a number $A \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the *limit of the sequence* $\{x_n\}$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists an index N such that $\forall n > N(|x_n - A| < \varepsilon)$. $((\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = A) := \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n > N(|x_n - A| < \varepsilon))$

Definition. If $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = A$, we say that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to A or tends to A and write $x_n \to A$ as $n \to \infty$. Otherwise, it's called divergent.

Examples:

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\n\to\infty}}\frac{1}{n}=0, \text{ since } |\frac{1}{n}-0|=\frac{1}{n}<\varepsilon \text{ when } n>N=\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right] \text{ (the integer part of } \frac{1}{\varepsilon}).$$
).
$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\n\to\infty}}\frac{n+1}{n}=1, \text{ since } |\frac{n+1}{n}-1|=\frac{1}{n}<\varepsilon \text{ if } n>\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right].$$

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\n\to\infty}}\frac{\sin n}{n}=0, \text{ since } |\frac{\sin n}{n}-0|\leqslant \frac{1}{n}<\varepsilon \text{ if } n>\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right].$$

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\n\to\infty}}\frac{1}{q^n}=0 \text{ if } |q|>1.$$

Proof. As shown in the proof in 2.2.4(Miscellaneous), for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{|q|^N} < \varepsilon$. Since |q| > 1, we have $|\frac{1}{q^n} - 0| \leqslant \frac{1}{|q|^n} < \frac{1}{|q|^N} < \varepsilon$ for n > N.

The sequence $1, 2, \frac{1}{3}, 4, \frac{1}{5}, 6, \frac{1}{7}$... whose *n*th term is $x_n = n^{(-1)^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is divergent.

28 3. LIMITS

Proof. If A is the limit of this sequence, then any neighborhood of A would contain all but a finite number of terms of the sequence.

A number $A \neq 0$ cannot be the limit, since when $\varepsilon = \frac{|A|}{2} > 0$, any point of the form $\frac{1}{2k+1}$ for which $\frac{1}{2k+1} < \frac{|A|}{2}$ lie outside the ε -neighborhood of A. At the same time A = 0 cannot be the limit of this sequence because there are infinitely many terms lie outside of even 1-neighborhood of 0.

3.1.2 Properties of the Limit of a Sequence

Definition. If there exists a number A and an index N such that $x_n = A$ for all n > N, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ will be called *ultimately constant*.

Definition. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded if there exists M such that $|x_n| < M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.1.1. An ultimately constant sequence converges.

Proof. if
$$x_N = A$$
, then $\forall n > N(x_n \in V(A))$.

Theorem 3.1.2. Any neighborhood of the limit of a sequence contains all but a finite number of terms of the sequence.

Theorem 3.1.3. A convergent sequence cannot have two different limits.

Proof. Suppose the contrary, that is, A_1 and A_2 are both the limit of the sequence x_n . Then we find two nonintersecting neighborhoods $V(A_1)$ and $V(A_2)$ of A_1 and A_2 . By the definition of limits we find two indices N_1 and N_2 such that $\forall n > N_1(x_n \in V(A_1))$ and $\forall n > N_2(x_n \in V(A_2))$. But then for $N = max\{N_1, N_2\}$, we'll have $\forall n > N(x_n \in V(A_1) \cap V(A_2))$, and this is impossible since $V(A_1) \cap V(A_2) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.1.4. A convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof. Let $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = A$. Set $\varepsilon = 1$ in the common definition of limit, we find N such that $|x_n - A| < 1$ for all n > N. Then by the triangle inequality we have $|x_n| < |A| + 1$. Considering n < N, we take $M > \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, ..., |x_n|, |A| + 1\}$, and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $M > |x_n|$. \square

Passage to the Limit and the Arithmetic Operations

[p82-p84]

Definition. If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are two numerical sequences, their *sum*, *product*, and *quotient* are the sequences

$$\{(x_n + y_n)\}, \{(x_n \cdot y_n)\}, \{(\frac{x_n}{y_n})\}$$

while the quotient is defined when $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (y_n \neq 0)$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two numerical sequences, if $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=A$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}y_n=B$, then

Theorem 3.1.5. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \{x_n + y_n\} = A + B$.

Proof. Set $|A - x_n| = \Delta(x_n)$, $|B - y_n| = \Delta(y_n)$. Now we have

$$|(A+B) - (x_n + y_n)| \le \Delta(x_n) + \Delta(y_n)$$

Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = A$, there exists N' such that $\Delta(x_n) < \varepsilon/2$ for all n > N'. Similarly, since $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = B$, there exists N'' such that $\Delta(y_n) < \varepsilon/2$ for all n > N'. Then for $n > \max\{N', N'' \text{ we have } \}$

$$|(A+B)-(x_n+y_n)|\leqslant \varepsilon$$

and our proof completes.

Theorem 3.1.6. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \{x_n \cdot y_n\} = A \cdot B$.

Proof. Similar to our first proof, we show that $|x_n|\Delta(y_n)$, $|y_n|\Delta(x_n)$ and $\Delta(x_n) \cdot \Delta(y_n)$ are less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, since their sum is greater or equal to $|(A \cdot B) - (x_n \cdot y_n)|$.

Theorem 3.1.7. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\{ \frac{x_n}{y_n} \right\} = \frac{A}{B} \text{ when } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} (y_n \neq 0) \land (B \neq 0).$

Proof. If we prove that
$$|x_n| \cdot \frac{1}{y_n^2} \Delta(y_n) = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$
, $|\frac{1}{y_n}| \Delta(x_n) = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, and $0 < \frac{1}{1 - \delta(y_n)} < 2$, we'll have $|\frac{A}{B} - \frac{x_n}{y_n}| < \varepsilon$

Passage to the Limit and Inequalities

Theorem 3.1.8. Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two convergent sequences with $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = A$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = B$. If A < B, then there exists an index $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n < y_n$ for all n > N.

Proof. Choose a number C such that A < C < B. By definition of limit, we can find numbers N' and N'' such that $|x_n - A| < C - A$ for all n > N' and $|y_n - B| < B - C$ for all n > N''. Then for $n > N = max\{N', N''\}$ we shall have $x_n < (A + C - A) = C = (B - (B - C)) < y_n$.

Theorem 3.1.9. Suppose the sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, and $\{z_n\}$ are such that $x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n$ for all $n > N \in \mathbb{N}$. If the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ both converge to the same limit, then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ also converges to that limit.

Proof. Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = A$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose N' and N'' such that $\forall n > N'(A - \varepsilon < x_n)$ and $\forall n > N''(z_n < A + \varepsilon)$. Then for $n > N = \max\{N', N''\}$ we shall have $A - \varepsilon < x_n \le y_n \le z_n < A + \varepsilon$, which says $|y_n - A| < \varepsilon$, that is $A = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n$.

30 3. LIMITS

Corollary. Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = A$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = B$. If there exists N such that for all n > N we have

- a) $x_n > y_n$, then $A \geqslant B$;
- b) $x_n \geqslant y_n$, then $A \geqslant B$;
- c) $x_n > B$, then $A \geqslant B$;
- d) $x_n \geqslant B$, then $A \geqslant B$.

Proof. The first two statement can be proved by contradiction using the theorem we mentioned above, and the last two statement are the special cases when $y_n \equiv B$.

3.1.3 Questions Involving the Existence of the Limit of a Sequence

The Cauchy Criterion

Definition. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a fundamental or Cauchy sequence if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an index $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_m - x_n| < \varepsilon$ whenever n > N and m > N.

Theorem 3.1.10 (Cauchy's convergence criterion). A numerical sequence converges if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. First, we prove that a convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence. If $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=A$, we set $|x_n-A|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, and we obtain that $|x_m-x_n|\leqslant |x_m-A|+|x_n-A|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon$ for m,n>N (imagine two points on a line, their distance to a fixed point is greater or equal to the distance between these two points).

Next, let $\{x_k\}$ be a Cauchy sequence. We find $|x_m - x_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for $m, k \geqslant N$. Fix m = N, we can see that $x_N - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < x_k < x_N + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, and thus this sequence is bounded. Then we set $a_n := inf_{k \geqslant n} x_k$ and $b_n := sup_{k \geqslant n} x_k$. Apply the **Nested Interval Lemma** to the close intervals $[a_n, b_n]$ and denote that point A. The inequality can be derived from the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &\leqslant x_k \leqslant b_k \\ |A - x_k| &\leqslant b_n - a_n \\ x_N - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} &\leqslant a_n \leqslant b_n \leqslant x_N + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \end{aligned}$$

A Criterion for the Existence of the Limit of a Monotonic Sequence

Definition. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is increasing if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}(x_n < x_{n+1})$, nondecreasing if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}(x_n \leq x_{n+1})$, nonincreasing if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}(x_n \geq x_{n+1})$, and decreasing if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}(x_n > x_{n+1})$. Sequence of these four types are called monotonic sequences.

Definition. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded above if there exists a number M such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}(x_n < M)$.

Theorem 3.1.11 (Weierstrass). In order for a nondecreasing sequence to have a limit, it is necessary and sufficient that it be bounded above.

Proof. We already know that a convergent sequence is bounded, hence we just need to prove its sufficiency.

Let s be the least upper bound of this sequence. Since it's a nondecreasing sequence, we have $s - \varepsilon < x_N \leqslant x_n \leqslant s$ for all n > N, and thus $s - x_n < \varepsilon$.

Analogouly one can prove that in order for a nonincreasing sequence to have a limit, it has to be bounded below.

Corollary. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{q^n} = 0$ if q > 1.

Proof. We prove that this sequence is bounded below(all terms are positive). Let $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$ for n > N, where N is the index that satisfies $x_{n+1} < x_n$ (from N the sequence is monotonically decreasing). From the relation $x_{n+1} = \frac{n+1}{nq} x_n$, one can have:

$$x = \frac{1}{a}x$$

and hence $(1 - \frac{1}{a})x = 0 \Rightarrow (x = 0)$.

Corollary. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{n} = 1$.

Proof. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq n < (1+\varepsilon)^n$ for all n > N. Thus $1 \leq \sqrt[n]{n} < 1 + \varepsilon$, which implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{n} = 1$.

Corollary. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{a} = 1$ for any a > 0.

Proof. Assume $a \geqslant 1$ first, use the same technique from above, and then prove $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{a} = 1$ for 0 < a < 1.

Statement. $\forall q \in \mathbb{R} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} (\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{q^n}{n!} = 0).$

Proof. If q=0, the assertion is obvious. Since $|\frac{q^n}{n!}|=\frac{|q|^n}{n!}$, let's assume q>0. Use the same technique as we used in proving $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n}{q^n}=0$. First prove that $0<\frac{1}{n+1}<1$ and $x_{n+1}< x_n$ for all $n>N\in\mathbb{N}$, thus this sequence is monotonically decreasing from N. Let the limit be x, one will have:

$$x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{q}{n+1} \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0 \cdot x = 0$$

32 3. LIMITS

The Number e

Theorem 3.1.12 (Jacob Bernoulli's inequality).

$$(1+\alpha)^n \geqslant 1 + n\alpha \tag{3.-8}$$

holds for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > -1$.

Proof. Prove by the principle of induction.

Incidentaly, strict inequality holds if $\alpha \neq 0$ and n > 1.

Statement. The limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} (1+\frac{1}{n})^n$ exists.

Proof. Let $y_n = (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{n+1}$ and $n \ge 2$. Use Bernoulli's inequality, we find that $\frac{y_{n-1}}{y_n} > 1$, and since all terms are positive, this sequence is monotonically decreasing and hence, has a limit. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{n+1} \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{-1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{n+1}$$

Definition. $e := \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n$.

Subsequences and Partial Limits of a Sequence

Definition. If $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...$ is a sequence and $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < ... < n_k < ...$ an increasing sequence of natural numbers, then the sequence $x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, ..., x_{n_k}, ...$ is called a *subsequence* of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Lemma (Bolzano-Weierstrass). Every bounded sequence of real numbers contains a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Let E be the set of values of the bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$. If E is finite, there exists a point $x \in E$ and a sequence $n_1 < n_2 < ...$ of indices such that $x_{n_1} = x_{n_2} = ... = x$. The subsequence is constant and hence converges.

If E is infinite, then by the **Bolzano-Weierstrass principle** from section 2.3.3 it has a limit point x. Use the property of limit points, one can see that $|x_{n_k} - x| < \frac{1}{k}$ and $|x_{n_{k+1}} - x| < \frac{1}{k+1}$. Because $\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} = 0$, the sequence $x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, ..., x_{n_k}, ...$ converges to x.

Definition. We shall write $x_n \to +\infty$ and say that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ tends to positive infinity if for each number c there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n > N(x_n > c)$. We can gneralize this two both positive and negative infinity:

$$(x_n \to \infty) := \forall c \in \mathbb{R} \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n > N(c < |x_n|).$$

Lemma. From each sequence of real numbers one can extract either a convergent subsequence of a subsequence that tends to infinity.

Proof. When the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is not bounded, the new case occurs. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can choose $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{n_k} > k|$ and $n_k < n_{k+1}$. This sequence is monotonically increasing and not bounded above, hence it tends to infinity.

Let $\{x_k\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers that is bounded below. We can consider the sequence $i_n = inf_{k \ge n}x_k$. The sequence $\{i_n\}$ has a finite limit $\lim_{n \to \infty} i_n = l$, or $i_n \to +\infty$, since $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (i_n \le i_{n+1})$.

Definition. The number $l = \lim_{n \to \infty} inf_{k \ge n} x_k$ is called the *inferior* limit of the sequence $\{x_k\}$ and denoted $\underline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} x_k$. If $i_n \to +\infty$, it is said that the inferior limit of the sequence equals positive infinity, and we write $\underline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} x_k = +\infty$. If the original sequence $\{x_k\}$ is not bounded below, then we shall have $i_n = inf_{k \ge n} x_k = -\infty$ and write $\underline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} x_k = -\infty$.

$$\underline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} x_k \coloneqq \lim_{n \to \infty} in f_{k \geqslant n} x_k$$

Similarly, the superior limit of the sequence $\{x_k\}$ can be defined as

$$\overline{\lim}_{k\to\infty} x_k \coloneqq \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{k\geqslant n} x_k$$

Definition. A number (or the symbol $-\infty$ or $+\infty$) is called a *partial limit* of a sequence, if the sequence contains a subsequence converging to that number.

Proposition. The inferior and superior limits of a bounded sequence are respectively the smallest and largest partial limits of the sequence.

$Proo_{\mathbb{I}}$	content \Box	

Corollary. A sequence has a limit or tends to $\pm \infty$ iff its inferior and superior limits are the same.

Corollary. A sequence converges iff every subsequence of it converges.

Corollary. The Bolzano-Weierstrass Lemma in its restricted and wider formulations follows from the Proposition we just proved.

3.1.4 Elementary Facts about Series

3.2 The Limit of a Function

34 3. LIMITS

Continuous Functions

- 4.1 Basic Definitions and Examples
- 4.2 Properties of Continuous Functions

Differential Calculus

- 5.1 Differentiable Functions
- 5.2 The Basic Rules of Differentiation
- 5.3 The Basic Theorems of Differential Calculus
- 5.4 Differential Calculus Used to Study Functions
- 5.5 Complex Numbers and Elementary Functions
- 5.6 Examples of Differential Calculus in Natural Science
- 5.7 Primitives

Integration

- 6.1 Definition of the Integral and Description of the Set of Integrable Functions
- 6.2 Linearity, Additivity and Monotonicity on the Space $\mathcal{R}[a,b]$
- 6.3 The Integral and the Derivative
- 6.4 Some Applications of Integration
- 6.5 Improper Integrals

40 6. INTEGRATION

Functions of Several Variables: Their Limits and Continuity

- 7.1 The Space \mathbb{R}^m and the Most Important Classes of Its Subsets
- 7.2 Limits and Continuity of Functions of Several Variables

42 7. FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES: THEIR LIMITS AND CONTINUITY

The Differential Calculus of Functions of Several Variables

- 8.1 The Linear Structure on \mathbb{R}^m
- 8.2 The Differential of a Function of Several Variables
- 8.3 The Basic Laws of Differentiation
- 8.4 The Basic Facts of Differential Calculus of Real-Valued Functions of Several Variables
- 8.5 The Implicit Function Theorem
- 8.6 Some Corollaries of the Implicit Function Theorem
- 8.7 Surfaces in \mathbb{R}^n and the Theory of Extrema with Constraint