

# STAT 231 - Statistics

Cameron Roopnarine

Last updated: March 31, 2020

# Contents

0.1	2020-03-06	2
-----	------------	---

## 0.1 2020-03-06

---

2020-03-13

---

Roadmap:

- (i) Recap and the relationship between Confidence and Hypothesis
- (ii) Example: Bias Testing
- (iii) Testing for variance (Normal)
- (iv) What if we don't know how to construct a Test-Statistic?

**EXAMPLE 0.1.1.**  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  iid  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

- $\sigma^2 = \text{known}$
- $\mu = \text{unknown}$
- Sample:  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$
- $\bar{y} = \text{sample mean}$
- $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$
- $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$

$$D = \left| \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu_0}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \right| \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Test-Statistic (r.v.)}$$

$$d = \left| \frac{\bar{y} - \mu_0}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \right| \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Value of the Test-Statistic}$$

$$p\text{-value} = P(D \geq d) \quad \text{assuming } H_0 \text{ is true}$$

$$= P(|Z| \geq d) \quad Z \sim N(0, 1)$$

Question: Suppose the  $p$ -value for the test  $> 0.05$  if and only if  $\mu_0$  belongs in the 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$ ?

YES.

Suppose  $\mu_0$  is in the 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$ , i.e.

$$\bar{y} \pm 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\mu_0 \leq \bar{y} + 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\mu_0 \geq \bar{y} - 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

These two equations yield

$$d = \left| \frac{\bar{y} - \mu_0}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \right| \leq 1.96$$

$$P(|Z| \geq d) > 0.05$$

General result (assuming same pivot)

$p$ -value of a test  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$  is more than  $q\%$ , then  $\theta_0$  belongs to the  $100(1 - q)\%$  confidence interval and vice versa.

**EXAMPLE 0.1.2** (Bias). A 10 kg weighted 20 times  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$

- $H_0$ : The scale is unbiased
- $H_1$ : The scale is biased

If the scale was unbiased,

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim N(10, \sigma^2)$$

If the scale was biased,

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim N(10 + \delta, \sigma^2)$$

- $H_0$ :  $\delta = 0$  (unbiased)
- $H_1$ :  $\delta \neq 0$  (biased)

is equivalent to

- $H_0$ :  $\mu = 10$
- $H_1$ :  $\mu \neq 10$

Test-statistic:

$$D = \left| \frac{\bar{Y} - 10}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \right|$$

Compute  $d$ .

$$d = \left| \frac{\bar{y} - 10}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} p\text{-value} &= P(D \geq d) \\ &= P(|T_{19}| \geq d) \end{aligned}$$

**EXAMPLE 0.1.3** (Draw Conclusions).  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n = \text{co-op salaries}$ .  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

- $H_0$ :  $\mu = 3000$
- $H_1$ :  $\mu < 3000$  ( $\mu \neq 3000$ )

$$D = \left| \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu_0}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \right|$$

$$D = \begin{cases} 0 & \bar{Y} > \mu_0 \\ \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu_0}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} & \bar{Y} < \mu_0 \end{cases}$$

If  $n$  is large, then

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim f(y_i; \theta)$$

- $H_0$ :  $\theta = \theta_0$
- $H_1$ :  $\theta \neq \theta_0$

$$\Lambda(\theta) = -2 \ln \left[ \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta})} \right]$$

where  $\Lambda$  satisfies all the properties of  $D$ . Also,

$$\lambda(\theta) = -2 \ln \left[ \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta})} \right]$$

and

$$p\text{-value} = P(\Lambda \geq \lambda) = P(Z^2 \geq \lambda)$$

Roadmap:

- (i) General info
- (ii) Testing for variance for Normal
- (iii) An example

The general problem:  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  iid where  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are both unknown.  $H_0: \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$  vs two sided alternative.

- (i) Test statistic? Problem
- (ii) Convention?

The pivot is:

$$U = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma_0^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

can we use this as our test statistic?

**EXAMPLE 0.1.4.**

- Normal population:  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$
- $n = 20$ ,  $\sum y_i = 888.1$ ,  $\sum y_i^2 = 39545.03$
- $H_0: \sigma = 2$
- $H_1: \sigma \neq 2$

What is the  $p$ -value? We know

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \sum y_i^2 - n\bar{y}^2 \right] = 5.7342$$

Compute  $U$ :

$$U = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma_0^2} = 27.24$$

$\chi_{19}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} p\text{-value} &= 2P(U \geq 27.24) \\ &= 2P(\chi_{19}^2 \geq 27.24) \\ &= 10\% \text{ and } 20\% \end{aligned}$$

so,  $p > 0.1$  means there is no evidence against null-hypothesis.

2020-03-18

Roadmap:

- (i) 5 min recap
- (ii) LTRS for large  $n$
- (iii) An example

$Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  iid  $\sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

- $H_0: \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$
- $U = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma_0^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$

We calculated the  $p$ -value:

$$U = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma_0^2}$$

If

- $U > \text{median } \chi_{n-1}^2 \implies p\text{-value} = 2P(U \geq u)$
- $U < \text{median } \chi_{n-1}^2 \implies p\text{-value} = 2P(U \leq u)$

Exercise: Construct the 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma^2$ . Then, check if  $\sigma_0^2(4) \in 95\%$  confidence interval.

- $H_0: \sigma^2 = 4$  (more than 10%, so it is in the 95% confidence interval)

Likelihood Ratio Test Statistic (one parameter)

$Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  iid  $f(y_i; \theta)$  with  $n$  large.

- Sample:  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$
- $\theta$  = unknown parameter
- $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$
- $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$

Step 1: Test statistic:

$$\Lambda = -2 \ln \left[ \frac{L(\theta)}{L(\hat{\theta})} \right]$$

If  $H_0$  is true:

$$\Lambda = -2 \ln \left[ \frac{L(\theta)}{L(\hat{\theta})} \right] \sim \chi_1^2$$

Step 2: Calculate  $\lambda$

$$\lambda = -2 \ln \left[ \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta})} \right] = -2 \ln [R(\theta_0)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} p\text{-value} &= P(\Lambda \geq \lambda) \\ &= P(Z^2 \geq \lambda) \\ &= 1 - P(|Z| \leq \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

**EXAMPLE 0.1.5.** Suppose  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim f(y_i; \theta)$  iid. where

$$f(y, \theta) = \frac{2y}{\theta} e^{-y^2/\theta}$$

Data:  $n = 20, \sum y_i^2 = 72$

We want to test  $H_0: \theta = 5$  (two sided alternative).

- $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum y_i^2 = 3.6$
- $R(\theta_0) = \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta_0} e^{(1-\hat{\theta}/\theta_0)^n}$
- $\lambda(\theta_0) = \dots$

We know  $\lambda = -2 \ln [R(\theta_0)] = 1.9402$  and so

$$R(\theta_0) = \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta})} = 0.3791$$

also  $\theta_0 = 5$ . Lastly, calculate the  $p$ -value.

$$\begin{aligned} p\text{-value} &= P(\Lambda \geq \lambda) \\ &= P(Z^2 \geq 1.9402) \\ &\approx 16.5\% \end{aligned}$$

Thus, no evidence against null-hypothesis ( $H_0$ ).

A few final points:

- (i) Careful about the previous example.
- (ii)  $\lambda$  and the relationship with  $R$
- (iii) Next video
  - $n = 20$  is not large
  - $\lambda = -2 \ln [R(\theta_0)]$ : high values of  $\lambda \implies$  low values of  $R(\theta_0)$

---

2020-03-20

---

Roadmap:

(a) Housekeeping

Modified Syllabus + Incentives

Extra materials

Dropbox link + Mathsoc

(b) Gaussian Response Model: An introduction

Gaussian Response Models

Assumption:  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{Normal}$

Before:  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  iid. with  $\mu, \sigma^2 = \text{unknown}$ .

$$Y_i = \mu + R_i$$

where  $R_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  and  $R_i$ 's independent for each  $i \in [1, n]$ . We call:

- $Y_i$  **response** variable
- $\mu$  **systematic part**
- $R$  **random part**

Now:

- $x$  = explanatory variable
- $\mu = \mu(x)$
- $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2(x)$

For example,

$$Y_i \sim N(\mu(x), \sigma^2(x_i))$$

Simple Linear Regression:  $\mu = \alpha + \beta x$  and  $\sigma^2 = \text{constant}$ .

**EXAMPLE 0.1.6.**

- Response:  $Y_i$  = STAT 231 score of student  $i$
- Explanatory (Covariate):  $x_i$  = STAT 230 score of student  $i$  (given)

Can  $Y$  be explained by  $x$ ?

Simple Linear Regression Model

$$Y_i \sim N(\alpha + \beta x_i, \sigma^2)$$

for each  $i \in [1, n]$  independent.

Our assumptions are:

- $E(Y) = \mu(x) = \alpha + \beta x$
- $Y \sim \text{Normal}$
- $\sigma^2 = \text{constant}$  (independent of  $x$ )
- independent

We want to estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

2020-03-23

Roadmap:

- 5 min recap
- MLE for  $\alpha, \beta, \sigma$
- Least Squares
- Example

Recap:

General:  $Y \sim N(\mu(x), r(x))$

Assumptions for the Simple Linear Regression Model (Gauss Markov Assumptions)

- One covariate (for the time being)
- Normality:  $Y_i$ 's are Normal
- Linearity:  $E(Y) = \alpha + \beta x$
- Independence:  $Y_i$ 's are all independent
- Homoscedasticity:  $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2(x) = \sigma^2$  for all  $x$

We call it a Simple since  $x$  is the only explanatory variate. If we used more than one explanatory variate, we call it a multi-variable regression (not covered in this course).

MLE Calculation

$$Y_i \sim N(\alpha + \beta x_i, \sigma^2)$$

for each  $i \in [1, n]$  independent. We can also write

$$Y_i = (\alpha + \beta x_i) + R_i$$

where  $R_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  and  $R_i$ 's independent.

$$f(y_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - (\alpha + \beta x_i))^2}$$

$$L(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum [y_i - (\alpha + \beta x_i)]^2}$$



so,

$$\ell(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - n \ln(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum [y_i - (\alpha + \beta x_i)]^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \alpha} = 0 \implies \hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta} \bar{x}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = 0 \implies \hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma} = 0 \implies \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum [y_i - (\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_i)]^2$$