# Chapter 5 Fields and Galois theory Solutions

October 5, 2020

# Field Extensions

#### 1.

- (a) [F:K] = 1 if and only if F = K.
- (b) If [F:K] is a prime, then there are no intermediate fields between F and K
- (c) If  $u \in F$  has degree n over K, then n divides [F:K]

**Proof.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$ : If [F:K]=1 then let  $\{u\}, u \in F$  be the basis of F. If u=0 then F=0 as every elements in F has the form ku for some  $k \in K$ .Let f be a map, which is  $f:K\to F, k\mapsto ku$ . Then it's easy to see that f is injective. By the fact that every element in F has form ku for some  $k\in K$ , we have f is surjective, hence f is bijective. Therefore F=K.

 $\Leftarrow$  If F = K then any nonzero element could be the basis of F over K (b) If there is some intermediate field E between F and K then we have

$$[F:K] = [F:E][E:K]$$

which means [F:E] = 1 or [E:K] = 1 as [F:K] is prime. Therefore we have F = E or E = K by (a).

(c) By the condition, let f be the minimal polynomial of u over K, we have that  $1, u, u^2, ..., u^{n-1}$  is a basis of K(u)(**Theorem1.6**) Notice that K(u) is an intermediate field between K and F, we have n divides [F:K] by **Theorem1.2** 

#### 2.

Give an example of a finitely generation field extension, which is not finite dimensional.

**Solution.** Consider  $\mathbb{Q}(e)$ , it's obvious that  $\mathbb{Q}(e)$  is a finitely generated extension but  $\mathbb{Q}(e)$  is not finite dimensional over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , otherwise e is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which is false.

#### 3.

If  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in F$  then the field  $F(u_1, ..., u_n)$  is isomorphic to the quotient field of the ring  $K[u_1, ..., u_n]$ .

**Proof.** Define map between  $F(u_1,...,u_2)$  and the quotient field of  $F[u_1,...,u_n]$  as follows:

$$f: h(u_1,...,u_n)/k(u_1,...,u_n) \mapsto (h(u_1,...,u_n),k(u_1,...,u_n))$$

It's easy to see that f is an isomorphism.

- (a) For any  $u_1, ..., u_n \in F$  and any permutation  $\sigma \in S_n, K(u_1, ..., u_n) = K(u_{\sigma(1)}, ..., u_{\sigma(n)})$
- (b)  $K(u_1, ..., u_{n-1})(u_n) = K(u_1, ..., u_{n-1}, u_n)$
- (c) State and prove the analogues of (a) and (b) for  $K[u_1,...,u_n]$ .
- (d) If each  $u_i$  is algebraic over K, then  $K(u_1,...,u_n)=K[u_1,...,u_n]$

**Proof.** (a) According to the definition and remark after **Theorem1.2**,  $K(u_1, ..., u_n)$  is the subfield generated by  $F \cup \{u_1, ..., u_n\}$  and  $K(u_{\sigma(1)}, ..., u_{\sigma(n)})$  is the subfield generated by  $F \cup \{u_{\sigma(1)}, ..., u_{\sigma(n)}\}$ . These two sets are equal as  $\sigma$  is bijective.

(b) $K(u_1,...,u_{n-1})(u_n)$  is a subfield (of F) that contains  $u_1,...,u_{n-1},u_n$ , therefore according to the difinition of  $K(u_1,...,u_n)$ , we have:

$$K(u_1, ..., u_n) \subset K(u_1, ..., u_{n-1})(u_n)$$

On the other hand,  $K(u_1, ..., u_{n-1})(u_n)$  is the subfield generated by  $K(u_1, ..., u_{n-1}) \cup \{u_n\}$ Notice that  $K(u_1, ..., u_n)$  contains  $K(u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$  and  $u_n$ , we have:

$$K(u_1, ..., u_{n-1})(u_n) \subset K(u_1, ..., u_n)$$

therefore these two subfield are equal.

- (c) The analogues of  $K[u_1, ..., u_n]$  are easy to write and prove as long as we replace "subfield" with "subring".
- (d) We prove by induction: when n = 1 this holds as K(u) = K[u], which is showed in **Theorem1.6**. Let's assume  $K(u_1, ..., u_{n-1}) = K[u_1, ..., u_{n-1}]$ , then  $u_n$  is algebraic over K implies  $u_n$  is also algebraic over  $K(u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$ . We have:

$$K(u_1,...,u_n) = K(u_1,...,u_{n-1})(u_n) = K[u_1,...,u_{n-1}](u_n) = K[u_1,...,u_{n-1}][u_n] = K[u_1,...,u_n]$$

The count-down-2 equation follows from the conclusion of adding one algebraic element.

**5**.

Let L and M be subfields of F and LM their composite.

- (a) If  $K \subset L \cap M$  and M = K(S) for some  $S \subset M$ , then LM = L(S).
- (b) When is it true that LM is the set theoretic union  $L \cup M$
- (c) If  $E_1, ..., E_n$  are subfields of F, show that

$$E_1E_2...E_n = E_1(E_2(...(E_{n-1}(E_n))...)).$$

Proof. PASS

6.

Every element of  $K(x_1,...,x_n)$  which is not in K is transcendental over K.

**Proof.** PASS: I feel this question is incorrect

If v is algebraic over K(u) for some  $u \in F$  and v is transcendental over K, then u is algebraic over K(v).

**Proof.** v is algebraic over K(u) means there is some polynomial  $f \in K(u)[x]$  such that f(u) = 0. We can write this in the following form:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{h_i(u)}{k_i(u)} v^i = 0, h_i(x), k_i(x) \in K[x]$$

. By multiplying  $\prod_{i=0}^{n} h_i(u)$  we have:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_i(u)v^i = 0, F_i(u) = \prod_{j \neq i} k_j(u)h_i(u)$$

If we combine all coefficiences of each  $u^i$  together, we will have:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} G_i(v)u^i = 0, G_i(x) \in K[x]$$

Notice that  $G_i(v) \neq 0, \forall i = 0, ..., m$  as v is transcendental over K. We have u is algebraic over K(v).

### 8.

If  $u \in F$  is algebraic of odd degree over K, then so is  $u^2$  and  $K(u) = K(u^2)$ 

**Proof.** If u is algebraic over K then [F(u):F] is finite and equals to the degree of the minimal polynomial of u. It's easy to see that  $K(u^2)$  is an intermediate between K and K(u), according to **Theorem1.2** we have  $[K(u^2):K] \mid [K(u):K]$ . Now that [K(u):K] is odd, so is  $[K(u^2):K]$  and  $u^2$  has odd degree, which shows  $u^2$  is also algebraic over K

Let 
$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} k_i x^i$$
 be the minimal polynomial of  $u$  over  $K$ , then we have:  $\sum_{i=0}^{p} k_i u^i = 0$ .

Do the following transmission:

$$u \sum_{i \text{ is odd}} k_i(u^2)^{\frac{i-1}{2}} + \sum_{i \text{ is even}} k_i(u^2)^{\frac{i}{2}} = 0$$

Let  $h(x) = \sum_{i \text{ is odd}} k_i x^{\frac{i-1}{2}}, g(x) = \sum_{i \text{ is even}} k_i x^{\frac{i}{2}}$  Then we have:  $u = -\frac{g(u^2)}{h(u^2)}$  (p is odd guar-

rantees h(x) exists and not equals to 0, the minimal of p guarantees  $h(u) \neq 0$ ,

Therefore we have  $u \in K(u^2)$ , hence  $K(u) \subset K(u^2)$ . It's obvious that  $K(u^2) \subset K(u)$ , then we have  $K(u^2) = K(u)$ 

# 9.

If  $x^n - a \in K[x]$  is irreducible and  $u \in F$  is a root of  $x^n - a$  and m divides n, then prove that the degree of  $u^m$  over K is n/m. What is the irreducible polynomial for  $u^m$  over K?

**Proof.** u is a root of  $f(x) = x^n - a$  means  $u^n - a = 0$ , we have  $(u^m)^{\frac{n}{m}} - a = 0$  Let  $g(x) = x^{\frac{n}{m}} - a$ , we claim that g(x) is the minimal polynomial of  $u^m$ .

If there is another g'(x) such that  $\deg g' < \deg g$  and  $g'(u^m) = 0$ . Then there is a polynomial f'(x) with degree of  $\deg g' \times m$ , which is less that  $\deg f$  such that f'(u) = 0, which contradicts the defintion of minimal polynomial. Therefore we have degree of  $u^m$  over K is  $\frac{n}{m}$ 

The fact that there is only one minimal polynomial(let f, g be minimal polynomials, then  $f \mid g$  and  $g \mid f$ ) shows that  $x^{\frac{n}{m}} - a$  is the minimal polynomial of  $u^m$ 

#### 10.

If F is algebraic over K and D is an integral domain such that  $K \subset D \subset F$ , then D is a field

**Proof.** For any element  $d \in D \subset F$ , consider  $d^{-1} \in F$ . Let f(x) be the minimal polynomial of  $d^{-1}$  over K(F) is algebraic over K by condition) Then we have:  $f(d^{-1}) = 0$ , write it as:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} k_i d^{-i} = 0 \Rightarrow d^{-1} = (k_n)^{-1} d^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i d^{-i} = (k_n)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i d^{n-1-i}$$

Therefore  $d^{-1} \in D$  and D is a subgroup of F under multiplication

# 12.

If  $d \geq 0$  is an integer that is not a square describe the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  and find a set of elements that generate the whole field.

**Solution**. PASS: I don't understand what it means.

#### 13.

- (a) Consider the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(u)$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  generated by a real root u of  $x^3 6x^2 + 9x + 3$ . (Why is this irreducible?) Express each of the following elements in terms of the basis  $\{1, u, u^2\}: u^4, u^5, 3u^5 u^4 + 2; (u+1)^{-1}; (u^2 6u + 8)^{-1}$ .
- (b) Do the same with respect to the basis  $\{1, u, u^2, u^3, u^4\}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(u)$  where u is a real root of  $x^5 + 2x + 2$  and the elements in question are:  $(u^2 + 2)(u^3 + 3u); u^{-1}; u^4(u^4 + 3u^2 + 7u + 5); (u + 2)(u^2 + 3)^{-1}$

**Solution**. (a)  $u^4 = 27u^2 - 57u - 18$ ,  $u^5 = 105u^2 - 261u - 81$ . By using Euclidean Algorithm, we calculated that  $gcd(x^3 - 6x^2 + 9x + 3, x + 1) = 1$  and:

$$-(x^3 - 6x^2 + 9x + 3) + (x+1) \times \frac{1}{14}(x^2 - 8x + 17) = 1$$

Therefore  $(u+1)^{-1} = \frac{1}{14}(u^2 - 8u + 17)$ .

(b) The same as (a), but there are more calculations

- (a) If  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ , find  $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$  and a basis of F over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (b) Do the same for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{3}, \omega)$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{C}, i^2 = -1$ , and  $\omega$  is a complex (nonreal) cube root of 1.

**Solution**. (a)  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] \times [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}]$  It's easy to see that these two components are both 2, hence we have :  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ . The basis are  $\{1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6}\}$ .

(b) Notice that  $\omega = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$ , we have:  $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{3})$ . Then we have:  $[\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(i)] \times [\mathbb{Q}(i) : \mathbb{Q}]$ , which equals to  $2 \times 2 = 4$ . The basis is  $\{1, i, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3}i\}$ 

#### 15.

In the field K(x), let  $u = x^3/(x+1)$ . Show that K(x) is a simple extension of the field K(u). What is [K(x):K(u)]

**Proof.** Let  $v = x^2/(x+1)$ , we will show that K(u)(v) = K(x), which means K(x) is simple extension of K(u).  $K(u)(v) \subset K(x)$  is obvious. Notice that  $x = (x^3/(x+1))/(x^2/(x+1)) = u/v$  We have:  $x \in K(u)(v)$ , moreover, any  $f/g \in K(x)$  could be written as the combination of  $x^i$ , thus an element of K(u)(v). Therefore we have  $K(x) \subset K(u)(v)$  and K(u)(v) = K(x).

## 16.

In the field  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  are isomorphic as vector spaces, but not as fields.

**Proof.** i and  $\sqrt{2}$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , thus by **Theorem1.6** we have:

$$\mathbb{Q}(i) = \mathbb{Q}[i] = \{ f(i) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \} = \{ a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \}$$
$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{ f(i) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \} = \{ a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \}$$

Consider Q-module homorphism:

$$f: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \to \mathbb{Q}(i): a + b\sqrt{2} \mapsto a + bi$$

Then f is easy to be seen as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module isomorphism, thus a vector space isomorphism. We will show that there is no filed-isomorphism between  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . If there is some field-isomorphism f between these two fields of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then we have:

$$f(a+bi) = f(a) + f(b)f(i), \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Then we have:

$$f(a)^{2} + f(b)^{2} = f(a^{2} + b^{2}) = f((a + bi)(a - bi)) = f(a + bi)f(a - bi)$$
$$= (f(a) + f(b)f(i))(f(a) - f(b)f(i)) = f(a)^{2} - f(b)^{2}f(i)^{2}$$

This means  $f(i)^2 = -1$  which is impossible in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ 

**REMARK**.  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  as vector space is isomorphic is because they have the same dimension. And the difference between vector space and fields $(mathbbQ(\sqrt{2}))$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  is that f((a+bi)(a-bi)) = f(a+bi)f(a-bi) is not always true in vector space.

A complex number is said to be an **algebraic number** if it is algebraic over  $\mathbb Q$  and an **algebraic integer** if it is the root of a monic polynomial in  $\mathbb Z[x]$