

Team: liz27, wut4, sheny20, luox6





Select Submission Version:

Version #5   GRADE THIS VERSION

Do Not Grade This Assignment

**Note: This version of your assignment will be graded by the instructor/TAs and the score recorded in the gradebook.**

Submitted Files

LineUp Proposal.pdf (55.72kb)	Download 	First access timestamp:	03/23/2021 @ 10:58:41 PM EDT
lineup.tar.gz (3,228.97kb)	Download 	Submission timestamp:	05/03/2021 @ 09:36:15 PM EDT
linkToPresentation.txt (0.08kb)	Download 	Days late:	0 (before extensions)
		Grading time:	0 seconds
		Queue wait time:	0 seconds
		Gradeable access duration:	982 hours 37 minutes 34 seconds
Download all files:			

100 / 100

Total

95 / 95

TA / Instructor Grading Total

<div>4 / 4</div> <div>Javadoc, readme file, script(s), deployment procedure description. <i>(Graded by: Wang)</i></div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Full credit</div>
<div>8 / 8</div> <div>User manual. <i>(Graded by: Wang)</i></div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 Full Credit</div>
<div>5 / 5</div> <div>Testing manual and test cases. <i>(Graded by: Wang)</i></div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Full Credit</div>
<div>3 / 3</div> <div>Source code quality, coding style conventions. <i>(Graded by: Wang)</i></div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Full credit</div>
<div>20 / 20</div> <div>Overall quality of the application. <i>(Graded by: Wang)</i></div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20 Full credit</div>
<div>15 / 15</div> <div>Demonstrated knowledge of Java technologies. <i>(Graded by: Wang)</i></div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15 Full credit</div>
<div>20 / 20</div> <div>Presentation. <i>(Graded by: Kuzmin)</i></div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 All team members participated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 Timing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 Demo or application presentation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 Presentation coverage, flow, and overall quality</div>
<div>20 / 20</div> <div>Report. <i>(Graded by: Wang)</i></div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 All team members participated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Report contains a summary of work done <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Report describes the results <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 Overall quality, flow, structure, language, grammar, and style</div>

Overall note from Konstantin:

Nice presentation, a tad too long but it wasn't excessively boring or repetitive. Great job! Project is well developed. Overall, a very strong project and presentation.

Grade inquiries are due by 05/06/2021 @ 11:59 PM EDT

Open Grade Inquiry

Switch to Normal Display

5 / 5

Peer Grading Total

Peer 1

Peer grading.

☒ 0 Full Credit

☐ -1 Code structure and formatting can be improved, code has "code smells", does not always adhere to the coding style conventions, or does not always follow all guidelines for quality code (e.g., can be refactored by applying appropriate design patterns). More details are provided in the comment section below.

☐ -1 Application starts and runs correctly but occasionally produces some errors, unexpected exceptions, etc.

☐ -1 Application has user interface or API functions correctly and looks attractive but can be improved, is not intuitive to the user, or is slow. More details are provided in the comment section below.

☐ -1 Application correctly implements most features listed in the proposal and/or the documentation but some features are not implemented, function incorrectly, or can otherwise be improved. More details are provided in the comment section below.

☐ -1 User manual and/or readme.txt are either too short and missing important parts or are too long and repetitive; user manual language, grammar, punctuation, and style can be improved; user manual uses language not suitable for the intended audience. Specific details are provided in the comment section below.

☐ -1 Testing manual is missing important parts (one or more of: testing plan, testing strategy, testing scenarios, test cases) or its language, grammar, and style can be improved. Specific details are provided in the comment section below.

☐ -1 The presentation is overall comprehensive but is too long/short, does not feature all team members, or can otherwise be improved. More details are provided in the comment section below.

Overall note from grader:

Overall, I enjoyed your presentation and project. I like how your group started with a demonstration first -- THEN went into a presentation. Not only was it a nice change of pace, but it let us better understand your presentation more, as we already knew what it was describing.

I also like how your group had a dedicated front-end portion that was separate from Java. While I've never used Vue, I'm pretty well-versed in other javascript frameworks (cough React cough) so it was nice to see familiarity in web content.

I also like how your group had a dedicated front-end portion that was separate from Java. While I've never used Vue, I'm pretty well-versed in other javascript frameworks (cough React cough) so it was nice to see familiarity in web content.