

NOTE ON TYPE THEORIES

KEISUKE HOSHINO

[Cis19]

Notation 0.1. We employ the following notations.

- (2,1)-categories are denoted by bf symbols: $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}, \dots$ or bb symbols: $\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{A}, \dots$
- \mathbf{Set} is the category of sets.
- \mathbf{Cat} is the large (2,1)-category of categories.
- There is a fully faithful (2,1)-functor $\mathbf{disc}: \mathbf{Set} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$.
- \mathfrak{Cat} is the large 2-category of categories.
- Δ^1 is the 1-simplex seen as a category. \mathbf{C}^{Δ^1} is the arrow category of \mathbf{C} .
- $\mathbf{C}_{/A}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{A/}$ are over and under categories respectively.
- \mathbf{cod} and \mathbf{dom} mean codomain and domain respectively. They often have as their type $\mathbf{C}^{\Delta^1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{C}_{/A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, or $\mathbf{C}_{A/} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.
- By a *replete class of morphisms* of \mathbf{C} , we mean a replete full subcategory of \mathbf{C}^{Δ^1} .
- ■

1. DEPENDENT TYPE THEORIES IN TERMS OF DISPLAY MAPS

Definition 1.1. A *display map category* $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{dis}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is a pair of a category \mathbf{C} and a replete class $\mathbf{dis}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of morphisms satisfying the following conditions. Arrows in $\mathbf{dis}_{\mathbf{C}}$ are called *display maps* of \mathbf{C} .

- \mathbf{C} has a terminal object¹.
- Let $h: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $f: A \rightarrow \Gamma$ be morphisms in \mathbf{C} such that f is a display map. Then there is a pullback square

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{h} & \Gamma \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{C} such that the left side is also a display map. ■

Definition 1.2. Suppose we are given a display map category \mathbf{C} and an object $\Gamma \in \mathbf{C}$. We define a display map category $\mathbf{C}(\Gamma)$ as follows.

- The underlying category is the full subcategory of the over category $\mathbf{C}_{/\Gamma}$ spanned by display maps in \mathbf{C} .
- A display map in $\mathbf{C}(\Gamma)$ is a morphism $f \in \mathbf{C}(\Gamma)$ such that $\mathbf{dom}(f)$ is a display map in \mathbf{C} . ■

Proposition 1.3. The above definition indeed gives a display map category. ◆

Definition 1.4. Define the 2-category \mathfrak{CwD} of display map categories as follows.

- 0-cells are display map categories.
- 1-cells are functors preserving the terminal object, display maps, and pullbacks of the forms (1).
- A 2-cell $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a natural transformation such that naturality squares at display maps are pullback squares; i.e., for each display map $f: A \rightarrow \Gamma$ in \mathbf{C} , the naturality square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & GA \\ F(f) \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow G(f) \\ F\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\alpha_\Gamma} & G\Gamma \end{array}$$

Date: April 17, 2024.

¹In some literature, this condition is omitted for the definition of display map category.

is a pullback square in \mathbf{D} . ■

Definition 1.5. A display map category is *democratic* if for each object $\Gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, there exists a sequence of display maps from Γ to the terminal object. We write \mathbf{CwD}^{dm} for the full sub 2-category of \mathbf{CwD} spanned by democratic display map categories. ■

Proposition 1.6. \mathbf{CwD}^{dm} is a (2,1)-category. ◆

Definition 1.7. A *clan* $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{C}, \text{fib}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is a display map category satisfying the following conditions. Display maps (i.e., arrows in $\text{fib}_{\mathbf{C}}$) are called *fibrations* of \mathbf{C} .

- For each object $A \in \mathbf{C}$, the unique morphism $A \rightarrow 1$ towards the terminal object is a fibration. ■
- $\text{fib}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is closed under composition and contains all isomorphisms. ■

We write \mathbf{Clan} for the full sub 2-category of \mathbf{CwD} spanned by clans. Since clans are always democratic, this is a (2,1)-category.

Definition 1.8 (Strict Categories). We write \mathbf{StrCat} for the (1-)category of categories, and an object in \mathbf{StrCat} is called a *strict category*. For each strict category \mathbf{C} , we write $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$ for its underlying object. By *object* of \mathbf{C} , we mean an element in $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$. ■

Remark 1.9. You can see a strict category as a category equipped with its data of *objects*; we can define a *strict category* as a category \mathbf{C} equipped with a set $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$ and an essentially surjective functor towards \mathbf{C} . They form a full sub-(2,1)-category of \mathbf{Cat}^{Δ^1} , which is indeed equivalent to \mathbf{StrCat} . ■

Definition 1.10. A *contextual category* $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{C}, \varepsilon, \text{dis}_{\mathbf{C}})$ consists of the following data.

- A strict category \mathbf{C} .
- An object ε of \mathbf{C} which is a terminal object.
- A class² $\text{dis}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of *display maps* such that
 - for each object $\Gamma \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$, there exists a unique path of display maps $\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \varepsilon$, and
 - for each display map $A = (\Gamma.A \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma)$ and a morphism $\vec{t}: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ there exists a unique pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta.A[\vec{t}/\vec{x}] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Gamma.A \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{\vec{t}} & \Gamma \end{array}$$

whose left side is a display map, which is denoted by $A[\vec{t}/\vec{x}]$ and called the *substitution* of \vec{t} in A .

We write \mathbf{CwC} for the category of contextual categories and functors preserving those structures. ■

Notation 1.11. Let \mathbf{C} be a contextual category.

- A *context* in \mathbf{C} is an object in \mathbf{C} .
- A *type* A over a context Γ in \mathbf{C} is a display map $A = (\Gamma.A \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma)$. We write

$$\Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}$$

if A is a type over Γ .

- A *term* t of a type A over a context Γ is a section of the display map $\Gamma.A \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$. We write

$$\Gamma \vdash t : A$$

if t is a term of A .

- We write

$$\Gamma \vdash A \doteq A' \text{ type} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma \vdash t \doteq t' : A$$

if A and A' are equal as display maps and t and t' are equal as sections. We say types (or terms) are *judgementally equal* if such an equality holds.

- (Biased product of contexts.) Let $\Delta \in \mathbf{C}$ be a context and $\Gamma = \varepsilon.A_0 \cdots A_n$ be another context. (Note that such a sequence A_0, \dots, A_n of types uniquely exists for any context Γ .) We define $\Delta.\Gamma = \Delta.A_0 \cdots A_n$ inductively as follows.

²A *class of morphisms* in a strict category \mathbf{C} is just a subgraph of the *underlying graph* of \mathbf{C} , which is defined by pulling back $\mathbf{C}^{\Delta^1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$ along $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}) \times \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$.

- $\Delta.\varepsilon := \Delta$. There is a canonical projection $p_{-1}: \Delta \rightarrow \varepsilon$.
- Suppose we have constructed $\Delta.A_0 \cdots A_i$ and a projection $p_i: \Delta.A_0 \cdots A_i \rightarrow \varepsilon.A_0 \cdots A_i$. Then we define $\Delta.A_0 \cdots A_i.A_{i+1}$ and p_{i+1} as the following substitution.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Delta.A_0 \cdots A_i.A_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{p_{i+1}} & \varepsilon.A_0 \cdots A_i.A_{i+1} \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \Delta.A_0 \cdots A_i & \xrightarrow{p_i} & \varepsilon.A_0 \cdots A_i
 \end{array}$$

- (Morphisms of contexts.) Let Δ, Γ be contexts. A morphism $\vec{t}: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ can be seen as a tuple of terms. For example, when we have $\Gamma = \varepsilon.A_0.A_1$, the morphism \vec{t} is determined by the following terms t_0, t_1 .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Delta & \xrightarrow{t_1} & \Delta.A_1[t_0/x_0] & \longrightarrow & \Delta.\Gamma & \longrightarrow & \varepsilon.A_0.A_1 = \Gamma \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 & & \Delta & \xrightarrow{t_0} & \Delta.A_0 & \longrightarrow & \varepsilon.A_0 \\
 & & & \searrow & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \Delta & \longrightarrow & \varepsilon
 \end{array}$$

■

Theorem 1.12. There exists a biadjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{CwC} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{cxt}]{|-|} & \mathfrak{CwD} \\
 & \perp &
 \end{array}$$

that restricts to a biequivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{CwC} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{cxt}]{|-|} & \mathbf{CwD}^{\text{dm}} \\
 & \simeq &
 \end{array}$$

In particular, \mathbf{CwD}^{dm} is essentially a 1-category; i.e., a setoid enriched category. ◆

Sketch of proof. There is a canonical way to see a contextual category as a display map category. This indeed induces a 2-functor $|-|: \mathbf{CwC} \rightarrow \mathfrak{CwD}$.

For each display map category \mathbf{D} , we construct a contextual category $\text{cxt}(\mathbf{D})$ as follows.

- Firstly, choose a terminal object 1 . Then choose a section $\Gamma.-$ of the quotient functor $\mathbf{D}(\Gamma) \cong \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathbf{D}(\Gamma) \cong)$ for each $\Gamma \in \mathbf{D}$.
- An object in $\text{cxt}(\mathbf{D})$ is a tuple (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n) ($n \geq 0$) such that
 - $A_0 \in \pi_0(\mathbf{D}(1))$.
 - $A_{i+1} \in \pi_0(\mathbf{D}(1.A_0 \cdots A_i))$ for each $0 \leq i < n$.
- A morphism $(A_0, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow (B_0, \dots, B_m)$ is a morphism $1.A_0 \cdots A_n \rightarrow 1.B_0 \cdots B_m$.

$\text{cxt}(\mathbf{D})$ is independent of the choice of the terminal object and the sections of the quotient functors, up to isomorphism of categories. Moreover, one can check the natural equivalence

$$\mathbf{CwC}(\mathbf{C}, \text{cxt}(\mathbf{D})) \simeq \mathfrak{CwD}(|\mathbf{C}|, \mathbf{D})$$

of categories, which shows the biadjunction. □

2. LOGICAL FRAMEWORK À LA UEMURA

Definition 2.1. A *representable map category* $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{rep}_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a clan satisfying the following conditions. Arrows in $\mathbf{rep}_{\mathbf{R}}$ are called *representable maps* of \mathbf{R} .

- \mathbf{R} is finitely complete.
- For each representable map $f: X \twoheadrightarrow Y$, the pullback functor $f^*: \mathbf{R}_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_X$ has a right adjoint f_* . ■

REFERENCES

- [Cis19] D.-C. Cisinski. *Higher Categories and Homotopical Algebra*, volume 180 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019. doi:[10.1017/9781108588737](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108588737).

Email address: hoshinok@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY