

NOTE ON TYPE THEORIES

KEISUKE HOSHINO

[Cis19]

Notation 0.1. We employ the following notations.

- (2,1)-categories are denoted by bf symbols: $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}, \dots$ or bb symbols: $\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{A}, \dots$
- \mathbf{Set} is the category of sets.
- \mathbf{Cat} is the large (2,1)-category of categories.
- There is a fully faithful (2,1)-functor $\mathbf{disc}: \mathbf{Set} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$.
- $\mathcal{C}at$ is the large 2-category of categories.
- Δ^1 is the 1-simplex seen as a category. \mathbf{C}^{Δ^1} is the arrow category of \mathbf{C} .
- $\mathbf{C}_{/A}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{A/}$ are over and under categories respectively.
- \mathbf{cod} and \mathbf{dom} mean codomain and domain respectively. They often have as their type $\mathbf{C}^{\Delta^1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}_{/A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, or $\mathbf{C}_{A/} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.
- By a *replete class of morphisms* of \mathbf{C} , we mean a replete full subcategory of \mathbf{C}^{Δ^1} .
- \mathbf{WSub} is the replete full sub (2,1)-category of \mathbf{Cat}^{Δ^1} consisting of wide subcategory inclusions; i.e., faithful functors that induce equivalences on core groupoids.
- ■

1. DEPENDENT TYPE THEORIES IN TERMS OF DISPLAY MAPS

Definition 1.1. A *display map category* $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{dis}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is a pair of a category \mathbf{C} and a replete class $\mathbf{dis}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of morphisms satisfying the following conditions. Arrows in $\mathbf{dis}_{\mathbf{C}}$ are called *display maps* of \mathbf{C} .

- \mathbf{C} has a terminal object.
- Let $h: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $f: A \rightarrow \Gamma$ be morphisms in \mathbf{C} such that f is a display map. Then there is a pullback square

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{h} & \Gamma \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{C} such that the left side is also a display map. ■

Definition 1.2. Define the 2-category \mathcal{CwD} of display map categories as follows.

- 0-cells are display map categories.
- 1-cells are functors preserving display maps and pullbacks of the form (1).
- A 2-cell $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ is a natural transformation such that naturality squares at display maps are pullback squares. ■

Definition 1.3. A display map category is *democratic* if for each object $\Gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, there exists a sequence of display maps from Γ to the terminal object. We write $\mathbf{CwD}^{\mathbf{dm}}$ for the full sub 2-category of \mathcal{CwD} spanned by democratic display map categories. ■

Proposition 1.4. $\mathbf{CwD}^{\mathbf{dm}}$ is a (2,1)-category. ◆

Definition 1.5. A *clan* $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{fib}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is a display map category satisfying the following conditions. Display maps (i.e., arrows in $\mathbf{fib}_{\mathbf{C}}$) are called *fibrations* of \mathbf{C} .

- For each object $A \in \mathbf{C}$, the unique morphism $A \rightarrow 1$ towards the terminal object is a fibration.
- $\mathbf{fib}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is closed under composition. ■

We write **Clan** for the full sub 2-category of \mathfrak{CwD} spanned by clans. Since clans are always democratic, this is a (2,1)-category.

Definition 1.6. A *strict category* is a category equipped with its wide subcategory that is a set. In other words, the (2,1)-category **StrCat** of strict categories is defined by the following pullback square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{StrCat} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{WSub} \\ \text{Obj} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Set} & \xrightarrow{\text{disc}} & \mathbf{Cat}^{\Delta^1} \\ & & \downarrow \text{dom} \\ & & \mathbf{Cat} \end{array}$$

The functor on the left is locally fully faithful and surjective on objects. In particular, **StrCat** is a category. ■

Definition 1.7. A *contextual category* $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{C}, \text{dis}_{\mathbf{C}}, \langle \rangle, \ell)$ consists of the following data.

- A strict category \mathbf{C} .
- A structure $\text{dis}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of a display map category for the underlying category of \mathbf{C} .
- An object $\langle \rangle$ of \mathbf{C} which is a terminal object in the underlying category of \mathbf{C} .
- A function $\ell: \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following conditions.
 - $\ell^{-1}(0) = \{\langle \rangle\}$.
 - The canonical function

$$(\Gamma, A, f) \mapsto A: \coprod_{\Gamma \in \ell^{-1}(n)} \{(A, f) \mid f: A \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma\} \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$$

is a monomorphism and $\ell^{-1}(n+1)$ coincides with its image.

We write **CwC** for the category of contextual categories and functors preserving those structures. ■

Theorem 1.8. There exists an 2-adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{CwC} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{ctx}]{|-|} & \mathfrak{CwD} \end{array}$$

that restricts to a biequivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{CwC} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{ctx}]{|-|} & \mathbf{CwD}^{\text{dm}} \end{array}$$

◆

2. UEMURA'S LOGICAL FRAMEWORK

Definition 2.1. A *representable map category* $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}, \text{rep}_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a display map category satisfying the following conditions. Display maps (i.e., arrows in $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{R}}$) are called *representable maps* of \mathbf{R} .

- \mathbf{R} is finitely complete.
- For each representable map $f: X \twoheadrightarrow Y$, the pullback functor $f^*: \mathbf{R}_{/Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{/X}$ has a right adjoint f_* . ■

Theorem 2.2. shoumei no aidani claim ireru yatu ◆

Proof. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Claim. nannka claim siro

∴ Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris. ◇

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa. □

Theorem 2.3. Saigo ni claim kuru taipu. ◆

Proof. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum. This follows from the following Claim, which completes the proof. □

Claim. nannka claim siro

∴ Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris. ◇

REFERENCES

[Cis19] D.-C. Cisinski. *Higher Categories and Homotopical Algebra*, volume 180 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019. doi:10.1017/9781108588737.

Email address: hoshinok@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY