Online supplement for:

Solving natural conic formulations with Hypatia.jl

Chris Coey¹, Lea Kapelevich¹, and Juan Pablo Vielma²

 $^1{\rm Operations}$ Research Center, MIT, Cambridge, MA $^2{\rm Google}$ Research and MIT Sloan School of Management, Cambridge, MA

This supplement contains results tables for the examples in Sections 6.1–6.4.

Table 1: Portfolio rebalancing solver statistics.

	Н	[ypat	ia-NF	I	Iypat	ia-EF	MOSEK-EF				
k	st	it	time	st	it	time	st	it	time		
1000	<u>co</u>	31	0.6	<u>co</u>	25	2.7	<u>co</u>	9	1.7		
2000	<u>co</u>	36	2.9	<u>co</u>	28	16	<u>co</u>	10	7.0		
4000	co	45	20	co	29	92	<u>co</u>	10	34		
6000	<u>co</u>	49	60	<u>co</u>	34	292	<u>co</u>	10	83		
8000	<u>co</u>	51	131	<u>co</u>	33	615	<u>co</u>	10	160		
10000	<u>co</u>	55	244	<u>co</u>	36	1192	<u>co</u>	12	305		
12000	<u>co</u>	62	421	$\underline{\mathrm{tl}}$	32	1805	\underline{co}	10	433		
14000	co	61	624	sk	*	*	$_{\rm rl}$	*	*		
16000	<u>co</u>	63	924	sk	*	*	$_{\rm sk}$	*	*		
18000	<u>co</u>	64	1327	sk	*	*	sk	*	*		
20000	<u>co</u>	66	1810	sk	*	*	sk	*	*		

Table 2: Portfolio rebalancing EF LP solver time comparisons.

	ECOS		MOSEI	Κ	Gurobi						
k	conic	conic	intpnt simplex		barrier	P simplex	D simplex				
1000	192	1.7	2.3	39	2.8	68	57				
4000	*	34	66	*	55	*	*				
10000	*	305	783	*	548	*	*				
20000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				

Table 3: Matrix completion formulation statistics. Note $p=\bar{p}=|S|.$

			1	ΝF			EF-exp		EF-sec				
m	k	ν	n	p	\overline{q}	$\bar{ u}$	\bar{n}	\bar{q}	$\bar{\nu}$	\bar{n}	\bar{q}		
	5	57	251	200	302	207	302	1692	182	314	1730		
	10	218	1001	794	1208	730	1208	6725	621	1256	6871		
	15	472	2251	1795	2707	1532	2707	15062	1188	2762	15229		
	20	846	4001	3176	4826	2694	4826	26784	2267	5024	27380		
10	25	1299	6251	4978	7524	4093	7524	41768	4370	8298	44092		
	30	1858	9001	7174	10828	5810	10828	60095	4425	11048	60757		
	35	2477	12251	9810	14692	7707	14692	81627	8576	16346	86591		
	40	3256	16001	12786	19216	10084	19216	106664	8631	20096	109306		
	45	4142	20251	16155	24347	12782	24347	135047	8686	24346	135046		
	5	114	501	393	609	428	609	5888	360	628	5947		
	10	418	2001	1594	2408	1430	2408	23375	1233	2512	23689		
00	15	933	4501	3584	5418	3065	5418	52520	2362	5524	52840		
20	20	1663	8001	6359	9643	5345	9643	93335	4515	10048	94552		
	25	2513	12501	10014	14988	7985	14988	145535	8716	16596	150361		
	30	3643	18001	14389	21613	11465	21613	209600	8821	22096	211051		

Table 4: Matrix completion solver statistics.

			N	F	EF-exp							EF-sec						
			Нур	atia		Нура	atia		MOS	SEK		Нур	atia	MOSEK				
m	k	st	it	time	st	it	time	st	it	time	st	it	time	st	it	time		
	5	<u>co</u>	14	0.0	<u>co</u>	19	0.1	<u>co</u>	15	0.9	<u>co</u>	18	0.2	<u>co</u>	11	0.7		
	10	\underline{co}	19	0.4	co	34	2.2	<u>co</u>	20	20	<u>co</u>	30	2.3	co	10	11		
	15	\underline{co}	23	2.6	\underline{co}	42	16	<u>co</u>	21	120	<u>co</u>	41	18	<u>co</u>	9	58		
	20	\underline{co}	26	14	\underline{co}	52	70	\underline{co}	24	524	\underline{co}	47	78	\underline{co}	11	251		
10	25	<u>co</u>	30	52	co	59	225	<u>co</u>	26	1758	<u>co</u>	69	387	co	11	770		
	30	\underline{co}	34	166	<u>co</u>	61	556	tl	11	1817	<u>co</u>	55	587	<u>co</u>	10	1712		
	35	\underline{co}	39	402	<u>co</u>	61	1228	sk	*	*	tl	61	1817	$_{\rm rl}$	*	*		
	40	<u>co</u>	48	949	tl	34	1820	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*		
	45	$\underline{\text{co}}$	47	1806	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*		
	5	<u>co</u>	15	0.1	<u>co</u>	29	0.8	<u>co</u>	 17	14	<u>co</u>	26	0.9	<u>co</u>	8	7.6		
	10	\underline{co}	22	2.2	<u>co</u>	48	25	<u>co</u>	25	448	<u>co</u>	45	27	<u>co</u>	10	203		
20	15	<u>co</u>	30	24	co	59	179	tl	14	1871	<u>co</u>	52	176	co	10	1375		
20	20	\underline{co}	33	119	\underline{co}	71	786	sk	*	*	\underline{co}	70	924	$_{\rm rl}$	*	*		
	25	\underline{co}	41	448	tl	47	1822	sk	*	*	$^{\mathrm{tl}}$	26	1804	$_{\rm sk}$	*	*		
	30	<u>co</u>	52	1305	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*		

Table 5: Multi-response regression formulation and solver statistics.

		form.	stats.	H	Iypat	ia-NF	I	Iypat	ia-EF	N	IOSE	K-EF
m	k	\bar{n}	q	st	it	time	st	it	time	st	it	time
	50	1622	977	<u>co</u>	11	0.1	<u>co</u>	12	1.1	<u>co</u>	4	0.0
	100	5397	1727	\underline{co}	10	0.5	<u>co</u>	12	17	$\underline{\text{co}}$	5	6.
	150	11672	2477	\underline{co}	10	1.2	<u>co</u>	13	98	co	5	36
	250	31722	3977	\underline{co}	10	3.3	<u>co</u>	14	1331	$\underline{\text{co}}$	5	308
	500	125597	7727	co	10	17	m	*	*	tl	*	*
15	1000	*	15227	\underline{co}	13	129	sk	*	*	sk	*	*
	1500	*	22727	$\underline{\text{co}}$	10	209	sk	*	*	sk	*	*
	2000	*	30227	<u>co</u>	9	395	sk	*	*	sk	*	*
	2500	*	37727	$\underline{\text{co}}$	11	949	sk	*	*	sk	*	*
	3000	*	45227	$\underline{\mathrm{co}}$	11	1375	sk	*	*	sk	*	*
	50	2642	2402	<u>co</u>	13	1.4	<u>co</u>	11	3.7	<u>co</u>	5	1.
	100	6417	3902	co	14	4.9	<u>co</u>	11	23	co	5	12
	150	12692	5402	<u>co</u>	12	7.0	<u>co</u>	12	123	\underline{co}	5	47
	250	32742	8402	\underline{co}	15	41	$\underline{\text{co}}$	13	1412	$\underline{\text{co}}$	5	409
30	500	126617	15902	<u>co</u>	11	107	$^{\mathrm{m}}$	*	*	tl	*	*
	750	*	23402	$\underline{\text{co}}$	11	232	sk	*	*	sk	*	*
	1000	*	30902	$\underline{\text{co}}$	13	768	$_{\rm sk}$	*	*	$_{\rm sk}$	*	*
	1250	*	38402	\underline{co}	12	1098	sk	*	*	sk	*	*
	1500	*	* 45902		12	1637	sk	*	*	sk	*	*

Table 6: D-optimal experiment design logdet variant formulation and solver statistics.

	f	orm. stats	S.	F	Iypat	ia-NF	Η	Iypat	ia-EF	MOSEK-EF			
k	\bar{n}	q	\overline{q}	st	it	time	st	it	time	st	it	time	
50	1426	1378	5401	co	25	0.3	co	21	4.5	co	15	12	
100	5351	5253	20801	\underline{co}	26	0.9	\underline{co}	25	91	\underline{co}	15	277	
150	11776	11628	46201	<u>co</u>	29	3.0	<u>co</u>	27	690	$\underline{\mathrm{tl}}$	14	1825	
200	20701	20503	81601	<u>co</u>	28	7.2	tl	17	1849	sk	*	*	
300	46051	45753	182401	<u>co</u>	36	36	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	
400	81401	81003	323201	<u>co</u>	36	81	m	*	*	sk	*	*	
500	126751	126253	504001	<u>co</u>	36	169	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	
600	182101	181503	724801	<u>co</u>	36	298	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	
700	*	246753	*	<u>co</u>	39	624	sk	*	*	m	*	*	
800	*	322003	*	<u>co</u>	37	838	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	
900	*	407253	*	<u>co</u>	37	1282	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	
1000	*	502503	*	$\underline{\mathrm{tl}}$	37	1838	sk	*	*	$_{\rm sk}$	*	*	

Table 7: D-optimal experiment design rtdet variant solver statistics.

		N]	F			EF-	-exp			EF-sec						
	Hypatia			Hypatia				MOSEK			Нура	atia		MOSEK		
k	st	it	time	st	it	time	st	it	time	st	it	time	st	it	time	
50	<u>co</u>	25	0.3	co	22	4.7	<u>co</u>	14	11	<u>co</u>	22	5.1	co	11	10	
100	<u>co</u>	25	0.9	<u>co</u>	25	93	<u>co</u>	13	247	<u>co</u>	26	97	\underline{co}	11	220	
150	\underline{co}	26	2.6	<u>co</u>	27	696	\underline{co}	12	1580	$_{\mathrm{sp}}$	36	921	\underline{co}	10	1432	
200	<u>co</u>	23	5.8	tl	17	1821	tl	0	1821	tl	17	1848	tl	0	1868	
300	\underline{co}	31	31	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	$_{\rm sk}$	*	*	sk	*	*	
400	co	29	67	m	*	*	sk	*	*	m	*	*	sk	*	*	
500	\underline{co}	32	152	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	$_{\rm sk}$	*	*	sk	*	*	
600	\underline{co}	33	281	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	
700	\underline{co}	32	530	sk	*	*	m	*	*	$_{\rm sk}$	*	*	sk	*	*	
800	\underline{co}	32	728	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	$_{\rm sk}$	*	*	sk	*	*	
900	<u>co</u>	36	1253	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	
1000	<u>co</u>	33	1729	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	sk	*	*	