# Lower Bounds for the Polynomial Calculus via the "Pigeon Dance"

Imogen Hergeth

January 2023

#### Overview

We will present the result from A.A. Razborov, "Lower Bounds for the Polynomial Calculus", in: Computational Complexity 7.4 (Dec. 2, 1998).

- Introduction
- The Pigeonhole Principle
- The Pigeon Dance

The polynomial calculus

### Definition

- Similar to sequent calculus, but lines are polynomials
- We use multilinear polynomials  $S_n(\mathbb{K})$  $(xy + xz + v \equiv x^2y + x^3z^5 + v)$
- Addition

$$\frac{f}{af + bg}$$

Multiplication

$$\frac{f}{f \cdot x}$$

### Motivation

- g is provable from  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  if and only if it is in the ideal generated by them
- ullet A proof of g=1 exists if and only if  $f_1,\ldots,f_n$  have no common zeroes
- We construct polynomials such that their zeroes correspond to satisfying assignments
- Proving 1 from them is a *refutation*

The polynomial calculus

### Example proof

• Try to prove xy + z from x + 1 and z

$$\frac{(y)}{xy+y} \frac{x+1}{xy+y+z} (+)$$

- We now want to subtract y
- There is no way to prove y from x+1 and z
- Closest to xy + z we can prove is xy + y + z

# Algebraic view of proofs

 $\bullet$  V are polynomials we can prove

$$xy + y + z$$

 $\bullet$   $\Delta$  are leading terms of ones we cannot prove

• 
$$S_n(\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{K}\Delta \oplus V$$

$$xy + y + z = -y + xy + y + z$$

• R is the projection onto  $\triangle$ 

$$R(xy + y + z) = y$$

• Similarly:

 $V_d, \Delta_d, R_d$  for polynomials up to degree d  $V_I, \Delta_I, R_I$  for polynomials using variables for pigeons in I

### The pigeonhole principle

- ullet If there are m pigeons, n pigeon holes, and m>n then at least two pigeons have to share a hole
- Formally: if m > n there is no injection  $[m] \hookrightarrow [n]$
- Variables:  $x_{i,j}, i \in [m], n \in [n]$
- Assignment of  $x_{3,5}$  corresponds to pigeon 3 being in hole 5

#### Definition $(\neg \mathcal{PHP}_n^m)$

$$Q_i \coloneqq 1 - \sum_{i \in [n]} x_{ij}$$

$$\text{ for each } i \in [m]$$

$$Q_{i_1,i_2,j} \coloneqq x_{i_1j} x_{i_2j}$$

for each 
$$i_1 \neq i_2 \in [m], j \in [n]$$

Overview

#### Main result

#### Theorem

For any m > n, every polynomial calculus refutation of  $\neg PHP_n^m$  must have degree at least n/2 + 1.

- Characterize  $R_d$  semantically
- Problem: only works if  $R_I$  agree on their intersections
- Characterize  $R_I$  syntactically
- Show the different operators are identical

# Semantics of $\neg \mathcal{PHP}_n^m$

- What polynomials are derivable from  $\neg \mathcal{PHP}_n^m$ ?
- Pigeons cannot share holes
- Pigeon assignments are variable assignments

$$\Delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \{x_{1,2}, x_{2,4}, x_{3,1}\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Polynomials are evaluated to 0 if they allow the assignment

$$\Delta(1 - x_{1,1} - x_{1,2} - x_{1,3} - x_{1,4}) = 0$$
$$\Delta(x_{1,1}x_{3,1}) = \Delta(x_{1,2}x_{2,2}) = 0$$

### Characterizing $R_I$

- ullet  $M_I$  is the set of all assignments corresponding to injections  $I\hookrightarrow [m]$
- ullet  $V_I$  is polynomials that  $M_I$  evaluates to 0
- Define  $\Delta_I$ ,  $R_I$  to be the restrictions of  $\Delta$ , R onto I
- Note: this definition completely ignores degrees

Valid Pigeon Arrangements

### Combining $R_I$

- We want a characterization of  $R_d$ , not  $R_I$
- $V_d \coloneqq \bigcup_{|I| \le d} V_I$
- $R_d := R_{\text{dom}(t)}(t)$
- Does this definition actually work?
- ullet Yes it does! But only if  $R_I(t) = R_{\mathrm{dom}(t)}(t)$  for all  $I \supseteq \mathrm{dom}(t)$

Characterizing  $R_I$ 

### Idea

- Goal: define  $R_I(t)$  so that it is independent of  $I \setminus dom(t)$
- We first define  $\Delta_I$  using the pigeon dance
- The first pigeon flies to an unoccupied hole to its right
- Repeat until all pigeons have moved once
- If a pigeon cannot find an empty hole, the dance is aborted

Characterizing  $R_{I}$ 

# Example















Characterizing  $R_I$ 

#### **Formalization**

- Consider terms  $x_{i_1j_1} \cdots x_{i_dj_d}$  with all i and j pairwise different
- Variables occuring in term correspond to pigeon positions
- Define  $\Delta_I$  to be the set of terms that let pigeons complete the dance
- $t \in \Delta_I$  independent of I since pigeons not in the dance do not affect it

Characterizing  $R_I$ 

# Defining $R_I$

- We need  $R_I(t) = f$  with  $LT(f) \leq t$  and  $t = f \mod V_I$
- If  $t \in \Delta_I$ , then f := t
- Otherwise, we use  $Q_{i_1} = 0$  to derive

$$t = x_{i_1 j_1} \cdots x_{i_d j_d}$$

$$= -\sum_{j' < j_1} x_{i_1 j'} x_{i_2 j_2} \cdots x_{i_d j_d} + x_{i_2 j_2} \cdots x_{i_d j_d} - \sum_{j' > j_1} x_{i_1 j'} x_{i_2 j_2} \cdots x_{i_d j_d} \mod V_I.$$

- ullet The first two summands are  $\prec t$  and can be ignored
- Any terms with  $j' \in \{j_2, \dots, j_d\}$  are 0 and can be ignored

# Defining $R_I$ (cont.)

- Remaining terms have  $i > i_1, j' > j_1$ , and  $j' \notin \{j_2, \dots, j_d\}$
- Repeat the same process with all of them
- ullet At each step the next i has  $x_{ij}$  replaced with  $x_{ij'}$  for some unused j'>j
- This is the pigeon dance!
- Since  $t \notin \Delta_I$  the dance cannot be completed
- Process terminates with  $LT(f) \prec t$  and  $t = f \mod V_I$

## The Kill operator

- Idea: operator that lets us block specific holes
- The Kill operator kills the first pigeon and moves its hole to the left
- $\operatorname{Kill}(x_{i_1j_1}\cdots x_{i_d,j_d})=x_{i_2j'_2}\cdots x_{i_dj'_d}$  with

$$j'_k \coloneqq \begin{cases} j_k + 1, & \text{if } j_k < j_1 \\ j_k, & \text{if } j_k > j_1. \end{cases}$$

Properties of the dance

#### The dance in terms of Kill

#### Theorem

 $x_{i_1j_1}\cdots x_{i_dj_d}\in \Delta_I$  if and only if there is a  $j'>j_1$  such that  $\mathrm{Kill}(x_{i_1j'}\cdots x_{i_dj_d})\in \Delta_I$ .

#### Proof sketch

This operator effectively moves the first pigeon to an empty hole to its right and then kills it. This is the same as each step in the dance, where the first pigeon flies to some free hole to its right and then occupies it.  $\Box$ 

Properties of the dance

# Closure of $\Delta_I$

#### Theorem

 $\Delta_I$  is closed under Kill.

#### Proof sketch

If  $t \in \Delta_I$  then the pigeons can complete their dance. During this the first pigeon will start at j and fly to j'. Killing the pigeon frees up j' so any other pigeon that wanted to use j can use it instead.

Properties of the dance

#### The lower bound

#### Theorem

If  $|I| \leq (n+1)/2, t \in \Delta_I$  and the minimal element i of I is not in dom(t), then there exists a  $j \in [n]$  such that  $Kill(x_{ij}t) \in \Delta_I$ .

#### Proof sketch

At most

$$|\operatorname{dom}(t)| \le |I \setminus \{i\}| \le \frac{n-1}{2}$$

pigeons involved in the dance, each occupying two holes. Thus the total number of holes is n-1 and one hole j remains free. For the purposes of the dance,  $\mathrm{Kill}(x_{ij}t)$  is the same as t since the only difference is j being moved to the left.  $\Box$ 

### Putting things together

- Show that the two operators are identical
- Induction over |I| providing an  $a \in M_I$  with  $a(f) \neq 0$  for any  $f \in \mathbb{K}\Delta_I$
- Remove variables  $x_{ij}$  for minimal  $i \in I$  from f
- Inductive assumption gives us  $a' \in M_{I \setminus \{i\}}$  with  $a'(f') \neq 0$
- Pick a j such that  $Kill(x_{ij}t) \in \Delta_I$
- Extend assignment to I with  $a(f) \neq 0$

### Summary

- If  $d \leq n/2 + 1$ , then definition of  $R_I$  via the pigeon dance and via  $M_I$  are identical
- ullet Pigeons not in the dance do not affect its success, so  $R_I(t)=R_{\mathrm{dom}(t)}(t)$
- ullet  $V_d$  is precisely polynomials identically zero on  $M_I$
- $R_d \neq 0$
- There is no refutation of  $\neg \mathcal{PHP}_n^m$  with  $d \leq n/2 + 1$