

Lower Bounds for the Polynomial Calculus via the “Pigeon Dance”

Imogen Hergeth

January 2023

Definition

- Similar to sequent calculus, but lines are polynomials
- We use multilinear polynomials $S_n(\mathbb{K})$
 $(xy + xz + v \equiv x^2y + x^3z^5 + v)$
- Addition

$$\frac{f \quad g}{af + bg}$$

- Multiplication

$$\frac{f}{f \cdot x}$$

Motivation

- g is provable from f_1, \dots, f_n if and only if it is in the ideal generated by them
- A proof of $g = 1$ exists if and only if f_1, \dots, f_n have no common zeroes
- We construct polynomials such that their zeroes correspond to satisfying assignments
- Proving 1 from them is a *refutation*

Example proof

- Try to prove $xy + z$ from $x + 1$ and z

$$(\cdot y) \frac{x + 1}{xy + y} \quad z \quad (+) \\ \hline xy + y + z$$

- We now want to subtract y
- There is no way to prove y from $x + 1$ and z
- We can not prove $xy + z$

Algebraic view of proofs

- V are polynomials we can prove
- Δ are leading terms of ones we can not prove

$$xy + y + z$$

- $S_n(\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{K}\Delta \oplus V$

 y

$$xy + y + z = -y + xy + y + z$$

- R is the projection onto Δ

$$R(xy + y + z) = y$$

- Similarly:

V_d, Δ_d, R_d for polynomials up to degree d

V_I, Δ_I, R_I for polynomials using variables from I

The pigeonhole principle

- If there are m pigeons, n pigeon holes, and $m > n$ then at least two pigeons have to share a hole
- Formally: if $m > n$ there is no injection $[m] \hookrightarrow [n]$
- Variables: $x_{i,j}, i \in [m], n \in [n]$
- Assignment of $x_{3,5}$ corresponds to pigeon 3 being in hole 5

Definition ($\neg\mathcal{PHP}_n^m$)

$$Q_i := 1 - \sum_{j \in [n]} x_{ij} \quad \text{for each } i \in [m]$$

$$Q_{i_1, i_2, j} := x_{i_1 j} x_{i_2 j} \quad \text{for each } i_1 \neq i_2 \in [m], j \in [n]$$

Main result

Theorem

For any $m > n$, every polynomial calculus refutation of $\neg \mathcal{PH}\mathcal{P}_n^m$ must have degree at least $n/2 + 1$.

- Characterize R_d semantically
- Problem: only works if R_I agree on their intersections
- Characterize R_I syntactically
- Show the different operators are identical

Idea

- What polynomials are derivable from $\neg \mathcal{PH}\mathcal{P}_n^m$?
- Pigeons can not share holes
- Pigeon assignments are variable assignments

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \{x_{1,2}, x_{2,4}, x_{3,1}\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Polynomials are evaluated to 0 if they allow the assignment

$$\delta(1 - x_{1,1} - x_{1,2} - x_{1,3} - x_{1,4}) = 0$$

$$\delta(x_{1,1}x_{3,1}) = \delta(x_{1,2}x_{2,2}) = 0$$

Characterizing V_I

- $I \subseteq [n]$ is a set of pigeons
- M_I is all assignments corresponding to injections $I \hookrightarrow [m]$
- Polynomials in $\neg \mathcal{PH}\mathcal{P}_n^m$ are identically zero on M_I
- Polynomials derivable from $\neg \mathcal{PH}\mathcal{P}_n^m$ are identically zero on M_I
 $\Rightarrow V_I$ are all polynomials identically zero on M_I

Combining V_I

- We want a characterization of V_d , not V_I
- Set $V_d := \bigcup_{|I| \leq d} V_I$ and R_d accordingly
- Does this definition actually work?
- Yes it does! But only if $R_I(t) = R_{\text{dom}(t)}(t)$

Overview

- Define $R_I(t)$ so that it is independent of pigeons not in t
- Show that the two definitions are identical
- We will only show the broad steps

Example

Formalization

- The first pigeon flies to an unoccupied hole to its right
- Repeat until all pigeons have moved once
- If a pigeon can not find an empty hole, the dance is aborted
- Define Δ_I to be the set of terms that let pigeons complete the dance
- $R_I(t) = R_{\text{dom}(t)}(t)$ since pigeons not in the dance do not affect it

Defining R_I

- We need $R_I(t) = f$ with $\text{LT}(f) \prec t$ and $t - f \in V_I$
- If $t \in \Delta_I$ then $f = t$
- Otherwise we use $Q_{i_1} = 0$ to derive

$$\begin{aligned} t &= x_{i_2 j_2} \cdots x_{i_d j_d} - \sum_{j' < j_1} x_{i_1 j'} x_{i_2 j_2} \cdots x_{i_d j_d} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j' > j_1} x_{i_1 j'} x_{i_2 j_2} \cdots x_{i_d j_d} \pmod{V_I}. \end{aligned}$$

- The first two summands are $\prec t$ and can be ignored
- Any terms with $j' \in \{j_2, \dots, j_d\}$ are 0 and can be ignored

Defining R_I (cont.)

- Repeat the same process with all remaining terms
- At each step the first $x_{i,j}$ gets replaced with $x_{i,j'}$ with some unused $j' > j$
- This is the pigeon dance!
- Since $t \notin \Delta_I$ the dance can not be completed
- Process terminates with $f \prec t$ and $t = f \bmod V_I$

The Kill operator

- The Kill operator kills the first pigeon and moves its hole to the left
- $\text{Kill}(x_{i_1, j_1} \cdots x_{i_d, j_d}) = x_{i_2, j'_2} \cdots x_{i_d, j'_d}$ with

$$j'_k := \begin{cases} j_k + 1, & \text{if } j_k < j_1 \\ j_k, & \text{if } j_k > j_1. \end{cases}$$

Properties of Kill

Theorem

$x_{i_1, j_1} \cdots x_{i_d, j_d} \in \Delta_I$ if and only if there is a $j' > j_1$ such that $\text{Kill}(x_{i_1, j'} \cdots x_{i_d, j_d}) \in \Delta_I$.

Proof

This operator effectively moves the first pigeon to an empty hole and then kills it. This is the same as each step in the dance, where the first pigeon flies to some free hole to its right and then occupies it. □

Properties of Kill (cont.)

Theorem

Δ_I is closed under Kill.

Proof

If $t \in \Delta_I$ then the pigeons can complete their dance. During this the first pigeon will start at j and fly to j' . Killing the pigeon frees up j' so any other pigeon that wanted to use j can use it instead. □

The lower bound

Theorem

If $|I| \leq (n+1)/2$, $t \in D_I$ and the minimal element i of I is not in $\text{dom}(t)$, then there exists a $j \in [n]$ such that $\text{Kill}(x_{ij}t) \in \Delta_I$.

Proof

At most

$$|\text{dom}(t)| \leq |I \setminus \{i\}| \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$$

pigeons involved in the dance, each occupying two holes. Thus the total number of holes is $n-1$ and one hole j remains free. For the purposes of the dance, $\text{Kill}(x_{ij} \cdot t)$ is the same as t since the only difference is j being moved to the left. \square

Putting things together

- We now show that the two operators are identical
- Equivalent to Δ_I being linearly independent as functions $M_I \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$
- Induction on $|I|$ gives us assignment $a \in M_{I \setminus \{i\}}$ with $a(f') \neq 0$
- Pick a j such that $\text{Kill}(x_{i,j}t) \in \Delta_I$
- Extend assignment to I with $a'(f) \neq 0$

Summary

- If $d \leq n/2 + 1$, then definition of R_I via the pigeon dance and via M_I are identical
- Pigeons not in the dance do not affect its success, so $R_I(t) = R_{\text{dom}(t)}(t)$
- V_d is precisely polynomials identically zero on M_I
- $R_d \neq 0$
- There is no refutation of $\neg \mathcal{PHP}_n^m$ with $d \leq n/2 + 1$