

Dealing with the Second Hardest Thing in Computer Science

Thoughts on naming things for software development

Indrajeet Patil

Dealing with the Second Hardest Thing in Computer Science

Indrajeet Patil



“There are only two hard things in Computer Science: cache invalidation and naming things.”

- Phil Karlton

The following advice on naming applies to all kinds of programming entities (variables, functions, packages, classes, etc.) and is **language-agnostic**.

! Principle: Names are a form of abstraction

“[T]he best names are those that focus attention on what is most important about the underlying entity, while omitting details that are less important.”
- John Ousterhout

...

💡 Importance: Names are at the core of software design

If you can't find a name that provides the right abstraction for the underlying entity, it is possible that the design isn't clear.

...

i Properties: Good names are precise and consistent

If a name is good, it is difficult to miss out on critical information about the entity or to misunderstand what it represents.

“The beginning of wisdom is to call things by their proper name.”

- Confucius

Good names are a form of documentation

How good a name is can be assessed by how detailed the accompanying comment needs to be.

...

E.g., the function and parameter are named poorly here, and so comments need to do all the heavy lifting:

```
// function to convert temperature from Fahrenheit to Celsius scale
// temp is the temperature in Fahrenheit
double unitConverter(double temp)
```

...

Contrast it with this:

```
double fahrenheitToCelsius(double tempFahrenheit)
```

No need for a comment here!

...

Tip

Good names rarely require readers to read the documentation to understand what they represent.

Generic names should follow conventions

Using generic names can improve code readability, but *only if* language or domain customs are followed.

...

Examples:

- In a nested loop, using `j` for outer and `i` for inner loop index is confusing!

```
for (let j = 0; j < arr.length; j++) {
  for (let i = 0; i < arr[j].length; i++) {
```

- `tmp` shouldn't be used to store objects that are not temporary
- `retVal` shouldn't be used for objects not returned from function

...

Tip

Don't violate reader assumptions about what generic names represent.

Alternatives to generic names

If a loop is longer than a few lines, use more meaningful loop variable names than `i`, `j`, `k` because you will quickly lose track of what they mean.

```
# abstruse
exam_score[i][j]

# crystal clear
exam_score[school][student]
```

...

All variables are temporary in some sense. Calling one `tmp` is inviting carelessness.

```
# generic name
if (right < left) {
    tmp  = right
    right = left
    left  = tmp
}

# more descriptive
if (right < left) {
    old_right = right
    right     = left
    left      = old_right
}
```

...

Tip

Even when you *think* you need generic names, you are better off using descriptive names.

Names should be consistent

Consistent names **reduce cognitive burden** because if the reader encounters a name in one context, they can safely reuse that knowledge in another context.

...

For example, these names are inconsistent since the reader can't safely assume that the name *size* means the same thing throughout the program.

```
// context-1: `size` stands for number of memory bytes
{
    size = sizeof(x);
}

// context-2: `size` stands for number of elements
{
    size = strlen(a);
}
```

...

Tip

Allow users to make safe assumptions about what the names represent across different scopes/contexts.

Unnecessary details should be removed...

```
# okay
convert_to_string()
fileObject
strName # Hungarian notation
```

```
# better
to_string()
file
name
```

but important details should be kept!

```
# okay
child_height
password
id
address
```

```
# better
child_height_cm
plaintext_password
hex_id
ip_address
```



Tip

If some information is critical to know, it should be part of the name.

Names should be precise but not *too* long

How precise (and thus long) the name should be is a **subjective decision**, but keep in mind that long names can obscure visual structure of a program.

...

You can typically find a middle ground between too short and too long names.

```
# not ideal - too imprecise
d
# okay - can use more precision
days

# good - middle ground
days_since_last_accident

# not ideal - unnecessarily precise
days_since_last_accident_floor_4_lab_23

...
```

...



Tip

Don't go too far with making names precise.

Names should be difficult to misinterpret

Try your best to misinterpret candidate names and see if you succeed.

...

E.g., here is a GUI text editor class method to get position of a character:

```
std::tuple<int, int> getCharPosition(int x, int y)
```

...

How I interpret: “ *x and y refer to pixel positions for a character.*”

...

In reality: “ *x and y refer to line of text and character position in that line.*”

...

You can avoid such misinterpretation with better names:

```
std::tuple<int, int> getCharPosition(int lineIndex, int charIndex)
```

...



Tip

Precise and unambiguous names leave little room for misconstrual.

Names should be distinguishable

Names that are too similar make great candidates for mistaken identity.

...

E.g. `nn` and `nnn` are easy to be confused and such confusion can lead to painful bugs.

```
// bad
let n  = x;
```

```

let nn  = x ** 2;
let nnn = x ** 3;

// good
let n    = x;
let nSquare = x ** 2;
let nCube   = x ** 3;

```

...

Tip

Any pair of names should be difficult to be mistaken for each other.

Names should be easy to search

While naming, always ask yourself how easy it would be to find and update the name.

...

E.g., this plotting function uses identifier `p` to represent a scatter plot object.

```

# bad
plotScatter <- function() {
  p <- ggplot(mtcars, aes(wt, mpg))
  p <- p + geom_point()
  p
}

# good
plotScatter <- function() {
  scatter_plot <- ggplot(mtcars, aes(wt, mpg))
  scatter_plot <- scatter_plot + geom_point()
  scatter_plot
}

```

In the future, it won't be easy either to search for and/or to rename it in the code base because searching for `p` would flag **all** *ps* (`ggplot`, `mpg`, etc.).

Instead, if `scatter_plot` identifier is used, both search and replace operations will be straightforward.

...



Tip

Choose names that can be searched and, if needed, replaced.

Names should honor the culture

The names should respect the conventions adopted in a given project, organization, programming language, domain of knowledge, etc.

...

For example, C++ convention is to use PascalCase for class names and lowerCamel case for variables.

```
// non-conventional
class playerEntity
{
    public:
        std::string HairColor;
};
```

```
// conventional
class PlayerEntity
{
    public:
        std::string hairColor;
};
```

...



Tip

Don't break conventions unless other guidelines require overriding them for consistency.

Names should clarify dependencies

If a set of functions have dependencies (because they share the same data, e.g.), their names should clarify this dependence.

...

E.g., computing annual revenues involves computing quarterly revenues, which in turn requires computing monthly revenues.

```
revenues <- compute_revenues_data(raw_revenues_data)
revenues <- compute_monthly_revenues(revenues)
revenues <- compute_quarterly_revenues(revenues)
revenues <- compute_annual_revenues(revenues)
```

Each of the function names makes clear the order in which they need to be run.

Name Booleans with extra care

Names for Boolean variables or functions should make clear what true and false means. This can be done using prefixes (**is**, **has**, **can**, etc.).

```
# not great
if (child) {
  if (parentSupervision) {
    watchHorrorMovie <- TRUE
  }
}

# better
if (isChild) {
  if (hasParentSupervision) {
    canWatchHorrorMovie <- TRUE
  }
}
```

...

Use positive terms for Booleans since they are easier to process.

```
# double negation - difficult
is_firewall_disabled <- FALSE

# better
is_firewall_enabled <- TRUE
```

...



Tip

Boolean variable names should convey what true or false values represent.

Naming conventions as extra information

Having different name formats for different entities **acts like syntax highlighting**.

Here is an example of formatting conventions (from [OSP organization](#)):

Classes and Methods

- Use **Pascal Casing** for class name `public class SomeClass`.
- Use **Pascal Casing** for public and protected method name `public void SomeMethod()`.
- Use **Camel Casing** for private method name `private int somePrivateMethod()`.
- Prefix interface with **I** `public interface IMyInterface`.
- Suffix exception classes with **Exception** `public class SBSuiteException: Exception`.

Variables

- Prefix private/protected member variable with **_** (underscore): `private int _parentConta`
- Use **ALL_CAPS Casing** for constant variables: `public const double DEFAULT_PERCENTILE`
- Use **Camel Casing** for local variable names and method arguments: `int ingredientNode`.

Test function names should be detailed

If unit testing in a given programming language requires writing test functions, choose names that describe details of the test.

The test function names should effectively act as a comment.

```
# bad
def test1
def my_test
```

```
def retrieve_commands
def serialize_success

# good
def test_array
def test_multilinear_model
def all_the_saved_commands_should_be_retrieved
def should_serialize_the_formula_cache_if_required
```

Note

Don't hesitate to choose lengthy names for **test** functions. Unlike regular functions, long names are less problematic for test functions because

- they are not visible or accessible to the users
- they are not called repeatedly throughout the codebase

Names should be kept up-to-date

To resist software entropy, not only should you name entities properly, but you should also update them. Otherwise, names will become something worse than meaningless or confusing: **misleading**.

...

For example, let's say your class has `$getMeans()` method.

- In its initial implementation, it used to return *precomputed* mean values.
- In its current implementation, it *computes* the mean values on the fly.

Therefore, it is misleading to continue to call it a getter method, and it should be renamed to (e.g.) `$computeMeans()`.

...

Tip

Keep an eye out for API changes that make names misleading.

Names should be pronounceable

This is probably the weakest of the requirements, but one can't deny the ease of communication when names are pronounceable.

...

If you are writing a function to generate a time-stamp, discussing the following function verbally would be challenging.

```
# generate year month date hour minute second  
genymdhms()
```

This is a much better (and pronounceable) alternative:

```
generateTimeStamp()
```

...

Additionally, avoid naming separate entities with homonyms.

Discussing entities named **waste** and **waist** is inevitably going to lead to confusion.

Use consistent lexicon in a project

Once you settle down on a mapping from an abstraction to a name, use it consistently *throughout the code base*.

...

E.g., two similar methods here have different names across R6 classes:

```
CreditCardAccount$new()$retrieve_expenditure()  
DebitCardAccount$new()$fetch_expenditure()
```

Both of these methods should either be named `$retrieve_expenditure()` or `$fetch_expenditure()`.

...

Tip

Consistency of naming conventions should be respected at the code base level, not just for short scopes.

A sundry of don'ts

You won't have to remember any of these rules if you follow the following principle:

"Names must be readable for the *reader*, not *writer*, of code."

- **Don't use pop-culture references in names.** Not everyone can be expected to be familiar with them. E.g. `female_birdsong_recording` is a better variable name than `thats_what_she_said`.

...

- **Don't use slang.** You can't assume current or future developers to be familiar with them. E.g. `exit()` is better than `hit_the_road()`.

...

- **Avoid unintended meanings.** Do your due diligence to check dictionaries (especially [Urban dictionary](#)!) if the word has unintended meaning. E.g. `cumulative_sum()` is a better function name than `cumsum()`.

...

- **Avoid imprecise opposites**, since they can be confusing. E.g. parameter combination `begin/last` is worse than either `begin/end` or `first/last`.

- **Don't use inconsistent abbreviations.** E.g. instead of using `numColumns` (*number* of columns) in one function and `noRows` (*number* of rows) in another, choose one abbreviation as a prefix and use it consistently.

...

- **Don't use hard-to-distinguish character pairs in names** (e.g., `l` and `I`, `0` and `O`, etc.). With certain fonts, it can be hard to distinguish `firstl` from `firstI`.

...

- **Don't misspell to save a few characters.** Remembering spelling is difficult, and remembering *correct misspelling* even more so. E.g. don't use `hilite` instead of `highlight`. Benefit is not worth the cost here.

...

- **Don't use commonly misspelled words in English.** Using such names for variables can, at minimum, slow you down, or, at worst, increase the possibility of making an error. E.g. is it `accumulate`, `accummulate`, `acumulate`, or `acummulate`?!
- **Don't use misleading abbreviations.** E.g., in R, `na.rm` parameter removes (`rm`) missing values (`NA`). Using it to mean “remove (`rm`) non-authorized (`NA`) entries” for a function parameter will be misleading.

...

- **Don't allow multiple English standards.** E.g. using both American and British English standards would've you constantly guessing if the variable is named (e.g.) `centre` or `center`. Adopt one standard and stick to it.

...

- **Don't use similar names for entities with different meanings.** E.g. `patientRecs` and `patientReps` are easily confused because they are so similar. There should be at least two-letter difference: `patientRecords` and `patientReports`.

Case studies

Looking at names in the wild that violate presented guidelines.

This is **not** to be taken as criticisms, but as learning opportunities to drive home the importance of these guidelines.

Violation: Breaking (domain) conventions

R is a programming language for statistical computing, and function names can be expected to respect the domain conventions.

...

Statistical distributions can be characterized by centrality measures, like mean, median, mode, etc., and R has functions with names that wouldn't surprise you, **except one**:

```
x <- c(1, 2, 3, 4)
mean(x)    # expected output
median(x)  # expected output
mode(x)    # unexpected output!
```

The `mode()` function actually returns the storage mode of an R object!

...

This function could have been named (e.g.) `storageMode()`, which is more precise and doesn't break domain-specific expectations.

Violation: Generic name

The parameter `N` in `std::array` [definition](#) is too generic.

```
template<
    class T,
    std::size_t N
> struct array;
```

...

`size` is a bit better but still leaves room for misunderstanding:

“Does it mean length or memory bytes?”

...

Here is an alternative parameter name:

```
template<
    class T,
    std::size_t numberOfElements
> struct array;
```

`numberOfElements` is more precise and unmistakable.

Violation: Inconsistency in naming

ggplot2 is a [plotting framework](#) in R, and supports both **British** and **American** English spelling standards. But does it do so *consistently*?

...

Function names

```
# works
guide_colorbar(...)

# this works as well!
guide_colourbar(...)
```

Function parameters

```
# works
aes(color = ...)

# this works as well!
aes(colour = ...)
```

...

A user now believes that both spelling standards for function names *and* parameters are supported. And, since they prefer American spellings, they do this:

```
guide_colorbar(ticks.color = "black")
```

...

That won't work! Both functions support **only** the British spelling of parameters:

```
guide_colourbar(ticks.colour = "black")
guide_colorbar(ticks.colour = "black")
```

This is **inconsistent** and **violates user's mental model** about naming schema.

Violation: Room for misunderstanding

In Python, [filter\(\)](#) can be used to apply a function to an iterable.

```
list(filter(lambda x: x > 0, [-1, 1]))
```

...

But **filter** is an ambiguous word. It could mean either of these:

- to pick out elements that pass a condition (what *remains after filtering*)
- to pick out elements that need to be removed (what *is filtered out*)

If you've never used this function before, could you predict if it returns 1 or -1?

...

It returns 1, so the intent is to pick out the elements that pass the condition. In this case, **keep()** would be a better name.

Had the intent been to find elements to remove, **discard()** would be a better name.

etc.

It is easy to find such violations.

But, whenever you encounter one, make it a personal exercise to come up with a better name.

Naming and good design

Deep dive into benefits of thoughtful naming for an entity at the heart of all software: ***function***

Following Unix philosophy

Unix philosophy specifies the golden rule for writing good a function: “*Do One Thing And Do It Well.*”

Finding a descriptive name for a function can inform us if we are following this rule.

...

Consider a function to extract a table of regression estimates. For convenience, it also allows to sort the table by estimate.

Naming is hard

Trying to find a name highlights that the function is doing more than one thing.

```
`???` <- function(model, sort = "asc") {  
  # code to extract estimates from model  
  ...  
  # code to sort table  
  ...  
}
```

Naming is easy

These individual functions are easier to read, understand, and test.

```
extract_estimates <- function(model) {  
  # code to extract estimates from model  
  ...  
}  
  
sort_estimates <- function(table, sort = "asc") {  
  # code to sort table  
  ...  
}
```

Function parameter names

When it comes to writing a good function, finding a good name for a parameter can also reveal design problems.

E.g. a boolean or flag parameter name means function is doing more than one thing.

...

Consider a function that converts Markdown or HTML document to PDF.

Boolean parameter name

Doing more than one thing.

```

convert_to_pdf <- function(file, is_markdown = FALSE) {
  if (is_markdown) {
    # code to convert Markdown to PDF
    ...
  }

  if (!is_markdown) {
    # code to convert HTML to PDF
    ...
  }
}

```

💡 Non-boolean parameter name

Doing one thing.

```

convert_md_to_pdf <- function(file) {
  # code to convert Markdown to PDF
  ...
}

convert_html_to_pdf <- function(file) {
  # code to convert HTML to PDF
  ...
}

```

Benefits of good names

“What’s in a name?” Well, everything!

- Intent-revealing names make the **code easier to read**.

...

- Trying to find good names forces you to detach from the problem-solving mindset and to **focus on the bigger picture** that motivates this change. This is critical for thoughtful software design.

...

- Searching for precise names requires clarity, and seeking such clarity **improves your own understanding** of the code.

...

- Naming precisely and consistently **reduces ambiguities and misunderstandings**, which in turn reduces the possibility of bugs.

...

- Good names **reduce the need for documentation**.

...

- Consistent naming **reduces cognitive overload** for the developers and makes the code more readable and maintainable.

Challenges

Initially, you may struggle to find good names and settle down for the first serviceable name that pops into your head.

Resist the urge!

Worth the struggle

Adopt an investment mindset and remember that the little extra time invested in finding good names early on will pay dividends in the long run by reducing accumulation of complexity in the system.

The more you do it, the easier it will get!

And, after a while, you won't even need to think long and hard to come up with a good name. You will instinctively think of one.

Further Reading

For a more detailed discussion about how to name things, see the following references.

References

- McConnell, S. (2004). *Code Complete*. Microsoft Press. (pp. 259-290)
- Boswell, D., & Foucher, T. (2011). *The Art of Readable Code*. O'Reilly Media, Inc. (pp. 7-31)
- Ousterhout, J. K. (2018). *A Philosophy of Software Design*. Palo Alto: Yaknyam Press. (pp. 121-129)
- Martin, R. C. (2009). *Clean Code*. Pearson Education. (pp. 17-52)
- Thomas, D., & Hunt, A. (2019). *The Pragmatic Programmer*. Addison-Wesley Professional. (pp. 238-242)
- For a good example of organizational naming guidelines, see [Google C++ Style Guide](#).

For more

If you are interested in good programming and software development practices, check out my other [slide decks](#).

Find me at...

 [Twitter](#)

 [LinkedIn](#)

 [GitHub](#)

 [Website](#)

 [E-mail](#)

Thank You

And Happy Naming!

Session information

```
sessioninfo::session_info(include_base = TRUE)
```

```
- Session info -----
setting  value
version  R version 4.2.2 (2022-10-31)
os       Ubuntu 22.04.1 LTS
system   x86_64, linux-gnu
ui       X11
language (EN)
collate  C.UTF-8
ctype    C.UTF-8
tz       UTC
date     2023-02-04
pandoc   2.19.2 @ /usr/bin/ (via rmarkdown)

- Packages -----
package      * version date (UTC) lib source
base          * 4.2.2   2022-10-31 [3] local
cli           3.6.0   2023-01-09 [1] RSPM
compiler      4.2.2   2022-10-31 [3] local
datasets      * 4.2.2   2022-10-31 [3] local
digest        0.6.31  2022-12-11 [1] RSPM
evaluate      0.20    2023-01-17 [1] RSPM
fastmap       1.1.0   2021-01-25 [1] RSPM
graphics      * 4.2.2   2022-10-31 [3] local
grDevices     * 4.2.2   2022-10-31 [3] local
grid          4.2.2   2022-10-31 [3] local
htmltools     0.5.4   2022-12-07 [1] RSPM
jsonlite      1.8.4   2022-12-06 [1] RSPM
knitr         1.42    2023-01-25 [1] RSPM
lattice       0.20-45 2021-09-22 [3] CRAN (R 4.2.2)
Matrix        1.5-1   2022-09-13 [3] CRAN (R 4.2.2)
methods       * 4.2.2   2022-10-31 [3] local
png           0.1-8   2022-11-29 [1] RSPM
Rcpp          1.0.10  2023-01-22 [1] RSPM
reticulate    1.28    2023-01-27 [1] RSPM
rlang         1.0.6   2022-09-24 [1] RSPM
rmarkdown     2.20    2023-01-19 [1] RSPM
sessioninfo   1.2.2   2021-12-06 [1] any (@1.2.2)
stats         * 4.2.2   2022-10-31 [3] local
```

tools	4.2.2	2022-10-31	[3]	local
utils	* 4.2.2	2022-10-31	[3]	local
xfun	0.37	2023-01-31	[1]	RSPM
yaml	2.3.7	2023-01-23	[1]	RSPM

[1] /home/runner/work/_temp/Library
[2] /opt/R/4.2.2/lib/R/site-library
[3] /opt/R/4.2.2/lib/R/library
