



Toolforge webservices are in the final stages of [migrating to the toolforge.org domain](#) .
Please help us clean up older documentation referring to tools.wmflabs.org!

Incident documentation/20160601-CentralNotice

[< Incident documentation](#)

Contents [\[hide\]](#)

- [1 Summary](#)
- [2 Timeline](#)
- [3 Conclusions](#)
- [4 Actionables](#)

Summary

TL;DR:

- Mukunda failed to follow up with Bartosz after the deploy (or verifying the fix himself)
 - This would have caught the lack of submodule update mistake.
- The patch in question was a patch to wmf.3 that was not applied to master before the wmf.4 branch cut (hence some of the confusion).

Longer:

There was an issue in CentralNotice ([Task T136387](#)) that was unexpectedly also in wmf.4 (as the patch that was made to wmf.3 wasn't done in master before the wmf.4 branch cut). Bartosz asked Mukunda to deploy the fix for wmf.4 at 21:52. Mukunda deployed the revert (or so he thought: he failed to do a `git submodule update`) after a ping from Bartosz at 22:30 UTC (after pining Bartosz that he was deploying at 22:17). At this point Mukunda believed the fix was deployed and since he notified Matma that he was doing the deploy took it to mean everything was done. Mukunda moves on to preparing for the Phabricator upgrade (coming at 00:00).

A little over an hour later (23:21) Bartosz pings Mukunda to see if it was deployed as the symptoms were still present. Without response, forty minutes later Bartosz complains again in -operations and get's others' attention. At that point Adam Wight said "MatmaRex: We're paying attention and trying to set up a lightning deploy right now."

Timeline

(UTC)

- 21:52 - Bartosz asks Mukunda to deploy the fix for wmf.4
- 22:17 - Mukunda pings Bartosz that he's deploying
- 22:30 - Deployment completed (which did not include the fix, failed to `git submodule update`)
- 22:30+ - Mukunda moves on to preparing for the Phabricator upgrade
- 23:21 - Bartosz pings Mukunda saying it was not fixed
- 00:08 - Bartosz pings again
- 00:10 - Adam W confirms he/Fundraising is also aware and working on fixing it
- 00:26 - Adam deploys (for real this time) the fix

Conclusions

- All deployers, including Mukunda, should explicitly verify that every deployed patch was A) actually deployed and B) had the intended effect.

Actionables

- All deployers, including Mukunda, should explicitly verify that every deployed patch was A) actually deployed and B) had the intended effect.
- Mukunda will look into moving the Phabricator upgrade window to not conflict as much with the evening SWAT window

[Main page](#)[Recent changes](#)[Server admin log \(Prod\)](#)[Server admin log \(RelEng\)](#)[Deployments](#)[SRE/Operations Help](#)[Incident status](#)[Cloud VPS & Toolforge](#)[Cloud VPS documentation](#)[Toolforge documentation](#)[Request Cloud VPS project](#)[Server admin log \(Cloud VPS\)](#)[Tools](#)[What links here](#)[Related changes](#)[Special pages](#)[Permanent link](#)[Page information](#)[Cite this page](#)[Print/export](#)[Create a book](#)[Download as PDF](#)[Printable version](#)

- "Spike: Plan reforms of the CentralNotice deployment branch" - [Task T136904](#)

Category: [Incident documentation](#)

This page was last edited on 3 June 2016, at 15:23.

Text is available under the [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License](#); additional terms may apply. See [Terms of Use](#) for details.

[Privacy policy](#) [About](#)

[Disclaimers](#) [Code of Conduct](#) [Developers](#) [Statistics](#) [Cookie statement](#) [Mobile view](#)

[Wikitech](#)

