## Kruskal-Wallis test: introduction

The Kruskal-Wallis test is a nonparametric test in the sense that we do NOT make the assumption that the data were generated from a parametric distribution.

This test uses the idea of the so-called 'one-way ANOVA', where inter-class variation is compared to the total variation. In this non-parametric alternative, we consider the variations of the ranks. It is a generalization of the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test.

#### Test statistic

Assume that we have k samples  $s_1,...,s_k$  and let us consider a Kruskal-Wallis test, which has null hypothesis  $H_0$ : 'All  $k \geq 2$  samples come from the same generating distribution' versus  $H_1$ : 'At least two samples have a different generating distribution', or equivalently  $H_0$ : 'All samples  $k \geq 2$  come from the same population' versus  $H_1$ : 'At least two samples come from a different population'.

Let  $n_j$  be the size of the sample  $s_j$ , for j=1,...,k Let  $N=\sum_{j=1}^k n_j$ . Let S be the pooled sample, with all observations from  $s_1,...,s_k$  and R=rank(S). Finally, let  $\bar{R}_j$  be the average rank of the observations from the sample  $s_j$  in the pooled sample S. Then, the Kruskal-Wallis test statistic, KW, is given by

$$KW = \frac{12}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j \left( \bar{R}_j - \frac{N+1}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{12}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j \bar{R}_j^2 - 3 \left( N+1 \right)$$

# Distribution of the test statistic and decision rule

The distribution of the test statistic KW under  $H_0$  depends on the  $n_j$ 's and is thus difficult to find in tables. However, the distribution of KW can be simulated from (see example).

The critical region  $R_{\alpha}$  has the form  $R_{\alpha}=\left\{q_{1-\alpha},...,c\right\}$ , where  $q_{1-\alpha}$  is the  $(1-\alpha)$ -quantile of the distribution of KW under  $H_0$  and c is the maximum value that can take the test statistic.

When the  $n_j$ 's are large, then, under  $H_0$ , the test statistic KW has the following distribution asymptotically

$$KW \sim \chi^2_{(k-1)}$$

 $H_0$  is then rejected with an approximate  $\alpha$  level of significance if  $KW > \chi^2_{1-\alpha,(k-1)}$ .

## Working example (1/3)

**Example:** With the data of the Seabelts dataset of R, we compute casualty rates for the first semesters of years 1978 to 1981. The data are shown in the table below. Compute manually and in R the Kruskal-Wallis test statistic. Then, simulate from the exact distribution of the test statistic under  $H_0$  and determine if  $H_0$  is rejected for those data at  $\alpha=5\%$  level of significance.

i	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$
1	15.791	16.193	11.870	9.681
2	12.595	11.937	9.400	9.764
3	10.405	11.968	9.322	9.154
4	9.836	9.376	8.200	8.330
5	8.729	9.227	8.020	8.388
6	9.608	8.539	8.671	7.888

# Working example (2/3)

The ranks  $R_j$  in the pooled sample and the average ranks  $\bar{R}_j$  are given in the following tables.

i	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_4$
1	23	24	19	15
2	22	20	13	16
3	18	21	11	9
4	17	12	3	4
5	8	10	2	5
6	14	6	7	1

$\bar{R}_1$	$\bar{R}_2$	$\bar{R}_3$	$\bar{R}_4$
17	15.5	9.17	8.33

# Working example (3/3)

In addition, we have  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 6$  and  $N = \sum_{j=1}^k n_j = 24$ . The value of our test statistic KW is thus

$$\frac{12}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j \left( \bar{R}_j - \frac{N+1}{2} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{12}{24(24-1)} \right) \left[ 6(17-12.5)^2 + 6(15.5-12.5)^2 + 6(9.17-12.5)^2 + 6(8.33-12.5)^2 \right]$$

$$= 6.927$$

Since the 0.95-quantile of a  $\chi_{(3)}=7.815$ , we can not reject  $H_0$  in this case.

### References

Bagdonavičius V., Kruopis J., Nikulin M. S., Non-parametric Tests for Complete Data (2011), Wiley, ISBN 978-1-84821-269-5 (hardback)

The R Project for Statistical Computing: https://www.r-project.org/

course notes