An upgrade study of chargino detection with finer mass splittings.

Janis Erdmanis

graphitewriter@gmail.com

(Dated: August 2016)

I. INTRODUCTION

In the standart model Higgs mass is highly sensitive to the details of the physics at high-energy [...]. Unless we accept big number cancelactions in SM, it does not work well with naturalness principle and so we may find solution in BSM physics. One of theories which resolves this issue is supersimetry which introduces new particles, new processes at higher energies. Present knowledge of exlcluded susy parameters requires small higsino mass splittings with energies larger than $100 GeV$[1], but smaller than $1 TeV$ for naturalness principle to hold. This study considers possibility to catch susy signal in high luminosity LHC data from ATLAS experiment with higsino mass splittings $\Delta m = 5 GeV$ and $\mu = 100 GeV$ (see figure).

In our study we are trying to find signal which comes from pp colision produced chargaino particle $\tilde{\chi}_1^+, \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ decay to W and Z bosons and further to two or three measurable soft leptons and neitrinos and neitralinos (see figure). If in the pp collision also single jet is produced then for conserving transverse momentum a big missing energy would be produced for neitrinos and neitralinos which will distinguish singnal from standart model background. As a


FIG. 1: Considered susy signals in our analysis.

background we consider processes $pp \to \tau\tau + j$, $pp \to t\bar{t} + j$, $pp \to WW + j$. Also because of the large crossection (see table) of process $pp \to W + j$, we consider also background leptons which are incorectly detected and comes from jets (fake leptons).

Process	σ_{eff}
$pp \to \tau\tau + j$	$47.6pb$
$pp \to t\bar{t} + j$	$8.9pb$
$pp \to W + j$	$162pb$
$pp \to WW + j$	$1.34pb$
$pp \to \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- + j \to WW + j$	$2.8pb$
$pp \to \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_2^0 + j \to WZ + j$	$5pb$

TABLE I: Cross sections for considered processes for collisions at $14 TeV$

To study and compare the signal and backgrounds, we turn to Monte Carlo. We simulate the hard processes for the signal in Eq. (8) and the major backgrounds with Madgraph 6. The parton-level events are then showered and hadronized with Pythia 8.

---

[1] From data of Large electron positron accelerator.

II.   SMEARING OF EVENTS

Because detector simulation is costly we use a simplified detector algorithm. Firstly we smear energies, masses, momenta, η, ϕ of all objects (particles and jets) with corresponding performance functions. As jets also produce leptons we add fake electrons which takes into account performance of jet reconstruction algorithms. At the next step we filter out particles which can be detected in ATLAS - $|\eta_l| < 2.5$, $Pt_j > 50 GeV$. (What does OverlapRemovel do?). To remove electrons which could come from jets we require that energy and momentum of leptons should be at least 15% with respect to energy of 20^0 and momentum of 30^0 cone. Also we remove low mass lepton pairs $m_{ll} < 12 GeV$ because .... And lastly we apply 0.9 probability to actually detect the particle.

To test if the smearing of events works we plotted leading jet transverse momentum at different stages of algorithm (see figure ...). In the figure we see that smearing indeed makes distribution broader, considerable amount of fake particles also are added, and overlap removal helps to recover the shape of generator Pt shape.

FIG. 2: Tests of smering functions for signal sample

III.   EVENT SELECTION

FIG. 3: Missing energy, two leading lepton mass m_{ll} and reconstructed tautau mass $m_{\tau\tau}$ with formula (...)

To compare and check our simulation and smearing algorithm we use selection from [...] for the same kind of process.

- $MET > 200 GeV$. Because we expect large missing energy in form of neitralinos and neitronos in our signal.

- Single Jet with $Pt > 100 GeV$ and no $Bjets$ in event. We want missing energy to come from particles not in jets and bjets are produced mainly by background $pp- > t\bar{t} + j$.

- $\Delta\Phi(MET, 1st\ jet) > 0.4$

- 2 $leading\ lepton\ Pt > 5 GeV$. We are eliminating here not considered background

where we also afterwards make seperation for two and three lepton processes.

FIG. 4: The signal after sellection

	$\tau\tau$	$t\bar{t}$	WW	W	$\chi_1^\pm \chi_1^\pm$	$\chi_1^\pm \chi_2^0$
Events(L=3000fb)	0	1425	119	0	370	1391
Signal/BKG	-	-	-	-	0.23	0.90
Significance	-	-	-	-	0	0

TABLE II: Number of events after selection for $L = 3000fb$ and corresponding significance for cutofs described for 1st muon transverse momenta.

IV.   CONCLUSSIONS

- ttbar background is sticky further study of how it can be removed is needed

- with selection used in the repport we have obtained signal at the same order as abcakground

- the significance is ... enough/not enough for discovery at high luminosity LHC

[1] R. Barbieri and G.F. Giudice. Upper bounds on supersymmetric particle masses. *Nuclear Physics B*, 306(1):63 – 76, 1988. ISSN 0550-3213. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(88)90171-X. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/055032138890171X.

[2] ATLAS Collaboration. Search for the electroweak production of supersymmetric particles in $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV *pp* collisions with the atlas detector. *Phys. Rev. D*, 93:052002, Mar 2016. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.052002. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.052002.

[3] The ATLAS Collaboration. The atlas experiment at the cern large hadron collider. *Journal of Instrumentation*, 3(08): S08003, 2008. URL http://stacks.iop.org/1748-0221/3/i=08/a=S08003.

[4] The ATLAS Collaboration. Prospect for a search for direct pair production of a chargino and a neutralino decaying via a W boson and the lightest Higgs boson in final states with one lepton, two b-jets and missing transverse momentum at the high luminosity LHC with the ATLAS Detector. Technical Report ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-032, CERN, Geneva, Jul 2015. URL https://cds.cern.ch/record/2038565.

[5] B. de Carlos and J.A. Casas. One-loop analysis of the electroweak breaking in supersymmetric models and the fine-tuning problem. *Physics Letters B*, 309(3):320 – 328, 1993. ISSN 0370-2693. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)90940-J. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/037026939390940J.

[6] Zhenyu Han, Graham D. Kribs, Adam Martin, and Arjun Menon. Hunting quasidegenerate higgsinos. *Phys. Rev. D*, 89: 075007, Apr 2014. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.075007. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.075007.