## Order Connected Sets are Measurable in $\mathbb{R}^n$

**Kexing Ying** 

July 30, 2022

Bollobas claimed without proof that an order connected set (with respect to the pointwise ordering) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is measurable. Yael Dillis asked to know the details of the proof and so, I wrote this short note.

**Definition** (Order connected). Given a partially ordered set  $(X, (\leq))$ ,  $S \subseteq X$  is said to be order connected if for all  $x, y \in S$ ,

$$[x,y] := \{z \mid x \le z \le y\} \subseteq S.$$

Equipping  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the point-wise ordering (i.e. for  $x := (x_i)_{i=1}^n, y := (y_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x_n \leq y_n$  for all  $n = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $\mathbb{R}^n$  form a partially ordered set and we can therefore talk about its order connected subsets.

**Theorem.**  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is Lebesgue measurable if S is order connected with respect to the pointwise ordering on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Defining  $S^+:=\{x\mid\exists\ y\in S,y\leq x\}$  and  $S^-:=\{x\mid\exists\ y\in s,x\leq y\}$ , we observe  $S=S^+\cap S^-$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to show that  $S^+$  and  $S^-$  are measurable. We will show measurability for  $S^+$  while the case for  $S^-$  is similar.

It is clear that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\{x\}^+$  is measurable. So, defining  $Q := S^+ \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ ,

$$Q^+ = \bigcup_{q \in Q} \{q\}^+ \subseteq S^+$$

is measurable. Furthermore,  $S^+ \setminus Q^+ \subseteq \partial S^+$  since for all  $x \in S^\circ$ , there exists some open neighborhood  $B \subseteq S^\circ$  of x; so, as Q is dense in  $S^+$ , there exists an element  $q \in Q \cap B$  such that  $q \leq x$  and hence,  $x \in \{q\}^+ \subseteq Q^+$ . Now, as the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra is complete and  $S^+ = Q^+ \cup (S^+ \setminus Q^+)$ , it suffices to show that  $\partial S^+$  is a null-set.

To show  $\operatorname{Leb}(\partial S^+)=0$  we will invoke the Lebesgue density theorem. Namely, by showing

$$\partial S^+ \subseteq \{x \in \overline{S^+} \mid d(x) \notin \{0, 1\}\}$$

where

$$d(x) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} d_{\epsilon}(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{Leb}(\overline{S^+} \cap B_{\epsilon}(x))}{\operatorname{Leb}(B_{\epsilon}(x))}$$

as  $\overline{S^+}$  is closed and hence measurable, the Lebesgue density theorem tells us

$$\operatorname{Leb}(\partial S^+) \le \operatorname{Leb}(\{x \in \overline{S^+} \mid d(x) \notin \{0, 1\}\}) = 0.$$

Indeed, for all  $x\in\partial S^+,\epsilon>0$ ,  $\overline{S^+}\cap B_\epsilon(x)$  must contain the with the right-upper quadrant of the ball by the very definition of  $S^+$ , implying  $\mathrm{Leb}(\overline{S^+}\cap B_\epsilon(x))\gtrapprox 2^{-n}\mathrm{Leb}(B_\epsilon(x))$  bounding d(x) from below. Similarly,  $\overline{S^+}^c\cap B_\epsilon(x)$  must contain the left-bottom quadrant of the ball as otherwise x is contained by some  $\{y\}^+$  for some  $y\in\overline{S}^+$  contradicting  $x\in\partial S^+$ . Hence,  $\mathrm{Leb}(\overline{S^+}\cap B_\epsilon(x))\lessapprox (1-2^{-n})\mathrm{Leb}(B_\epsilon(x))$  bounding d(x) from above and so, proving the required inclusion.