

INDIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCE
SUMMER RESEARCH PROJECT

Code Development For Solving N-Player Strategic Game

Author:
Jayanth Prakash Kulkarni

Guide:
Prof.Y Narahari

November 8, 2015



Game Theory Laboratory
Dept. of Computer Science and Automation
Indian Institute of Science

Abstract

This project aims at solving a N-Player strategic game by finding the dominance, Nash equilibrium, maxmin and the minmax values and strategies. Thus is instrumental in establishing a clearer and deeper understanding of some basic concepts in game theory.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Prof.Y Narahari, my guide, for his invaluable time and guidance, for providing me a kind opportunity to work for this project, in such a serene environment as Indian Institute of Science. This project is an outcome of numerous interesting discussions that I had with him. I am deeply thankful to Indian Academy of Science for selecting me for this program.

Contents

1 Motivation	4
2 Definitions	4
3 Algorithms	6
3.1 Strategy Traversal	6
3.2 Dominance	8
3.3 Pure Nash Equilibrium	10
3.4 Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium	11
3.5 Maxmin value and Strategy for Pure strategies	12
3.6 Minmax value and Strategy for Pure strategies	14
3.7 Maxmin and Minmax values over Mixed Strategies	16
3.8 Quadratic Programming for solving 2 player non-zerosum games	18
4 Result and Discussion	22
4.1 Observations	22
4.2 Results	23

1 Motivation

Game Theory finds its applications in various fields which include economics, political science, biology, computer science, logic and many more. Thus it is important to understand the fundamentals of such a diverse subject. This project provides a good start in understanding basics of game theory mainly through a computer science's perspective.

2 Definitions

Definition 1 (Strongly Dominant Strategy). A strategy $s_i^* \in S_i$ is said to be a strongly dominant strategy for player i if it strongly dominates every other strategy $s_i \in S_i$. That is, $\forall s_i \neq s_i^*$,

$$u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}) > u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \quad \forall s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$$

Definition 2 (Weakly Dominant Strategy). A strategy $s_i \in S_i$ is said to be weakly dominant strategy for player i if it weakly dominates every other strategy $s_i \in S_i$

$$u_i(s_i', s_{-i}) \geq u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \quad \forall s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$$

And $u_i(s_i', s_{-i}) > u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$ For some $s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$ The strategy s_i^* is said to be weakly dominate strategy s_i . Note that strict inequality is to be satisfied for at least one s_i .

Definition 3 (Very Weakly Dominant Strategy). A strategy s_i^* is said to be a very weakly dominant strategy for player i if it very weakly dominates every other strategy $s_i \in S_i$

$$u_i(s_i', s_{-i}) \geq u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \quad \forall s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$$

Note that strict inequality need not be satisfied for any s_{-i} unlike in the case of weak dominance where strict inequality must be satisfied for atleast one s_i .

Definition 4 (Pure Strategy Nash Equilibrium). Given a strategic form game $\Gamma = \langle N, (S_i), (u_i) \rangle$ a strategic profile (s_1^*, \dots, s_n^*) is called a pure strategy Nash equilibrium of Γ if

$$u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*) \geq u_i(s_i, s_{-i}^*) \quad \forall s_{-i} \in S_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Definition 5 (Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium). Given a strategic form game $\Gamma = \langle N, (S_i), (u_i) \rangle$, a mixed strategy profile $(\sigma_1^*, \dots, \sigma_n^*)$ is called a Nash equilibrium if $\forall i \in N$,

$$u_i(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{-i}^*) \geq u_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i}^*) \quad \forall \sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i).$$

Definition 6 (Maxmin Value and Maxmin Strategy). Given a strategic form game, $\Gamma = \langle N, (S_i), (u_i) \rangle$, the maxmin value or security value of a player i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is given by:

$$\underline{v}_i = \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

Any strategy $s_i^* \in S_i$ that guarantees this payoff to player i is called a maxmin strategy or security strategy of the player i .

Definition 7 (Minmax Value and Minmax Strategy). Given a strategic form game, $\Gamma = \langle N, (S_i), (u_i) \rangle$, the minmax value of a player i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is given by:

$$\overline{v}_i = \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} \max_{s_i \in S_i} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

Any strategy profile $s_{-i}^* \in S_{-i}$ if the other players that forces that payoff \overline{v}_i on player i is called a minmax strategy profile (of the rest of the players) against player i .

Maxmin, Minmax values and strategies for mixed strategies have similar definitions as above, only that the strategies considered as not pure strategy but mixed strategies.

All definitions were referred from [1, 3]

3 Algorithms

In this section, detailed Pseudo Codes for the implemented algorithm are discussed. Gambit Software was used in order to generate the strategic game and the generated game was stored into a dynamic structure, organized according to the strategic profiles of each player. Later this systematic structure was used to calculate strongly, weakly and very weakly dominant strategies and their equilibrium, if they existed. In a further enhancement, the Nash equilibrium was also calculated, firstly the profiles were checked for pure strategy if not found mixed strategies were calculated. Then the minmax maxmin values and strategies were calculated using linear and quadratic programming paradigms.

The Input was taken in the form of a Gambit Format[3] and were solved using C programming language.

3.1 Strategy Traversal

Algorithm 1 Strategy Allocation

```
1: procedure STRATEGY( $i, max$ )
2:   while  $i < max$  do
3:     if  $strategyset[i]+1 > action[i]$  then
4:        $strategyset[i] = 1$ 
5:        $i++$ 
6:     else
7:        $strategyset[i]++$ 
8:       return
9:     end if
10:  end while
11: end procedure
```

Algorithm 2 Strategy Allocation

```
1: procedure STRATEGYCOMPLEX(i, currentplayer)
2:   while i < numberofplayers do
3:     if strategysset[i]+1 > action[i] then
4:       strategysset[i] = 1
5:       if (i+1)<currentplayer then
6:         i++
7:         continue
8:       end if
9:       if i+1 == currentplayer then
10:        i=i+2
11:        continue
12:       end if
13:     else
14:       strategysset[i] = strategysset[i] + 1
15:       return
16:     end if
17:   end while
18: end procedure
```

Algorithm 3 Strategy Allocation

```
1: procedure CHANGESTRATEGYSET(currentplayer)
2:   if currentplayer == numberofplayers-1 then
3:     strategy(0,currentplayer)
4:   else if currentplayer==0 then
5:     strategy(1,numberofplayers)
6:   else
7:     strategysset[i] = strategysset[i] + 1
8:     return
9:   end if
10: end procedure
```

In the above mentioned algorithms, the first two functions were used to traverse through the strategies of players and the third function, a driver function was used to select one of the 2 functions based on the situation. This was done as the data was stored in the form of a strategy profile and in case of more than 2 player games this system helped in a systematic traversal.

3.2 Dominance

Here the algorithm used to find the strongly, weakly and very weakly dominant strategy and their equilibrium is discussed.

Algorithm 3 Dominance

```

1: procedure FINDDOMINANCE(i)
2:   for loop = 1; loop ≤ action[i]; loop++ do
3:     initialize all strategyset to 1
4:     while 1 do
5:       strategyset[i] = currentstrategyloop
6:       curpayoff = findpayoff(strategyset,i)
7:       for j=loop-1;j>=1;j- do
8:         strategyset[i] = j
9:         cmppayoff = findpayoff(strategyset,i)
10:        if curpayoff < cmppayoff then
11:          canbreak = 1
12:          break
13:        end if
14:        if curpayoff == cmppayoff then
15:          strong = 0
16:        end if
17:        if curpayoff > cmppayoff then
18:          c1++
19:        end if
20:      end for
21:      if canbreak == 1 then
22:        break from the while loop ;
23:      end if
24:      strategyset[i] ← currentstrategyloop
25:      for j=currentstrategyloop + 1;j=action[i];j++ do
26:        strategyset[i] ← j
27:

```

```

28: |         end for
29: |         for k=0;k<numberofplayers;k++ do
30: |             if k==i then
31: |                 | continue
32: |             end if
33: |             if strategysset[k]!=action[k] then
34: |                 | canprint = -1
35: |                 | break
36: |             end if
37: |             if canprint == 1 then
38: |                 | if strong == 1 then
39: |                     | strongdominanceequilibrium  $\leftarrow$  currentstrategy
40: |                 end if
41: |                 if c3==1 then
42: |                     | weakdominanceequilibrium  $\leftarrow$  currentstrategy
43: |                 end if
44: |                 veryweakdominanceequilibrium  $\leftarrow$  currentstrategy
45: |             else
46: |                 | changestrategysset(i)
47: |                 | continue
48: |             end if
49: |         end for
50: |     end while
51: end for
52: end procedure

```

In this algorithm, the payoffs which were organized in the form of strategic profiles, were systematically traversed. The determination of the dominance and the dominance equilibrium of a particular strategy was achieved by performing a series of comparisons this can be observed quite intuitively in the algorithm.

3.3 Pure Nash Equilibrium

As discussed in the previous chapter, Pure strategy Nash equilibrium is that equilibrium in which there is no benefit for any player to unilaterally deviate from his equilibrium strategy. The following algorithm shows how this equilibrium can be calculated.

Algorithm 4 Pure Strategy Nash Equilibrium

```
1: procedure PSNE
2:   for j=0;j<numberofstrategyprofiles;j++ do
3:     strategysset  $\leftarrow$  strategy.
4:     for i=0;i<numberofplayers;i++ do
5:       get the current payoff.
6:       for set[i]=set[i]-1;set  $\geq$  1; set[i]- do
7:         get comparing payoff
8:         if curpayoff<cmppayoff then
9:           | Break  $i^{th}$  loop
10:        end if
11:      end for
12:      for set[i]=set[i]+1;set  $\leq$  action[i]; set[i]++ do
13:        get comparing payoff
14:        if curpayoff<cmppayoff then
15:          | Break  $i^{th}$  loop
16:        end if
17:      end for
18:    end for
19:    for n=0;n<numberofplayers;n++ do
20:      | ispure  $\leftarrow$  1
21:      | Nashequilibrium[n]  $\leftarrow$  changestrategysset[n]
22:    end for
23:    if ispure  $\neq$  1 then
24:      | call MSNE
25:    end if
26:  end for
27: end procedure
```

Here when there is no Pure Strategy Nash Equilibrium, the algorithm calls for MSNE function which calculates the Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium.

3.4 Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium

Given any Strategic game there has to be a Nash Equilibrium for that game. It is noted that if a game doesn't contain a PSNE, then that game must have a MSNE. In order to find the MSNE of a game a generic algorithm is followed.

Algorithm 5 Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium

```

1: procedure MSNE
2:   initialize  $\leftarrow$  mixedpayoff
3:   for  $i=0; i < \text{numberofplayers}; i++$  do
4:     for  $\text{loop}=1; \text{loop} \leq \text{action}[i]; \text{loop}++$  do
5:       Initialize strategysset  $\leftarrow$  1
6:       Find curpayoff
7:       while 1 do
8:         strategysset[i]  $\leftarrow$  loop
9:         Find cmppayoff
10:        if  $i = 0$  then
11:          | payoff[i][strategysset[0]-1][strategysset[1]-1] = cmppayoff
12:        else
13:          | payoff[i][strategysset[1]-1][strategysset[0]-1] = cmppayoff
14:        end if
15:        for  $k=0; k < \text{numberofplayers}; k++$  do
16:          if  $k==i$  then
17:            | continue;
18:          end if
19:          if strategysset[k]  $\neq$  action[k] then
20:            | canprint = -1;
21:            | break;
22:          end if
23:          if canprint == 1 then
24:            | break
25:          else
26:            | changestrategysset(i)
27:            | continue
28:          end if
29:        end for
30:      end while
31:      initialize Powerset  $\leftarrow$  Powersetcalculator
32:      Eliminate the pure strategy powersets and supports
33:      Consider only mixed strategy supports

```

```

34: | | Initialize solvegauss  $\leftarrow$  supports
35: | | Call gausseliminationsolver(solvegauss)
36: | | if solution = negative then
37: | | | break
38: | | else if if not considered payoff > considered then
39: | | | break
40: | | else
41: | | | declare it to be mixed strategy
42: | | end if
43: | end for
44: end for
45: end procedure

```

3.5 Maxmin value and Strategy for Pure strategies

Algorithm 6 Maxmin value and Strategy for Pure strategies

```

1: procedure DRIVER FUNCTION
2:   for i=0;i:numberofplayers;i++ do
3:     call maxminps(i)
4:     m  $\leftarrow$  maxminarray[i].index[1]
5:     for j=2;j $\leq$ action[i];j++ do
6:       if maxminarray[i].value[j] $\geq$ m then
7:         if maxminarray[i].value[j]=m then
8:           | store j
9:           | initialize check = 1
10:        else if check=1 then
11:          for c=0;c $\leq$ action[i];c++ do
12:            | discard stored value c
13:          end for
14:          m = maxminarray[i].value[j]
15:          sotre the index
16:        end if
17:      end if
18:    end for
19:  end for
20: end procedure

```

Algorithm 7 Maxmin value and Strategy for Pure strategies

```
1: procedure MAXMINPS(i)
2:   for loop=1; loop ≤ action[i]; loop++ do
3:     Initialize strategysset ← 1
4:     Find curpayoff
5:     while 1 do
6:       strategysset[i] ← loop
7:       Find cmppayoff
8:       if curpayoff > cmppayoff then
9:         curpayoff ← cmppayoff
10:        curpayoff = cmppayoff
11:        store payoff and strategy
12:      end if
13:      for k=0; k < numberofplayers; k++ do
14:        if k==i then
15:          | continue;
16:        end if
17:        if strategysset[k] != action[k] then
18:          | canprint = -1;
19:          | break;
20:        end if
21:        if canprint == 1 then
22:          | break
23:        else
24:          | changestrategysset(i)
25:          | continue
26:        end if
27:      end for
28:    end while
29:  end for
30: end procedure
```

The maxminps algorithm calculates the minimum value of all the payoffs and is stored. In the First algorithm 1 i.e. the driver procedure calculates the max over these set of min values.

3.6 Minmax value and Strategy for Pure strategies

Algorithm 8 Minmax value and Strategy for Pure strategies

```
1: procedure MINMAXPS(i)
2:   for loop=1; loop  $\leq$  action[i]; loop++ do
3:     Initialize strategysset  $\leftarrow$  1
4:     Find curpayoff
5:     while 1 do
6:       strategysset[i]  $\leftarrow$  loop
7:       for player=0; player $\leq$ numberofplayers; player++ do
8:         if player=i then
9:           continue
10:        end if
11:        Find cmppayoff
12:        if curpayoff < cmppayoff then
13:          curpayoff  $\leftarrow$  cmppayoff
14:          curpayoff = cmppayoff
15:          store payoff and strategy
16:        end if
17:      end for
18:      for k=0; k<numberofplayers; k++ do
19:        if k==i then
20:          continue;
21:        end if
22:        if strategysset[k]!=action[k] then
23:          canprint = -1
24:          break;
25:        end if
26:        if canprint == 1 then
27:          break
28:        else
29:          changestrategysset(i)
30:          continue
31:        end if
32:      end for
33:    end while
34:  end for
35: end procedure
```

Algorithm 9 Minmax value and Strategy for Pure strategies

```
1: procedure DRIVER FUNCTION
2:   for i=0;i<numberofplayers;i++ do
3:     call minmaxps(i)
4:     for c=0;c<numberofplayers;c++ do
5:       if c=1 then
6:         continue
7:       end if
8:     end for
9:     for c=0;c<numberofplayers;c++ do
10:      if c==i then
11:        continue
12:      end if
13:      m ← minmaxarray[i].value[c][1]
14:      indexarray ← minmaxarray[i].index[c][1]
15:      numberofvalues = 1
16:      for j=2;j≤action[c];j++ do
17:        if m ≥ minmaxarray[i].value[c][j] then
18:          if m = minmaxarray[i].value[c][j] then
19:            check = 1;
20:            increment numberofvalues
21:            indexarray ← minmaxarray[i].index[c][j]
22:          else
23:            numberofvalues = 1
24:            if check = 1 then
25:              for k=1;k≤action[i];k++ do
26:                indexarray ← 0
27:              end for
28:            end if
29:            indexarray[c][numberofvalues] = minmaxarray[i].index[c][j];
30:            m = minmaxarray[i].value[c][j]
31:          end if
32:        end if
33:      end for
34:    end for
35:  end for
36: end procedure
```

As the minmax values and strategies are against a certain player, the computation is slightly more time consuming than the maxmin values and strategies. In the above algorithm the max values of all the players are stored and the min value over these max values would give the minmax value and strategy that a player plays against the considered player.

3.7 Maxmin and Minmax values over Mixed Strategies

The maxmin value of a player is the highest payoff the player can guarantee himself even in the worst case when the other players are free to play any mixed strategies. The minmax values in the mixed strategies of a player i is the lowest payoff that the other players will be able to force on the player i when they choose mixed strategies that hurt player i the most.[ref]

In order to solve this problem the number of players are restricted to 2 for simplicity. The minimaximization problem here can be converted to linear programs. The following 2 linear programs describe the optimization problems for the 2 players considered.

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be the mixed strategies of player 1 and player 2 respectively. p_{ij} is the payoff of player 1.

the expected payoff for player 1 according to probability theory definition of expected value is

Expected payoff for player 1

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} x_i y_j = v$$

In order to find a desirable solution for a linear programming program 2 difficulties are faced

- v is unknown
- The linear programming problem has no objective function.

These problems can be solved by replacing the unknown constant v by the variable x_{m+1} and then maximizing x_{m+1} , so that x_{m+1} will be equal to v .

Player 1 would find his optimal mixed strategy by using the simplex method to solve the linear programming problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Maximize } x_{m+1}, \\
& \text{subject to} \\
& p_{11} x_1 + p_{21} x_2 + \dots + p_{m1} x_m - x_{m+1} \geq 0 \\
& p_{12} x_1 + p_{22} x_2 + \dots + p_{m2} x_m - x_{m+1} \geq 0 \\
& \dots\dots\dots \\
& p_{1n} x_1 + p_{2n} x_2 + \dots + p_{mn} x_m - x_{m+1} \geq 0 \\
& x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m = 1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$x_i \geq 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Similarly player 2 would conclude that his optimal mixed strategy is given by an optimal solution to the linear programming problem :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Maximize } y_{m+1}, \\
& \text{subject to} \\
& p_{11} y_1 + p_{21} y_2 + \dots + p_{m1} y_m - y_{m+1} \geq 0 \\
& p_{12} y_1 + p_{22} y_2 + \dots + p_{m2} y_m - y_{m+1} \geq 0 \\
& \dots\dots\dots \\
& p_{1n} y_1 + p_{2n} y_2 + \dots + p_{mn} y_m - y_{m+1} \geq 1 \\
& y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_m = 1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$y_i \geq 0, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

These equations were referred from [2]

3.8 Quadratic Programming for solving 2 player non-zerosum games

The necessary and sufficient condition that a point be a Nash equilibrium of a two-player, nonzero-sum game with finite number of pure strategies is that the point be a solution of a single programming problem with linear constraints and a quadratic objective function that has a global maximum of zero. Every equilibrium point is a solution of this programming problem. For the case of a zero-sum game, the quadratic programming problem degenerates to the well-known dual linear programs associated with the game as shown in the earlier subsection.

A majority of this section is derived from [4]. Consider a two-player general sum game $\mathcal{G} = (\mathbf{P}, \Sigma, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ with $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Let $\mathbf{1}_m \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ be the vector of all ones with m elements and let $\mathbf{1}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ be the vector of all ones with n elements. There is at least one Nash equilibrium $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^*)$. If either Player were to play his/her Nash equilibrium, then the optimization problems for the players would be:

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 & \begin{cases} \max & \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}^* \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{1}_m^T \mathbf{x} = 1 \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{cases} \\ P_2 & \begin{cases} \max & \mathbf{x}^{*T} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{1}_n^T \mathbf{y} = 1 \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Individually, these are linear programs. The problem is, we don't know the values of $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^*)$ *a priori*. However, we can draw insight from these problems.

We can find a third Nash equilibrium for the Chicken game using this approach. Recall we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -10 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{B} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -10 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then our quadratic program is:

$$(1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max \quad [x_1 \ x_2] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -20 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} - \alpha - \beta \\ s.t. \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \quad [x_1 \ x_2] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -10 \end{bmatrix} - [\beta \ \beta] \leq [0 \ 0] \\ \quad [1 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \\ \quad [1 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \\ \quad \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \quad \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right.$$

This simplifies to the quadratic programming problem:

$$(2) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max \quad -20x_2y_2 - \alpha - \beta \\ s.t. \quad -y_2 - \alpha \leq 0 \\ \quad y_1 - 10y_2 - \alpha \leq 0 \\ \quad -x_2 - \beta \leq 0 \\ \quad x_1 - 10x_2 - \beta \leq 0 \\ \quad x_1 + x_2 = 1 \\ \quad y_1 + y_2 = 1 \\ \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \geq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

An optimal solution to this problem is $x_1 = 0.9$, $x_2 = 0.1$, $y_1 = 0.9$, $y_2 = 0.1$. This is a third Nash equilibrium in mixed strategies for this instance of Chicken. Identifying this third Nash equilibrium in Matlab is shown in Figure below. In order to correctly input this problem into Matlab, we need to first write the problem as a proper quadratic program. This is done by letting the vector of decision variables be:

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the quadratic programming problem for Chicken is written as:

$$(3) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max \frac{1}{2} [x_1 \ x_2 \ y_1 \ y_2 \ \alpha \ \beta] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -40 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ s.t. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -10 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\infty \\ -\infty \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right.$$

Note, before you enter this into Matlab, you must transform the problem to a minimization problem by multiplying the objective function matrices by -1 .

```

>> Q = [[0 0 0 0 0 0];[0 0 0 40 0 0];[0 0 0 0 0 0];[0 0 0 0 0 0];[0 0 0 0 0 0];[0 0 0 0 0 0]]
Q =

     0     0     0     0     0     0
     0     0     0    40     0     0
     0     0     0     0     0     0
     0     0     0     0     0     0
     0     0     0     0     0     0
     0     0     0     0     0     0

>> c = [0;0;0;0;1;1];
>> A = [[0 0 0 -1 -1 0];[0 0 1 -10 -1 0];[0 -1 0 0 0 -1];[1 -10 0 0 0 -1]];
>> b = [0;0;0;0];
>> H = [[1 1 0 0 0 0 ];[0 0 1 1 0 0]]
H =

     1     1     0     0     0     0
     0     0     1     1     0     0

>> r = [1;1];
>> l = [0;0;0;0;-inf;-inf];
>> u = [inf;inf;inf;inf;inf;inf];
>> [x obj] = quadprog(Q,c,A,b,H,r,l,u);
Warning: Your Hessian is not symmetric. Resetting H=(H+H')/2.
> In quadprog at 260
Warning: Large-scale algorithm does not currently solve this problem formulation,
using medium-scale algorithm instead.
> In quadprog at 291
Optimization terminated.
>> x

x =

    0.9000
    0.1000
    0.9000
    0.1000
   -0.1000
   -0.1000

>>
>> |

```

This figure is a screenshot of the matlab implementation of the problem.

4 Result and Discussion

4.1 Observations

The following observations and relation among the concepts could be established, when the topics discussed in the 3rd section were implemented.[1]

- Dominant strategies may or may not exist. A strictly dominant strategy if exists will be unique. The same does not hold good for weakly and very weakly dominant strategy.
- A Strictly dominant strategy equilibrium if exists, a weakly and very weakly dominant strategy equilibrium exists for the same strategy profile.
- Any dominant strategy equilibrium is a pure strategy Nash equilibrium.
- Nash equilibrium may not always exist.
- Multiple Nash equilibria can also exist. Battle of Sexes game illustrates this.
- Sum of the utilities obtained in a Nash equilibrium may not be maximal. Pigou's Network game is one such example.
- Nash equilibrium provides insurance for a player against his own unilateral deviations only. May not provide insurance against unilateral deviations by other players. Does not provide insurance against multilateral deviations.
- Nash equilibrium may not correspond to a socially optimal outcome.
- Nash equilibrium is a much weaker notion of equilibrium than a dominant strategy equilibrium, because Nash equilibrium is the best response against Nash equilibrium strategies of the rest of the players whereas dominant strategy equilibrium offers a best response irrespective of the strategies of rest of the players.
- Nash equilibrium need not be a dominant strategy equilibrium.

- Maxmin strategy of a player is the best possible payoff that can be guaranteed even in the worst case when the other players are free to choose any strategy. Hence otherwise known as *security* or *no-regret* strategy.
- There can exist multiple maxmin strategies.
- Payoff of a player in Nash equilibrium profile is at least the maxmin value of the player. In general the maxmin strategy differ from Nash equilibrium profiles. Thus different from dominant strategies also.
- Minmax value of a player is the lowest payoff that can be forced on him, when the other players choose strategies that hurt that player the most.
- Nash equilibrium is always greater than or equal to minmax value which in turn is greater than or equal to maxmin value.

4.2 Results

All the above mentioned algorithms were solved using C programming language except for quadratic programming problem which was solved in Matlab using optimization toolbox [5]. Several test cases were taken into consideration and the results were verified with already established results. Thus proving the correctness of the implementation.

The complete implementation of the code will be put up on the following GitHub link.

<https://github.com/Jayanth-Kulkarni>

References

- [1] Game Theory and mechanism Design Authored by **Y.Narahari**
<http://lcm.csa.iisc.ernet.in/hari/book.html>
- [2] Introduction to operations research Authored by **Hillier / Lieberman**
<http://www.mhhe.com/engcs/industrial/hillier/>
- [3] Gambit Software
<http://gambit.sourceforge.net/>
- [4] Two-Person Nonzero-Sum Games and Quadratic Programming
Authored by
O. L. MANGASARIAN AND H. STONE
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022247X64900216>
- [5] MATLAB and Statistics Toolbox Release 2012b, The MathWorks, Inc.,
Natick, Massachusetts, United States.