Ax-Grothendieck in lean

Joseph Hua

June 11, 2022

Imperial College London

Motivation

Definition (Polynomial maps)

Polynomial maps on a field K are regular endomorphisms on K^n , i.e. n polynomials in $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Definition (Polynomial maps)

Polynomial maps on a field K are regular endomorphisms on K^n , i.e. n polynomials in $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Examples

• Surjective but not injective: $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} := x \mapsto x^2$

Definition (Polynomial maps)

Polynomial maps on a field K are regular endomorphisms on K^n , i.e. n polynomials in $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Examples

- Surjective but not injective: $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} := x \mapsto x^2$
- Neither surjective nor injective: $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2 := (x, y) \mapsto (x, xy)$

Definition (Polynomial maps)

Polynomial maps on a field K are regular endomorphisms on K^n , i.e. n polynomials in $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Examples

- Surjective but not injective: $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} := x \mapsto x^2$
- Neither surjective nor injective: $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2 := (x, y) \mapsto (x, xy)$
- Bijective: $f: \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3 := (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, z + xy)$

Definition (Polynomial maps)

Polynomial maps on a field K are regular endomorphisms on K^n , i.e. n polynomials in $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Examples

- Surjective but not injective: $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} := x \mapsto x^2$
- Neither surjective nor injective: $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2 := (x, y) \mapsto (x, xy)$
- Bijective: $f: \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3 := (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, z + xy)$

Code

```
def poly_map (K : Type*) [comm_semiring K] (n : \mathbb{N}) : Type* := fin n \rightarrow mv_polynomial (fin n) K def eval : poly_map K n \rightarrow (fin n \rightarrow K) \rightarrow (fin n \rightarrow K) := \lambda ps as k, mv_polynomial.eval as (ps k)
```

Theorem (Ax-Grothendieck)

Any injective polynomial map over an algebraically closed field is surjective. In particular injective polynomial maps over $\mathbb C$ are surjective.

Theorem (Ax-Grothendieck)

Any injective polynomial map over an algebraically closed field is surjective. In particular injective polynomial maps over \mathbb{C} are surjective.

```
theorem Ax_Groth \{n: \mathbb{N}\} \{ps: poly\_map \ K \ n\} \{hinj: function.injective (poly\_map.eval ps)\}: function.surjective \{poly\_map.eval \ ps\}:= sorry
```

Theorem (Ax-Grothendieck)

Any injective polynomial map over an algebraically closed field is surjective. In particular injective polynomial maps over $\mathbb C$ are surjective.

```
theorem Ax\_Groth \{n : \mathbb{N}\} \{ps : poly\_map \ K \ n\} (hinj : function.injective (poly\_map.eval ps)) : function.surjective (poly\_map.eval ps) := sorry
```

• Amazing fact: the Lefschetz principle implies that if we show this for a single algebraically closed field of characteristic n (a model of ACF $_n$) and it will be true for any model of ACF $_n$ (n is zero or prime).

Theorem (Ax-Grothendieck)

Any injective polynomial map over an algebraically closed field is surjective. In particular injective polynomial maps over $\mathbb C$ are surjective.

```
theorem Ax_Groth \{n : \mathbb{N}\} \{ps : poly_map \ K \ n\} \{hinj : function.injective (poly_map.eval ps)) : function.surjective <math>\{poly_map.eval \ ps\} := sorry
```

- Amazing fact: the Lefschetz principle implies that if we show this for a single algebraically closed field of characteristic n (a model of ACF $_n$) and it will be true for any model of ACF $_n$ (n is zero or prime).
- Amazing fact: Lefschetz also says that if we show it for all ACF_p for large p then it is also true for ACF_0 .

Theorem (Ax-Grothendieck)

Any injective polynomial map over an algebraically closed field is surjective. In particular injective polynomial maps over $\mathbb C$ are surjective.

```
theorem Ax_Groth \{n : \mathbb{N}\} \{ps : poly_map \ K \ n\} \{hinj : function.injective (poly_map.eval ps)\} := function.surjective (poly_map.eval ps) := sorry
```

- Amazing fact: the Lefschetz principle implies that if we show this for a single algebraically closed field of characteristic n (a model of ACF $_n$) and it will be true for any model of ACF $_n$ (n is zero or prime).
- Amazing fact: Lefschetz also says that if we show it for all ACF_p for large
 p then it is also true for ACF₀.
- Good news: We can easily show it for algebraic closures of \mathbb{F}_p for any p.

Definition (Locally finite fields)

Let K be a field of characteristic p a prime. Then the following are equivalent definitions for K being a *locally finite field*:

1. The minimal subfield generated by any finite subset of K is finite.

Definition (Locally finite fields)

Let K be a field of characteristic p a prime. Then the following are equivalent definitions for K being a *locally finite field*:

- 1. The minimal subfield generated by any finite subset of K is finite.
- 2. $\mathbb{F}_p \to K$ is algebraic.

Definition (Locally finite fields)

Let K be a field of characteristic p a prime. Then the following are equivalent definitions for K being a *locally finite field*:

- 1. The minimal subfield generated by any finite subset of K is finite.
- 2. $\mathbb{F}_p \to K$ is algebraic.
- 3. K embeds into an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p .

Definition (Locally finite fields)

Let K be a field of characteristic p a prime. Then the following are equivalent definitions for K being a *locally finite field*:

- 1. The minimal subfield generated by any finite subset of K is finite.
- 2. $\mathbb{F}_p \to K$ is algebraic.
- 3. K embeds into an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p .

Definition (Locally finite fields)

Let K be a field of characteristic p a prime. Then the following are equivalent definitions for K being a *locally finite field*:

- 1. The minimal subfield generated by any finite subset of K is finite.
- 2. $\mathbb{F}_p \to K$ is algebraic.
- 3. K embeds into an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p .

Theorem

Locally finite fields satisfy Ax-Grothendieck.

Proof.

$$\mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p(\mathsf{coeffs}) \hookrightarrow K$$

 $\downarrow_{\mathbb{F}_p(\mathsf{coeffs})}$ respects injectivity

The Lefschetz principle

Theorem (Lefschetz principle)

Let ϕ be a sentence in the language of rings. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. Some model of ACF₀ satisfies ϕ . (If you like $\mathbb{C} \models \phi$.)
- 2. $ACF_0 \models \phi$
- 3. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any prime p greater than n, $\mathsf{ACF}_p \vDash \phi$
- 4. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any prime p greater than n, some model of ACF_p satisfies ϕ .

Model Theory

Languages

```
\begin{tabular}{lll} structure Language : Type (u+1) := \\ (functions : \mathbb{N} \to Type \ u) \\ (relations : \mathbb{N} \to Type \ u) \\ \end{tabular}
```

Languages

```
structure Language : Type (u+1) := (functions : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Type} u) (relations : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Type} u)
```

```
inductive ring_consts : Type*
| zero : ring_consts
| one : ring_consts
inductive ring unaries : Type*
| neg : ring_unaries
inductive ring_binaries : Type*
| add : ring_binaries
| mul : ring_binaries
def ring_funcs : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Type} \star
| 0 := ring_consts
| 1 := ring_unaries
| 2 := ring_binaries
I (n + 3) := pemptv
def ring_signature : Language :=
(Language.mk) (ring_funcs)
  (\lambda n, pempty)
```

Languages

```
structure Language : Type (u+1) := (functions : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Type} u) (relations : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Type} u)
```

More examples

- · Lanugage of groups
- Language of monoid actions from a monoid M and modules on a ring A
- Single binary relations

```
inductive ring_consts : Type*
| zero : ring_consts
| one : ring_consts
inductive ring unaries : Type*
| neg : ring_unaries
inductive ring_binaries : Type*
| add : ring_binaries
| mul : ring_binaries
def ring_funcs : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Type}*
| 0 := ring_consts
| 1 := ring unaries
| 2 := ring_binaries
I (n + 3) := pemptv
def ring_signature : Language :=
(Language.mk) (ring_funcs)
  (\lambda n, pempty)
```

Terms and formulas

```
inductive bounded_preterm (n : \mathbb{N}) : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Type} u
  | x_- : \forall (k : fin n), bounded_preterm 0
  | bd_func : \forall {1 : \mathbb{N}} (f : L.functions 1), bounded_preterm 1
  | bd_app : \forall {1 : \mathbb{N}} (t : bounded_preterm (1 + 1))
       (s : bounded_preterm 0), bounded_preterm 1
 def bounded_term (n : \mathbb{N}) := bounded_preterm L n 0
 x_1 * 0 \rightarrow bd_{app} (bd_app (bd_func mul) (x_ 1)) (bd_func zero)
```

Proof overview

An overview of the proof:

$$(\text{algebraic}) \ \chi_{p} \ \text{Ax-G} \\ \downarrow \text{soundness} \\ (\text{model th.}) \ \chi_{p} \ \text{Ax-G} \\ \downarrow \text{ACF}_{p} \ \text{is complete} \\ (\text{model th.}) \ \chi_{p} \ \text{Ax-G} \\ \downarrow \text{Lefschetz} \ \chi\text{-change} \\ (\text{model th.}) \ \chi_{0} \ \text{Ax-G} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{completeness}} \ (\text{algebraic}) \ \chi_{0} \ \text{Ax-G} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{case on } \chi} \ \text{(algebraic)} \ \text{Ax-G}$$