

# Ninja vs make from cmake

cschen

# Available?

- Begin from 2.8.9
- Sun grid 2.6.4

# Incorrect bench mark

- 使用 make 编译 llvm-clang 花费 3 个小时左右 , 使用 ninja 只需要 10 多分钟
- [http://blog.sina.com.cn/s/blog\\_6114e8c80101arpu.html](http://blog.sina.com.cn/s/blog_6114e8c80101arpu.html)

# Incorrect bench mark

- 使用 make 编译 llvm-clang 花费 3 个小时左右 , 使用 ninja 只需要 10 多分钟
- [http://blog.sina.com.cn/s/blog\\_6114e8c80101arpu.html](http://blog.sina.com.cn/s/blog_6114e8c80101arpu.html)
- 
- `ninja --help`
- `-j N` run N jobs in parallel [**default=10**, derived from CPUs available]

# Ninja vs make performance comparison from web

- <http://www.lanedo.com/a-quest-for-speed-in-compiling/>
- <http://hamelot.io/programming/make-vs-ninja-performance-comparison/>
- <http://jpospisil.com/2014/03/16/replacing-make-with-ninja.html>

# For Csim build

- First time:

<code>cmake .</code>	<code>cmake -G Ninja .</code>
<code>time make -j8</code>	<code>time ninja -j8</code>
<code>real 4m5.634s</code>	<code>real 3m58.293s</code>
<code>user29m57.486s</code>	<code>user30m5.561s</code>
<code>sys 1m26.882s</code>	<code>sys 1m16.597s</code>

- Touch one or more files:

- No obvious difference in:

- `touch ../../../../include/memory.h, ../../../../include/*, ../../../../src/v8/aarch64/instruction/instruction.cpp`

- No file change:

- Let's do it on terminal.

# For Csim clean

- clean:

```
time make clean  
real 0m0.784s  
user0m0.497s  
sys 0m0.289s
```

```
time make -j8 clean  
real 0m0.230s  
user0m0.546s  
sys 0m0.274s
```

```
ninja -t clean  
Cleaning... 3264 files.  
real 0m0.096s  
user0m0.024s  
sys 0m0.072s
```

ninja -t clean is faster than make clean...

<https://cmake.org/pipermail/cmake-developers/2012-April/015526.html>