Abelian ℓ -adic Representations and Elliptic Curves

Jean-Pierre Serre

June 11, 2024

CONTENTS

ii CONTENTS

EDITORS' NOTES

We have tried to keep the book as similar to the original with minor changes. Here are some changes in notation:

Original	New	Meaning
Σ_K	M_K^0	Set of finite places of a number field K .
ℓ	λ	The residue field of a field L relative to a finite
		place.
R^*	R^{\times}	The group of units of a ring R .
U°	\mathring{U}	The interior of a subset U of a topological space.
A_K	\mathcal{O}_K	The ring of algebraic integers of a number field K .
$\mathbb{P}_{n/K}$	\mathbb{P}^n_K	The n -dimensional projective space over a field K .
$X \times_K L$	$X \otimes_K L$	The base change of a K -scheme X by a field ex-
		tension L/K .

iv CONTENTS

CHAPTER I

ℓ-ADIC REPRESENTATIONS

$\S 1$. The notion of an ℓ -adic representation

1.1 Definition

Let K be a field, and let K_s be a separable algebraic closure of K. Let $\mathfrak{G} = \operatorname{Gal}(K_s/K)$ be the Galois group of the extension K_s/K . The group \mathfrak{G} , with the Krull topology, is compact and totally disconnected. Let ℓ be a prime number, and let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over the field \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} of ℓ -adic numbers. The full linear group $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$ is an ℓ -adic Lie group, its topology being induced by the natural topology of $\operatorname{End}(V)$; if $n = \dim(V)$, we have $\operatorname{Aut}(V) \cong \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$.

Definition 1.1. An ℓ -adic representation of \mathfrak{G} (or, by abuse of language, of K) is a continuous homomorphism $\rho \colon \mathfrak{G} \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$.

Remark. 1) A lattice of V is a sub- \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -module T which is free of finite rank, and generate V over \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} , so that V can be identified with $T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$. Notice that there exists a lattice of V which is stable under \mathfrak{G} . This follows from the fact that \mathfrak{G} is compact.

Indeed, let L be any lattice of V, and let H be the set of elements $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ such that $\rho(g)L = L$. This is an open subgroup of \mathfrak{G} , and \mathfrak{G}/H is finite. The lattice T generated by the lattices $\rho(g)L$, $g \in \mathfrak{G}/H$, is stable under G.

Notice that L may be identified with the projective limit of the free $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ -modules $T/\ell^m T$, on which \mathfrak{G} acts; the vector space V may be reconstructed from T by $V = T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$.

2) If ρ is an ℓ -adic representation of \mathfrak{G} , the group $\mathfrak{G} = \operatorname{Im}(\rho)$ is a closed subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$, and hence, by the ℓ -adic analogue of Cartan's theorem (cf. [28]) \mathfrak{G} is itself an ℓ -adic Lie group. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(\mathfrak{G})$ is a subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}(V) = \operatorname{Lie}(\operatorname{Aut}(V))$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is easily seen to be invariant under extensions of finite type of the ground field K (cf. [24], 1.2).

Exercises.

- 1) Let V be a vector space of dimension 2 over a field k and let H be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$. Assume that $\det(1-h)=0$ for all $h\in H$. Show the existence of a basis of V with respect to which H is contained either in the subgroup $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ or in the subgroup $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$.
- 2) Let $\rho: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(V_{\ell})$ be an ℓ -adic representation of \mathfrak{G} , where V_{ℓ} is a \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} -vector space of dimension 2. Assume $\det(1-\rho(s))=0 \mod \ell$ for all $s \in \mathfrak{G}$. Let T be a lattice of V_{ℓ} stable by G. Show the existence of a lattice T' of V_{ℓ} with the following two properties:
 - (a) T' is stable by \mathfrak{G}
 - (b) Either T' is a sublattice of index ℓ of T and \mathfrak{G} acts trivially on T/T' or T is a sublattice of index ℓ of T' and \mathfrak{G} acts trivially on T'/T.

(Apply exercise ?? above to $k = F_{\ell}$ and $V = T/\ell T$.)

- 3) Let ρ be a semi-simple ℓ -adic representation of G and let U be an invariant subgroup of G. Assume that, for all $x \in U$, $\rho(x)$ is unipotent (all its eigenvalues are equal to 1). Show that $\rho(x) = 1$ for all $x \in U$. (Show that the restriction of ρ to U is semi-simple and use Kolchin's theorem to bring it to triangular form.)
- 4) Let $\rho: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(V_{\ell})$ be an ℓ -adic representation of G, and T a lattice of V_{ℓ} stable under G. Show the equivalence of the following properties:
 - (a) The representation of G in the F_{ℓ} -vector space $T/\ell T$ is irreducible.
 - (b) The only lattices of V_{ℓ} stable under G are the $\ell^n T$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.2 Examples

Roots of unity. Let $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(K)$. The group $\mathfrak{G} = \operatorname{Gal}(K_s/K)$ acts on the group μ_m of ℓ^m -th roots of unity, and hence also on $T_{\ell}(\mu) = \varprojlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_m$. The \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} -vector space $V_{\ell}(\mu) = T_{\ell}(\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ is of dimension 1, and the homomorphism $\chi_{\ell} \colon \mathfrak{G} \to \operatorname{Aut}(V_{\ell}) = \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\times}$ defined by the action of \mathfrak{G} on V_{ℓ} is a 1-dimensional ℓ -adic representation of \mathfrak{G} . The character χ_{ℓ} takes its values in the group of units U of \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} ; by definition

$$g(z) = z^{\chi_{\ell}(g)}$$
 if $g \in \mathfrak{G}$, $z^{\ell^m} = 1$.

Elliptic curves. Let $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(K)$. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over K with a given rational point o. One knows that there is a unique structure of group variety on E with o as neutral element. Let E_m be the kernel of multiplication by ℓ^m in $E(K_s)$, and let

$$T_{\ell}(E) = \varprojlim_{m} E_{m}, \qquad V_{\ell}(E) = T_{\ell}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}.$$

The Tate module $T_{\ell}(E)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -module on which $\mathfrak{G} = \operatorname{Gal}(K_{s}/K)$ acts (cf. [12], chap. VII). The corresponding homomorphism $\pi_{\ell} \colon \mathfrak{G} \to \operatorname{Aut}(V_{\ell}(E))$ is an ℓ -adic representation of \mathfrak{G} . The group $G_{\ell} = \operatorname{Im}(\pi_{\ell})$ is a closed subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\ell}(E))$, a 4-dimensional Lie group isomorphic to $\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$. (In chapter IV, we will determine the Lie algebra of G_{ℓ} , under the assumption that K is a number field.)

Since we can identify E with its dual (in the sense of the duality of abelian varieties) the symbol (x, y) (cf. [12], loc. cit.) defines canonical isomorphisms

$$\bigwedge^2 T_{\ell}(E) = T_{\ell}(\mu), \qquad \bigwedge^2 V_{\ell}(E) = V_{\ell}(\mu).$$

Hence $det(\pi_{\ell})$ is the character χ_{ℓ} defined in example 1.

Abelian varieties. Let A be an abelian variety over K of dimension d. If $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(K)$, we define $T_{\ell}(A)$, $V_{\ell}(A)$ in the same way as in example 2. The group $T_{\ell}(A)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -module of rank 2d (cf. [12], $loc.\ cit.$) on which $\mathfrak{G} = \operatorname{Gal}(K_{s}/K)$ acts.

Cohomology representations. Let X be an algebraic variety defined over the field K, and let $X_s = X \times_K K_s$ be the corresponding variety over K_s . Let $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(K)$, and let i be an integer. Using the étale cohomology of **3** [3] we let

$$H^i(X_s, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \varprojlim_n H^i((X_s)_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}), \qquad H^i_\ell(X_s) = H^i(X_s, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

The group $H_{\ell}^{i}(X_{s})$ is a vector space over \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} on which $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K_{s}/K)$ acts (via the action of G on X_{s}). It is finite dimensional, at least if $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$ or if X is proper. We thus get an ℓ -adic representation of G associated to $H_{\ell}^{i}(X_{s})$; by taking duals we also get homology ℓ -adic representations. Examples 1, 2, 3 are particular cases of homology ℓ -adic representations where i = 1 and X is respectively the multiplicative group m, the elliptic curve E, and the abelian variety A.

Exercise.

- (a) Show that there is an elliptic curve E, defined over $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}(T)$, with j-invariant equal to T.
- (b) Show that for such a curve, over $K = \mathbb{C}(T)$, one has $G_{\ell} = \mathrm{SL}(T_{\ell}(E))$ (cf. 10 [10] for an algebraic proof).
- (c) Using ??, show that, over K_0 , we have $G_{\ell} = \mathrm{GL}(T_{\ell}(E))$.
- (d) Show that for any closed subgroup H of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ there is an elliptic curve (defined over some field) for which $G_{\ell} = H$.

$\S 2.$ ℓ -adic representations of number fields

2.1 Preliminaries

(For the basic notions concerning number fields, see for instance **6** [**6**], **13** [**13**] or **44** [**44**].) Let K be a number field (i.e. a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}). Denote by M_K^0 the set of all finite places of K, i.e., the set of all normalized discrete valuations of K (or, alternatively, the set of prime ideals in the ring \mathcal{O}_K of integers of K). The **residue field** k_v of a place $v \in M_K^0$ is a finite field with $\mathbf{N}(v) = p_v^{\deg(v)}$ elements, where $p_v = \operatorname{char}(k_v)$ and $\deg(v)$ is the degree of k_v over F_{p_v} . The ramification index e_v of v is $v(p_v)$.

Let L/K be a finite Galois extension with Galois group G, and let $w \in M_L^0$. The subgroup D_w of G consisting of those $g \in G$ for which gw = w is the **decomposition group** of w. The restriction of w to K is an integral multiple of an element $v \in M_K^0$; by abuse of language, we also say that v is the restriction of w to K, and we write $w \mid v$ ("w divides v"). Let L (resp. K) be the completion of L (resp. K) with respect to w (resp. v). We have $D_w = \operatorname{Gal}(L_w/K_v)$. The group D_w is mapped homomorphically onto the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\lambda_w/k_v)$ of the corresponding residue extension λ_w/k_v . The kernel of $G \to \operatorname{Gal}(\lambda_w/k_v)$ is the inertia group I_w of w. The quotient group D_w/I_w is a finite cyclic group generated by the **Frobenius element** F_w ; we have $F(\lambda) = \lambda^{\mathbf{N}(v)}$ for all $\lambda \in \lambda_w$. The valuation w (resp. v) is called **unramified** if $I_w = \{1\}$. Almost all places of K are unramified.

If L is an arbitrary algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q} , one defines M_K^0 to be the projective limit of the sets $M_{L_{\alpha}}^0$, where L_{α} ranges over the finite sub-extensions of L/\mathbb{Q} . Then, if L/K is an arbitrary Galois extension of the number field K, and $w \in M_L^0$, one defines D_w , I_w , F_w as before. If v is an unramified place of K, and w is a place of L extending v, we denote by F_v the conjugacy class of F_w in $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\rho: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ be an ℓ -adic representation of K, and let $v \in M_K^0$. We say that ρ is unramified at v if $\rho(I_w) = \{1\}$ for any valuation w of \overline{K} extending v.

If the representation ρ is unramified at v, then the restriction of ρ to D_w factors through D_w/I_w for any $w \mid v$; hence $\rho(F_w) \in \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ is defined; we call $\rho(F_w)$ the **Frobenius** of w in the representation ρ , and we denote it by $F_{w,\rho}$. The conjugacy class of $F_{w,\rho}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$ depends only on v; it is denoted by $F_{v,\rho}$. If L/K is the extension of K corresponding to $H = \operatorname{Ker}(\rho)$, then ρ is unramified at v if and only if v is unramified in L/K.

2.2 Čebotarev's density theorem

Let P be a subset of M_K^0 . For each integer n, let $a_n(P)$ be the number of $v \in P$ such that $\mathbf{N} v \leq n$. If a is a real number, one says that P has density a if

$$\lim \frac{a_n(P)}{a_n(M_K^0)} = a \quad \text{when} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Note that $a_n(M_K^0) \sim n/\log(n)$, by the prime number theorem (cf. Appendix, or [13], chap. VIII), so that the above relation may be rewritten:

$$a_n(P) = a \cdot \frac{n}{\log(n)} + o\left(\frac{n}{\log(n)}\right).$$

Examples. A finite set has density 0. The set of $v \in M_K^0$ of degree 1 (i.e. such that $\mathbf{N} v$ is prime) has density 1. The set of ordinary prime numbers whose first digit (in the decimal system, say) is 1 has no density.

Theorem 1. Let L be a finite Galois extension of the number field K, with Galois group G. Let X be a subset of G, stable by conjugation. Let P_X has density equal to Card(X)/Card(G).

For the proof, see [7], [1], or the Appendix.

Corollary 1.1. For every $g \in G$, there exist infinitely many unramified places $w \in M_K^0$ such that $F_w = g$.

For infinite extensions, we have:

Corollary 1.2. Let L be a Galois extension of K, which is unramified outside a finite set S.

- a) The Frobenius elements of the unramified places of L are dense in Gal(L/K).
- b) Let K be a subset of $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$, stable by conjugation. Assume that the boundary of X has measure zero with respect to the Haar measure μ of X, and normalize μ such that its total mass is 1. Then the set of places $v \notin S$ such that $F_v \subset X$ has a density equal to $\mu(X)$.

Assertion (b) follows from the theorem, by writing L as an increasing union of finite Galois extensions and passing to the limit (one may also use Prop. 1 of the Appendix). Assertion (a) follows from (b) applied to a suitable neghborhood of a given class of Gal(L/K).

Exercise. Let G be an ℓ -adic Lie group and let X be an analytic subset of G (i.e. a set defined by the vanishing of a family of analytic functions on G). Shor that the boundary of X has measure zero with respect to the Haar measure of G.

2.3 Rational ℓ -adic representations

Belen.

2.4 Representations with values in a linear algebraic group

Let H be a linear algebraic group defined over a field K. If k' is a commutative k-algebra, let H(k') denote the group of points of H with values in k'. Let A denote the coordinate ring (or "affine ring") of H. An element $f \in A$ is said to be **central** if f(xy) = f(yx) for any $x, y \in H(k')$ and any commutative k-algebra k'. If $x \in H(k')$ we say that the conjugacy class of x in H is **rational over** k if $f(x) \in k$ for any central element f of A.

Definition 2.2. Let H be a linear algebraic group over \mathbb{Q} , and let K be a field. A continuous homomorphism $\rho \colon \operatorname{Gal}(K_{\operatorname{s}}/K) \to H(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ is called an ℓ -adic representation of K with values in H.

(Note that $H(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ is, in a natural way, a topological group and even an ℓ -adic Lie group.)

If K is a number field, one defines in an obvious way what it means for ρ to be unramified at a place $v \in M_K^0$; if $w \mid v$, one defines the Frobenius element $F_{w,\rho} \in H(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and its conjugacy class $F_{v,\rho}$. We say, as before, that ρ is **rational** if

- (a) there is a finite set S of M_K^0 such that ρ is unramified outside S,
- (b) if $v \notin S$, the conjugacy class $F_{v,\rho}$ is rational over \mathbb{Q} .

Two rational representations ρ , ρ' (for primes ℓ , ℓ') are said to be **compatible** if there exists a finite subset S of M_K^0 such that ρ and ρ' are unramified outside S and such that for any central element $f \in A$ and any $v \in M_K^0 \setminus S$ we have $f(F_{v,\rho}) = f(F_{v,\rho})$. One defines in the same way the notions of **compatible** and **strictly compatible systems** of rational representations.

Remark. 1) If the algebraic group H is abelian, then condition ?? above means that $F_{v,\rho}$ (which is now an element of $H(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$) is rational over \mathbb{Q} , i.e. belongs to $H(\mathbb{Q})$.

2) Let V_0 be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Q} , and let GL_{V_0} be the linear algebraic group over \mathbb{Q} whose group of points in any commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra k is $\operatorname{Aut}(V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k)$; in particular, if $V_\ell = V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, then $\operatorname{GL}_{V_0}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \operatorname{Aut}(V_\ell)$. If $\varphi \colon H \to \operatorname{GL}_{V_0}$ is a homomorphism of linear algebraic groups over \mathbb{Q} , call φ_ℓ the induced homomorphism of $H(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ into $\operatorname{GL}_{V_0}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \operatorname{Aut}(V_\ell)$. If ρ is an ℓ -adic representation of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ into $H(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, one gets by composition a linear ℓ -adic representation $\varphi_\ell \circ \rho$: $\operatorname{Gal}(K_s/K) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V_\ell)$. Using the fact that the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are central functions, one sees that $\varphi_\ell \circ \rho$ is rational if ρ is rational (K a number field). Of course, compatible representations in H give compatible linear representations. We will use this method of constructing compatible representations in the case where H is abelian (see ch. ??, ??).

$\S I.A.$ Equipartition and L-functions

I.A.1 Equipartition

Let X be a compact topological space and C(X) the Banach space of continuous, complex-valued, functions on X, with its usual norm $||f|| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$. For each $x \in X$ let δ_x be the Dirac measure associated to x; if $f \in C(X)$, we have $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$.

Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of points of X. For $n\geq 1$, let

$$\mu_n = \frac{\delta_{x_1} + \dots + \delta_{x_n}}{n}$$

and let μ be a Radon measure on X (i.e. a continuous linear form on C(X), cf. Bourbaki, Int., chap. III, §1). The sequence (x_n) is said to be μ equidistributed, or μ -uniformly distributed, if $\mu_n \to \mu$ weakly as $n \to \infty$,
i.e. if $\mu_n(f) \to \mu(f)$ as $n \to \infty$ for any $f \in C(X)$. Note that this implies that μ is positive and of total mass 1. Note also that $\mu_n(f) \to \mu(f)$ means that

$$\mu(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i).$$

Lemma 1. Let (φ_{α}) be a family of continuous functions on X with the property that their linear combinations are dense in C(X). Suppose that,

9

for all α , the sequence $(\mu_n(\varphi_\alpha))_{n>1}$ has a limit. Then the sequence (x_n) is equidistributed with respect to some measure μ it is the unique measure such that $\mu(\varphi_\alpha) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\varphi_\alpha)$ for all α .

If $f \in C(X)$, an argument using equicontinuity shows that the sequence $(\mu_n(f))$ has a limit $\mu(f)$, which is continuous and linear in f; hence the lemma.

Proposition 1. Suppose that (x_n) is μ -equidistributed. Let U be a subset of X whose boundary has μ -measure zero, and, for all n, let n_U be the number of $m \le n$ such that $x_m \in U$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} (n_U/n) = \mu(U)$.

Let \mathring{U} be the interior of U. We have $\mu(\mathring{U}) = \mu(U)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By the definition of $\mu(\mathring{U})$ there is a continuous function $\varphi \in C(X)$, $0 \le \varphi \le 1$, with $\varphi = 0$ on $X \setminus \mathring{U}$ and $\mu(\varphi) \ge \mu(U) - \varepsilon$. Since $\mu_n(\varphi) \le n_U/n$ we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{n_U}{n} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi) \ge \mu(U) - \varepsilon,$$

from which we obtain $\liminf n_U/n \ge \mu(U)$. The same argument applied to $X \setminus U$ shows that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{n-n_U}{n} \ge \mu(X \setminus U).$$

Hence $\limsup_{n \to \infty} n_U/n \le \mu(U) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} n_U/n$, which implies the proposition.

- **Examples.** 1. Let X = [0, 1], and let μ be the Lebesgue measure. A sequence (x_n) of points of X is μ -equidistributed if and only if for each interval [a, b], of length d > 0 in [0, 1] the number of $m \le n$ such that $x_m \in [a, b]$ is equivalent to dn as $n \to \infty$.
 - 2. Let G be a compact group and let X be the space of conjugacy classes of G (i.e. the quotient space of G by the equivalence relation induced by inner automorphisms of G). Let μ be a measure on G; its image of $G \to X$ is a measure on X, which we also denote by μ . We then have:

Proposition 2. The sequence (x_n) of elements of X is μ -equidistributed if and only if for any irreducible character χ of G we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi(x_i) = \mu(\chi).$$

The map $C(X) \to C(G)$ is an isomorphism of C(X) onto the space of central functions on G; by the Peter-Weyl theorem, the irreducible characters χ of G generate a dense subspace of C(X). Hence the proposition follows from lemma ??.

Corollary 2.1. Let μ be the Haar measure of G with $\mu(G) = 1$. Then a sequence (x_n) of elements of X is μ -equidistributed if and only if for any irreducible character χ of G, $\chi \neq 1$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi(x_i) = 0.$$

This follows from Prop. ?? and the following facts:

$$\mu(\chi) = 0$$
 if χ is irreducible $\neq 1$
 $\mu(1) = 1$.

Corollary 2.2 (46 [46]). Let $G = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and let μ be the normalized Haar measure on G. Then (x_n) is μ -equidistributed if and only if for any integer $m \neq 0$ we have

$$\sum_{n \le N} e^{2\pi m i x_n} = o(N) \qquad (N \to \infty).$$

For the proof, it suffices to remark that the irreducible characters of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} are the mappings $x \mapsto e^{2\pi mix}$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$.

I.A.2 The connection with L-functions

Let G and X be as in Example ?? above: G a compact group and X the space of its conjugacy classes. Let x_v , $v \in M$, be a family of elements of X, indexed by a denumerable set M, and let $v \mapsto \mathbf{N} v$ be a function on M with values in the set of integers ≥ 2 . We make the following hypotheses:

- (1) The infinite product $\prod_{v \in M} \frac{1}{1 (\mathbf{N}v)^{-s}}$ converges for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > 1$, and extends to a meromorphic function on $\Re(s) > 1$ having neither zero nor pole except for a simple pole at s = 1.
- (2) Let ρ be an irreducible representation of G, with character χ , and put

$$L(s, \rho) = \prod_{v \in M} \frac{1}{\det(1 - \rho(x_v)(\mathbf{N} v)^{-s})}.$$

11

Then this product converges for $\Re(s) > 1$, and extends to a meromorphic function on $\Re(s) > 1$ having neither zero nor pole except possibly for s = 1.

The order of $L(s, \rho)$ at s = 1 will be denoted by $-c_{\chi}$. Hence, if $L(s, \rho)$ has a pole (resp. a zero) of order m at s = 1, one has $c_{\chi} = m$ (resp. $c_{\chi} = -m$). Under these assumptions, we have:

Theorem 1. (a) The number of $v \in M$ with $\mathbf{N} v \leq n$ is equivalent to $n/\log n$ (as $n \to \infty$).

(b) For any irreducible character χ of G, we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}\,v \le n} \chi(x_v) = c_\chi \, \frac{n}{\log n} + o(n/\log n), \qquad (n \to \infty).$$

The theorem results, by a standard argument, from the theorem of Wiener-Ikehara, cf. ?? below. Suppose now that the function $v \mapsto \mathbf{N} v$ has the following property:

(3) There exists a constant C such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the number of $v \in M$ with $\mathbf{N} v = n$ is $\leq C$.

One may then arrange the elements of M as a sequence $(v_i)_{i\geq 1}$. so that $i\leq j$ implies $\mathbf{N}\,v_i\leq \mathbf{N}\,v_j$ (in general, this is possible in many ways). It then makes sense to speak about the equidistribution of the sequence of x_v 's; using (3), one shows easily that this does not depend on the chosen ordering of M. Applying theorem 1 and proposition 2, we obtain:

Theorem 2. The elements x_v ($v \in M$) are equidistributed in X with respect to a measure μ such that for any irreducible character χ of G we have

$$\mu(\chi) = c_{\chi}.$$

Corollary 2.1. The elements x_v ($v \in M$) are equidistributed in X normalized Haar measure of G if and only if $c_{\chi} = 0$ for every irreducible character $\chi \neq 1$ of G, i.e., if and only if the L-functions relative to the non trivial irreducible characters of G are holomorphic and non zero at s = 1.

- **Examples.** 1) Let G be the Galois group of a finite Galois extension L/K of the number field K, let M be the set of unramified places of K, let x_v be the Frobenius conjugacy class defined by $v \in M$, and let $\mathbb{N} v$ be the norm of v, cf. §??.
 - Properties (1), (2), (3) are satisfied with $c_{\chi} = 0$ for all irreducible $\chi \neq 1$. This is trivial for (3). For (1), one remarks that L(s, l) is the zeta function of K (up to a finite number of terms), hence has a simple pole at s = 1 and is holomorphic on the rest of the line $\Re(s) = 1$, cf. for instance 13 [13], chap. VII; for a proof of (2), cf. 1 [1]. Hence theorem 2 gives the equidistribution of the Frobenius elements, i.e. the Čebotarev density theorem, cf. 2.2.
 - 2) Let C be the idèle class group of a number field K, and let ρ be a continuous homomorphism of C into a compact abelian Lie group G. An easy argument (cf. ch. III, 2.2) shows that ρ is almost everywhere unramified (i.e., if U_v denotes the group of units at v, then $\rho(U_v) = 1$ for almost all v). Choose $\pi_v \in K$ with $v(\pi_v) = 1$. If ρ is unramified at v, then $\rho(\pi_v)$ depends only on v, and we set $x_v = \rho(\pi_v)$. We make the following assumption:
 - (*) The homomorphism ρ maps the group C of idèles of volume 1 onto G.

(Recall that the **volume** of an idèle $\mathbf{a} = (a_v)$ is defined as the product of the normalized absolute values of its components a_v , cf. 13 [13] or 44 [44].)

Then, the elements x_v are uniformly distributed in G with respect to the normalized Haar measure. This follows from theorem 1 and the fact that the L-functions relative to the irreducible characters χ of G are Hecke L-functions with Grössencharakters; these L-functions are holomorphic and non-zero for $\Re(s) \geq 1$ if $\chi \neq 1$, see [13], chap. VII.

Remark. This example (essentially due to Hecke) is given in Lang (loc. cit., ch. VIII, §5) except that Lang has replaced the condition (*) by the condition " ρ is surjective", which is insufficient. This led him to affirm that, for example, the sequence $(\log p)_p$ (and also the sequence $(\log n)_n$) is uniformly distributed modulo 1; however, one knows that this sequence is not uniformly distributed for any measure on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} (cf. **22** [**22**]).

3) (Conjectural example). Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K and let M be the set of finite places v of K such that E has good reduction at v, cf. 1.2 and chap. ??. Let $v \in M$, let $\ell \neq p_v$ and let F_v be the Frobenius conjugacy class of v in $\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\ell}(E))$. The eigenvalues of F_v are algebraic numbers; when embedded into \mathbb{C} they give conjugate complex numbers π_v , $\bar{\pi}_v$ with $|\pi_v| = (\mathbf{N} v)^{1/2}$. We may write then

$$\pi_v = (\mathbf{N} \, v)^{1/2} e^{i\phi_v}; \quad \bar{\pi}_v = (\mathbf{N} \, v)^{1/2} e^{-i\phi_v} \quad \text{with } 0 \le \phi_v \le \pi.$$

On the other hand, let G = SU(2) be the Lie group of 2×2 unitary matrices with determinant 1. Any element of the space X of conjugacy classes of G contains a unique matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad 0 \le \phi \le \pi.$$

The image in X of the Haar measure of G is known to be $\frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \phi \, d\phi$. The irreducible representations of G are the m-th symmetric powers ρ_m of the natural representation ρ_1 of degree 2.

Take now for x_v the element of X corresponding to the angle $\phi = \phi_v$ defined above. The corresponding L function, relative to ρ_m , is:

$$L_{\rho_m}(s) = \prod_{v} \prod_{a=0}^{a=m} \frac{1}{1 - e^{i(m-2a)\phi_v} (\mathbf{N} v)^{-s}}.$$

If we put:

$$L_m^1(s) = \prod_{v} \prod_{a=0}^{a=m} \frac{1}{1 - \pi_v^{m-a} \bar{\pi}_v^a (\mathbf{N} \, v)^{-s}}$$

we have

$$L_{\rho_m}(s) = L_m^1(s - m/2).$$

The function L has been considered by **36** [**36**]. He conjectures that L_m^1 , for $m \geq 1$, is holomorphic and non zero for $\Re(s) \geq 1 + m/2$, provided that E has no complex multiplication. Granting this conjecture, the corollary to theorem 2 would yield the uniform distribution of the x_v 's, or, equivalently, that the angles ϕ_v of the Frobenius elements are uniformly distributed in $[0, \pi]$ with respect to the measure $\frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \phi \, d\phi$ ("conjecture of Sato-Tate").

One can expect analogous results to be true for other ℓ -adic representations.

I.A.3 Proof of theorem 1

The logarithmic derivative of L is

$$\frac{L'(s)}{L(s)} = -\sum_{\substack{v \ge 1\\m \ge 1}} \frac{\chi(x_v^m) \log(\mathbf{N} \, v)}{(\mathbf{N} \, v)^{ms}},$$

where x_v^m is the conjugacy class consisting of the m-th powers of elements in the class x_v . One sees this by writing L as the product

$$\prod_{j,v} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_v^{(j)} (\mathbf{N} \, v)^{-s}}$$

where the $\lambda_v^{(j)}$ are the eigenvalues of x_v in the given representation. Now the series

$$\sum_{\substack{v \ge 1 \\ m > 1}} \frac{\log(\mathbf{N}\,v)}{|(\mathbf{N}\,v)^{ms}|},$$

converges for $\Re(s) > 1/2$. Indeed it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{v} \frac{\log(\mathbf{N}\,v)}{(\mathbf{N}\,v)^{\sigma}} < \infty$$

if $\sigma > 1$; but this series is majorized by

(Constant)
$$\times \sum_{v} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{N} v)^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}, \qquad (\varepsilon > 0).$$

On the other hand, the convergence for $\sigma > 1$ of the product

$$\prod_{v} \frac{1}{1 - (\mathbf{N} \, v)^{-\sigma}}$$

shows that

$$\sum_{v} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{N} \, v)^{\sigma}} < \infty$$

for $\sigma > 1$; hence our assertion. One can therefore write

$$\frac{L'(s)}{L(s)} = -\sum_{v} \frac{\chi(x_v) \log(\mathbf{N} v)}{(\mathbf{N} v)^s} + \phi(s)$$

15

where $\phi(s)$ is holomorphic for $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, by hypothesis, L'/L can be extended to a meromorphic function on $\Re(s) \ge 1$ which is holomorphic except possibly for a simple pole at s = 1 with residue $-c_{\chi}$. One may then apply the Wiener-Ikehara theorem (cf. [13]):

Theorem 3. Let $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/n^s$ be a Dirichlet series with complex coefficients. Suppose there exists a Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_n a_n^+/n^s$ with positive real coefficients such that

- (a) $|a_n| \leq a_n^+$ for all n;
- (b) The series F^+ converges for $\Re(s) > 1$;
- (c) The function F (resp. F^+) can be extended to a meromorphic function on $\Re(s) \geq 1$ having no poles except (resp. except possibly) for a simple pole at s=1 with residue $c_+>0$ (resp. c_-).

Then

$$\sum_{m \le n} a_n = cn + o(n) \qquad (n \to \infty),$$

(where c = 0 if F is holomorphic at s = 1).

One applies this theorem to

$$F(s) = -\sum_{v} \frac{\chi(x_v) \log(\mathbf{N} \, v)}{(\mathbf{N} \, v)^s},$$

and we take for F^+ the series

$$d\sum_{v} \frac{\log(\mathbf{N}\,v)}{(\mathbf{N}\,v)^s},$$

where d is the degree of the given representation ρ ; this is possible since $\chi(x_v)$ is a sum of d complex numbers of absolute value 1, hence $|\chi(x_v)| \leq d$; moreover, the series

$$\sum_{v} \frac{\log(\mathbf{N} \, v)}{(\mathbf{N} \, v)^s}$$

differs from the logarithmic derivative of

$$\prod_{v} \frac{1}{1 - (\mathbf{N} \, v)^{-s}}$$

by a function which is holomorphic for $\Re(s) > 1/2$ as we saw above. Hence by the Wiener-Ikehara theorem we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}v \le n} \chi(x_v) \log(\mathbf{N}v) = c_{\chi}n + o(n) \qquad (n \to \infty).$$

Consequently, by the Abel summation trick (cf. [13], Prop. 1),

$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}, v \le n} \chi(x_v) = c_{\chi} \frac{n}{\log n} + o(n/\log n) \qquad (n \to \infty).$$

and in particular,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}\,v\leq n}1=\frac{n}{\log n}+o(n/\log n)\qquad (n\to\infty).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\sum_{\mathbf{N}\,v\leq n}\chi(x_v)}{\sum_{\mathbf{N}\,v\leq n}1}\longrightarrow c_\chi\qquad\text{as }n\to\infty,$$

and we may apply proposition 2 to conclude the proof.

q.e.d.

CHAPTER II

ℓ-ADIC REPRESENTATIONS ATTACHED TO ELLIPTIC CURVES

Let K be a number field and let E be an elliptic curve over K. If ℓ is a prime number, let

$$\rho_{\ell} \colon \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(V_{\ell}(E))$$

be the corresponding ℓ -adic representation of K, cf. chap. ??, ??. The main result of this Chapter is the determination of the Lie algebra of the ℓ -adic Lie group $G_{\ell} = \operatorname{Im}(\rho_{\ell})$. This is based on a finiteness theorem of Šafarevič (1.4) combined with the properties of locally algebraic abelian representations (chap. III) and Tate's local theory of elliptic curves with non-integral modular invariant (Appendix, Al). The variation of G_{ℓ} with ℓ is studied in §??.

The Appendix gives analogous results in the local case (i.e. when K is a local field).

§1. Preliminaries

1.1 Elliptic curves (cf. 5 [5], 9 [9], 10 [10])

By an elliptic curve, we mean an abelian variety of dimension 1, i.e. a complete, non singular, connected curve of genus 1 with a given rational point P_0 , taken as an origin for the composition law (and often written o).

Let E be such a curve. It is well known that E may be embedded, as a non-singular cubic, in the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2_K , in such a way that P_0 becomes a "flex" (one takes the projective embedding defined by the complete linear series containing the divisor $3 \cdot P_0$). In this embedding, three points P_1 , P_2 ,

 P_3 have sum 0 if and only if the divisor $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$ is the intersection of E with a line. By choosing a suitable coordinate system, the equation of E can be written in Weierstrass form

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - q_2x - q_3$$

where x, y are non-homogeneous coordinates and the origin P_0 is the point at infinity on the y-axis. The discriminant

$$\Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2$$

is non-zero.

The coefficients g_2 , g_3 are determined up to the transformations $g_2 \mapsto u^4 g_2$, $g_3 \mapsto u^6 g_3$, $u \in K^{\times}$. The modular invariant j of E is

$$j = 2^6 3^3 \frac{g_2^3}{g_2^3 - 27g_3^2} = 2^6 3^3 \frac{g_2^3}{\Delta}.$$

Two elliptic curves have the same j invariant if and only if they become isomorphic over the algebraic closure of K.

(All this remains valid over an arbitrary field, except that, when the characteristic is 2 or 3, the equation of E has to be written in the more general form

$$y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y + x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6 = 0.$$

Here again, 0 is the point at infinity on the y-axis and the corresponding tangent is the line at infinity. There are corresponding definitions for Δ and j, for which we refer to **9** [**9**] or **20** [**20**]; note, however, that there is a misprint in Ogg's formula for Δ : the coefficient of β_4^3 should be -8 instead of -1.)

1.2 Good reduction

Let $v \in M_K^0$ be a finite place of the number field K. We denote by \mathcal{O}_v (resp. \mathfrak{m}_v , k_v) the corresponding local ring in K (resp. its maximal ideal, its residue field).

Let E be an elliptic curve over K. One says that E has **good reduction** at v if one can find a coordinate system in \mathbb{P}^2_K such that the corresponding equation f for E has coefficient in \mathcal{O}_v and its reduction $\tilde{f} \mod \mathfrak{m}_v$ defines a

19

non-singular cubic \widetilde{E}_v (hence an elliptic curve) over the residue field k_v (in other words, the discriminant $\Delta(f)$ of f must be an invertible element of \mathcal{O}_v). The curve \widetilde{E}_v is called the **reduction** of E at v; it does not depend on the choice of f, provided, of course, that $\Delta(f) \in \mathcal{O}_v^{\times}$.

One can prove that the above definition is equivalent to the following one: there is an abelian scheme E_v over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_v)$, in the sense of **19** [**19**], chap. VI, whose generic fiber is E; this scheme is then unique, and its special fiber is \widetilde{E}_v . Note that \widetilde{E}_v is defined over the finite field k_v ; we denote its **Frobenius endomorphism** by F_v .

On either definition, one sees that E has **good reduction for almost** all places of K.

If E has good reduction at a given place v, its j invariant is **integral** at v (i.e. belongs to \mathcal{O}_v) and its reduction $\tilde{\jmath} \mod \mathfrak{m}_v$ is the j invariant of the reduced curve \tilde{E}_v .

The converse is almost true, but not quite: if j belongs to \mathcal{O}_v , there is a finite extension L of K such that $E \otimes_K L$ has good reduction at all the places of L dividing v (this is the "potential good reduction" of **32** [**32**], §2). For the proof of this, see **29** [**29**], §4, n° 3.

Remark. The definitions and results of this section have nothing to do with number fields. They apply to every field with a discrete valuation.

1.3 Properties of V_{ℓ} related to good reduction

Let ℓ be a prime number. We define, as in chap. ??, ??, the Galois modules T_{ℓ} and V_{ℓ} by:

$$V_{\ell} = T_{\ell} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}, \qquad T_{\ell} = \varprojlim_{n} E_{\ell^{n}}$$

where E_{ℓ^n} is the kernel of $\ell^n \colon E(\overline{K}) \to E(\overline{K})$.

We denote by ρ_{ℓ} the corresponding homomorphism of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ into $\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\ell})$. Recall that E_{ℓ^n} , T_{ℓ} and V_{ℓ} are of rank 2 over $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$, \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} and \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} , respectively.

Let now v be a place of K, with $p_v \neq \ell$ and let v be some extension of v to \overline{K} ; let D (resp. I) be the corresponding decomposition group (resp. inertia group), cf. chap. ??, 2.1. If E has good reduction at v, one easily sees that reduction at v defines an isomorphism of E_{ℓ^n} onto the corresponding module for the reduced curve \widetilde{E}_v . In particular, E_{ℓ^n} , T_{ℓ} , V_{ℓ} are unramified

at v (chap. ??, 2.1) and the Frobenius automorphism $F_{v,\rho_{\ell}}$ of T_{ℓ} corresponds to the Frobenius endomorphism F_v of \widetilde{E}_v . Hence:

$$\det(F_{v,\rho_{\ell}}) = \det(F_v) = \mathbf{N} v$$

and

$$\det(1 - F_{v,\rho_{\ell}}) = \det(1 - F_{v}) = 1 - \operatorname{tr}(F_{v}) + \mathbf{N} v$$

is equal to the number of k_v -points of \widetilde{E}_v .

Conversely:

Theorem 1 (Criterion of Néron-Ogg-Šafarevič). If V is unramified at v for some $\ell \neq p_v$, then E has good reduction at v.

For the proof, see **32** [**32**], §1.

Corollary 1.1. Let E and E' be two elliptic curves which are isogenous (over E). If one of them has good reduction at a place V, the same is true for the other one.

(Recall that E and E' are said to be **isogenous** if there exists a non-trivial morphism $E \to E'$.)

This follows from the theorem, since the ℓ -adic representations associated with E and E' are isomorphic.

Remark. For a direct proof of this corollary, see 11 [11].

Exercise. Let S be the finite set of places where E does not have good reduction. If $v \in M_K^0 \setminus S$, we denote by t_v the number of k_v -points of the reduced curve \widetilde{E}_v .

- (a) Let ℓ be a prime number and let m be a positive integer. Show that the following properties are equivalent:
 - (i) $t_v \equiv 0 \mod \ell^m$ for all $v \in M_K^0 \setminus S$, $p_v \neq \ell$.
 - (ii) The set of $v \in M_K^0 \setminus S$ such that $t_v \equiv 0 \mod \ell^m$ has density one (cf. chap. ??, 2.2).
 - (iii) For all $s \in \text{Im}(\rho)$, one has $\det(1-s) \equiv 0 \mod \ell^m$.

(The equivalence of ?? and ?? follows from Čebotarev's density theorem. The implications $?? \implies ??$ and $?? \implies ??$ are easy.)

- 21
- (b) We take now m=1. Show that the properties ??, ?? and ?? are equivalent to:
 - (iv) There exists an elliptic curve E' over K such that:
 - (α) Either E' is isomorphic to E, or there exist an isogeny $E' \to E$ of degree ℓ .
 - (β) The group E'(K) contains an element of order ℓ .

(The implication $?? \implies ??$ is easy. For the proof of the converse, use Exer. ?? of chap. ??, ??.) [For m > 2, see $\mathbf{64}$ $[\mathbf{64}]$.]

1.4 Šafarevič's theorem

It is the following (cf. [23]):

Theorem 2. Let S be a finite set of places of K. The set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over K, with good reduction at all places not in S, is finite.



INDEX

 μ -equidistributed, 8