


Tree Priors

Instructor: Julia A. Palacios


TA: Joëlle Barido-Sottani

Tree priors



- Coalescent Models
- Birth-Death Models

Kingman's coalescent




J.F.C. Kingman

- k genes coalesce after j generations w.p

$$\approx \left[1 - \binom{k}{2} \frac{1}{N} \right]^j \rightarrow e^{-\binom{k}{2}t}$$

when time is measured in N generation units


Coalescent with variable population size




The coalescent with variable population size [Slatkin and Hudson, 1991]:

$$\Pr(T_k > t | t_{k+1}) = e^{-\binom{k}{2} \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t+t_k+1} \frac{1}{N_e(u)} du}$$

- ▶ Constant population size
- ▶ Exponential growth (variable pop. size)



Coalescent with variable population size



- It is a non-homogeneous CTMC.
- It can be viewed as a Markov point process on $[0, \infty)$.

The likelihood of observing the coalescent times:


$$P(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} P(t_{k-1} \mid t_k),$$

where


$$P(t_{k-1} \mid t_k, N_e(t)) = \frac{\binom{k}{2}}{N_e(t_{k-1})} \exp - \left\{ \int_{t_k}^{t_{k-1}} \frac{\binom{k}{2} dt}{N_e(t)} \right\}$$

Coalescent with variable population size

Isochronous coalescent:




From genealogy to population size



Long waiting times to find a common ancestor indicates large population sizes

Heterochronous coalescent



The coalescent as a Markov point process

Isochronous coalescent

$$P[t_{k-1}|t_k, N_e(t)] = \frac{C_k}{N_e(t_{k-1})} \exp \left[- \int_{t_k}^{t_{k-1}} \frac{C_k dt}{N_e(t)} \right], \text{ where } C_k = \binom{k}{2}.$$

As a **point process** with conditional intensity:

$$\lambda^*(t|t_k) = \binom{k}{2} \lambda(t) \mathbf{1}_{\{t \in (t_k, t_{k-1}]\}}, \text{ for } k = 2, \dots, n,$$

Heterochronous coalescent

(Felsenstein and Rodrigo, 1999)

$$P[t_{k-1}|t_k, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}, N_e(t)] = \frac{C_{0,k}}{N_e(t_{k-1})} \exp - \left\{ \int_{I_{0,k}} \frac{C_{0,k} dt}{N_e(t)} + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{I_{i,k}} \frac{C_{i,k} dt}{N_e(t)} \right\},$$


As a **point process** with conditional intensity:

$$\lambda^*(t|\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s}, t_k) = \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{n_{i,k}}{2} \lambda(t) \mathbf{1}_{\{t \in I_{i,k}\}}, \text{ for } k = 2, \dots, n.$$

$$\lambda(t) = 1/N_e(t).$$

Coalescent effective population size $N_e(t)$


- The coalescent allows us to calculate $P(g | N_e(t))$
- Or, the inverse problem: learn $N_e(t)$ from g



- Large and small population sizes

Coalescent effective population size $Ne(t)$

- The major weakness of the coalescent lies in its simplifying assumptions
- Neutral evolution?
- Reproductive variance?
- Panmitic population?



But, does this matter?


Coalescent effective population size $N_e(t)$

Effective Population Size Trajectory $N_e(t)$

$N_e(t)$ is a measure of relative genetic diversity over time.


- The N_e of a real biological population is the size of an idealized Wright-Fisher population that loses or gains genetic diversity at the same rate
- N_e is generally smaller than the census population
- The coalescent N_e provides the time-to-ancestry distribution for a sample tree from a real population

Coalescent effective population size $N_e(t)$




- Changes in N_e reflect changes in the census population size

Tree priors



- Coalescent Models
 - Priors on $N_e(t)$

$N_e(t)$ as a piecewise constant function




Isochronous Data

- $N_e(t) = \theta_k$ for $t_k < t \leq t_{k-1}$.
- u_2, \dots, u_n are independent
- $\Pr(u_k | \theta_k) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2\theta_k} e^{-\frac{k(k-1)u_k}{2\theta_k}}$
- $\Pr(\mathbf{F} | \theta) \propto \prod_{k=2}^n \Pr(u_k | \theta_k)$

- Equivalent to estimating exponential mean from one observation.
- Need further restrictions to estimate all effective pop sizes θ !

$N_e(t)$ as a piecewise constant function



Heterochronous Data


- w_{20}, \dots, w_{nj_n} are independent
- $\Pr(w_{k0} | \theta_k) = \frac{n_{k0}(n_{k0}-1)}{2\theta_k} e^{-\frac{n_{k0}(n_{k0}-1)w_{k0}}{2\theta_k}}$
- $\Pr(w_{kj} | \theta_k) = e^{-\frac{n_{kj}(n_{kj}-1)w_{kj}}{2\theta_k}}, j > 0$
- $\Pr(\mathbf{F} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto \prod_{k=2}^n \prod_{j=0}^{j_k} \Pr(w_{kj} | \theta_k)$

- Equivalent to estimating exponential mean from one observation.
- Need further restrictions to estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}$!

Smooth GMRF prior on $\log N_e(t)$

- Go to the log scale $x_k = \log \theta_k$

- $\Pr(\mathbf{x} | \omega) \propto \omega^{(n-2)/2} \exp \left[-\frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{d_k} (x_{k+1} - x_k)^2 \right]$



Weighting Schemes

- 1 Uniform: $d_k = 1$
- 2 Time-Aware: $d_k = \frac{u_{k+1} + u_k}{2}$



- $\Pr(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \Pr(\mathbf{x} | \omega) \Pr(\omega)$

- $\Pr(\omega) \propto \omega^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\omega}$, diffuse prior with $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 0.01$

[Phylodyn: An R package for phylodynamic simulation and inference, Karcher et al., MER 2017]


Precision parameter of GMRF

- ω - GMRF precision, controls smoothness
- Usually $\Pr(\omega | \mathbf{D})$ is sensitive to perturbations of $\Pr(\omega)$
- Not in our Coalescent model!




Hepatitis C virus in Egypt


Estimated Genealogy




BEAST GMRF



Unconstrained Fixed-Tree GMRF




Constrained Fixed-Tree GMRF




- Random population sample
- No sign of population sub-structure
- Parenteral antischistosomal therapy (PAT) was practiced from 1920s to 1980s
- Bayes Factor 12,880 in favor of constant population size prior to 1920

Tree priors



- Coalescent Models
 - Priors on $Ne(t)$
 - **Prior on $Ne(t)$ with Preferential sampling**


Preferential Sampling



Sampling log-likelihood:


$$\log[\Pr(\mathbf{s} \mid N_e(t), \beta_0, \beta_1)] = C + n\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_1 \log[N(s_i)] - \int_{s_0}^{s_m} \exp(\beta_0) [N_e(t)]^{\beta_1} dt \quad (1)$$

Preferential Sampling



$n = 709$ hemagglutinin gene sequences of H3N2 human influenza type A


Genealogy branch lengths depend on population dynamics (neutral theory)



Assumptions:

- Evolution is neutral – tree topology and branch lengths are independent.
- We have a random sample from a large population.
- No population structure

Tree priors



- Coalescent Models
 - Extension with SIR
 - Birth-Death Models

Structured coalescent SIR







FIGURE 1.2: Susceptible-infectious-recovered (SIR) stochastic epidemic model. The left plot shows 4 times points during an epidemic in the population with 10 individuals. Susceptible individuals are shown in blue, infectious individuals are shown in red, and recovered individuals are shown in black. The right plot shows three realizations of the SIR epidemic model.

Figure credit: Vladimir Minin



Structured coalescent SIR



$$N_e(t) = \frac{I(t)}{2\beta(t)S(t)}$$


Birth-death stochastic model

Epidemiological rates may change through time:



Hepatitis C virus in Egypt

Birth-death skyline plot: effective reproductive number



Coalescent skyline plot: effective population size

