Genetic Programming

Dr. Sabah Sayed

Department of Computer Science
Faculty of Computers and Artificial Intelligence
Cairo University
Egypt



THE CHALLENGE

"How can computers learn to solve problems without being explicitly programmed? In other words, how can computers be made to do what is needed to be done, without being told exactly how to do it?"

— Attributed to Arthur Samuel (1959)

CRITERION FOR SUCCESS

"The aim [is] ... to get machines to exhibit behavior, which if done by humans, would be assumed to involve the use of intelligence."

— Arthur Samuel (1983)

GENETIC PROGRAMMING (GP)


- One of the central problems in computer science is how to make computers solve problems without being explicitly programmed to do so.
- Genetic programming offers a solution through the **evolution of computer programs** by methods of **natural selection**. It is an extension of the **Genetic Algorithm**.
- Genetic programming is a recent development in the area of evolutionary computation. It was greatly stimulated in the 1990s by John Koza.
- According to Koza, genetic programming searches the space of possible computer programs for a program that is highly fit for solving the problem at hand.

11/16/2021

Why Genetic Programming?

- "For many problems in machine learning and artificial intelligence, the most natural representation for a solution is a computer program." [Koza, 1994]
- A parse tree is a good representation of a computer program for Genetic Programming

11/16/2021




GA flowchart

GP flowchart

Using *Trees* To Represent Computer Programs

$$(+23(*X7)(/Y5))$$



11/16/2021

GP Technical Summary

Representation	Tree structures
Recombination	Exchange of subtrees
Mutation	Random change in trees
Parent selection	Fitness proportional
Survivor selection	Generational replacement

Genetic Operations


- Random Generation of the initial population of possible solutions
- Mutation of promising solutions to create new possible solutions
- Genetic Crossover of two promising solutions to create new possible solutions

- Randomly generate a program that takes two arguments and uses basic arithmetic to return an answer
 - Function set = {+, -, *, /}
 - Terminal set = {integers, X, Y}
- Randomly select either a function or a terminal to represent our program
- If a function was selected, recursively generate random programs to act as arguments


(+ ...)





(+2...)



(+23...)







- Trees are a universal form, e.g. consider
- Arithmetic formula


Logical formula

$$2 \cdot \pi + \left((x+3) - \frac{y}{5+1} \right)$$


$$(x \land \text{true}) \to ((x \lor y) \lor (z \leftrightarrow (x \land y)))$$


Program

```
i = 1; while (i < 20) { i = i + 1 }
```



$$2 \cdot \pi + \left((x+3) - \frac{y}{5+1} \right)$$






```
i = 1; while (i < 20) { i = i + 1 }
```


- In GA, chromosomes are *linear* structures (bit strings, integer string, permutations)
- Tree shaped chromosomes are non-linear structures
- In GA, the size of the chromosomes is fixed
- Trees in GP may vary in depth and width

$$(+23(*X7)(/Y5))$$




- Mutation has two parameters:
 - Probability p_m to choose mutation vs. recombination
 - Probability to chose an internal point as the root of the subtree to be replaced
- Remarkably p_m is advised to be very small (Koza'92), like 0.05 (Banzhaf et al. '98)
- The size of the child can exceed the size of the parent


- Subtree Mutation:
 Replace the
 mutation point by
 randomly
 generated tree.
- Point Mutation:
 Randomly select a node and replace it with another primitive.




 Most common mutation: replace randomly chosen subtree by randomly generated tree




(+23(*X7)(/Y5))




First pick a random node






Recombination = Crossover

- Most common recombination: exchange two randomly chosen subtrees among the parents
- Recombination has two parameters:
 - Probability p_c to choose recombination vs. mutation
 - Probability to choose an internal point within each parent as crossover point
- The size of offspring can exceed that of the parents




Crossover

(+X(*3Y))




(-(/25 X) 7)




Crossover






Pick a random node in each program

(-(/25 X) 7)




Crossover

$$(+ X (* (/25 X) Y))$$



Swap the two nodes







What About Just Randomly Generating Programs?

- Is Genetic Programming really better than just randomly creating new functions?
- Yes!
 - Pete Angeline compared the result of evolving a tic-tac-toe algorithm for 200 generations, with a population size of 1000 per generation, against 200,000 randomly generated algorithms
 - The best evolved program was found to be significantly superior to the best randomly generated program [Genetic Programming FAQ, 2002]
- The key lies in using a fitness measure to determine which functions survive to reproduce in each generation

- Apply Genetic Programming to the problem of navigating a maze
- What are our terminal and function sets?
 - Function Set =
 {If-Movement-Blocked, While-Not-At-Cheese*}
 - Terminal Set =
 {Move-Forward, Turn-Left, Turn-Right}

^{*} While-Not-At-Cheese will be used exclusively as the root node of the parse tree







How to get the starving mouse to the cheese?

One possible solution:

```
While not at the cheese
If the way ahead is blocked
Turn left 90 degrees
Otherwise
Move forward
Turn right 90 degrees
```

Is there a better solution for this maze? How good is this solution?






A fitness function:

- Each function and terminal other than the root node shall cost one unit to execute
- If the mouse spends more than 100 units, it dies of hunger
- The fitness measure for a program is determined by executing the program, then squaring the sum of the total units spent and the final distance from the exit
- A lower fitness measure is preferable to a higher fitness measure

Our mouse will die 10 moves from the exit after spending 100 units, so the fitness measure for our program is 12100



While not at the cheese


If the way ahead is blocked

Turn left 90 degrees

Otherwise

Move forward one space

While not at the cheese
Move forward one space
Turn right 90 degrees
Turn left 90 degrees



While not at the cheese

If the way ahead is blocked

Turn left 90 degrees

Otherwise

Move forward one space

While not at the cheese
Move forward one space
Turn right 90 degrees
Turn left 90 degrees


Mutation: While not at the cheese

If the way ahead is blocked

Turn left 90 degrees

Otherwise

Turn left 90 degrees




While not at the cheese
If the way ahead is blocked
Turn left 90 degrees
Otherwise
Move forward one space

While not at the cheese
Move forward one space
Turn right 90 degrees
Turn left 90 degrees

Crossover:

While not at the cheese
If the way ahead is blocked
Move forward one space
Turn right 90 degrees
Otherwise
Move forward one space

While not at the cheese Turn left 90 degrees Turn left 90 degrees



Is this better?

Fitness measure: 2809

(after 4202 generations, with 1000 programs per generation)

While not at the cheese If the way ahead is blocked Turn right 90 degrees Move forward one space Move forward one space Move forward one space Otherwise Move forward one space Turn right 90 degrees Move forward one space Move forward one space Turn left 90 degrees If the way ahead is blocked Turn left 90 degrees Otherwise Move forward one space