



د. لمياء أبوزيد

What did you learn in this course?

□ During the course you learned the following topics:

- Laws of software evolution and the means to control them
- Evolution and maintenance models, including maintenance of commercial off the-shelf systems
- Impact analysis and change propagation techniques
- Reuse and domain engineering models
- Program comprehension and refactoring
- Reengineering techniques and processes for migration of legacy information systems

Software Evolution : TOC

1. Introduction to Software Evolution
2. Taxonomy of Software Maintenance and Evolution
3. Evolution and Maintenance Models
4. Reuse and Domain Engineering
5. Program Comprehension
6. Impact Analysis
7. Refactoring
8. Reengineering
9. Legacy Information Systems

What is Software Evolution?

- ❑ In 1965, Mark Halpern introduced the concept of “software evolution” to describe the growth characteristics of software
- ❑ A few years later, in 1976, Swanson introduced the term “maintenance” by grouping the maintenance activities into three basic categories: corrective, adaptive, and perfective

Software Evolution

- ❑ Evolution of software systems means creating new but related designs from existing ones.
- ❑ The objectives include supporting new functionalities, making the system perform better, and making the system run on a different operating system.
- ❑ Basically, as time passes, the stakeholders develop more knowledge about the system.
- ❑ Therefore, the system evolves in several ways.
- ❑ As time passes, not only new usages emerge, but also the users become more knowledgeable.

Software Maintenance

- ❑ Maintenance of software systems primarily means **fixing bugs** but preserving their functionalities.
- ❑ Maintenance tasks are very much **planned**.
 - bug fixing must be done and it is a planned activity.
- ❑ Unplanned activities are also necessitated.
 - a new usage of the system may emerge.
- ❑ Generally, maintenance **does not involve making major changes to the architecture** of the system.
- ❑ Maintenance means keeping an installed system running with **no change to its design**

What is Software Evolution?

- ❑ “Software evolution” and “Software maintenance” are used interchangeably.
 - However key **semantic** differences exist between the two.
- ❑ Lowell Jay Arthur distinguish the two terms as follows:
 - “Software maintenance means to **preserve** from failure or decline.”
 - “Software evolution means a **continuous change** from lesser, simpler, or worse state to a higher or better state.”
- ❑ Keith H. Bennett and Lie Xu use the term:
 - “maintenance for all **post-delivery support** and evolution to those **driven by changes in requirements.**”

What is Software Evolution?

- ❑ **Maintenance** is considered to be set of planned activities whereas **evolution** concern whatever happens to a system over time.

Mehdi Jazayer's view on software evolution:

- ❑ “Over time what evolves is not the software but our knowledge about a particular type of software.”

Bennett and Xu made further distinctions between the two as follows:

- ❑ All support activities carried out **after delivery** of software are put under the category of **maintenance**.
- ❑ All activities carried out to effect **changes in requirements** are put under the category of **evolution**.

Software Evolution- Revisited

- ❑ Lehman and his collaborators from IBM are generally credited with pioneering the research field of software evolution.
- ❑ Lehman formulated a set of observations that he called **laws of evolution**.
- ❑ These laws are the results of studies of the **evolution of large-scale proprietary** or closed source system (CSS).
- ❑ The laws concern what Lehman called **E-type systems**:
“Monolithic systems produced by a team within an organization that solve a real world problem and have human users.”

Software Evolution: Laws of Lehman

- ❑ *Continuing change* (1st) – A system will become progressively **less satisfying** to its user over time, unless it is continually **adapted to meet new needs**.
- ❑ *Increasing complexity* (2nd) – A system will become **progressively more complex**, unless work is done to explicitly reduce the complexity.
- ❑ *Self-regulation* (3rd) – The process of software evolution is **self-regulating** with respect to the distributions of the products and process artifacts that are produced.
- ❑ *Conservation of organizational stability* (4th) – The average **effective global activity rate** on an evolving system does not change over time, that is the average amount of work that goes into each release is about the same.

Software Evolution: Laws of Lehman

- ❑ **Conservation of familiarity** (5th) – The amount of new content in each successive release of a system tends to **stay constant or decrease over time**.
- ❑ **Continuing growth** (6th) – The amount of **functionality** in a system will **increase** over time, in order to please its users.
- ❑ **Declining quality** (7th) – A system will be perceived as **loosing quality over time**, unless its design is carefully maintained and adapted to new operational constraints.
- ❑ **Feedback system** (8th) – Successfully evolving a software system requires recognition that the development process is a **multi-loop, multi-agent, multi-level feedback system**.

Software Maintenance

- ❑ There will always be **defects** in the delivered software application because software defect removal and quality control are not perfect.
- ❑ Therefore, software maintenance is needed to **repair these defects** in the **released** software.
- ❑ E. Burton Swanson defined three type of software maintenance:

Corrective, Adaptive & Perfective.

- ❑ It is based on the **intent** of the developer towards the system. The intention of an activity depends upon the motivations for the change.

Software Maintenance

- ❑ Swanson definition was later updated in the standard for software engineering – ISO/IEC 14764.
- ❑ Introduced a fourth category called preventive maintenance.
- ❑ Some researchers and developers view preventive maintenance as a subset of perfective maintenance.

Software Maintenance

- ❑ Kitchenham described maintenance modifications in a **hierarchical way** based on the concept of activity:
 - Activities to make **corrections**: If there are **discrepancies** between the **expected behavior** and the **actual behavior**, then some activities are performed to eliminate or reduce the discrepancies.
 - Activities to make **enhancements**: A number of activities are performed to implement change to the system, thereby changing the behavior or implementation of the system.

This category is subdivided into three types:

- ❑ enhancements that **change existing requirement**
- ❑ enhancements that **add new system requirements**
- ❑ enhancements that **change the implementation** but not the requirements.

Software Maintenance Standards

- ❑ ISO/IEC 14764 describes s/w maintenance as an iterative process for managing and executing software maintenance activities.
- ❑ The activities which comprise the maintenance process are:
 - process implementation
 - problem and modification analysis
 - modification implementation
 - maintenance review/acceptance
 - migration and retirement.
- ❑ Each of these maintenance activity is made up of tasks that describe a specific action with inputs and outputs

Software Configuration Management (SCM)

- ❑ SCM is the discipline of managing and controlling change in the evolution of software system.
- ❑ The purpose of SCM is to reduce communication errors among personnel working on different aspects of the software project by providing a central repository of information about the project and a set of agreed upon procedures for coping with changes.
- ❑ It ensures that the released software is not contaminated by uncontrolled or unapproved changes.
- ❑ A SCM system has four different elements, each element addressing a distinct user need as follows:
 - Identification of software configurations.
 - Control of software configurations.
 - Auditing software configurations.
 - Accounting software configuration status.

A wider view on Software Maintenance

❑ Practitioners took a narrow view of maintenance as

- ❑ correcting errors
- ❑ enhancing the functionalities of the software.


❑ The ISO/IEC 14764 standard defines software maintenance as


- “the totality of activities required to provide **cost-effective support** to a software system. Activities are performed during the **pre-delivery** stage as well as the **post-delivery** stage.”
 - ❑ Post-delivery activities includes **changing software**, **providing training**, and **operating a help desk**.
 - ❑ Pre-delivery activities include **planning for post-delivery operations**.

Software Maintenance Models

- ❑ Change, Evolution, and system configuration complicate maintenance activities.
- ❑ The software product released to a customer is in the form of **executable code**, whereas the corresponding “product” within the supplier organization is **source code**. Thus, **strict control** must be kept, otherwise **exact source code representation of a particular executable version may not exist**.
- ❑ Three maintenance models will be explained:
 1. Reuse → old
 2. Simple Staged → relatively new
 3. Change Mini-cycle → still in research

Classification of Software Maintenance Activities





Reengineering

- ❑ Reengineering is done to transform an existing “lesser or simpler” system into a new “better” system.
- ❑ Reengineering is the **examination, analysis and restructuring** of an **existing** software system to reconstitute it in a **new form**, and the subsequent implementation of the new form.
- ❑ Chikofsky and Cross define reengineering as:
“the examination and alteration of a subject system to reconstitute it in a new form and the subsequent implementation of the new form.”

Reengineering

- Jacobson and Lindstorm defined following formula:

$$\text{Reengineering} = \text{Reverse engineering} + \Delta + \text{Forward engineering}.$$

- **Reverse engineering** is the activity of defining a more abstract, and easier to understand, representation of the system
- The core of reverse engineering is the process of examination, not a process of change, therefore it does not involve changing the software under examination.
- The third element “**forward engineering**,” is the traditional process of moving from high-level abstraction and logical, implementation-independent designs to the physical implementation of the system.
- The second element Δ captures alteration that is change of the system.

Legacy Systems

- ❑ A legacy system is an old system which is valuable for the company which often developed and owns it.
- ❑ It is the “phase out” stage of the software evolution model of Rajlich and Bennet
- ❑ Bennett used the following definition for defining legacy systems:
“large software systems that we don’t know how to cope with but that are vital to our organization.”
- ❑ Similarly, Brodie and Stonebraker: define a legacy system as
“any information system that significantly resists modification and evolution to meet new and constantly changing business requirements.”

Legacy Systems

□ There are a number of options available to manage legacy systems. Typical solutions include:

- **Freeze:** The organization decides no further work on the legacy system should be performed.
- **Outsource:** An organization may decide that supporting software is not core business, and may outsource it to a specialist organization offering this service.
- **Carry on maintenance:** Despite all the problems of support, the organization decides to carry on maintenance for another period.
- **Discard and redevelop:** Throw all the software away and redevelop the application once again from scratch.
- **Wrap:** It is a black-box technique – surrounds the legacy system with a software layer that hides the unwanted complexity of the existing data, individual programs, application systems, and interfaces with the new interfaces.
- **Migrate:** Legacy system migration moves an existing, operational system to a new platform, retaining the legacy system's functionality and causing minimal disruption to the existing operational business environment as possible.

Impact Analysis

- ❑ **Impact analysis** is the task of **estimating** the parts of the software that can be **affected** if a proposed **change request** is made.
- ❑ Impact analysis techniques can be partitioned into two classes:
 - **Traceability analysis** In this approach the high-level artifacts such as requirements, design, code and test cases related to the feature to be changed are identified. A **model** of **inter-artifacts** such that each artifact in one level links to other artifacts, is constructed. This helps to locate a pieces of design, code and test cases that need to be maintained.
 - **Dependency (or source-code) analysis** Dependency analysis attempt to assess the effects of change on **semantic dependencies** between program entities. This is achieved by **identifying** the **syntactic dependencies** that may signal the presence of such semantic dependencies.
 - ❑ The two dependency-based impact analysis techniques are: call **graph based analysis** and **dependency graph based analysis**.

Impact Analysis

- ❑ Two additional notions related to impact analysis are **Ripple effect** and **Change propagation**.
 - **Ripple effect** analysis measures the impact, or how likely it is that a change to a particular module may cause problems in the rest of the program.
 - Measuring ripple effect can provide knowledge about the system as a whole through its evolution:
 - ❑ how much its complexity has increased or decreased since the previous version
 - ❑ how complex individual parts of a system are in relation to other parts of the system
 - ❑ the effect that a new module has on the complexity of a system as a whole when it is added.
 - The **change propagation** activity ensures that a change made in one component is propagated properly throughout the entire system.

Refactoring

- ❑ **Refactoring** is the process of making a **change** to the **internal structure** of software to make it easier to understand and cheaper to modify without changing its observable behavior.
- ❑ It is the object-oriented equivalent of **restructuring**.
- ❑ **Refactoring**, which aims to improve the internal structure of the code, is achieved through the **removal of duplicate code**, **simplification**, making code easier to understand, help to find defects and adding flexibility to program faster.
- ❑ There are two aspects of the above definition:
 - It must preserve the “observable behavior” of the software system (through regression testing).
 - To improve the internal structure of a software system (improve maintainability).

Program Comprehension

□ Program understanding or comprehension is

“the task of **building mental models** of an underlying software system at various abstraction levels, ranging from models of the code itself to ones of the underlying application domain, for software maintenance, evolution and re-engineering purposes.”

□ Program comprehension deals with the **cognitive processes** involved in constructing a mental model of the program.

□ A common element of such cognitive models is generating hypotheses and investigating whether they hold or must be rejected.

- Hypotheses are a way to understand code in an incremental manner.
- After some understanding of the code, the programmer forms a hypothesis and verifies it by reading code.
- By continuously formulating new hypotheses and verifying them, the programmer understands more and more code in increasing details.

Program Comprehension

- ❑ Several strategies can be used to arrive at relevant hypotheses such as:
 - bottom up (starting from the code).
 - top down (starting from high-level goal).
 - opportunistic combinations of the two.
- ❑ A strategy is formulated by identifying actions to achieve a goal.
- ❑ Strategies guide two mechanisms, namely **chunking** and **cross-referencing** to produce higher-level abstraction structures.
 - Chunking creates new, higher level abstraction structures from lower level structures.
 - Cross-referencing means being able to make links between elements of different abstraction levels.
- ❑ Chunking and cross-referencing helps in building mental model of the program under study at different levels of abstractions.

Software Reuse

- ❑ Software reuse was introduced by Dough McIlroy in his 1968 seminal paper:

The development of an industry of **reusable source-code** software components and the **industrialization** of the production of application software from **off-the-shelf components**.

- ❑ Other significant early reuse research developments include:

- Program families (David Parnas).
- Domain analysis (Jim Neighbors).

- ❑ **Program families** are sets of programs whose common properties are so extensive that it becomes advantageous to study the common properties of these programs before analyzing individual differences.

- ❑ Whereas **domain analysis** is an activity of identifying objects and operations of a class of similar systems in a particular problem domain.

Software Reuse

- ❑ Software reuse is using existing artifacts or software knowledge during the construction of a new software system. Reusable assets can be either **reusable artifacts** or **software knowledge**.
- ❑ Capers Jones identified four types of reusable artifacts:
 - **data reuse**, involving a standardization of data formats,
 - **architectural reuse**, which consists of standardizing a set of design and programming conventions dealing with the logical organization of software
 - **design reuse**, for some common business applications
 - **program reuse**, which deals with reusing executable code.
- ❑ Software reuse of previously written code is a way to increase
 - software development productivity.
 - quality of the software.

Software Reuse

- ❑ Reusability is a property of a software assets that indicates the **degree** to which it can be **reused**.
- ❑ For software component to be reusable, it must exhibit the following characteristics that directly encourage its use in similar situations:
 - **Environmental independence** – The components that can be reused irrespective of the environment from which they were originally captured.
 - **High cohesion** - The components that implement a single operation or set of related operations.
 - **Loose coupling** - The components that have minimal links to other components.
 - **Adaptability** - The components that are adaptable so they can be customized to fit a range of similar situations.
 - **Understandability** - The components which are easily understandable that users can quickly interpret functionality.
 - **Reliability** - The components that are error-free.
 - **Portability** - The components that are not restricted in terms of the software or hardware environment they operate in.

Questions

?

Sample Questions - From the book Solve

- ❑ chapter 2 : questions 1,2,3,4,5 and 17
- ❑ chapter 3 : questions 3,4,6,7,8,9,10,11
- ❑ chapter 4 : questions 2,3,5, 6,7,8
- ❑ chapter 5 : questions 1,2,3,4,6
- ❑ chapter 6 : questions 1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,10,11
- ❑ chapter 7 : questions 1,2,3,4
- ❑ chapter 8 : questions 1,3,4,5
- ❑ chapter 9: questions 1,2,