

Typed embedding of relational programming language

Dmitrii Kosarev (presenter), Dmitrii Boulytchev

Saint-Petersburg State University

`Dmitrii.Kosarev@protonmail.ch`

ML Workshop 2016

Nara, Japan

Example 1:

```
let typecheck: _ → Parsetree.expr → Types.t
```

Example 1:

let typecheck: $_ \rightarrow \text{Parsetree.expr} \rightarrow \text{Types.t}$

let inhabitation: $_ \rightarrow \text{Types.t} \rightarrow \text{Parsetree.expr}$

Example 1:

let typecheck: $_ \rightarrow \text{Parsetree.expr} \rightarrow \text{Types.t}$

let inhabitation: $_ \rightarrow \text{Types.t} \rightarrow \text{Parsetree.expr}$

Example 2:

let eval_match: $\text{Expr.t} \rightarrow \text{rule list} \rightarrow \text{Expr.t}$

Example 1:

let typecheck: $_ \rightarrow \text{Parsetree.expr} \rightarrow \text{Types.t}$

let inhabitation: $_ \rightarrow \text{Types.t} \rightarrow \text{Parsetree.expr}$

Example 2:

let eval_match: $\text{Expr.t} \rightarrow \text{rule list} \rightarrow \text{Expr.t}$

let check_exhaustiveness: $\text{Expr.t} \rightarrow \text{rule list} \rightarrow \text{Expr.t}$

...

check_exhaustiveness (ExceptionExpr Not_exhaustive) rules

Example 1:

let typecheck: $_ \rightarrow \text{Parsetree.expr} \rightarrow \text{Types.t}$

let inhabitation: $_ \rightarrow \text{Types.t} \rightarrow \text{Parsetree.expr}$

Example 2:

let eval_match: $\text{Expr.t} \rightarrow \text{rule list} \rightarrow \text{Expr.t}$

let check_exhaustiveness: $\text{Expr.t} \rightarrow \text{rule list} \rightarrow \text{Expr.t}$

...

check_exhaustiveness (ExceptionExpr Not_exhaustive) rules

Reverse execution.

3rd example (1)

```
let rec append xs ys = match xs with  
| [] → ys  
| h::tl → h :: (append tl ys)
```

3rd example (1)

```
let rec append xs ys = match xs with  
| [] → ys  
| h::tl → h :: (append tl ys)
```

We are going to emulate *reverse execution* using *relational programming*.

```
function: (xs,ys) -> result  
relation: (xs, ys, result)
```


3rd example (2)

```
let rec append xs ys = match xs with  
| [] -> ys  
| h::tl -> h :: (append tl ys)
```

Going to rewrite as 'mathy' formula:

3rd example (2)

```
let rec append xs ys = match xs with  
| [] -> ys  
| h::tl -> h :: (append tl ys)
```

Going to rewrite as ‘mathy’ formula:

```
let rec appendo xs ys rez =
```

3rd example (2)

```
let rec append xs ys = match xs with  
| [] -> ys  
| h::tl -> h :: (append tl ys)
```

Going to rewrite as ‘mathy’ formula:

```
let rec appendo xs ys rez =
```

∨

3rd example (2)

```
let rec append xs ys = match xs with  
| [] -> ys  
| h::tl -> h :: (append tl ys)
```

Going to rewrite as ‘mathy’ formula:

```
let rec appendo xs ys rez =  
  ((xs  $\equiv$  [])  $\wedge$  (ys  $\equiv$  rez))  
   $\vee$ 
```

3rd example (2)

```
let rec append xs ys = match xs with  
| [] -> ys  
| h::tl -> h :: (append tl ys)
```

Going to rewrite as 'mathy' formula:

```
let rec appendo xs ys rez =  
  ((xs  $\equiv$  [])  $\wedge$  (ys  $\equiv$  rez))  
 $\vee$   
  ((xs  $\equiv$  h::tl)  $\wedge$  (appendo tl ys tmp)  $\wedge$  (rez  $\equiv$  h::tmp))
```

3rd example (2)

```
let rec append xs ys = match xs with  
| [] -> ys  
| h::tl -> h :: (append tl ys)
```

Going to rewrite as ‘mathy’ formula:

```
let rec appendo xs ys rez =  
  ((xs  $\equiv$  [])  $\wedge$  (ys  $\equiv$  rez))  
 $\vee$   
   $\exists$  h,tl,tmp:  
    ((xs  $\equiv$  h::tl)  $\wedge$  (appendo tl ys tmp)  $\wedge$  (rez  $\equiv$  h::tmp))
```

```
let rec appendo xs ys rez =  
  ((xs == llist_nil) &&& (ys==rez))  
  |||  
  Fresh.three (fun h tl tmp →  
    (xs == h % tl) &&& (appendo tl ys tmp) &&& (rez == x % tmp)  
  )
```

```

let rec appendo xs ys rez =
  ((xs === llist_nil) &&& (ys===rez))
  |||
  Fresh.three (fun h tl tmp →
    (xs === h % tl) &&& (appendo tl ys tmp) &&& (rez === x % tmp)
  )

```

Let's try to execute it.

```

# ...give me q such that (appendo [1;2] [3;4] q)
[1;2;3;4]

```



```

let rec appendo xs ys rez =
  ((xs == llist_nil) &&& (ys==rez))
  |||
  Fresh.three (fun h tl tmp →
    (xs == h % tl) &&& (appendo tl ys tmp) &&& (rez == x % tmp)
  )

```

Let's try to execute it.

```

# ...give me q such that (appendo [1;2] [3;4] q)
[1;2;3;4]

```

```

# ...give me q such that (appendo q [3;4] [1;2;3;4])
[1;2]

```

```

let rec appendo xs ys rez =
  ((xs == llist_nil) &&& (ys==rez))
  |||
  Fresh.three (fun h tl tmp →
    (xs == h % tl) &&& (appendo tl ys tmp) &&& (rez == x % tmp)
  )

```

```

# ...give me q such that (appendo q [3;4] [1;2;3;4])
[1;2]

```

```

# ...give me (q,r) such that (appendo q r [1;2])
([], [1;2])
([1], [2])
([1;2], [])

```

```

let rec appendo xs ys rez =
  ((xs == llist_nil) &&& (ys==rez))
  |||
  Fresh.three (fun h tl tmp →
    (xs == h % tl) &&& (appendo tl ys tmp) &&& (rez == x % tmp)
  )

```

```

# ...give me (q,r) such that (appendo q r [1;2])
([], [1;2])
([1], [2])
([1;2], [])

```

```

# ...give me (r,q) such that (appendo r q q)
([], _.0)

```

Relational programming

- Relational programming \equiv miniKanren
- <http://minikanren.org/>
- A family of many languages: *alpha*-Kanren, μ Kanren, constrained Kanren, etc.
- Implemented in many languages: various LISPS, OCaml, Haskell, F#, Scala, etc.

Contributions of this work

- 2nd implementation of miniKanren in OCaml (more type-safe than first one:

<https://github.com/lightyang/minikanren-ocaml>.

- Less verbose programming than other approaches
 - No need for ad-hoc conversions to `term` type.
- No typeclasses used.
- We are reusing OCaml specific features in *unification* (algorithm behind \equiv).

```
let foo ints strings =  
  Fresh.two (fun h tl →  
    (ints == h % tl) &&& (h == inj 5) &&&  
    Fresh.two (fun h' tl' →  
      (strings == h % tl') &&& (tl' == (inj "asdf") % llist_nil)  
    ))
```

File "regression/test000.ml", line 37, characters 50-51:
Error: This expression has type bytes llist logic but
 an expression was expected of type int llist logic
Type bytes is not compatible with type int

About implementations with `term` type

- Special type for relation-related evaluations.

- `type term = Var of int
 | ConstString of string
 | ConstInt of int
 | ListNil
 | ListCons of term * term
 | Atom of string
 | etc...`

- Special conversion functions for every type `t`:

```
val inject_t: t → term  
val project_t: term → t
```

- And `project` is partial by two reasons:
 - Value of type `term` can contain free logic variables. ”
 - The value `ListCons(ConstInt, ListCons(ConstString "a"), ListNil)` cannot be converted neither to OCaml `int` list nor to string list.