

ANCR: Digital Transparency Performance Scheme 1: Parts 1 and 2

Conformity	0 000	anlianaa	100000000	nt 1/0 0 1
Conformity (& Con	nbiiance	Assessme	nt vu.9.1

1 8 An ANCR: refers to an Anchored Notice & Consent Receipt, is a record that is generated using the Transparency Performance Indicator assessment, which provides a standard measure of operational performance, of presented PII Controller's security and privacy session information.

9

10

11

6

2

3 4 5

Editors:

- Mark Lizar, WG Editor
- 12 Contributors:
- Sal D'Agostino, ANCR WG Chair
- 14 Reviewers:
 - Gigi Agassini, ANCR WG Secretary

16

15

17	Conformity & Compliance Assessment v0.9.1	1
18	NOTICE	3
19	Conditions for use	3
20	Dear reader,	5
21	Abstract	6
22	Scheme Applicability	6
23	1 Terms & Definitions	8
24	Normative to Council of Europe, Convention 108+,	8
25	Introduction	9
26	Why was this specification written?	10

Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2017 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

27	Why Transparency Performance Indicators?
28	About the Scheme
29 30 31	The TPIs here are used to assess session-based data capture and self-asserted information by organizations to specify a Public level of Trust Assurance that is provided in an online context
32	TPI 1 - Measuring the Timing of PII Controller Identity Notification:
33	TPI 2 - Measures Required Data Elements
34	TPI 3 - Measure of Transparency Accessibility
35	TPI 4: A Measures security information integrity
36	TPI Metrics
37	Table 1: Transparency Performance Rating14
38	Table 2: Transparency Performance Indicator Record Rating Example
39	Summary
40	Appendix A: TPI Compliance Assessment Scheme Part 2
41	A.1 Operational Transparency Assessment
42	Appendix B: TPI Assessment Guidance
43	B.1 TPIs are captured in sequence
44	B.2 TPI – Scheme 1, Part 1(S1-P1) metric logic
45	B.3 1.2. Table 2 : ANCR Record Schema Example
46	Appendix C: Digital Transparency Code of Conduct
47	Endnotes
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	IPR Option:
54 55 56 57	This ANCR Record Specification is required to be open, as specified under a Patent & Copyright: Reciprocal Royalty Free with Opt-out to Reasonable and Non-discriminatory (RAND) license agreement at the Kantara Initiative for submission to ISO/IEC SC 27 WG 5.

- Any derivative use of this specification must be in conformance with the associated 58
- 59 transparency Code of Conduct¹, be open and free and not create any dependency that
- limits or restricts the use, accessibility, and availability of digital transparency or the 60
- ability for the PII Principal to provide and manage their own consent. 61
- **Suggested Citation: (upon WG approval)** 62
- 63 ANCR Specification v.1 ANCR Digital Transparency Performance Scheme 1, Part 1 & 2

NOTICE

- This specification relies on (open access to) ISO/IEC 29100 Security and privacy 65
- techniques, to generate a notice receipt, which is stored in an ANCR consent record 66
- format for conformity assessment as specified in the Kantara Initiative Consent Receipt 67
- v1.1.² 68

69

70

64

Conditions for use

- License Condition: This specification is solely used for assessing conformance to the 71
- 72 Transparency Code of Conduct (Appendix C), for implementing the Council of Europe
- 73 108+ Chapter III, Rights of the Data Subject, Section 1 Transparency, and modalities,
- 74 Article 14, 1 – 8. This Transparency Code of Conduct is internationally representative of
- 75 notice and consent legal and social requirements. It can be represented today in the
- forms of privacy policy links, physical signage, digital cookies and security or privacy 76
- notices. These are found when accessing public and digital service spaces, in all 77
- 78 domains and jurisdictions, are to be referenced as practices, which MUST implement,
- 79 or support the implementation of this Transparency Code of Conduct for transparency
- modalities. 80

81

- This document has been prepared by participants of Kantara Initiative Inc ANCR-WG. 82
- 83 Permission is hereby granted to use the document solely for the purpose of
- implementing the Specification for public benefit. No rights are granted to prepare 84
- derivative works of this Specification. Entities seeking permission to reproduce this 85

¹ Transparency Code of Conduct, to implement Transparency Modalities – Appendix C.

² Consent receipt v1, CISWG Kantara Initiative https://kantarainitiative.org/download/7902/

document, in whole or in part, for other uses must contact the Kantara Initiative to determine whether an appropriate license for such use is available.

Implementation or use of this document may require licenses under third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights. The Participants and any other contributors to the Specification are not and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such thirdparty intellectual property rights. This Specification is provided "AS IS," and no Participant in Kantara Initiative makes any warranty of any kind, expressed or implied, including any implied warranties of merchantability, non-infringement of third-party intellectual property rights, or fitness for a particular purpose. Implementers of this Specification are advised to review the Kantara Initiative's website (Kantara Initiative: Trust through ID Assurance) for information concerning any Necessary Claims Disclosure Notices that have been received by the Kantara Initiative Board of Directors.

99

86 87

88

89 90

91

92

93

94

95

96 97

98

100	Dear reader,
101 102 103 104	Thank you for downloading this publication prepared by the international community of experts that comprise the Kantara Initiative. Kantara is a global non-profit 'commons' dedicated to improving trustworthy use of digital identity and personal data through innovation, standardization and good practice.
105 106 107 108 109 110	Kantara is known around the world for incubating innovative concepts, operating Trust Frameworks to assure digital identity and privacy service providers and developing community-led best practices and specifications. Its efforts are acknowledged by OECD ITAC, UNCITRAL, ISO SC27, other consortia and governments around the world. "Nurture, Develop, Operate" captures the rhythm of Kantara in consolidating an inclusive, equitable digital economy offering value and benefit to all.
111 112 113 114	Every publication, in every domain, is capable of improvement. Kantara welcomes and values your contribution through membership , sponsorship and active participation in the working group that produced this and participation in all our endeavors so that Kantara can reflect its value back to you and your organization.
115	
116	
117	
118	
119	
120	
121	
122	
123	
124	
125 126 127	Copyright: The content of this document is copyright of Kantara Initiative, Inc. © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc.

Abstract

128

132

133

134

135 136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158 159

160

161

162

163 164

165

166

167

168 169

170

171

172

173

- In context of processing personally identifiable information a PII Principal is not able to see who is processing their data or is not notified when their data is disclosed. As a result, today, the Individual is not able to trust the use of digital identity technologies and digital trust.
 - At this time there is little transparency over required digital security and privacy elements online.
 - Transparency varies from service to service and as a result it is impossible for people to see and trust how they are being identified as well as what is happening with their own data.
 - Even so, the requirement to identify the legal entity and the accountable person to the PII Principal is a universal requirement for all data processing activities unless explicitly derogated by legislated law or policy for a specific legal justification and context.

If the PII Principal is not able to see how PII (Personally Identifiable Information) is shared, disclosed or managed it is not possible to make the choice to trust the service processing PII.

For people, security by default requires assurance to see when personal data is being processed to operationally be transparent. Standard and operational transparency captured in records (Consent Receipts) people keep and own is what can makes consent meaningful by default. To create and scale trust in digital contexts a Digital Transparency Code of Conduct is introduced to simplify and clarify requirements and the use of CoE 108+ Chapter 1 Transparency Modalities, which is mirrored in the GDPR Article 12, 'Transparent information, communication and modalities for the exercise of the rights of the data subject'.

Scheme Applicability

- 1. All data processing must be transparent, unless required not to be by legal derogation. In such an instance, the processing must be transparent to the appropriate regulatory authority, according to the context of processing.
- 2. This applies to all services and every stakeholder, PII Controller, PII Processor, PII Principal's, the PII Co-Regulating Authority and delegates.
- All processing with consent requires a record of the privacy notice and privacy policy link, which in this document is referred to as a Notice Receipt, also known as the ANCR record of consent, and referred to as a consent record in ISO/IEC 27560 Consent record information structure.
- 4. Records and receipts provided as specified in Convention 108+, Art 31 Record of Processing Activity (RoPA). The consent receipt is effectively a digital twin, which is a mirrored notice and consent record, which is also held by the individual. This Record can then effectively becomes the authoritative consent record.
- 5. A Notice Receipt can be created by any stakeholder to identify a PII Controller.
- 6. An Anchored Notice and Consent Receipt can be used as a record of consent to access data subjects' rights for example, and/or to test and assess the operational performance of PII Controllers' digital privacy in digital contexts.

Part 1 of the scheme introduces 4 Transparency Performance Indicators, these are used to measure and rate the conformance of transparency. In Part 2 of the scheme (in the Appendix A) a transparency information request is sent to the controller to; a) test the controller information and, b) measure how compliant the performance of digital

174 transparency is, to both legal expectations and the personal privacy expectations of PII 175 Principal.

1 TERMS & DEFINITIONS

177178

176

- Normative to Council of Europe, Convention 108+,
- 179 The normative language for the TPI Scheme is defined by Convention 108+ the Common
- wealth privacy convention the GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation) mirrors. . .
- Originally convened to establish a set of principles and rules to effectively safeguard
- personal data and facilitate cross-border data flows
- Normative terms for roles defined in national law are mapped to the roles which are defined
- 184 according to an international adequacy baseline.

185

ISO/IEC 29100 is also normative, this security and privacy framework standard maps terms in the standard itself, for example PII Principal is mapped to the Data Subject.

187 188

190

186

The ANCR Record Framework is used to specify Transparency Performance Indicators

(TPIs) and is based on the consent receipt work where roles are mapped to standards and

191 laws.

192

Stakeholder	Conv 108+	GDPR	ISO/IEC 29100	PIPEDA	Quebec
Data Regulator					
Data Subject		Х	PII Principal	Data Subject	
Data Controller	X	X	PII Controller	Organization	Person in charge of protecting personal information (PICPPI)
Data Processor					
Joint-Controller					
Sub-Processor					
Data Subject		X	PII Principal	Individual	

193 (compliance roles, mapped to be interoperable within any data privacy framework)

194

195 Roles in this document refer to the relationship between the Individual and any digital

196 service.

Introduction 197 Transparency Performance Indicator's (TPIs) are introduced here as the object of 198 conformity to capture the presentation of PII Controller Credential information, and to 199 determine the operational capacity of the information in conformance Conv 108+ and 200 personal expectations. 201 202 The TPIs are used to create an ANCR (Anchored Notice and Consent Receipt) Record, 203 which presentable as a 'proof of notice' (or knowledge) claim, the object for both 204 205 conformity, and compliance assessments, presented in this scheme. The TPI scheme, to test the performance of digital transparency with a privacy request. 206 This is used to, determine how dynamic the performance of transparency and consent 207 208 is for using data subject rights, independently of the service provider, and relative to 209 context. 210 211 The TPIs presented pinpoint 4 metrics that can be used to measure the conformance 212 of transparency and the integrity of consent in the relevant data capture context. 213 The TPIs assess the operational capacity of the required and presented PII Controller 214 215 Identity and Contact attributes, or meta-information. The TPIs measure the existence and performance of the publicly required digital service information. The TPIs check 216 digital components, identifying the governance model, authority and security 217 framework to assure the validity of privacy state in an online service context. Providing 218 219 privacy risk assurance for people. 220 The ANCR record produced from a TPI Assessment captures digital governance and 221 surveillance context. Capturing at the point of presentation PII Controller Identifiers, privacy rights access point(s), and importantly, under which digital governance 222 223 framework personal data processing is being governed. 224 The ANCR record, in which the PII Principle is the holder and controller of this record, can be presented as a micro-notice claim and used as a credential to engage PII 225 226 Controller privacy services and track the PII Controller performance. 227 Most assessments for conformance of privacy information or services are mapped to 228 analogue legal requirements which measure response times in days, out of technical 229 context. TPIs all measure how dynamic privacy service information is in context, and

provides a rating, from -3 to +1, in which +1 is for a Dynamic, in context transparency

performance indicator. This introduces the concept of a shared active privacy state

230

231

transparency, comprised of the signal that indicates if the privacy as expected in context. .

234

235

256

Why was this specification written?

- At the time of writing this specification, transparency and consent is governed predominately by commercial governance frameworks that utilize digital identity management technologies to identify people. At the same time the associated services do not identify themselves in a standard way online, which is neither compliant nor conformant, presenting critical cybersecurity risks.
- Individuals are forced to give up digital privacy to access analog privacy service online

 While all the records of the digital relationships are kept by services, (if they

 keep records at all). Without our own records of digital relationships Individuals are

 not able to be empowered.
- These risks and harms are exacerbated when PII Principals use privacy services online.
 PII identifiers, by default, are captured and collected at an attribute level (known also
 as meta-data). This means individuals must relinquish their digital privacy, to access
 online privacy services. These "security" technologies themselves are used to profile
 and track data subjects presenting systemic challenges to accessing privacy services in
 a meaningful way for the PII Principal.
- The second systemic obstacle is that individuals do not have their own records of digital identity relationships. Preventing people from being able to exercise rights.
- A notice receipt and consent record address this systemic and root challenge, with a proof of notice, which is what is required to present evidence consent. Evidence of consent that is missing in today's online services.

Why Transparency Performance Indicators?

- Currently, there is no way for people to see who is tracking them and to understand how digitally exposed one is, in any given surveillance context, physical or digital.
- TPIs assess if the notice information provided is operational, if the contact information is fake or not, if a digital service is even capable of the security required for digital privacy to be trust capable. requirement to be notified and have an understanding of (digital) risks before making decisions. It is a necessary precondition for meaningful consent.
- 264 Digital transparency requires standard purpose specification to include who benefits,
- 265 how they benefit, and where they benefit from, is extremely important. This is required

Document Date: Sept 19, 2023

- but missing security information that's is made assessed in the Scheme. Without a 266 267 standardized notification and presentation format to govern identity management, it is difficult for a Data Subject to make a trust decision, and impossible in a multi-service 268 context, limiting the capacity to trust any services provided in an online context. 269 270 The invisible risks need to be presented relevant to the context to make an informed 271 choice about whether or not to consent, withdraw consent, or even pause consent to 272 a service, to stop tracking for a particular private context 273 A challenge addressed with the use of this assessment, which makes these risks 274 275 transparent. 276 TPIs conformity and compliance assessment for digital transparency dramatically 277 improves the safety, security, privacy usability and awareness for all stakeholders. About the Scheme 278 279 The TPI Scheme presented here is scoped to specify the public digital transparency 280 assurance level referred to as level 0 transparency assurance in the ANCR Framework. 281 The framework includes: 282 A conformity and compliance assessment scheme, implemented in 2 parts to generate a full 283 operational transparency report. 284 TPI Scheme 1 Part 1 - Conformance Initial test to diagnose the operational capacity of privacy services in any 285 286 specific context. • TPI Scheme 1 Part 2 – Compliance (found in Appendix A) 287 288 Specifies an example operational transparency compliance performance test, 289 in which the transparency is tested by generating a privacy rights-based request, to access privacy services. 290 291 Part 1 refers to conformance with digital identifier elements required to be presented to initiate a session, and is the body of this document. 292 293 Part 2, is Appendix A, which is the next TPI metric which uses the ANCR record to audit the Adequacy of the captured practice as specified in the Council of Europe, Conv. 294 295 108+. Article 14, Transparency Modalities. 296 297 The 4 Transparency Performance Indicators h capture transparency and data capture practices in context and are used to test the self-asserted information for its 298 299 operational usability.
- 300
- 301 These 4 TPIs and Scheme 1, Part 1, and Scheme 1 Part 2 can be used together with the

302 Guidance – Appendix –, for the public interest application, as well as the demonstration

303 304	of this project's use of the digital credential. In this regard, this TPI Scheme directed a required public transparency level of risk assurance.					
305 306 307 308 309	TPIs specified focus is on the initial point of contact. This includes the publicly require information that MUST be provided and refers to the PII Controller Identity and Containformation, which is required in all privacy legal instruments. Transparency, in the regard, is a universal requirement, and required for free, prior and informed consent scale as digital privacy online.					
310 311 312	The TPIs here are used to assess session-based data capture and self-asserted information by organizations to specify a Public level of Trust Assurance that is provided in an online context. ³					
313	TPI 1 - Measuring the Timing of PII Controller Identity					
314	Notification:					
315 316 317	This TPI captures when the Controller's legal entity and accountable Privacy Officer (digital identifiers) provide notice of their identity. This is measured to see if the notice is delivered					
318 319 320 321	i) Before,ii) At the time of,iii) During, oriv) After					
322	Personally identifiable information is captured.3					
323						
324 325 326 327	By assessing dynamic and operational transparency, as opposed to static, infrequent information, it provides a way for an individual to assess if they can trust a service of not. This is also assessing compliance with Article 14.1, and specifically defined in Article, 15 1, a) and b)					
328 329	Information to be provided where personal data are collected from the data subject					

.

³ Note to reader: The ANCR Record Framework presents 4 levels of transparency assurance for PII Controller (Notice) Credentials, which can be use in 3 vectors of digital governance; 1. Personal data control 2. Data Protection 3. Co-regulation, which is what is assessed in this document at assurance level 0.

330 331 332	1. Where personal data relating to a data subject are collected from the data subject, the controller shall, at the time when personal data are obtained, provide the data subject with all of the following information:					
333	(a) the identity and the contact details of the controller;					
334	(b) the contact details of the data protection officer;					
335	TPI 2 - Measures Required Data Elements					
336 337 338 339 340 341	This TPI captures whether the required security and privacy attributes are provided, ⁴ these are required to operationally the transparency information and identify the accountable party. Namely what information is legally required. In "all" cases, there is a requirement for a Notice of who is processing your data, who is accountable and the privacy contact information for access to personal information is required to be <i>provided</i> . [Art 14.1]					
342 343 344	Specifically, a first-time notice must include 2 factors, 1) is the notice adequate as notice of risk. 2) is the practices relating to permissions permitted by the purpose, accepted and can be used as proof of notice by the data subject.					
345 346	These Digital Privacy transparency elements are the minimum required to operationalize transparency and accountability.					
347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360	 i) Legal Entity Identity Name, ii) Address, Contact information iii) Name or role of Data Privacy Officer (or the authoritative owner and Accountable Person (AP) in charge of that legal entity. iv) Privacy services access and contact point information. v) Privacy or other Governance Policy Governing the processing of personal information. vi) Transparency before use a. Digital Gov-Framework b. Legal Basis for Purpose of initial Processing of PII c. Recipients or categories of recipients if Any d. Transfer of data on networks out of Country, to a 3rd Country, e. The existence of adequacy, f. Existence of safeguards, where to get a copy of them, or where they have 					
361	been made available. (note)					

⁴ This is the most common legislated privacy element in the world, required and mappable to all privacy legislation and instruments. (ISTPA 2007)p.64

TPI 3 - Measure of Transparency Accessibility

- 363 This TPI measures the performance of transparency in terms of *accessibility* by to the information in TPI 2. For example, is the information readily available, ideally prior to 364 the digital session and capture of PII. For example, is TPI-2 information presented in a 365 366 pop-up notice at the initiation of a digital service session, \ or is it required to click a link, e.g., to a privacy policy, and then access additional link. , Is the operational 367 transparency information on the first screen, or is it at the bottom reached only after 368 scrolling multi-pages, with links not highlighted, and not accessible to children or 369 370 parents.
- 371 In this way TPI 3 measures Informational accessibility, is a key transparency metric that 372 indicates if the context is digital privacy capable of being inclusive and accessible and 373 trustworthy.

TPI 4: A Measures security information integrity 374

This TPI captures the (Secure Socket Layer/Transport Layer Security) SSL/TLS (e.g. 1.3) 375 certificate or security keys (e.g. JOSE) to compare its security meta-data against the 376 377 required information in TPI 2. This is very much along the lines of Certificate Transparency but looking specifically at whether the security certificate conforms to the 378 ANCR Record profile policy. It also checks for consistency and continuity in the security 379 provided and is it adequate to the task. E.g., does the SSL certificate Organization Unit 380 381 field and Jurisdiction fields match the captured legal entity information. How does the 382 policy and jurisdiction there relate to other beneficial entities. Importantly does this 383 align with the policy expectations of the person.

TPI Metrics

384

385

386

392

393

394

362

Table 1: Transparency Performance Rating

- The TPI Rating system is designed to measure dynamically the operational 387 transparency and performance of the required security and privacy information 388 and its usability. T+1 refers to the existence of a technical framework and PII 389 390 Controller transparency **prior** to the initiation of a session. This provides security-based trust assurances for the data subject. 391
 - 0 refers to dynamic a measure of providing dynamic transparency in the context of once a technical session starts (which is at the time of collection), in context transparency over purpose and disclosures,

395 396 • -1 refers to where there is a provision of r analogue legal expectations, represented by legal requirements not specific to a digital context. E,g,

397

-2 refers to the provision of low quality legally required information..

398 399

-3 refers to the provision of non-operable transparency and digital privacy and related information.

400

Rating **TPI1 - Timing (wrt to TP2** processing)

TPI3 Accessibility **TPI4 - digital** (trans performance) security

+1 (assured)	Before [Transparency of control/governance - Before, during or after processing]	+1 - credential is registered and present	Controller identity is presented prior to data collection - e	Security is required prior to collection (digital wallet based)
0 (dynamic assurance)	Just In time	0 credential is presented just in time (automated check and first-time notice)	Embedded as a credential linked to authoritative registries.	is assured -e.g., certificate is specific to and matches controller and context
-1 (analogue assurance - online)	During	controller information is accessible during collection	PII Controller Identity prominently displayed on first view – prior to processing first page of viewing, the assessment question would be	not-specific to controller - does not match jurisdiction

Document Date: Sept 19, 2023

-2 - (not mandatory in flow)	Available	Controller information is linked	is linked not presented	does not match you
- 3 (non-operative)	After	Controller information not present	Identity or credential is not accessible in context - e.g., two or more screens of view away, or privacy contact is mailing g address and non-operative in context of data collection.	is not valid or secure provider

Table 2: Transparency Performance Indicator Record Rating 401

Example 402

ield Name	Field	Requirement:	TPI 1	TPI 2	TPI 3	TPI 4
	Description	Must	before (out of		Rate:	Certificate
		Shall	band), just in	A vailahla	_	or Key
		May	time (before),	Not Available	,	
						CN-
			or time of		-1, -	Matches
			collection,		2,	OU –
			during		-3,	Match
			collection		,	Jurisdictio
			and after			– Match
			collection			(optional)

Notice Location	Location the notice was read / observed	MUST	before, during, after	Present	+1	found
PII Controller Name	Name of presented organization	MUST		Present	0	Match
PII Controller Address	Physical organization Address	MUST		Present	0	Not match
Privacy Contact Point	Location / address of Contact Point	MUST		Present	1	Not match
Privacy Contact Method	Contact method for correspondence with PII Controller	MUST		Present	-1	No Match
Session key or Certificate	A certificate for monitored practice	MUST		Present (or Not-found)	1 (or -3)	Present (or No Security Detected)

403

404

Summary

- In summary, Transparency Performance Indicators, TPIs are specified here for people 405 to use depending on context, location, security, and other out of session elements. 406
- 407 TPIs are digital transparency tool used to self determine how much a service context 408 can be trusted.
- These TPIs are designed to work with open standards, the ANCR WG Royalty Free 409
- 410 license, which requires open source software license to be valid for conformance.
- Transparency tools are required to be open in multiple ways for people to be able to 411
- use and create records they can own and keep across and independently of service 412
- providers. 413

414 415 416 417	TPI 1 is a measure of trust, so that when asked, "Do you trust (accept) a service", you necessarily know who is processing your data before, during or after." Overwhelmingly people indicate trust would be higher. if notified prior to data capture, which only makes sense.
418 419	TPI 2 is the legally required attributes ,present and available. Are they machine readable
420 421	TPI 3 is an indicator of how accessible, and inclusive, digital transparency is. Are the transparency attributes machine readable.
422 423	TPI 4 validates for the individual if security "matching the controller jurisdiction" to addresses a critical cross-border security challenge widely overlooked today.
424	
425	

426

451

APPENDIX A: TPI COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT SCHEME

PART 2 427 **A.1 Operational Transparency Assessment** 428 TPI – Operational Transparency Performance assurance test, 429 Most often, there is a missing, but required for operational digital governance, 430 431 identifying attributes, held by commercial interest which systemically capture and control digital commons assets. 432 433 Transparency required to be available in context, during the time when PII is i) 434 obtained (found in Transparency Statement or Privacy Policy [note] a. Period of time data stored 435 b. Existence of rights/controls to access and rectify 436 437 c. Existence of right to manage consent d. Existence of right to lodge a complaint with a DPA 438 e. Whether processing is based under a statutory, or contractual context or 439 whether necessary for entering a contract, if the PII is obliged and the 440 441 consequences of failure to provide this data, i. Note: (Added by Editor) and who controls access to the authoritative 442 version of the data processed. 443 f. Existence of 444 445 i. Al, or any Automated decision-making technology, 446 ii. digital identity management surveillance technologies 447 iii. any profiles generated 448 iv. Meaningful information about the logic involved, [Note] 449 1. its significance 2. Expected consequences for and to Data subject 450

APPENDIX B: TPI ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE

- 453 The TPI Rating system is designed to measure the operational performance of the
- information, for example if only a mailing address is provided for a privacy contact on
- a website, this is considered non-operable according to the context. This means that
- 456 privacy access and specific information is not retrievable in the context of data
- 457 collection. Demonstrating a non-performant form of data governance.
- 458 Conformity Assessment: utilizing the ISO/IEC 29100 security framework for
- 459 generating interoperable records and receipts of data processing activity, according
- 460 to transparency in context.

461

462

452

B.1 TPIs are captured in sequence

- 1. TPI measuring the point when the individual is notified versus when personal
- 464 information/digital identifiers are collected and processed. Capturing the timing of
- 465 notice presentation in relation to first data capture
- 466 2. TPI measuring the contents of the notification for required PII Controller digital
- attributes that correspond to the physical brick and mortar attributes specified in
- 468 privacy, security, safety and surveillance legislation. This is the Controller identity and
- 469 entity information and access point
- 470 3.TPI for how accessible the transparency is (transparency of digital transparency and
- 471 the accessibility of the notice access for use
- 4.72 4.TPI validating the cybersecurity information versus the digital transparency
- 473 information capturing the SSL certificate or keys and its associated meta-data.
- 474 Combined, these TPIs provide an overall Indication of the operational state of digital
- 475 privacy.

476 B.2 TPI – Scheme 1, Part 1(S1-P1) metric logic

TPI 1 - Timing (wrt to processing)	_	TPI3 Accessibility (trans performance)	TPI4 - Digital Security

	1	1	1	1
+1 (assured)	PII Controller credential is displayed, using a standard format with machine readable language and linked, for example, in an http header in a browser	The Controller is discoverable automatically prior to session (out of band) in a machine-readable format. Number of ways 1. is a Controller Identity Trust registry 2. is client-side record of processing (via a wallet or browser)	Controller identity is presented prior to data collection	Security is required prior to collection (digital wallet based)
0(dynamic assurance)	PII Controller Identity or credential is provided in first notice	0 credential is presented just in time (automated check and first- time notice)	Embedded as a credential and dynamically available upon access (almost just in time)	is assured - e.g., certificate is specific to and matches controller and context
-1 (analogue assurance - online)	The Controller Identity, or screen with the Controller Identity is one screen and click away. For example, the privacy policy link in the footer of a webpage	controller information is accessible (not presented) during collection	PII Controller Identity prominently displayed on first view – prior to processing first page of viewing, the assessment question would be	not-specific to controller - does not match jurisdiction
-2 - (not mandatory in flow)		Controller Credential information is linked during collection	is linked not presented	does not match ou

-3 (non-operative)	PII Controller Identity is not accessible enough to be considered 'provided'	Controller information not present	Identity or credential is not accessible in context - e.g., two or more screens of view away, or privacy contact is mailing g address and non-operative in context of data collection.	It is not a valid, secure, or recognized provider. Not security operational (proving nonreciprocal security assurance)
--------------------	---	------------------------------------	--	--

477

478

479

480

B.3 1.2. Table 2 : ANCR Record Schema Example

In this appendix, here is a notice record template to fill out when recording a rating, along with a rating template, and analysis results format.

481 Notice Record Schema & , Notice Record and Report - Template and Example

482

483

FIELD NAME	FIELD DESCRIPTION	REQUIREMENT: MUST, SHALL, MAY	FIELD DATA EXAMPLE
Notice Location	Location the notice was read/observed	MUST	Walmart.com Save Money. Live Better
PII Controller Name	Name of presented business	MUST	Walmart
Controller Address	The physical address of controller and/or accountable person	MUST	1940 Argentina Road Mississauga, Ontario L5N 1P9

PII Controller Contact Type	Contact method for correspondence with PII Controller	MUST	Email, phone
PII Controller- Correspondence Contact	General contact point	SHALL	Privacy@org.com
Privacy Contact Type	The Contact method provided for access to privacy contact	MUST	email
Privacy Contact Point	Location/address of Contact Point	MUST	Org.com/privacy.html
Session Certificate	A certificate for monitored practice	Optional	SSL Certificate Security (TLS) and Transparency

485 486	APPENDIX C: DIGITAL TRANSPARENCY CODE OF CONDUCT
487 488 489 490	These digital transparency code of conduct rules coincide with the TPIs presented and reference the international adequacy requirements for transparency required for digital identifier management. In reference to Report on the Adequacy of Digital Identity Governance, for cross border transparency and consent.
492	PII Controller must:
493 494 495 496 497 498 499	 Must provide their PII Controller Notice Credentials, before or at the time of processing personal information (TPI 1) Article 14.1 PII Controller credential information must be accessible PII Controller credential information must be operationally capable for access to rights with evidence of notice & consent The security context must match the controller's jurisdiction where it is assumed PII is processed
500	Endnotes
501	
502	
503 504 505 506	¹ Lizar, M, Pandit, H, Jesus, V, "Privacy as expected Consent Gateway", Next Generation Internet (NGI) Grant [Access July 4] privacy-as-expected.org/