



LouvainX: Louv2.01x International Human Rights

KarenWest (/dashboard)

Courseware (/courses/LouvainX/Louv2.01x/1T2014/courseware)

Course Info (/courses/LouvainX/Louv2.01x/1T2014/info)

Discussion (/courses/LouvainX/Louv2.01x/1T2014/discussion/forum)

Wiki (/courses/LouvainX/Louv2.01x/1T2014/course_wiki)

Progress (/courses/LouvainX/Louv2.01x/1T2014/progress)

Reading Materials (/courses/LouvainX/Louv2.01x/1T2014/pdfbook/0/)

Syllabus (/courses/LouvainX/Louv2.01x/1T2014/3517b9300b554b118f11224b8c05eb10/)

It has sometimes been suggested that, instead of prioritizing the duty to respect over the duty to protect (a) or of taking a 'hands-off' approach (b), courts presented with situations of conflicting rights may have to develop specific methodologies to address clashes between rights are appropriate (c).

One influential proposal on how to adjudicate issues of conflicting rights was put forward by the German constitutional lawyer Konrad Hesse when he suggested reliance on the *principle of 'practical concordance'* in such situations.

The doctrine of 'practical concordance' seeks to avoid, to the fullest extent possible, sacrificing one right against the other. Instead, it posits, a compromise should be sought between the rights in conflict which will respect their respective claims, by 'optimizing' each of the rights against the other.

This idea already represents a significant progress from the metaphor of 'balancing': whereas the 'balancing' of one right against another should lead to preferring the right with the highest 'value' (or the most important 'weight'), above the other, the principle of 'practical concordance' instead rejects the very idea that this may be a desirable outcome.

In substance, the principle acknowledges that it is inappropriate to set aside one claim simply because a competing claim appears, in the particular circumstances of a case, to deserve to be given more weight. Instead, a solution should be found that achieves the best "fit" between the two rights in conflict, and such a solution could be defined based on the idea that the greater the enjoyment of each right, the less important it becomes for the individual to benefit from even higher levels of protection for that right. In other terms, rights have a decreasing level of utility for the rights-holders.

Is this an appropriate way of thinking of how to address conflicts between rights? Or would you favour another approach? Are there examples from your country or region, where imaginative solutions were given to situations of conflicts between rights?

INSTRUCTIONS: In responding to the above questions, **DO NOT click on 'New Post'**. Click on 'Show discussion', and then, in the first discussion thread, called '[4.2.4] Conflicting rights and the principle of practical concordance', click on 'View discussion' and then on 'Add a response' to propose your views to the community. If you want to comment on a peer's opinions in that thread then click on 'Add a comment' in his response. You can also watch the tutorial (http://youtu.be/SINSJKdez6g) (see also, the updated (http://youtu.be/TNoOB8q19kQ) version) in order to make the best use of the interface.

1 of 2 03/20/2014 02:13 PM

Show Discussion



COMPLETION CHECKBOX (1/1 point)



I have contributed in the discussion forum.



Check

Hide Answer(s)



About (https://www.edx.org/about-us) Jobs (https://www.edx.org/jobs)
Press (https://www.edx.org/press) FAQ (https://www.edx.org/student-faq)
Contact (https://www.edx.org/contact)



EdX is a non-profit created by founding partners Harvard and MIT whose mission is to bring the best of higher education to students of all ages anywhere in the world, wherever there is Internet access. EdX's free online MOOCs are interactive and subjects include computer science, public health, and artificial intelligence.



(http://www.meetup.com/edX-Global-Community/)



(http://www.facebook.com/EdxOnline)



(https://twitter.com/edXOnline)



(https://plus.google.com /108235383044095082735/posts)



(http://youtube.com/user/edxonline) © 2014 edX, some rights reserved.

Terms of Service and Honor Code - Privacy Policy (https://www.edx.org/edx-privacy-policy)