

SE 3XA3: Module Guide

Genetic Cars

Team 8, Grate
Kelvin Lin (linkk4)
Eric Chaput (chaputem)
Jin Liu (liu456)

November 11, 2016

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Anticipated and Unlikely Changes	2
2.1	Anticipated Changes	2
2.2	Unlikely Changes	2
3	Module Hierarchy	3
4	Connection Between Requirements and Design	4
5	Module Decomposition	4
5.1	Hardware Hiding Modules (M??)	4
5.2	Behaviour-Hiding Module	4
5.2.1	Input Format Module (M??)	5
5.2.2	Etc.	5
5.3	Software Decision Module	5
5.3.1	Etc.	5
6	Traceability Matrix	5
7	Use Hierarchy Between Modules	7

List of Tables

1	Revision History	1
2	Module Hierarchy	3
3	Trace Between Requirements and Modules	6
4	Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules	7

List of Figures

1	Use hierarchy among modules	7
---	---------------------------------------	---

1 Introduction

Decomposing a system into modules is a commonly accepted approach to developing software. A module is a work assignment for a programmer or programming team (Parnas et al., 1984). We are using decomposition based on the principle of information hiding (Parnas, 1972). This principle supports design for change, because the “secrets” that each module hides represent likely future changes.

Our design follows the rules layed out by Parnas et al. (1984), as follows:

- System details that are likely to change independently should be the secrets of separate modules.
- Each data structure is used in only one module.
- Any other program that requires information stored in a module’s data structures must obtain it by calling access programs belonging to that module.

This MG specifies the modular structure of the system and is intended to allow both designers and maintainers to easily identify the parts of the software. The potential readers of this document are as follows:

- New project members: This document can be a guide for a new project member to easily understand the overall structure and quickly find the relevant modules they are searching for.
- Maintainers: The hierarchical structure of the module guide improves the maintainers’ understanding when they need to make changes to the system. It is important for a maintainer to update the relevant sections of the document after changes have been made.
- Designers: Once the module guide has been written, it can be used to check for consistency, feasibility and flexibility. Designers can verify the system in various ways, such as consistency among modules, feasibility of the decomposition, and flexibility of the design.

Table 1: **Revision History**

Date	Version	Notes
Nov 06	1.0	Creation of template and first additions
Nov 08	1.1	Added all elements not directly reliant on specific module names/uses
Nov 11	1.2	Final draft complete
Nov 11	1.3	Final editing complete

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 2 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 3 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 4 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 5 gives a detailed description of the modules. Section 6 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules. Section 7 describes the use relation between modules.

2 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

This section lists possible changes to the system. According to the likeliness of the change, the possible changes are classified into two categories. Anticipated changes are listed in Section 2.1, and unlikely changes are listed in Section 2.2.

2.1 Anticipated Changes

- AC1:** The changes that may arise from the placement of the Genetic Cars project online (i.e. web hosting choices, website layout, etc.)
- AC2:** The ability for the user to alter inputs (i.e. the mutation rate, parameters for cars (vertex number etc.), parameters for the environment (gravity etc.))
- AC3:** Changes to the overall structure of the road (i.e. using different mathematical formulas to generate it, more or less steep overall, length)
- AC4:** Changes to the aesthetics of the program (i.e. display window shape and size, use of color, etc.)
- AC5:** Changes to the genetic algorithm (i.e. isolate for fastest car instead of farthest traveled, different means of reproduction, etc.)
- AC6:** Changes to the initial state of the environment and car population (i.e. larger/smaller initial population sizes)

2.2 Unlikely Changes

- UC1:** Changes to the structure of the vehicle altogether (i.e. maybe it is no longer cars but vehicles with 3+ wheels or no wheels at all)
- UC2:** Changes to the goal of fitness isolation (i.e. isolating for the worst vehicles instead of the best)
- UC3:** Changes to the speed of components in simulations (i.e. the set speed of the wheels)

UC4: Changes to the library used to generate cars (i.e. changes to the library that result in rounder or more abstract cars)

3 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 2. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented.

M1: Car creation module

M2: Evolve car module

M3: Road creation module

M4: Graphics display module

M5: Genetic Algorithm module

M6: Random seed generation and manipulation module

M7: Fitness determination module

M8: Sorting and Searching algorithms module

M9: Population generation algorithms module

Level 1	Level 2
Hardware-Hiding Module	There are no Hardware-Hiding modules necessary in Java-Script
Behaviour-Hiding Module	M1
	M2
	M3
	M4
	M5
Software Decision Module	M6
	M7
	M8
	M9

Table 2: Module Hierarchy

4 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in Table 3.

5 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by Parnas et al. (1984). The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title. If the entry is *OS*, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries.

Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented. If a dash (–) is shown, this means that the module is not a leaf and will not have to be implemented.

The system is designed to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. Throughout this stage the system is decomposed into modules and analyzed.

5.1 Hardware Hiding Modules (M??)

Secrets: The data structure and algorithm used to implement the virtual hardware.

Services: Serves as a virtual hardware used by the rest of the system. This module provides the interface between the hardware and the software. So, the system can use it to display outputs or to accept inputs.

Implemented By: OS

5.2 Behaviour-Hiding Module

Secrets: The contents of the required behaviours.

Services: Includes programs that provide externally visible behaviour of the system as specified in the software requirements specification (SRS) documents. This module serves as a communication layer between the hardware-hiding module and the software decision module. The programs in this module will need to change if there are changes in the SRS.

Implemented By: –

5.2.1 Input Format Module (M??)

Secrets: The format and structure of the input data.

Services: Converts the input data into the data structure used by the input parameters module.

Implemented By: [Your Program Name Here]

5.2.2 Etc.

5.3 Software Decision Module

Secrets: The design decision based on mathematical theorems, physical facts, or programming considerations. The secrets of this module are *not* described in the SRS.

Services: Includes data structure and algorithms used in the system that do not provide direct interaction with the user.

Implemented By: –

5.3.1 Etc.

6 Traceability Matrix

This section shows two traceability matrices: between the modules and the requirements and between the modules and the anticipated changes. Requirements are outlined in greater detail in the SRS found here ([INSERT LINK TO SRS HERE](#)).

Req.	Modules
Req 1: Car body parameters	M??
Req 2: Wheel number parameters	M??
Req 3: Wheel radius parameters	M??
Req 4: Wheel position parameters	M??
Req 5: Min weight parameters	M??
Req 6: Max weight parameters	M??
Req 7: Generation display parameters	M??
Req 8: Fitness display parameters	M??
Req 9: Random seed parameters	M??
Req 10: Mutation rate parameters	M??
Req 11: Cars per generation parameters	M??
Req 12: Road generation parameters	M??
Req 13: Min cars per generation parameters	M??
Req 14: Max cars per generation parameters	M??
Req 15: Top cars parameters	M??
Req 16: Max top cars parameters	M??
Req 17: Min top cars parameters	M??
Req 18: Non-moving parameters	M??
Req 19: Fitness parameters	M??
Req 20: Default value replacement parameters	M??

AC	Modules
AC1	M??
AC2	M??
AC3	M??
AC4	M??
AC5	M??
AC6	M??

Table 4: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

7 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. Parnas (1978) said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.

Figure 1: Use hierarchy among modules

References

- David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.
- David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.
- D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984.