

8

	1 Fail	2 insufficient	3 Sufficient	4 Good	5 Excellent	Weight	Grade and comments
Explain the data set	< 2	Majority of the features described incorrectly. No investigations and code used	Approximately more than half features are described correctly. Investigations and code used	Majority of the features are described correctly. Investigations and code used X	All features are described correctly or (4) but specific unique insight or additional unique exploratory investigations		
Summary statistics	< 2	Highly incomplete summary statistics	Incomplete summary statistics with incomplete discussion	Complete summary statistics with limited discussion X	Complete summary statistics with complete discussion		
Use of tidyverse		Mostly base R	More tidyverse than base R	Good use of tidyverse X	Unique use of tidyverse		
Cleanliness and readability of code	< 2	Understandable with a lot of effort / No use of code conventions	Understandable with moderate effort / many breaches of code conventions	Understandable with little effort / some breaches of code conventions X	Perfectly code that cannot be improved. Fully compliant with coding conventions.		
Simple model	< 2	incomplete modeling effort with incomplete discussion	Sufficient modeling effort. Discussion left incomplete	Good modeling effort with mostly complete discussion of the model X	Perfect model with perfect discussion		Kappa
More complex model	< 2	incomplete modeling effort with incomplete discussion	Sufficient modeling effort. Discussion left incomplete	Good modeling effort with mostly complete discussion of the model X	Perfect model with perfect discussion		
Explanation of modeling findings and the analytical process	< 2	Answers usually lack theoretical interpretations and are not phrased using full sentences	Answers are in own words, but not always using full sentences and occasionally lack theoretical interpretations of the results.	Answers are in own words, and in general include theoretical interpretations of the results.	Answers are in own words, using full sentences, and include theoretical interpretations beyond the results. X		
Parameter interpretation	< 2	Incorrect or incomplete parameter interpretation	Mostly correct or complete parameter interpretation	Correct and complete parameter interpretation X	Perfect parameter interpretation or (4) but specific unique		
Contribution of features	< 2	Incorrect or no feature contribution	Incomplete feature contribution	Good feature contribution X	Perfect feature contribution		
Plots used where needed or useful	< 2	Many plotting opportunities missed	Sufficient plotting opportunities used	Good use of plots X	Perfect and unique use of plots		
Conclusions	< 2	Insufficient	Sufficient	Good X	Excellent		
Layout	< 2	Unclear layout, various elements missing (i.e., headings, output, original questions). No compiled HTML and R-project.	Clear headings in the file and output largely complete. No compiled HTML and/or R project. X	Clear headings in the file and output is complete. Compiled HTML and R project.	Clear layout, front-page included, clear headings in the file and only relevant output. Compiled HTML and R project.		
Additional remarks, strengths and/or weaknesses						+ or -	