

【C++】 Day53

▼ Class	C++
📅 Date	@February 7, 2022
🔗 Material	
# Series Number	
☰ Summary	

【Ch12】 Dynamic Memory

12.1.5 unique_ptr

A `unique_ptr` “owns” the object to which it points. Unlike `shared_ptr`, only one `unique_ptr` at a time can point to a given object. The object to which a `unique_ptr` points is destroyed when the `unique_ptr` is destroyed.

The following table defines the operations specific to `unique_ptr`s :

Table 12.4. `unique_ptr` Operations (See Also Table 12.1 (p. 452))

<code>unique_ptr<T> u1</code>	Null <code>unique_ptr</code> s that can point to objects of type T. u1 will use <code>delete</code> to free its pointer; u2 will use a callable object of type D to free its pointer.
<code>unique_ptr<T, D> u2</code>	
<code>unique_ptr<T, D> u(d)</code>	Null <code>unique_ptr</code> that point to objects of type T that uses d, which must be an object of type D in place of <code>delete</code> .
<code>u = nullptr</code>	Deletes the object to which u points; makes u null.
<code>u.release()</code>	Relinquishes control of the pointer u had held; returns the pointer u had held and makes u null.
<code>u.reset()</code>	Deletes the object to which u points;
<code>u.reset(q)</code>	If the built-in pointer q is supplied, makes u point to that object.
<code>u.reset(nullptr)</code>	Otherwise makes u null.

Unlike `shared_ptr`, there is no library function comparable to `make_shared` that returns a `unique_ptr`.

Instead, when we define a `unique_ptr`, we bind it to a pointer returned by `new`. As with `shared_ptr`s, we must use the direct form of initialization:

```
unique_ptr<double> p1; //unique_ptr that can point at a double
unique_ptr<int> p2(new int(24)); //p2 points to int with value 42
```

Because a `unique_ptr` owns the object to which it points, `unique_ptr` does not support ordinary copy or assignment:

```
unique_ptr<string> p1(new string("Arthur"));
unique_ptr<string> p2(p1); //error: no copy for unique_ptr
unique_ptr<string> p3;
p3 = p1; //error: no assign for unique_ptr
```

Although we cannot copy or assign a `unique_ptr`, we can transfer ownership from one (nonconst) `unique_ptr` to another by calling `release` or `reset`:

```
unique_ptr<string> p1(new string("Arthur"));
unique_ptr<string> p2(p1.release()); //transfers ownership from p1 to p2
unique_ptr<string> p3(new string("Cici"));
//transfers ownership from p3 to p2
p2.reset(p3.release()); //reset deletes the memory to which p2 had pointed
```

The `release` member returns the pointer currently stored in the `unique_ptr` and makes that `unique_ptr` null. Thus, `p2` is initialized from the pointer value that had been stored in `p1` and `p1` becomes null.

The `reset` member takes an optional pointer and repositions the `unique_ptr` to point to the given pointer.

If the `unique_ptr` is not null, then the object to which the `unique_ptr` had pointed is deleted.

If we do not use another smart pointer to hold the pointer returned from `release`, our program takes over responsibility for freeing that resource:

```
p2.release(); //WRONG: p2 won't free the memory we've lost the pointer
auto p = p2.release(); //ok, but we must remember to delete(p)
```

Passing and Returning unique_ptr

There is one exception to the rule that we cannot copy a `unique_ptr`: We can **copy or assign a `unique_ptr` that is about to be destroyed**. The most common example is when we return a `unique_ptr` from a function:

```
unique_ptr<int> clone(int p) {
    //ok: explicitly create a unique_ptr<int> from int*
    return unique_ptr<int>(new int(p));
}
```

Passing a Deleter to unique_ptr

Like `shared_ptr`, by default, `unique_ptr` uses `delete` to **free the object to which a `unique_ptr` points**.

Overriding the deleter in a `unique_ptr` affects the `unique_ptr` type as well as how we construct(or reset) objects of that type.

```
// p points to an object of type objT and uses an object of type delT to free that object
// it will call an object named fcn of type delT
unique_ptr<objT, delT>p (new objT, fcn);
```