Report

Assignment - 1

Q1: For N-Puzzle problem, I've implemented following search algorithms:

- BFS

Complete?: Yes Complexity: O(b^{d+1}) Space: O(b^{d+1}) Optimal?: Yes

- DFS

Complete?: No
Complexity: O(b^m)

Space: O(b^m) Optimal? : No

- A* (Heuristic used: Manhattan distance)

Complete?: Yes

Complexity: Exponential

Space: O(b^{d+1}) Optimal? : Yes

- IDA*

Complete? & Optimality? : Same as A*

Complexity: O(b^m)

Some observations:

- A* algorithm is faster than Bfs, Dfs and IDA*.
 Time taken by A* is very less as compared to other search algorithms.
- Iterations: No of nodes visited by A* is also less as compared to other algorithms. Dfs and Bfs varies a lot for different configurations of graph. No

- of nodes visited by IDA* also varies a lot on graph, since it can contain a cycle in graph.
- Bfs is faster than Dfs uptil some Depth x. After this depth x number of nodes in visited array of bfs are increased enormously which makes lot of iterations to check for already visited node. Dfs is not affected by this since we add node to visited array after popping it from stack.
- Depth(Level Order): Lowest in A*, Bfs, IDA*.
- For N uptil 15 Algorithms are working fine and usually give answer within 1 min. For higher N value algorithms are taking lot of time.
- IDA* gets opportunity to explore graph in depth for each iterations. By setting the cost threshold. For some cases IDA* search time is better than A*. (search result 2nd image)

```
hon3 Q1.py
                                      Enter Your Puzzle N:
Kaustav@Alienware->Assignment-1 pyt
hon3 Q1.py
                                      Enter Start State:
Enter Your Puzzle N:
                                      038
8
                                      417
Enter Start State:
                                      265
023
                                      Enter Goal State:
1 4 5
                                      1 2 3
8 7 6
                                      4 5 6
Enter Goal State:
                                      780
1 2 3
                                      -----Menu-----
8 0 4

    BFS

765
                                      2. DFS
-----Menu-----
                                      3. A*
1. BFS
                                      4. IDA*
2. DFS
                                      5. All
3. A*
                                      6. Exit
4. IDA*
5. All
                                      No of Nodes visited: 120793
6. Exit
                                      Depth: 24
                                      Found Match for Bfs
No of Nodes visited: 59
                                      Total time taken by bfs: 4970
Depth: 6
Found Match for Bfs
                                      No of Nodes visited: 75606
Total time taken by bfs: 3
                                      Depth: 52500
                                      Found Match for Dfs
No of Nodes visited: 1807
                                      Total time taken by Dfs: 2066
Depth: 1320
Found Match for Dfs
                                      No of Nodes visited: 1656
Total time taken by Dfs: 50
                                      Depth: 24
                                      Found Match for A*
No of Nodes visited: 7
                                      Total time taken by A*: 172
Depth: 6
Found Match for A*
                                      Threshold: 16
Total time taken by A*: 5
                                      Threshold: 18
                                      Threshold: 20
Threshold: 6
                                      Threshold: 22
No of Nodes visited: 7
                                      Threshold: 24
Depth: 6
                                      No of Nodes visited: 1656
Found Match for IDA*
                                      Depth: 24
Total time taken by IDA*: 3
                                      Found Match for IDA*
                                      Total time taken by IDA*: 141
```

Kaustav@Alienware->Assignment-1> pyt

Q2: For colored NxN board. I've implemented following search algorithms:

- BFS
- DFS
- A*

Observations and Findings:

- **Iterations:** A* has less number of iterations than BFS and DFS, DFS has less number of iterations than BFS for majority of cases.
- **Time**: A* is the fastest algorithm. Then comes DFS with higher time. BFS is the slowest as branching factor is high for Q2.
- A* and DFS consume less memory, but in worst case All three consume equivalent memory.
- Branching factor is very high. Max possible new matrix configuration for a coordinate can be 3, So for a whole matrix it will be very high.
- All algorithms are taking huge amount of time for N > 7.

Heuristic Function: For a coordinate x,y in NxN board. Find number of adjacent neighbours with same color as of x,y point. Do this for every node and add them. This will give us the heuristic cost.

Why this heuristic works?: For all neighbours of a particular node-- we will select minimum f + h cost value(h is heuristic function cost; f is cost from root node). By selecting minimum we are reaching more closer to our goal state.

Enter width of the Board: Kaustav@Alienware->Assignment-1 pyt hon3 Q2.py Enter Matrix: Enter width of the Board: 143243 4 211312 Enter Matrix: 3 3 1 2 4 3 1233 412434 2 3 4 4 214241 3 2 3 3 3 4 3 3 2 4 1234 ------Menu----------Menu----- BFS 1. BFS 2. DFS 2. DFS 3. A* 4. All 3. A* 4. All 5. Exit 5. Exit 4 No of Nodes visited: 33494 No of Nodes visited: 328 Depth: 5 Depth: 3 Found Match for Bfs Found Match for Bfs Total time taken by bfs: 23358 Total time taken by bfs: No of Nodes visited: 310 No of Nodes visited: 54 Depth: 240 Depth: 39 Found Match for Dfs Found Match for Dfs Total time taken by Dfs: 667 Total time taken by Dfs: 10 No of Nodes visited: 390 No of Nodes visited: 17 Depth: 5 Depth: 3 Found Match for A* Found Match for A* Total time taken by A*: 284 Total time taken by A*: 6

hon3 Q2.py