

# Transaction Management Overview

Chapter 16

Database Management Systems 3ed R Ramakrishnan and I Cehrke

1



#### **Transactions**

- Concurrent execution of user programs is essential for good DBMS performance.
  - Because disk accesses are frequent, and relatively slow, it is important to keep the cpu humming by working on several user programs concurrently.
- A user's program may carry out many operations on the data retrieved from the database, but the DBMS is only concerned about what data is read/written from/to the database.
- A <u>transaction</u> is the DBMS's abstract view of a user program: a sequence of reads and writes.

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

2



# Concurrency in a DBMS

- Users submit transactions, and can think of each transaction as executing by itself.
  - Concurrency is achieved by the DBMS, which interleaves actions (reads/writes of DB objects) of various transactions.
  - Each transaction must leave the database in a consistent state if the DB is consistent when the transaction begins.
    - DBMS will enforce some ICs, depending on the ICs declared in CREATE TABLE statements.
    - Beyond this, the DBMS does not really understand the semantics of the data. (e.g., it does not understand how the interest on a bank account is computed).
- \* <u>Issues:</u> Effect of <u>interleaving</u> transactions, and <u>crashes</u>.

# Atomicity of Transactions

- \* A transaction might *commit* after completing all its actions, or it could *abort* (or be aborted by the DBMS) after executing some actions.
- ❖ A very important property guaranteed by the DBMS for all transactions is that they are atomic. That is, a user can think of a Xact as always executing all its actions in one step, or not executing any actions at all.
  - DBMS logs all actions so that it can undo the actions of aborted transactions.

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke



Consider two transactions (Xacts):

BEGIN A=A+100, B=B-100 END BEGIN A=1.06\*A, B=1.06\*B END T2:

- Intuitively, the first transaction is transferring \$100 from B's account to A's account. The second is crediting both accounts with a 6% interest payment.
- \* There is no guarantee that T1 will execute before T2 or vice-versa, if both are submitted together. However, the net effect *must* be equivalent to these two transactions running serially in some order.

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

5

# Example (Contd.)

\* Consider a possible interleaving (schedule):

T1: B=B-100 A=A+100, T2: A=1.06\*A, B=1.06\*B

This is OK. But what about:

T1: A=A+100, B=B-100 A=1.06\*A, B=1.06\*B

\* The DBMS's view of the second schedule:

R(A), W(A), R(B), W(B) T2: R(A), W(A), R(B), W(B)

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke





# Scheduling Transactions

- Serial schedule: Schedule that does not interleave the actions of different transactions.
- Equivalent schedules: For any database state, the effect (on the set of objects in the database) of executing the first schedule is identical to the effect of executing the second schedule.
- Serializable schedule: A schedule that is equivalent to some serial execution of the transactions.

(Note: If each transaction preserves consistency, every serializable schedule preserves consistency.)

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

7

# Anomalies with Interleaved Execution

Reading Uncommitted Data (WR Conflicts, "dirty reads"):

T1: R(A), W(A), R(B), W(B), Abort T2: R(A), W(A), C

\* Unrepeatable Reads (RW Conflicts):

T1: R(A), R(A), W(A), C

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

8

# Anomalies (Continued)



T1: W(A), W(B), C T2: W(A), W(B), C

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke





# Lock-Based Concurrency Control

- Strict Two-phase Locking (Strict 2PL) Protocol:
  - Each Xact must obtain a S (shared) lock on object before reading, and an X (exclusive) lock on object before writing.
  - All locks held by a transaction are released when the transaction completes
    - (Non-strict) 2PL Variant: Release locks anytime, but cannot acquire locks after releasing any lock.
  - If an Xact holds an X lock on an object, no other Xact can get a lock (S or X) on that object.
- ❖ Strict 2PL allows only serializable schedules.
  - Additionally, it simplifies transaction aborts
  - (Non-strict) 2PL also allows only serializable schedules, but involves more complex abort processing

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

10

10

### Aborting a Transaction



- ❖ If a transaction *Ti* is aborted, all its actions have to be undone. Not only that, if *Tj* reads an object last written by *Ti*, *Tj* must be aborted as well!
- Most systems avoid such cascading aborts by releasing a transaction's locks only at commit time.
  - If *Ti* writes an object, *Tj* can read this only after *Ti* commits.
- \* In order to *undo* the actions of an aborted transaction, the DBMS maintains a *log* in which every write is recorded. This mechanism is also used to recover from system crashes: all active Xacts at the time of the crash are aborted when the system comes back up.

11

# Erra Sus

#### The Log

- \* The following actions are recorded in the log:
  - Ti writes an object: the old value and the new value.
    - Log record must go to disk *before* the changed page!
  - *Ti commits/aborts*: a log record indicating this action.
- Log records are chained together by Xact id, so it's easy to undo a specific Xact.
- ❖ Log is often *duplexed* and *archived* on stable storage.
- All log related activities (and in fact, all CC related activities such as lock/unlock, dealing with deadlocks etc.) are handled transparently by the DBMS.

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke



# Recovering From a Crash

- ❖ There are 3 phases in the *Aries* recovery algorithm:
  - Analysis: Scan the log forward (from the most recent checkpoint) to identify all Xacts that were active, and all dirty pages in the buffer pool at the time of the crash.
  - <u>Redo</u>: Redoes all updates to dirty pages in the buffer pool, as needed, to ensure that all logged updates are in fact carried out and written to disk.
  - <u>Undo</u>: The writes of all Xacts that were active at the crash are undone (by restoring the *before value* of the update, which is in the log record for the update), working backwards in the log. (Some care must be taken to handle the case of a crash occurring during the recovery process!)

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

13

13



#### Summary

- Concurrency control and recovery are among the most important functions provided by a DBMS.
- Users need not worry about concurrency.
  - System automatically inserts lock/unlock requests and schedules actions of different Xacts in such a way as to ensure that the resulting execution is equivalent to executing the Xacts one after the other in some order.
- Write-ahead logging (WAL) is used to undo the actions of aborted transactions and to restore the system to a consistent state after a crash.
- Consistent state: Only the effects of committed Xacts seen.

  Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

14